TWO PROPERTIES OF THE POWER SERIES RING

H. AL-EZEH

Department of Mathematics University of Jordan Amman, Jordan

(Received July 31, 1986 and in revised form October 29, 1986)

ABSTRACT. For a commutative ring with unity, A, it is proved that the power series ring A [[X]] is a PF-ring if and only if for any two countable subsets S and T of A such that $S \subseteq \operatorname{ann}(T)$, there exists $c \in \operatorname{ann}(T)$ such that bc = b for all $b \in S$. Also it is proved A that a power series ring A [[X]] is a PP-ring if and only if A is a PP-ring in which every increasing chain of idempotents in A has a supremum which is an idempotent.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Power series ring, PP-ring, PF-ring, flat, projective, annihilator ideal and idempotent element. 1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 13B.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Rings considered in this paper are all commutative with unity. Let A [[X]] be the power series ring over the ring A. Recall that a ring A is called a PF-ring if every principal ideal is a flat A-module. Also a ring A is called a PP-ring if every principal ideal is a projective A-module.

It is proved in Al-Ezeh [1] that a ring A is a PF-ring if and only if the annihilator of each element a ε A, ann(a), is a pure ideal, that is for all b ε ann(a) there A exists c ε ann(a) such that bc = b. A ring A is a PP-ring if and only if for each a ε A, A ann(a) is generated by an idempotent, see Evans [2]. In Brewer [3], semihereditary A power series rings over von Neumann regular rings are characterized. In this paper we characterize PF- power series rings and PP- power series rings over arbitrary rings.

For any reduced ring A (i.e. a ring with no nonzero nilpotent elements), it was proved in Brewer et al. [4] that

$$\operatorname{ann}_{A \llbracket X \rrbracket} (a_0 + a_1^X + \ldots) = N \llbracket X \rrbracket$$

where N is the annihilator of the ideal generated by the coefficients a_0, a_1, \dots 2. MAIN RESULTS. LEMMA 1. Any PF-ring A is a reduced ring.

PROOF. Assume that there is a nonzero nilpotent element in A. Let n be the least positive integer greater than 1 such that $a^n = 0$. So $a \in ann(a^{n-1})$. Because A is a PF-ring there exists $b \in ann(a^{n-1})$ such that ab = a. Thus $a^{n-1} \stackrel{A}{=} (ab)^{n-1} = a^{n-1}b^{n-1} = 0$ since $ba^{n-1} = 0$.

Contradiction. So any PP-ring is a reduced ring.

THEOREM 2. The power series ring A [[X]] is a PF-ring if and only if for any two countable sets $S = \{b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots\}$ and $T = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots\}$ such that $S \subseteq A$ ann(T), there exists $c \in A$ ann(T) such that $b_i c = b_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

PROOF. First, we prove that A $[\![X]\!]$ is a PF-ring.

- Let $g(X) = b_0 + b_2 X + ...,$ and
 - $f(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots, \text{ and let}$ $g(X) \in \text{ann (f(X)). Then g(X) f(X) = 0.}$

The ring A is inparticular a PF-ring because for all b ε ann(a), there exists A c ε ann(a) such that bc = b. So by Lemma 1, A is a reduced ring. Thus

$$b_i a_j =$$
for all i = 0, 1, ...; j = 0, 1, 2,

So

 $c_i a_j = 0$ for all i = 0, 1, ..., j = 0, 1, 2, ... and $b_i (c_0 - 1) = 0$ for all iand $b_i c_j = 0$ for all $j \ge 1$. Hence $\{c_0, c_1, ...\} \subseteq ann(a_0, a_1, ...)$ and $b_i (c_0 - 1) = 0$. So $c_0 \in ann(a_0, a_1, ...)$ and $b_i c_0 = b_i$ for all i = 0, 1, ... Therefore the above condition holds.

Because any PP-ring is a PF-ring, every PP-ring is a reduced ring. On a reduced ring A, a partial order relation can be defined by $a \le b$ if $ab = a^2$. The following lemma is given in Brewer[3] and Brewer et al.[4].

LEMMA 3. The relation \leq defined above on a reduced ring A is a partial order. PROOF. Clearly the relation \leq is reflexive. Now assume $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$. Then $ab = a^2$ and $ba = b^2$. So, $(a-b)^2 = a^2 - 2ab + b^2 = 0$. Because A is reduced a - b = 0,

10

or a = b. To prove transitivity of \leq , assume a \leq b and b \leq c. So ab = a² and bc = b². Consider

$$(ac - ab)^{2} = a^{2}(c^{2} - 2cb + b^{2})$$

= $a^{2}(c^{2} - b^{2})$
= $ab(c - b)(c + b)$
= 0

because b(c - b) = 0. Since A is reduced, ac - ab = 0 or ac = ab = a^2 . Therefore $a \le b$.

THEOREM 4. The power series ring A [X] is a PP-ring if and only if A is a PP-ring in which every increasing chain of idempotents of A with respect to \leq has a supremum which is an idempotent element in A.

PROOF. Assume A [[X]] is a PP-ring. Let $a \in A$. Since A [[X]] is a PP-ring and idempotents in A [[X]] are in A, ann (a) = eA [[X]]. We claim ann(a) = eA. Because A [[X]] are in A, ann (a) = eA [[X]]. We claim ann(a) = eA. Because A [[X]] are in A, ann (a) = eA [[X]]. We claim ann(a) = eA. Because A [[X]] are in A, ann (a) = eA [[X]]. We claim ann(a) = eA. Because A [[X]] are in A, ann (a) = eA [[X]]. We claim ann(a) = eA. Because A [[X]] are in A, ann (a) = eA [[X]]. We claim ann(a) = eA. Because A [[X]] are in A, ann (a) = eA [[X]]. We claim ann(a). Hence A [[X]] are in A. Hence $eA \subseteq ann(a)$. Now let b $\varepsilon ann(a)$. Hence A = A b $\varepsilon ann (a)$. Thus b = eg(X) for some $g(X) = b_0 + b_1X + \dots$. Consequently, b = eb_0 .

That is b ε eA. Whence A is a PP-ring.

To complete the proof of this direction, let $e_0 \le e_1 \le e_2$... be an increasing chain of idempotents in A. Because A[X] is a PP-ring and since idempotents of A[X] are in A, ann $(e_0 + e_1X + ...) = eA[X]$. Now we claim $1 - e = \sup\{e_0, e_1, ...\}$. A[X] Since $e_i = 0$, $e_i(1 - e) = e_i = e_i^2$, i = 0, 1, So $e_i \le 1 - e$ for all i = 0, 1, Let y be an upper bound of $\{e_0, e_1, ...\}$. So $e_i \le y$ for i = 0, 1, Hence $1 - y \in ann_i (e_0 + e_1X + ...)$. A[X]

Thus 1 - y = ec for some $c \in A$. Consequently,

$$y(1 - e) = (1 - ce)(1 - e)$$

= 1 - ec - e + ec
= 1 - e

So $1 - e \le y$. Therefore $1 - e = \sup\{e_0, e_1 ...\}$.

To prove the other way around, consider ann (f(X)) where $f(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots A [[X]]$

Hence

$$ann (f(X)) = ann(a_0, a_1, \ldots) [X]$$
$$A [X]$$
$$ann(a_0, a_1, \ldots) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} ann(a_i)$$

$$= \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i^{A_i}, e_i^2 = e_i$$

because A is a PP-ring.

Let $d_0 = e_0$, $d_1 = e_0 e_1$, ..., $d_n = d_{n-1} e_n$, ... One can easily check that

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i A = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i A$$

Also it is clear that

$$d_0 \geq d_1 \geq d_2 \cdots$$

Therefore

$$1 - d_0 \leq 1 - d_1 \leq 1 - d_2 \cdots$$

By assumption, this increasing chain of idempotents has a supremum which is an idempotent. Let

$$\sup\{1 - d_0, 1 - d_1, 1 - d_2, \ldots\} = d.$$
 So
 $(1 - d_1) d = 1 - d_1$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots$.

We claim that

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i A = (1 - d)A.$$

Now $1 - d \ge d_i$. So $(1 - d)d_i = 1 - d$. Hence

$$(1 - d)A \subseteq d_iA$$
 for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots$.

Thus $(1 - d)A \subseteq \bigwedge_{i=0}^{\infty} d_iA$. Let $y \in \bigwedge_{i=0}^{\infty} d_iA$. Then $y = d_iy_i$, i, 0, 1,

Consequently

$$(1 - d_{i})(1 - y) = 1 + d_{i}y - d_{i} - y$$

= 1 - d_i

Because $yd_i = d_i^2 = d_i^2 y_i = d_i y_i = y$. Therefore $1 - d_i \le 1 - y$ for all i = 0, 1, ...

Because
$$d = \sup\{1 - d_0, 1 - d_1, 1 - d_2, ...\},$$

 $d \leq 1 - y$. So $d = d(1 - y) = d - dy$

Hence dy = 0. Thus y(1 - d) = y - yd = y

That is
$$y \in (1 - d)A$$
. Therefore $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i A = (1 - d)A$.

Consequently,

ann
$$(f(X)) = (1 - d) A[[X]]$$

A[[X]]

Therefore A[[X]] is a PP-ring.

REFERENCES

- 1. AL-EZEH, H. On Some properties of Polynomial rings. I.J.M.M.S To appear
- 2. EVANS, M. On commutative PP-rings. <u>Pac. J. Math.</u> <u>41</u>(1972) 687-697.
- BREWER, J. "Power series over commutative rings". Lecture Notes in pure and applied <u>Mathematics</u> No. 64, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel (1981).
- 4. BREWER, J., RUTTER, E. and WATKINS, J. Coherence and weak global dimension of R [[X]] when R is Von Neumann regular, J. of Algebra 46(1977) 278-289.