

**THE COMPUTATION OF THE INDEX OF A
MORSE FUNCTION AT A CRITICAL POINT**

TAKIS SAKKALIS

Department of Mathematical Sciences
New Mexico State University
Las Cruces, NM 88003
and
IBM T.J. Watson Research Center
P.O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

(Received April 24, 1987 and in revised form February 12, 1988)

ABSTRACT. A theoretical approach in computing the index of a Morse function at a critical point on a real non-singular hypersurface V is given. As a consequence the Euler characteristic of V is computed. In the case where the hypersurface is polynomial and compact, a procedure is given that finds a linear function ℓ , whose restriction $\ell|_V$, is a Morse function on V .

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Morse function, critical point, index.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. Primary 58, Secondary 55.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a real C^∞ function, and set

$$V = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n | f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}.$$

Suppose V is non-singular in \mathbf{R}^n . Furthermore, let $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a real function whose restriction $g|_V$, on V , is a Morse function. Then we will first give a theoretical approach of how the Morse index of $g|_V$ at a critical point a can be computed. Using the above data, we can also compute the Euler characteristic, $\chi(V)$, of V .

Finally, in the case where f is a polynomial, we will say how we can obtain a polynomial function g , whose restriction $g|_V$, has no degenerate critical points on V .

2. THE BASIC RESULT.

We first recall some well known results from Morse Theory [3]. For A a $k \times k$ real non-singular symmetric matrix, we denote by the index of A , $i(A)$, the number of negative eigenvalues of A . Using the above definition, we may define the index of a Morse function at a critical point. Let $\mu : W \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a real Morse function on a r -manifold W , and also let $w \in W$ be a critical point of μ . For u_1, \dots, u_r local coordinates on W around w we can form the Hessian matrix of μ with respect to u_1, \dots, u_r , $H\mu(u)$, $H\mu(u) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} \right)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. Although the Hessian matrix $H\mu(u)$ depends on the particular coordinates u , its index does not. We then define:

DEFINITION 1. The index of μ at the critical point w , $i(w) = i(H\mu(u))$ for some coordinates u around w .

Let us now fix some notation. For $R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ a real C^∞ function, we denote by $R_i = \frac{\partial R}{\partial x_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $R_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Let a be a critical point of $g|_V$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f_n(a) \neq 0$. Then using the Implicit Function Theorem we may "solve" the equation $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for x_n , i.e. near a , V can be thought as the graph of $x_n = x_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, and, therefore, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} are local coordinates for V near a . If we differentiate the equation $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ twice, and evaluate at a , we get:

$$(I) \quad 0 = f_{ij} + f_{ni} \cdot x_{nj} + f_{nj} \cdot x_{ni} + f_{nn} \cdot x_{ni} \cdot x_{nj} + f_n \cdot x_{n,ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

At a again we have,

$$(II) \quad g_i = \lambda f_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Now $g|_V$ with respect to the coordinates x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} becomes $Q(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = g(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}))$. To compute therefore $i(a)$, it is enough to calculate the Hessian matrix $HQ(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, at a . We have

$$(III) \quad Q_i = g_i + g_n x_{ni}, \quad \text{and} \\ Q_{ij} = g_{ij} + g_{ni} \cdot x_{nj} + g_{nj} \cdot x_{ni} + g_{nn} \cdot x_{ni} \cdot x_{nj} + g_n \cdot x_{n,ij}.$$

Substituting in III what $x_{n,ij}$ is in I and taking II into account, we get

$$(IV) \quad Q_{ij} = \frac{1}{f_n^2} (h_{ij} \cdot f_n^2 - h_{ni} f_j f_n - h_{nj} f_i f_n + h_{nn} f_i f_j),$$

where $h = g - \lambda f$, λ is the constant in II, and $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$.

We computed the Hessian matrix $HQ(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (Q_{ij})$ at a . But, unfortunately, this matrix depends on the particular coordinates used at the point a . Let us now give a coordinate free matrix whose index is related to $i(a)$ in a linear manner.

Let a, h, f be as before. Consider the following real $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ symmetric matrix N , evaluated at a .

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nabla f \\ \nabla^t f & H(h) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } H(h) = h_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

The following proposition is the main result in this paper.

PROPOSITION 1. For a, h, f, N as above, N is a non-singular matrix. Furthermore, $i(a) = i(N) - 1$.

The proof of Proposition 1 will be in stages. First we will state some generalities and then come back to the proof.

For A a $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix we associate the real bilinear form $q(x, y) = x^t A y$. We say that q is non-degenerate if $(q(x, y) = 0 \forall y) \Rightarrow x = 0$. This is equivalent in saying that A is an invertible matrix. Since A is symmetric there exists an invertible matrix P such that $P^t A P$ is diagonal. Furthermore, $i(A) = i(P^t A P)$ [2].

Suppose $A = (a_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is a real symmetric matrix. Let $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $v_n \neq 0$. Consider the following real symmetric matrix B ,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^t & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

For e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n the usual basis of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B e_0, e_0 \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle B e_0, e_i \rangle &= v_i, i = 1, \dots, n \\ \langle B e_i, e_j \rangle &= a_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \rangle$ denotes dot product.

Now introduce a new basis $e_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}, e_n$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} so that $\phi_i = v_n e_i - v_i e_n, i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. With respect to those coordinates, the bilinear form $r(x, y) = x^t B y$ gets transformed to one whose matrix is $P^t B P$, where P is the following matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & v_n & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & v_n & 0 \\ 0 & -v_1, \dots, & -v_{n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } P^t B P \text{ becomes}$$

$$P^t B P = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & 0, \dots, 0, & v_n \\ 0 \\ \vdots & \Gamma \\ 0 \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \Gamma = (\gamma_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

and $\gamma_{ij} = v_n^2 a_{ij} - v_n v_j a_{in} - v_i v_n a_{nj} + v_i v_j a_{nn}, i, j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. We then have:

LEMMA 1. Suppose $\Gamma' = (\gamma_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, n - 1, \gamma_{ij}$ as above, is non-singular. Then B is also non-singular and furthermore

$$i(B) = i(\Gamma') + 1.$$

PROOF. We observe that $\det(P^tBP) \neq 0$, since $v_n \neq 0$, and therefore B is non-singular. Let R be a real non-singular $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix, so that $R^t\Gamma'R$ is diagonal. Since Γ' is non-singular, all of the diagonal elements of $R^t\Gamma'R$ are non-zero. Let R' be the following non-singular matrix

$$R' = \begin{pmatrix} 1, & 0, & \dots, & 0 \\ 0 & R & & \\ & & & 0 \\ 0, & 0, & \dots, & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now consider $S = R''(P^tBP)R'$, S has the form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & 0, & \dots, & v_n \\ \vdots & \gamma_1 & & b_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \gamma_{n-1} & \\ v_n & b_1, & \dots, & b_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let E_i be the following $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ elementary matrix.

$$E_i = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0, & 0 \\ 0, & -\frac{b_i}{\gamma_i}, & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $-\frac{b_i}{\gamma_i}$ appears in the $(i+1)^{th}$ column for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Observe that each E_i is invertible. Furthermore, a computation shows that $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{n-i}^t \cdot S \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i = S'$ has the following form

$$S' = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & 0, & \dots, & 0, & v_n \\ 0 & \gamma_1 & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & & \gamma_{n-1} & 0 \\ v_n & 0 & \dots & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } b = b_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_i^2}{\gamma_i}.$$

On the other hand, $i(S') = i(S)$. To complete the proof of the lemma it is enough to show that

$$(V) \quad i(S') = \#\{i's | \gamma_i < 0\} + 1.$$

To achieve that we look at the $\det(S' - \lambda I) = (-\lambda)(b - \lambda) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\gamma_i - \lambda) - v_n^2 \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\gamma_i - \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\gamma_i - \lambda) \cdot (\lambda^2 - b\lambda - v_n^2)$. But the real roots of $\lambda^2 - b\lambda - v_n^2$ are exactly two, one positive and one negative. ■

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. With the same notation and the same change of coordinates, we take B to be N , then Γ' becomes A .

And now Lemma 1 says

$$i(N) = i(Q) + 1 = i(a) + 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

To compute the index, $i(N)$, of N we first look at the negative zeros of $D(x) = \det(N - xI)$. To determine the number of negative zeros of $D(x)$ we can use the following argument: Let $d_0 = g.c.d(D, D')$, $d_1 = g.c.d(d_0, d'_0), \dots$, and $d_i = g.c.d(d_{i-1}, d'_{i-1})$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, with d_k constant where $D' = \frac{dD}{dx}$, and

$d'_{i+1} = \frac{dd_i}{dx}$, $i = 0, \dots, k - 1$. Then we observe that

$$\frac{1}{d_k} \cdot D = \frac{D}{d_0} \cdot \frac{d_0}{d_1} \cdot \frac{d_1}{d_2} \cdots \frac{d_{k-1}}{d_k} = \delta_0 \cdot \delta_1 \cdots \delta_k, \delta_j = \frac{d_{j-1}}{d_j}, j = 0, \dots, k, d_{-1} = D.$$

Furthermore, we note that each δ_j has simple roots and # (negative roots of D) = $\sum_{j=0}^k$ # (negative roots of δ_j). Finally we can use Sturm's Theorem to decide the number of negative zeros of each δ_j [1].

If N happens to be nice, in the sense that no more than two consecutive principal minors of N are singular, then $i(N)$ = variation of sign of the determinants of its principal minors [1].

The computation of the Euler characteristic, $\chi(V)$, of V does not require the computation of the index of N , but rather the sign of its determinant. We have

$$\chi(V) = \sum_{\substack{p \text{ is a critical} \\ \text{point of } g|_V}} (-1)^{i(p)}.$$

But $(-1)^{i(p)} = \text{sign det}(M)(p) = -\text{sign det}(N)(p)$.

Therefore, $\chi(V) = -\sum_p \text{sign det}(N)(p)$.

3. A THEORETICAL PROCEDURE.

From now on suppose that f is a polynomial of even degree and $V = \{f = 0\}$ is compact and non-singular in \mathbf{R}^n .

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\ell : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n, \ell \text{ is linear, } \ell \neq 0\}$. Then \mathcal{L} can be identified with $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$. We have:

LEMMA 2. For almost all elements ℓ of \mathcal{L} , $\ell|_V$ is a Morse function on V .

PROOF. Let $\eta : V \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ be the Gauss Map. Then from Sard's Theorem we get that the set of critical values of η has measure zero in S^{n-1} . For $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$, $\ell|_V$ is not a Morse function on V if and only if $\frac{\nabla \ell}{\|\nabla \ell\|}$ is a critical value of η [4]. ■

DEFINITION. For $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ a real polynomial of degree $d, d \geq 1$, the bordered Hessian, $BH(f)$, of f is the following $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ real symmetric matrix

$$BH(f) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nabla f \\ \nabla^t f & H(f) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } H(f) \text{ is the Hessian matrix of } f.$$

Let now $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$, and consider the linear function $\ell(x) = \langle a, x \rangle$. Let $L = \ell|_V$, and p a critical point of L . We may suppose $f_n(p) \neq 0$. Then if $h = \ell - \lambda f$, where $\ell_i = \lambda f_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. p is a non-degenerate critical point of L if and only if the following matrix N is non-singular

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nabla f \\ \nabla^t f & H(h) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nabla f \\ \nabla^t f & -\lambda H(f) \end{pmatrix} [4].$$

Since $\nabla \ell = a$, and therefore $\lambda \neq 0$, Lemma 2 implies the following:

COROLLARY 2. For a, ℓ, L as above, L is a Morse function on V if and only if $\frac{a}{\|a\|}$ does not belong to the image of the set $\Delta = (\det BH(f) = 0) \cap V$ under the Gauss map η .

REFERENCES

1. GANTMACHER, F. R. The Theory of Matrices, Vols. I, II, Chelsea, 1960.
2. MACLANE, S., BIRKHOFF, G. Algebra, Macmillan, 1970.
3. MILNOR, J. Morse Theory, Annals of Math. Studies, Princeton University Press, # 51.
4. SAKKALIS, T. "An Algorithmic Application of Morse Theory to Real Algebraic Geometry," Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Rochester, 1986.