

## ON A GENERALIZATION OF $u$ -MEANS

FRANCOIS DUBEAU

Département de mathématiques  
Collège militaire royal de Saint-Jean  
Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu, Québec, Canada, JOJ 1R0

(Received March 14, 1990, and in revised form October 2, 1990)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we present an extension of Bauer's work about  $u$ -means. We consider a kind of composition of an admissible function  $u(x)$  (described by Bauer) and of a compatible function  $\phi(x)$ . This construction allows us to define  $(u, \phi)$ -means. When  $\phi(x) = x$ , the  $(u, \phi)$ -means are the  $u$ -means introduced by Bauer. The arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means are special cases.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Means,  $u$ -means, generalized  $u$ -means.

**1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES.** 26D99, 39B99.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

In [2] Bauer introduced a class of admissible functions. To each function  $u(x)$  in this class it was possible to associate a  $u$ -mean. The arithmetic and geometric means were special cases of  $u$ -means but not the harmonic mean.

In this paper we introduce a class of monotone compatible functions. We consider a kind of composition of an admissible function  $u(x)$  and a monotone function  $\phi(x)$  compatible with respect to  $u(x)$  which permits the definition of  $(u, \phi)$ -means. When  $\phi(x) = x$  the  $(u, \phi)$ -means are the  $u$ -means of Bauer. The arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means are special cases.

### 2. CONTRACTIVE INTERVAL.

In this paper we consider intervals  $I \subset [0, +\infty[$  of the following type

- (i)  $]0, +\infty[$ ,
- (ii)  $]0, \alpha]$  or  $]0, \alpha[$  for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,
- (iii)  $[\beta, +\infty[$  or  $] \beta, +\infty[$  for  $1 \leq \beta < +\infty$ .

Any interval  $I$  of this type is said to be *contractive* because for any  $n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $x \in I$  we have  $x^n \in I$ , or equivalently, for any  $x, y \in I$  we have  $xy \in I$  (see [2]).

### 3. CLASSES OF FUNCTIONS.

The first class of functions we consider is the class of admissible functions introduced by Bauer [2].

A strictly positive continuous function  $u(x)$  defined on a contractive interval  $I_u$  is said *admissible* (of type (A) or (B)) if it satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (A)  $x \rightarrow u(x)$  is decreasing,
- (B)  $x \rightarrow u(x)/x$  is strictly increasing.

**EXAMPLE 1.**  $u(x) = x^p$  for  $p \leq 0$  or  $p > 1$  are admissible functions on  $I_u$ . The function  $u(x) = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$  is admissible on  $I_u = ]0, 1[$ . The function  $u(x) = e^{x-1}$  is admissible on  $[1, +\infty[$ .

To extend the work of Bauer we introduce the following class of functions. A strictly positive

strictly monotone continuous function  $\phi(x)$  defined on a contractive interval  $I_\phi$  is said *compatible* if it satisfies the following condition:

$$x \rightarrow \phi(\alpha x)/\phi(x) \text{ is monotone (as } \phi(x)) \text{ for any } \alpha \in I_\phi.$$

Let us consider the following examples.

EXAMPLE 2.  $\phi(x) = x^p$  is strictly increasing for  $p > 0$  and strictly decreasing for  $p < 0$ . Also  $\phi(\alpha x)/\phi(x) = \alpha^p$ , a constant for any fixed  $\alpha \in I_\phi$ . Note that in this case  $\phi(x^n) = [\phi(x)]^n$ .

EXAMPLE 3.  $\phi(x) = e^x$  and  $I_\phi = [1, +\infty[$ . The function  $\phi(x)$  is a strictly increasing continuous function such that  $\phi(\alpha x)/\phi(x) = e^{(\alpha-1)x}$  which is an increasing function for any fixed  $\alpha \in I_\phi$ .

EXAMPLE 4.  $\phi(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x}}$  and  $I_\phi = ]0, 1]$ . The function  $\phi(x)$  is a strictly increasing function on  $I_\phi$  such that  $\phi(\alpha x)/\phi(x) = e^{-\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha x}\right)}$  which is an increasing function for any fixed  $\alpha \in I_\phi$ .

The following preliminary results will be useful in the next section.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\phi(x)$  be a compatible function. Then

- (i)  $x \rightarrow \phi(x^n)/\phi(x)$  is strictly monotone (as  $\phi(x)$ ) for any integer  $n \in N$ ,
- (ii)  $x \rightarrow \phi(\alpha^n x)/\phi(x)$  is monotone (as  $\phi(x)$ ) for any  $n \in N$  and any fixed  $\alpha \in I_\phi$ .

PROOF. Let us assume first that  $\phi(x)$  is strictly increasing. To prove (i) consider  $x < y$ , then  $x^n < x^{n-1}y < xy^{n-1} < y^n$ . Hence  $\phi(x^n)/\phi(x) < \phi(xy^{n-1})/\phi(x) \leq \phi(y^n)/\phi(y)$  because  $\phi(x)$  is strictly increasing and compatible. To prove (ii) replace  $\alpha$  by  $\alpha^n$  in the definition. The proof is almost the same when  $\phi(x)$  is strictly decreasing.

LEMMA 2. Let  $u(x)$  be an admissible function and  $\phi(x)$  be a compatible function. If  $\phi(I(\phi)) \subset I_u$ , then for any  $n \in N$  the function  $x \rightarrow \psi_{n+1}(x) = u\phi(x^n)/\phi(x)$  is strictly monotone (here  $u\phi(x) = u(\phi(x))$ ). The different cases are summarized in the following table:

type of $u(x)$	$\phi(x)$ strictly monotone	$\psi_{n+1}(x)$ strictly monotone
(A)	increasing	decreasing
	decreasing	increasing
(B)	increasing	increasing
	decreasing	decreasing

PROOF. Let us assume that  $\phi(x)$  is strictly increasing (decreasing). If  $u(x)$  is of type (A) then  $u\phi(x)$  is decreasing (increasing). Also  $1/\phi(x)$  is strictly decreasing (increasing). It follows that  $u\phi(x^n)/\phi(x)$  is strictly decreasing (increasing). If  $u(x)$  is of type (B) then  $u\phi(x)/\phi(x)$  is strictly increasing (decreasing). From Lemma 1,  $\phi(x^n)/\phi(x)$  is strictly increasing (decreasing). The result follows from  $u\phi(x^n)/\phi(x) = [u\phi(x^n)/\phi(x^n)] [\phi(x^n)/\phi(x)]$

4. (u,  $\phi$ )-MEANS. Let  $u(x)$  be an admissible function and  $\phi(x)$  be a compatible function such that  $\phi(I_\phi) \subset I_u$ . Let  $n \geq 2$  and choose any  $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in I_\phi^n = I_\phi \times \dots \times I_\phi$ . We consider

$$S_{(u, \phi)}(\vec{a}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u\phi(\pi_i(\vec{a}))}{\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(a_i)}$$

where

$$\pi_i(\vec{a}) = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_j = \left( \prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right) / a_i.$$

Using now the continuity of the functions and the strict monotonicity of  $\psi_n(x) = u\phi(x^{n-1})/\phi(x)$ , we can prove the following result (which is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 of Bauer).

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $u(x)$  be an admissible function defined on  $I_u$  and  $\phi(x)$  a compatible function defined on  $I_\phi$  such that  $\phi(I_\phi) \subset I_u$ . Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I_\phi^n$ . Then the equation

$$\psi_n(x) = S_{(u, \phi)}(\vec{a}) \tag{4.1}$$

has exactly one solution in  $I_\phi$ . It lies in the interval

$$] \alpha, \beta [ \text{ if } \alpha = \min \{ a_1, \dots, a_n \} < \max \{ a_1, \dots, a_n \} = \beta$$

and is equal to  $\alpha$  if  $\alpha = \beta$ .

**NOTE.** With the assumptions made on  $\phi(x)$  and the preceding two lemmas, the proof of this theorem is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 2.1 of Bauer.

**PROOF.** If  $\alpha = \beta$  the result follows from Lemma 2. Let us assume that  $\alpha < \beta$  and let us consider the following two cases:

(i)  $u(x)$  is of type (A) and  $\phi(x)$  is strictly decreasing. In this case  $u\phi(x)$  is increasing. For any  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have  $\alpha^{n-1} \leq \pi_i(\vec{a}) \leq \beta^{n-1}$  and it follows that  $u\phi(\alpha^{n-1}) \leq u\phi(\pi_i(\vec{a})) \leq u\phi(\beta^{n-1})$ . Also  $1/\phi(x)$  is strictly increasing, then we have  $\phi(a_i)/\phi(\alpha) \leq 1 \leq \phi(a_i)/\phi(\beta)$  with strict inequality for at least one  $i$  (not necessarily the same  $i$  for both inequalities). It follows that

$$\phi(a_i) \psi_n(\alpha) \leq u\phi(\pi_i(\vec{a})) \leq \phi(a_i) \psi_n(\beta) \tag{4.2}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

(ii)  $u(x)$  is of type (B) and  $\phi(x)$  is strictly increasing. In this case  $u\phi(x)/\phi(x)$  is strictly increasing and we have

$$\frac{u\phi(\alpha^{n-1})}{\phi(\alpha^{n-1})} \leq \frac{u\phi(\pi_i(\vec{a}))}{\phi(\pi_i(\vec{a}))} \leq \frac{u\phi(\beta^{n-1})}{\phi(\beta^{n-1})}$$

and again with strict inequality for at least one  $i$ . We also have

$$\phi(\alpha^{n-2} a_{i+1}) \leq \phi(\pi_i(\vec{a})) \leq \phi(\beta^{n-2} a_{i+1})$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  (where  $a_{n+1} \equiv a_1$ ). From Lemma 1 we have

$$\phi(\alpha^{n-1})/\phi(\alpha) \leq \phi(\alpha^{n-2} a_{i+1})/\phi(a_{i+1}) \text{ and } \phi(\beta^{n-2} a_{i+1})/\phi(a_{i+1}) \leq \phi(\beta^{n-1})/\phi(\beta).$$

It follows that

$$\phi(a_{i+1}) \psi_n(\alpha) \leq u\phi(\pi_i(\vec{a})) \leq \phi(a_{i+1}) \psi_n(\beta). \tag{4.3}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

By adding up (4.2) or (4.3) for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  it follows that  $\psi_n(\alpha) < S_{(u, \phi)}(\vec{a}) < \psi_n(\beta)$  and the result follows from the continuity and the strict monotonicity of  $\psi_n(x)$ .

For the other cases we obtain reverse inequalities and the result follows again.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, the  $(u, \phi)$ -mean of the  $n$  numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  taken in  $I_\phi$  will be the unique solution of (4.1) and will be denoted  $M_{(u, \phi)}(\vec{a})$ . If  $n = 1$  we put  $M_{(u, \phi)}(a_1) = a_1$ .

**REMARK 1.** The  $u$ -means introduced by Bauer, denoted  $M_u(\vec{a})$ , are obtained when  $\phi(x)$  is the identity function  $\text{id}(x)$ , i.e.  $\phi(x) = \text{id}(x) = x$  for any  $x \in I_\phi$ , and we have  $M_{(u, \text{id})}(\vec{a}) = M_u(\vec{a})$ .

**REMARK 2.** For  $u(x) = 1$  we have

$$\phi(M_{(1,\phi)}(\vec{a})) = A(\phi(\vec{a})) \tag{4.4}$$

where  $\phi(\vec{a})$  denotes the vector  $(\phi(a_1), \dots, \phi(a_n))$  and  $A(\vec{v})$  is the arithmetic mean of the  $n$  components of the vector  $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ .

EXAMPLE 5. Consider  $u(x) = 1$ . For  $\phi(x) = \text{id}(x) = x$  we obtain

$$M_{(1, \text{id})}(\vec{a}) = A(\vec{a})$$

and for  $\phi(x) = 1/x = (1/\text{id})(x)$  since

$$H(a_1, \dots, a_n)^{-1} = A(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1})$$

it follows from (4.4) that

$$M_{(1, 1/\text{id})}(\vec{a}) = H(\vec{a})$$

where  $H(\vec{a})$  denotes the harmonic mean of the  $n$  components of  $\vec{a}$ . Let us note that it is not possible to obtain the harmonic mean as a  $u$ -means (see [1], [2]).

REMARK 3. More generally, if the function  $\phi(x)$  is such that

$$\phi\left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(a_i)$$

then we have

$$S_{(u, \phi)}(\vec{a}) = S_{(u, \text{id})}(\phi(\vec{a}))$$

and it follows that

$$\phi(M_{(u, \phi)}(\vec{a})) = M_{(u, \text{id})}(\phi(\vec{a})) = M_u(\phi(\vec{a})). \tag{4.5}$$

EXAMPLE 6. For  $u(x) = 1/x = (1/\text{id})(x)$ , if  $\phi(x) = \text{id}(x)$  we obtain

$$M_{(1/\text{id}, \text{id})}(\vec{a}) = G(\vec{a})$$

where  $G(\vec{a})$  is the geometric mean of the  $n$  components of  $\vec{a}$ . If  $\phi(x) = 1/x = (1/\text{id})(x)$  it follows from (4.5) that

$$M_{(1/\text{id}, 1/\text{id})}(\vec{a}) = G(\vec{a})$$

because  $G(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}) = G(a_1, \dots, a_n)^{-1}$ .

EXAMPLE 7. More generally if  $u_p(x) = x^p$  (for  $p \leq 0$  or  $p > 1$ ) and  $\phi(x) = x = \text{id}(x)$  we have

$$M_{(u_p, \text{id})}(\vec{a}) = \left[ \frac{G^{np}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{A(a_1, \dots, a_n) H(a_1^p, \dots, a_n^p)} \right]^{\frac{1}{pn - p - 1}}$$

(see [2]). For  $\phi(x) = 1/x = (1/\text{id})(x)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{(u_p, 1/\text{id})}(\vec{a}) &= M_{(u_p, \text{id})}\left(\frac{1}{\text{id}}(\vec{a})\right)^{-1} \\ &= \left[ \frac{G^{np}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{A(a_1^p, \dots, a_n^p) H(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \right]^{\frac{1}{pn - p - 1}} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 8. Consider  $\phi(x) = e^x$  on  $I_\phi = [1, +\infty[$ . If  $u(x) = 1$  then

$$M_{(1, \phi)}(\vec{a}) = \ln \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{a_i} \right) = \ln(A(\phi(a_1), \dots, \phi(a_n))).$$

More generally, if  $u_p(x) = x^p$  ( $p \leq 0$  or  $> 1$ ) we have that  $M_{(u_p, \phi)}(\vec{a})$  is the unique positive solution, not smaller than 1, of the polynomial equation

$$pM^{n-1} - M = \ln \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \exp \left( \prod_{j=1}^n a_j / a_i \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \exp(a_i)} \right]$$

5. APPLICATIONS TO INEQUALITIES. In [2] Bauer presented inequalities between u-means (or  $(u, \text{id})$ -means) and the arithmetic mean. Using the relation (4.5) it is possible to obtain similar inequalities for the harmonic mean. In fact we have the following results.

THEOREM 4. Let  $u(x)$  be a convex admissible function of type (A) defined on the interval  $I_u \supset \phi(I_\phi)$ . For every choice of finitely many numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in I_\phi$ , if

- (i)  $\phi(x) = \text{id}(x)$  then  $M_{(u, \text{id})}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq A(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,
- (ii)  $\phi(x) = (1/\text{id})(x)$  then  $M_{(u, 1/\text{id})}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \geq H(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

Moreover if  $u(x)$  is strictly convex then strict inequalities hold provided that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are not all equal.

THEOREM 5. Let  $u(x)$  be a concave admissible function of type (B) defined on  $I_u \supset \phi(I_\phi)$ . For every choice of finitely many numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in I_\phi$ , if

- (i)  $\phi(x) = \text{id}(x)$  then  $M_{(u, \text{id})}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq A(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,
- (ii)  $\phi(x) = (1/\text{id})(x)$  then  $M_{(u, 1/\text{id})}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \geq H(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

Strict inequalities hold for  $n \geq 3$  provided that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are not all equal.

The parts (i) of these two theorems are the results presented by Bauer in [2] because  $M_u(\vec{a}) = M_{(u, \text{id})}(\vec{a})$ . To prove the parts (ii) we only have to consider the relation (4.5) to obtain

$$M_{(u, 1/\text{id})}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1/M_u(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}).$$

Then, using the parts (i) we have

$$M_u(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}) \leq A(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1})$$

but  $A(a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}) = H(a_1, \dots, a_n)^{-1}$  and the results follow.

\* This work was supported by the Department of National Defence of Canada.

REFERENCES

1. ACZEL, J., "Related functional equations applied to Korovkin approximation and to the characterization of Renyi entropies - links to the uniqueness theory", C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada VI (1984), 319-336.
2. BAUER, H., "A class of means and related inequalities", Manuscripta Mathematica 55 (1986), 199-211.
3. HARDY, G.H., LITTLEWOOD, J.E. and POLYA, G., Inequalities, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1934.