Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Fixed Point Theory and Applications
Volume 2007, Article ID 59262, 11 pages
doi:10.1155/2007/59262

Research Article

Strong Convergence of Cesàro Mean Iterations for Nonexpansive Nonself-Mappings in Banach Spaces

Rabian Wangkeeree

Received 9 March 2007; Accepted 12 September 2007

Recommended by Wataru Takahashi

Let E be a real uniformly convex Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping from E to E^*, C a nonempty closed convex subset of E which is also a sunny nonexpansive retract of E, and $T : C \to E$ a non-expansive nonself-mapping with $F(T) \neq \varnothing$. In this paper, we study the strong convergence of two sequences generated by $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x + (1-\alpha_n)(1/n+1)\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j x_n$ and $y_{n+1} = (1/n+1)\sum_{j=0}^{n} P(\alpha_n y + (1-\alpha_n)(TP)^j y_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $x, x_0, y, y_0 \in C$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a real sequence in an interval $[0,1]$, and P is a sunny non-expansive retraction of E onto C. We prove that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ converge strongly to Qx and Qy, respectively, as $n \to \infty$, where Q is a sunny non-expansive retraction of C onto $F(T)$. The results presented in this paper generalize, extend, and improve the corresponding results of Matsushita and Kuroiwa (2001) and many others.

Copyright © 2007 Rabian Wangkeeree. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space E and let T be a nonexpansive mapping from C into itself, that is, $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. In 1997, Shimizu and Takahashi [1] originally studied the convergence of an iteration process $\{x_n\}$ for a family of nonexpansive mappings in the framework of a Hilbert space. We restate the sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x + (1-\alpha_n)\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n} T^j x_n \quad \text{for } n = 0,1,2,\ldots, \tag{1.1}$$

where x_0, x are all elements of C, and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is an appropriate sequence in $[0,1]$. They proved that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to an element of fixed point of T which is the nearest to x. Shioji and Takahashi [2] extended the result of Shimizu and Takahashi [1] to a uniformly convex Banach space whose norm is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable and proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T which is the nearest to x. Very recently, Song and Chen [3] also extended the result of Shimizu and Takahashi [1] to a uniformly convex Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping. But this approximation method is not suitable for some nonexpansive nonself-mappings. In 2004, Matsushita and Kuroiwa [4] studied the strong convergence of the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ for nonexpansive nonself-mappings in the framework of a real Hilbert space. We can restate the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x + (1-\alpha_n)\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j x_n \quad \text{for } n = 0,1,2,\ldots, \tag{1.2}$$

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n} P\left(\alpha_n y + (1-\alpha_n)(TP)^j y_n\right) \quad \text{for } n = 0,1,2,\ldots, \tag{1.3}$$

where x_0, x, y_0, y are all elements of C, P is the metric projection from H onto C, and T is a nonexpansive nonself-mapping from C into H. By using the nowhere normal outward condition for such a mapping T and appropriate conditions on $\{\alpha_n\}$, they proved that $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.2) converges strongly to a fixed point of T which is the nearest to x; further they proved that $\{y_n\}$ generated by (1.3) converges strongly to a fixed point of T which is the nearest to y when $F(T)$ is nonempty.

In this paper, our purpose is to establish two strong convergence theorems of the iterative processes $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ defined by (1.2) and (1.3), respectively, for nonexpansive nonself-mappings in a uniformly convex Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping from E to E^*. Our results extend and improve the results of Matsushita and Kuroiwa [4] to a Banach space setting.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, it is assumed that E is a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$; let J denote the normalized duality mapping from E into E^* given by

$$J(x) = \left\{f \in E^* : \langle x, f\rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2\right\} \tag{2.1}$$

for each $x \in E$, where E^* denotes the dual space of E, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing, and $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of all positive integers. In the sequel, we will denote the single-valued duality mapping by j, and denote $F(T) = \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$. When $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in E, then $x_n \to x$ (resp., $x_n \rightharpoonup x, x_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} x$) will denote strong (resp., weak, weak*) convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ to x. In a Banach space E, the following result (*the subdifferential inequality*) is well known [5, Theorem 4.2.1]: for all $x, y \in E$, for all $j(x+y) \in J(x+y)$, for all $j(x) \in J(x)$,

$$\|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x)\rangle \le \|x+y\|^2 \le \|x\|^2 + \langle y, j(x+y)\rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

Let E be a real Banach space and T a mapping with domain $D(T)$ and range $R(T)$ in E. T is called *nonexpansive* (resp., *contractive*) if for any $x, y \in D(T)$,

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\| \tag{2.3}$$

(resp., $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \beta\|x - y\|$ for some $0 \leq \beta < 1$). A Banach space E is said to be *strictly convex* if

$$\|x\| = \|y\| = 1, \quad x \neq y \text{ imply } \frac{\|x+y\|}{2} < 1. \tag{2.4}$$

A Banach space E is said to be *uniformly convex* if for all $\epsilon \in (0,2]$, there exits $\delta_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|x\| = \|y\| = 1 \quad \text{with } \|x - y\| \geq \epsilon \text{ imply } \frac{\|x+y\|}{2} < 1 - \delta_\epsilon. \tag{2.5}$$

Recall that the norm of E is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* (and E is said to be *smooth*) if the limit

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \tag{2.6}$$

exists for each x, y on the unit sphere $S(E)$ of E. The following results are well known and can be found in [5].

(i) A uniformly convex Banach space E is reflexive and strictly convex [5, Theorems 4.1.2 and 4.1.6].

(ii) If C is a nonempty convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space E and $T : C \to C$ is a nonexpansive mapping, then fixed point set $F(T)$ of T is a closed convex subset of C [5, Theorem 4.5.3].

If a Banach space E admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping J from weak topology to weak star topology, from [6, Lemma 1], it follows that the duality mapping J is single-valued and also E is smooth. In this case, duality mapping J is also said to be *weakly sequentially continuous*, that is, for each $\{x_n\} \subset E$ with $x_n \rightharpoonup x$, then $J(x_n) \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} J(x)$ (see [6, 7]).

In the sequel, we also need the following lemma which can be found in [8].

Lemma 2.1 (Browder's demiclosed principle [8]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E, and suppose that $T : C \to E$ is nonexpansive. Then, the mapping I-T is demiclosed at zero, that is, $x_n \rightharpoonup x$, $x_n - Tx_n \to 0$ imply $x = Tx$.*

If C is a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space E and D is a nonempty subset of C, then a mapping $P : C \to D$ is called a *retraction* if $Px = x$ for all $x \in D$. A mapping $P : C \to D$ is called *sunny* if

$$P\big(Px + t(x - Px)\big) = Px, \quad \forall x \in C, \tag{2.7}$$

whenever $Px + t(x - Px) \in C$ and $t > 0$. A subset D of C is said to be a *sunny nonexpansive retract* of C if there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction of C onto D. For more details, see [5, 6]. The following lemma can be found in [5].

Lemma 2.2. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space E, $D \subset C$, $J : E \to E^*$ the normalized duality mapping of E, and $P : C \to D$ a retraction. Then, the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\langle x - Px, j(y - Px)\rangle \leq 0$, *for all* $x \in C$, *for all* $y \in D$;

(ii) P *is both sunny and nonexpansive.*

Let E be a smooth Banach space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let P be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C. Then, P is unique. For more details, see [9]. For a nonself-mapping T from C into E, Matsushita and Takahashi [9] studied the following condition:

$$Tx \in S_x^c \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x \in C$, where $S_x = \{y \in E : y \neq x,\ Py = x\}$ and P is a sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C.

Remark 2.3 [9, Remark 2.1]. If C is a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space E, then for any $x \in E$, there exists a unique point $x_0 \in C$ such that

$$||x_0 - x|| = \min_{y \in C} \|y - x\|. \tag{2.9}$$

The mapping Q from E onto C defined by $Qx = x_0$ is called the *metric projection*. Using the metric projection Q, Halpern and Bergman [10] studied the following condition:

$$Tx \in \{y \in E : y \neq x, Qy = x\}^c \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x \in C$. Such a condition is called the *nowhere-normal outward condition*. Note that if E is a Hilbert space, then the condition (2.8) and the nowhere-normal outward condition are equivalent.

In the sequel, we also need the following lemmas which can be found in [9].

Lemma 2.4 [9, Lemma 3.1]. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space E and let T be a mapping form C into E. Suppose that C is a sunny nonexpansive retract of E. If T satisfies the condition (2.8), then $F(T) = F(PT)$, where P is a sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C.*

Lemma 2.5 [9, Lemma 3.3]. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space E and let T be a nonexpansive mapping from C into E. Suppose that C is a sunny nonexpansive retract of E. If $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then T satisfies the condition (2.8).*

The following theorem was proved by Bruck [11].

Theorem 2.6. *Let C be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E and let $T : C \to C$ be nonexpansive. For each $x \in C$ and the Cesàro means $T_n x = 1/n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j x$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in C} \|T_n x - T(T_n x)\| = 0$.*

3. Main results

In this section, we prove two strong convergence theorems for a nonexpansive nonself-mapping in a uniformly convex Banach space.

Theorem 3.1. *Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping J from E to E^* and C a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Suppose that C is a sunny nonexpansive retract of E. Let P be the sunny nonexpansive retraction of E onto C, T a nonexpansive nonself-mapping from C into E with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, and $\{\alpha_n\}$ a sequence of real numbers such that $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 1$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$. Let the sequence $\{x_n\}$ be defined by (1.2). Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $Qx \in F(T)$, where Q is the sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto $F(T)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in C$, $z \in F(T)$, and $M = \max\{\|x - z\|, \|x_0 - z\|\}$. Then, we have

$$\|x_1 - z\| = \|\alpha_0 x + (1-\alpha_0)x_0 - z\| \leq \alpha_0\|x - z\| + (1-\alpha_0)\|x_0 - z\| \leq M. \tag{3.1}$$

If $\|x_n - z\| \leq M$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we can show that $\|x_{n+1} - z\| \leq M$ similarly. Therefore, by induction on n, we obtain $\|x_n - z\| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, so is $\{(1/n+1)\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j x_n\}$. We define $T_n := (1/n+1)\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $p \in F(T)$, we get $\|T_n x_n - p\| \leq (1/n+1)\sum_{j=0}^{n}\|(PT)^j x_n - (PT)^j p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|$. Therefore, $\{T_n x_n\}$ is also bounded. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - T_n x_n\| &= \left\| x_{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j x_n \right\| \\
&= \left\| \alpha_n x + (1-\alpha_n)\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j x_n - \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j x_n \right\| \\
&= \alpha_n \left\| x - \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j x_n \right\| = \alpha_n \|x - T_n x_n\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

It follows from (3.2) and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n = 0$ that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_{n+1} - T_n x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Next, we prove that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_n - PTx_n\| = 0$. Take $w \in F(T)$ and define a subset D of C by $D = \{x \in C : \|x - w\| \leq M\}$. Then, D is a nonempty closed bounded convex subset of C, $PT(D) \subset D$, and $\{x_n\} \subset D$. Hence, Theorem 2.6 implies that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\sup_{x\in D}\|T_n x - PT(T_n x)\| = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|T_n x_n - PT(T_n x_n)\| \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty}\sup_{x\in D}\|T_n x - PT(T_n x)\| = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|T_n x_n - PT(T_n x_n)\| = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

It follows from (3.3) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}||x_{n+1} - PTx_{n+1}|| &\leq ||x_{n+1} - T_n x_n|| + ||T_n x_n - PT(T_n x_n)|| + ||PT(T_n x_n) - PTx_{n+1}|| \\ &\leq 2||x_{n+1} - T_n x_n|| + ||T_n x_n - PT(T_n x_n)|| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

That is,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - PTx_n|| = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Next, we will show that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle Qx - x, j(Qx - x_n)\rangle \leq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $\{x_{n_k}\}$ be a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle Qx - x, j(Qx - x_{n_k})\rangle = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle Qx - x, j(Qx - x_n)\rangle. \tag{3.10}$$

It follows from reflexivity of E and boundedness of the sequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ that there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_{k_i}}\}$ of $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converging weakly to $w \in C$ as $i \to \infty$. It follows from (3.8) and the nonexpansivity of PT that we have $w \in F(PT)$ by Lemma 2.1. Since $F(T)$ is nonempty, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that T satisfies condition (2.8). Applying Lemma 2.4, we obtain that $w \in F(T)$. Since the duality map j is single-valued and weakly sequentially continuous from E to E^*, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle Qx - x, j(Qx - x_n)\rangle &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \langle Qx - x, j(Qx - x_{n_k})\rangle \\ &= \lim_{i\to\infty} \langle Qx - x, j(Qx - x_{n_{k_i}})\rangle \\ &= \langle Qx - x, j(Qx - w)\rangle \leq 0\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

by Lemma 2.2 as required. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\langle Qx - x, j(Qx - x_n)\rangle \leq \epsilon \tag{3.12}$$

for all $n \geq m$. On the other hand, from

$$x_{n+1} - Qx + \alpha_n(Qx - x) = \alpha_n x + (1 - \alpha_n)\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j x_n - (\alpha_n x + (1 - \alpha_n)Qx) \tag{3.13}$$

and the inequality (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&||x_{n+1} - Qx||^2 \\
&\quad = ||x_{n+1} - Qx + \alpha_n(Qx - x) - \alpha_n(Qx - x)||^2 \\
&\quad \le ||x_{n+1} - Qx + \alpha_n(Qx - x)||^2 - 2\alpha_n\langle Qx - x, j(x_{n+1} - Qx)\rangle \\
&\quad = \left\{\left|\left|(1-\alpha_n)\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}((PT)^j x_n - Qx)\right|\right|\right\}^2 - 2\alpha_n\langle Qx - x, j(x_{n+1} - Qx)\rangle \\
&\quad \le \left\{(1-\alpha_n)\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}||(PT)^j x_n - Qx||\right\}^2 - 2\alpha_n\langle Qx - x, j(x_{n+1} - Qx)\rangle \\
&\quad \le (1-\alpha_n)^2\|x_n - Qx\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle x - Qx, j(x_{n+1} - Qx)\rangle \\
&\quad \le (1-\alpha_n)||x_n - Qx||^2 + 2\alpha_n\epsilon \\
&\quad = 2\epsilon(1-(1-\alpha_n)) + (1-\alpha_n)||x_n - Qx||^2 \\
&\quad \le 2\epsilon(1-(1-\alpha_n)) + (1-\alpha_n)(2\epsilon(1-(1-\alpha_{n-1})) + (1-\alpha_{n-1})||x_{n-1} - Qx||^2 \\
&\quad = 2\epsilon(1-(1-\alpha_n)(1-\alpha_{n-1})) + (1-\alpha_n)(1-\alpha_{n-1})||x_{n-1} - Qx||^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

for all $n \ge m$. By induction, we obtain

$$||x_{n+1} - Qx||^2 \le 2\epsilon\left(1 - \prod_{k=m}^{n}(1-\alpha_k)\right) + \prod_{k=m}^{n}(1-\alpha_k)||x_m - Qx||^2. \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore, from $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_n = \infty$, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}||x_{n+1} - Qx|| \le 2\epsilon. \tag{3.16}$$

By arbitrarity of ϵ, we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to Qx in $F(T)$. This completes the proof. □

If in Theorem 3.1, T is self-mapping and $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$, then the requirement that C is a sunny nonexpansive retract of E is not necessary. Furthermore, we have $PT = T$, then the iteration (1.2) reduces to the iteration (1.1). In fact, the following corollary can be obtained from Theorem 3.1 immediately.

Corollary 3.2 [3, Corollary 4.2]. *Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping J from E to E^* and C a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Suppose that $T : C \to C$ is a nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, and $\{x_n\}$ is defined by (1.1), where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence of real numbers in $(0,1)$ satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_n = \infty$. Then, as $n \to \infty$, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $Qx \in F(T)$, where Q is the sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto $F(T)$.*

If in Theorem 3.1 $E = H$ is a real Hilbert space, then the requirement that C is a sunny nonexpansive retract of E is not necessary. In fact, we have the following corollary due to Matsushita and Kuroiwa [4].

Corollary 3.3 [4, Theorem 1]. *Let H be a real Hilbert space, C a closed convex subset of H, P the metric projection of H onto C, T a nonexpansive nonself-mapping from C into H such that $F(T)$ is nonempty, and $\{\alpha_n\}$ a sequence of real numbers in $[0,1]$ satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_n = \infty$. Then, $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.2) converges strongly to Qx, where Q is the metric projection from C onto $F(T)$.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping J from E to E^* and C a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Suppose that C is a sunny nonexpansive retract of E. Let P be the sunny nonexpansive retraction of E onto C, T a nonexpansive nonself-mapping from C into E with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, and $\{\alpha_n\}$ a sequence of real numbers such that $0 \le \alpha_n \le 1$, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n = 0$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_n = \infty$. Let the sequence $\{y_n\}$ be defined by (1.3). Then, $\{y_n\}$ converges strongly to $Qy \in F(T)$, where Q is the sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto $F(T)$.*

Proof. Let $y \in C$, $z \in F(T)$, and $M = \max\{\|y - z\|, \|y_0 - z\|\}$. Then, we have

$$\|y_1 - z\| = \|P(\alpha_0 y + (1-\alpha_0)y_0) - z\| \le \alpha_0\|y - z\| + (1-\alpha_0)\|y_0 - z\| \le M. \tag{3.17}$$

If $\|y_n - z\| \le M$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we can show that $\|y_{n+1} - z\| \le M$ similarly. Therefore, by induction, we obtain $\|y_n - z\| \le M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $\{y_n\}$ is bounded, so is $\{(1/n+1)\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j y_n\}$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| y_{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j y_n \right\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n} P(\alpha_n y + (1-\alpha_n)(TP)^j y_n) - \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j y_n \right\| \\
&\le \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\|P(\alpha_n y + (1-\alpha_n)(TP)^j y_n) - (PT)^j y_n\| \\
&\le \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\|\alpha_n y + (1-\alpha_n)(TP)^j y_n - (TP)^j y_n\| \\
&= \alpha_n \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\|y - (PT)^j y_n\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

We define $T_n := (1/n+1)\sum_{j=0}^{n}(PT)^j$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n = 0$ and (3.18) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|y_{n+1} - T_n y_n\| = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Next, we prove that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|y_n - PTy_n\| = 0$. Take $w \in F(T)$ and define a subset D of C by $D = \{y \in C : \|y - w\| \le M\}$. Then, clearly D is a nonempty closed bounded convex

subset of C and $TP(D) \subset D$ and $\{y_n\} \subset D$. Since $PT(D) \subset D$, Theorem 2.6 implies that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in D} ||T_n y - PT(T_n y)|| = 0. \tag{3.20}$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||T_n y_n - PT(T_n y)|| \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in D} ||T_n y - PT(T_n y)|| = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Hence, using $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_n y_n - PT(T_n y)\| = 0$ along with (3.19), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} ||y_{n+1} - PTy_{n+1}|| &\le ||y_{n+1} - T_n y_n|| + ||T_n y_n - PT(T_n y_n)|| + ||PT(T_n y_n) - PTy_{n+1}|| \\ &\le 2||y_{n+1} - T_n y_n|| + ||T_n y_n - PT(T_n y_n)|| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

That is,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||y_n - PTy_n|| = 0. \tag{3.23}$$

Next, we will show that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle Qy - y, j(Qy - y_n)\rangle \le 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Let $\{y_{n_k}\}$ be a subsequence of $\{y_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle Qy - y, j(Qy - y_{n_k})\rangle = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle Qy - y, j(Qy - y_n)\rangle. \tag{3.25}$$

If follows from reflexivity of E and boundedness of sequence $\{y_{n_k}\}$ that there exists a subsequence $\{y_{n_{k_i}}\}$ of $\{y_{n_k}\}$ converging weakly to $w \in C$ as $i \to \infty$. Then, from (3.23) and the nonexpansivity of PT, we obtain that $w \in F(PT)$ by Lemma 2.1. Since $F(T)$ is nonempty, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that T satisfies condition (2.8). Applying Lemma 2.4, we obtain that $w \in F(T)$. By the assumption that the duality map J is single-valued and weakly sequentially continuous from E to E^*, Lemma 2.2 gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle Qy - y, j(Qy - y_n)\rangle &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \langle Qy - y, j(Qy - y_{n_k})\rangle \\ &= \lim_{i\to\infty} \langle Qy - y, j(Qy - y_{n_{k_i}})\rangle \\ &= \langle Qy - y, j(Qy - w)\rangle \le 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

as required. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\langle Qy - y, j(Qy - y_n)\rangle \le \epsilon \tag{3.27}$$

for all $n \ge m$. On the other hand, from

$$y_{n+1} - Qy + \alpha_n(Qy - y) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} P(\alpha_n y + (1-\alpha_n)(TP)^j y_n) - P(\alpha_n y + (1-\alpha_n)Qy) \tag{3.28}$$

and the inequality (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&||y_{n+1}-Qy||^2\\
&\quad=||y_{n+1}-Qy+\alpha_n(Qy-y)-\alpha_n(Qy-y)||^2\\
&\quad\le||y_{n+1}-Qy+\alpha_n(Qy-y)||^2-2\alpha_n\langle Qy-y,j(y_{n+1}-Qy)\rangle\\
&\quad\le\left\|\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}P(\alpha_n y+(1-\alpha_n)(TP)^j y_n)-P(\alpha_n y+(1-\alpha_n)Qy)\right\|^2\\
&\qquad-2\alpha_n\langle Qy-y,j(y_{n+1}-Qy)\rangle\\
&\quad=\left\{\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}||P(\alpha_n y+(1-\alpha_n)(TP)^j y_n)-P(\alpha_n y+(1-\alpha_n)Qy)||\right\}^2\\
&\qquad-2\alpha_n\langle Qy-y,j(y_{n+1}-Qy)\rangle\\
&\quad\le\left\{(1-\alpha_n)\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}||(TP)^j y_n-Qy||\right\}^2-2\alpha_n\langle Qy-y,j(y_{n+1}-Qy)\rangle\\
&\quad\le(1-\alpha_n)^2||y_n-Qy||^2+2\alpha_n\langle y-Qy,j(y_{n+1}-Qy)\rangle\\
&\quad\le(1-\alpha_n)||y_n-Qy||^2+2\alpha_n\epsilon\\
&\quad=2\epsilon(1-(1-\alpha_n))+(1-\alpha_n)||y_n-Qy||^2\\
&\quad\le2\epsilon(1-(1-\alpha_n))+(1-\alpha_n)(2\epsilon(1-(1-\alpha_{n-1}))+(1-\alpha_{n-1})||y_{n-1}-Qy||^2\\
&\quad=2\epsilon(1-(1-\alpha_n)(1-\alpha_{n-1}))+(1-\alpha_n)(1-\alpha_{n-1})||y_{n-1}-Qy||^2
\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

for all $n\ge m$. By induction, we obtain

$$||y_{n+1}-Qy||^2\le2\epsilon\left(1-\prod_{k=m}^{n}(1-\alpha_k)\right)+\prod_{k=m}^{n}(1-\alpha_k)||y_m-Qy||^2.\tag{3.30}$$

It follows from $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_n=\infty$ that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}||y_{n+1}-Qy||\le2\epsilon.\tag{3.31}$$

By arbitrarity of ϵ, we conclude that $\{y_n\}$ converges strongly to Qy in $F(T)$. This completes the proof. □

If in Theorem 3.4, $E=H$ is a real Hilbert space, then the requirement that C is a sunny nonexpansive retract of E is not necessary. In fact, we have the following corollary due to Matsushita and Kuroiwa [4].

Corollary 3.5 [4, Theorem 2]. *Let H be a real Hilbert space, C a closed convex subset of H, P the metric projection of H onto C, T a nonexpansive nonself-mapping from C into H such that $F(T)$ is nonempty, and $\{\alpha_n\}$ a sequence of real numbers in $[0,1]$ satisfying*

$\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$ *and* $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$. *Then,* $\{y_n\}$ *defined by (1.3) converges strongly to* Qy, *where* Q *is the metric projection from* C *onto* $F(T)$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank The Thailand Research Fund, Grant MRG5080375/2550, for financial support and the referees for reading this paper carefully, providing valuable suggestions and comments, and pointing out a major error in the original version of this paper.

References

[1] T. Shimizu and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence to common fixed points of families of nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 211, no. 1, pp. 71–83, 1997.

[2] N. Shioji and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence of approximated sequences for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 125, no. 12, pp. 3641–3645, 1997.

[3] Y. Song and R. Chen, "Viscosity approximative methods to Cesàro means for non-expansive mappings," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 186, no. 2, pp. 1120–1128, 2007.

[4] S. Matsushita and D. Kuroiwa, "Strong convergence of averaging iterations of nonexpansive nonself-mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 294, no. 1, pp. 206–214, 2004.

[5] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis: Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications*, Yokohama, Yokohama, Japan, 2000.

[6] J.-P. Gossez and E. Lami Dozo, "Some geometric properties related to the fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 40, pp. 565–573, 1972.

[7] J. S. Jung, "Iterative approaches to common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 302, no. 2, pp. 509–520, 2005.

[8] F. E. Browder, "Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces," in *Nonlinear Functional Analysis (Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Part 2, Chicago, Ill., 1968)*, vol. 18, pp. 1–308, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1976.

[9] S. Matsushita and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive nonself-mappings without boundary conditions," *Nonlinear Analysis*, 2006.

[10] B. R. Halpern and G. M. Bergman, "A fixed-point theorem for inward and outward maps," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 130, no. 2, pp. 353–358, 1968.

[11] R. E. Bruck, "A simple proof of the mean ergodic theorem for nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 32, no. 2-3, pp. 107–116, 1979.

Rabian Wangkeeree: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand
Email address: rabianw@nu.ac.th

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru