Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Fixed Point Theory and Applications
Volume 2007, Article ID 50175, 15 pages
doi:10.1155/2007/50175

Research Article

Fixed Points and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Cauchy-Jensen Functional Equations in Banach Algebras

Choonkil Park

Received 16 April 2007; Accepted 25 July 2007

Recommended by Billy E. Rhoades

We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in real Banach algebras and of generalized derivations on real Banach algebras for the following Cauchy-Jensen functional equations: $f(x+y/2+z)+f(x-y/2+z)=f(x)+2f(z)$, $2f(x+y/2+z)=f(x)+f(y)+2f(z)$, which were introduced and investigated by Baak (2006). The concept of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability originated from Th. M. Rassias' stability theorem that appeared in his paper (1978).

Copyright © 2007 Choonkil Park. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [2] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: let $(G_1,*)$ be a group and let $(G_2,\diamond,d)$ be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot,\cdot)$. Given $\epsilon>0$, does there exist a $\delta(\epsilon)>0$ such that if a mapping $h:G_1\to G_2$ satisfies the inequality

$$d(h(x*y),h(x)\diamond h(y))<\delta \tag{1.1}$$

for all $x,y\in G_1$, then there is a homomorphism $H:G_1\to G_2$ with

$$d(h(x),H(x))<\epsilon \tag{1.2}$$

for all $x\in G_1$? If the answer is affirmative, we would say that the equation of homomorphism $H(x*y)=H(x)\diamond H(y)$ is stable. The concept of stability for a functional equation arises when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. Thus, the stability question of functional equations is that

"how do the solutions of the inequality differ from those of the given functional equation"?

Hyers [3] gave a first affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Assume that $f : X \to Y$ satisfies

$$||f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)|| \leq \varepsilon \tag{1.3}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and some $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $T : X \to Y$ such that

$$||f(x) - T(x)|| \leq \varepsilon \tag{1.4}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Rassias [4] provided a generalization of Hyers' theorem which allows the *Cauchy difference to be unbounded.*

Theorem 1.1 (Th. M. Rassias). *Let $f : E \to E'$ be a mapping from anormed vector space E into a Banach space E' subject to the inequality*

$$||f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)|| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \tag{1.5}$$

for all $x, y \in E$, where ϵ and p are constants with $\epsilon > 0$ and $p < 1$. Then, the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \tag{1.6}$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and $L : E \to E'$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$||f(x) - L(x)|| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p}\|x\|^p \tag{1.7}$$

for all $x \in E$. Also, if for each $x \in E$ the function $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then L is $\mathbb{R}$-linear.

The above inequality (1.5) has provided a lot of influence in the development of what is now known as a *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability* of functional equations. Beginning around the year 1980, the topic of approximate homomorphisms, or the stability of the equation of homomorphism, was studied by a number of mathematicians. Găvruţa [5] generalized Rassias' result. The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [6–17]).

Rassias [18], following the spirit of the innovative approach of Rassias [4] for the unbounded Cauchy difference, proved a similar stability theorem in which he replaced the factor $\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$ by $\|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p + q \neq 1$ (see also [19] for a number of other new results).

Theorem 1.2 [18–20]. *Let X be a real normed linear space and Y a real complete normed linear space. Assume that $f : X \to Y$ is an approximately additive mapping for which there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{R} - \{1\}$ such that f satisfies the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|^{p/2} \cdot \|y\|^{p/2} \tag{1.8}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \to Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{|2^p - 2|} \|x\|^p \tag{1.9}$$

for all $x \in X$. If, in addition, $f : X \to Y$ is a mapping such that the transformation $t \to f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then L is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear mapping.

We recall two fundamental results in fixed point theory.

Theorem 1.3 [21]. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $J : X \to X$ be strictly contractive, that is,*

$$d(Jx, Jy) \leq Lf(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X \tag{1.10}$$

for some Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then,

(1) *the mapping J has a unique fixed point $x^* = Jx^*$;*

(2) *the fixed point x^* is globally attractive, that is,*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} J^n x = x^* \tag{1.11}$$

for any starting point $x \in X$;

(3) *one has the following estimation inequalities:*

$$\begin{aligned} d(J^n x, x^*) &\leq L^n d(x, x^*), \\ d(J^n x, x^*) &\leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x), \\ d(x, x^*) &\leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(x, Jx) \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

for all nonnegative integers n and all $x \in X$.

Let X be a set. A function $d : X \times X \to [0, \infty]$ is called a *generalized metric* on X if d satisfies the following:

(1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;

(3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + f(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 1.4 [22]. *Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : X \to X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then, for each given element $x \in X$, either*

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty \tag{1.13}$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

(1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1}x) < \infty$, $\forall n \geq n_0$;

(2) *the sequence* $\{J^n x\}$ *converges to a fixed point* y^* *of* J;

(3) y^* *is the unique fixed point of* J *in the set* $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0}x, y) < \infty\}$;

(4) $d(y, y^*) \leq (1/(1-L))d(y, Jy)$ *for all* $y \in Y$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in real Banach algebras for the Cauchy-Jensen functional equations.

In Section 3, using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized derivations on real Banach algebras for the Cauchy-Jensen functional equations.

2. Stability of homomorphisms in real Banach algebras

Throughout this section, assume that A is a real Banach algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ and that B is a real Banach algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$.

For a given mapping $f : A \to B$, we define

$$Cf(x,y,z) := f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right) + f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}+z\right) - f(x) - 2f(z) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in real Banach algebras for the functional equation $Cf(x,y,z) = 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $f : A \to B$ *be a mapping for which there exists a function* $\varphi : A^3 \to [0,\infty)$ *such that*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j}\varphi(2^j x, 2^j y, 2^j z) < \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\|Cf(x,y,z)\|_B \leq \varphi(x,y,z), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\|_B \leq \varphi(x,y,0) \tag{2.4}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. *If there exists an* $L < 1$ *such that* $\varphi(x,x,x) \leq 2L\varphi(x/2, x/2, x/2)$ *for all* $x \in A$ *and if* $f(tx)$ *is continuous in* $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *for each fixed* $x \in A$, *then there exists a unique homomorphism* $H : A \to B$ *such that*

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{2-2L}\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{2.5}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Consider the set

$$X := \{g : A \to B\} \tag{2.6}$$

and introduce the *generalized metric* on X:

$$d(g,h) = \inf\{C \in \mathbb{R}_+ : ||g(x) - h(x)||_B \leq C\varphi(x,x,x),\ \forall x \in A\}. \tag{2.7}$$

It is easy to show that (X,d) is complete.

Now, we consider the linear mapping $J : X \to X$ such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{2}g(2x) \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x \in A$.

By [21, Theorem 3.1],

$$d(Jg,Jh) \leq Ld(g,h) \tag{2.9}$$

for all $g,h \in X$.

Letting $y = z = x$ in (2.3), we get

$$||f(2x) - 2f(x)||_B \leq \varphi(x,x,x) \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\left\|f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x)\right\|_B \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence $d(f,Jf) \leq 1/2$.

By Theorem 1.4, there exists a mapping $H : A \to B$ such that the following hold.

(1) H is a fixed point of J, that is,

$$H(2x) = 2H(x) \tag{2.12}$$

for all $x \in A$. The mapping H is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$Y = \{g \in X : d(f,g) < \infty\}. \tag{2.13}$$

This implies that H is a unique mapping satisfying (2.12) such that there exists $C \in (0,\infty)$ satisfying

$$||H(x) - f(x)||_B \leq C\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{2.14}$$

for all $x \in A$.

(2) $d(J^n f,H) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies the equality

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} = H(x) \tag{2.15}$$

for all $x \in A$.

(3) $d(f,H) \le (1/(1-L))d(f,Jf)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(f,H) \le \frac{1}{2-2L}. \tag{2.16}$$

This implies that the inequality (2.5) holds.

It follows from (2.2), (2.3), and (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)+H\left(\frac{x-y}{2}+z\right)-H(x)-2H(z)\right\|_B \\
&\quad= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{2^n}\left\|f\left(2^{n-1}(x+y)+2^n z\right)+f\left(2^{n-1}(x-y)+2^n z\right)-f(2^n x)-2f(2^n z)\right\|_B \\
&\quad\le \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{2^n}\varphi(2^n x,2^n y,2^n z)=0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

for all $x,y,z \in A$. So

$$H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)+H\left(\frac{x-y}{2}+z\right)=H(x)+2H(z) \tag{2.18}$$

for all $x,y,z \in A$. By [1, Lemma 2.1], the mapping $H : A \to B$ is Cauchy additive.

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [4], the mapping $H : A \to B$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear.

It follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|H(xy)-H(x)H(y)\|_B &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{4^n}\|f(4^n xy)-f(2^n x)f(2^n y)\|_B \\
&\le \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{4^n}\varphi(2^n x,2^n y,0) \le \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{2^n}\varphi(2^n x,2^n y,0)=0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

for all $x,y \in A$. So

$$H(xy)=H(x)H(y) \tag{2.20}$$

for all $x,y \in A$. Thus, $H : A \to B$ is a homomorphism satisfying (2.5), as desired. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let $r<1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \to B$ be a mapping such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Cf(x,y,z)\|_B &\le \theta\left(\|x\|_A^r+\|y\|_A^r+\|z\|_A^r\right), \\
\|f(xy)-f(x)f(y)\|_B &\le \theta\left(\|x\|_A^r+\|y\|_A^r\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

for all $x,y,z \in A$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in A$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : A \to B$ such that

$$\|f(x)-H(x)\|_B \le \frac{3\theta}{2-2^r}\|x\|_A^r \tag{2.22}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 by taking

$$\varphi(x,y,z) := \theta\left(\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r\right) \tag{2.23}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then, $L = 2^{r-1}$ and we get the desired result. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f : A \to B$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : A^3 \to [0,\infty)$ satisfying (2.3) and (2.4) such that*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 4^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{y}{2^j}, \frac{z}{2^j}\right) < \infty \tag{2.24}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. If there exists an $L < 1$ such that $\varphi(x,x,x) \le (1/2)L\varphi(2x,2x,2x)$ for all $x \in A$ and if $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in A$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : A \to B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \le \frac{L}{2-2L}\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{2.25}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. We consider the linear mapping $J : X \to X$ such that

$$Jg(x) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \tag{2.26}$$

for all $x \in A$.

It follows from (2.10) that

$$\left\|f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|_B \le \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \le \frac{L}{2}\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{2.27}$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence $d(f, Jf) \le L/2$.

By Theorem 1.4, there exists a mapping $H : A \to B$ such that the following hold.

(1) H is a fixed point of J, that is,

$$H(2x) = 2H(x) \tag{2.28}$$

for all $x \in A$. The mapping H is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$Y = \{g \in X : d(f,g) < \infty\}. \tag{2.29}$$

This implies that H is a unique mapping satisfying (2.28) such that there exists $C \in (0,\infty)$ satisfying

$$\|H(x) - f(x)\|_B \le C\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{2.30}$$

for all $x \in A$.

(2) $d(J^n f, H) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies the equality

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = H(x) \tag{2.31}$$

for all $x \in A$.

(3) $d(f,H) \le (1/(1-L))d(f,Jf)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(f,H) \le \frac{L}{2-2L}, \tag{2.32}$$

which implies that the inequality (2.25) holds.

It follows from (2.3), (2.24), and (2.31) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)+H\left(\frac{x-y}{2}+z\right)-H(x)-2H(z)\right\|_B \\
&\quad= \lim_{n\to\infty} 2^n \left\|f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^{n+1}}+\frac{z}{2^n}\right)+f\left(\frac{x-y}{2^{n+1}}+\frac{z}{2^n}\right)-f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)-2f\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right)\right\|_B \\
&\quad\le \lim_{n\to\infty} 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n},\frac{y}{2^n},\frac{z}{2^n}\right) \le \lim_{n\to\infty} 4^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n},\frac{y}{2^n},\frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So

$$H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)+H\left(\frac{x-y}{2}+z\right) = H(x)+2H(z) \tag{2.34}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. By [1, Lemma 2.1], the mapping $H : A \to B$ is Cauchy additive.

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [4], the mapping $H : A \to B$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear.

It follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|H(xy)-H(x)H(y)\|_B &= \lim_{n\to\infty} 4^n \left\|f\left(\frac{xy}{4^n}\right)-f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right)\right\|_B \\
&\le \lim_{n\to\infty} 4^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n},\frac{y}{2^n},0\right) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

for all $x, y \in A$. So

$$H(xy) = H(x)H(y) \tag{2.36}$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Thus, $H : A \to B$ is a homomorphism satisfying (2.25), as desired. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let $r > 2$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \to B$ be a mapping satisfying (2.21). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in A$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : A \to B$ such that*

$$\|f(x)-H(x)\|_B \le \frac{3\theta}{2^r-2}\|x\|_A^r \tag{2.37}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.3 by taking

$$\varphi(x,y,z) := \theta\left(\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r\right) \tag{2.38}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then, $L = 2^{1-r}$ and we get the desired result. □

3. Stability of generalized derivations on real Banach algebras

Throughout this section, assume that A is a real Banach algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$.

For a given mapping $f : A \to A$, we define

$$Df(x,y,z) := 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - f(x) - f(y) - 2f(z) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Definition 3.1 [23]. A *generalized derivation* $\delta : A \to A$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear and fulfills the generalized Leibniz rule

$$\delta(xyz) = \delta(xy)z - x\delta(y)z + x\delta(yz) \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

We prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized derivations on real Banach algebras for the functional equation $Df(x,y,z) = 0$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f : A \to A$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : A^3 \to [0,\infty)$ satisfying (2.2) such that*

$$\|Df(x,y,z)\|_A \le \varphi(x,y,z), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\|f(xyz) - f(xy)z + xf(y)z - xf(yz)\|_A \le \varphi(x,y,z) \tag{3.4}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. If there exists an $L < 1$ such that $\varphi(x,x,x) \le 2L\varphi(x/2, x/2, x/2)$ for all $x \in A$ and if $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in A$, then there exists a unique generalized derivation $\delta : A \to A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta(x)\|_A \le \frac{1}{4-4L}\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{3.5}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Consider the set

$$X := \{g : A \to A\} \tag{3.6}$$

and introduce the *generalized metric* on X:

$$d(g,h) = \inf\{C \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \|g(x) - h(x)\|_A \le C\varphi(x,x,x),\ \forall x \in A\}. \tag{3.7}$$

It is easy to show that (X,d) is complete.

We consider the linear mapping $J : X \to X$ such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{2}g(2x) \tag{3.8}$$

for all $x \in A$.

By [21, Theorem 3.1],

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g,h) \tag{3.9}$$

for all $g, h \in X$.

Letting $y = z = x$ in (3.3), we get

$$\|2f(2x) - 4f(x)\|_A \leq \varphi(x,x,x) \tag{3.10}$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x) \right\|_A \leq \frac{1}{4}\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{3.11}$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence $d(f, Jf) \leq 1/4$.

By Theorem 1.4, there exists a mapping $\delta : A \to A$ such that the following hold.

(1) δ is a fixed point of J, that is,

$$\delta(2x) = 2\delta(x) \tag{3.12}$$

for all $x \in A$. The mapping δ is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$Y = \{g \in X : d(f,g) < \infty\}. \tag{3.13}$$

This implies that δ is a unique mapping satisfying (3.12) such that there exists $C \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|\delta(x) - f(x)\|_A \leq C\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{3.14}$$

for all $x \in A$.

(2) $d(J^n f, \delta) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies the equality

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} = \delta(x) \tag{3.15}$$

for all $x \in A$.

(3) $d(f, \delta) \leq (1/(1-L))d(f, Jf)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(f,\delta) \leq \frac{1}{4-4L}. \tag{3.16}$$

This implies that the inequality (3.5) holds.

It follows from (2.2), (3.3), and (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|2\delta\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)-\delta(x)-\delta(y)-2\delta(z)\right\|_A\\&\quad=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{2^n}\|2f(2^{n-1}(x+y)+2^nz)-f(2^nx)-f(2^ny)-2f(2^nz)\|_A\\&\quad\le\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{2^n}\varphi(2^nx,2^ny,2^nz)=0\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

for all $x,y,z\in A$. So

$$2\delta\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)=\delta(x)+\delta(y)+2\delta(z)\tag{3.18}$$

for all $x,y,z\in A$. By [1, Lemma 2.1], the mapping $\delta:A\to A$ is Cauchy additive.

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [4], the mapping $\delta:A\to A$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear.

It follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\delta(xyz)-\delta(xy)z+x\delta(y)z-x\delta(yz)\|_A\\&\quad=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{8^n}\|f(8^nxyz)-f(4^nxy)\cdot2^nz+2^nxf(2^ny)\cdot2^nz-2^nxf(4^nyz)\|_A\\&\quad\le\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{8^n}\varphi(2^nx,2^ny,2^nz)\le\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{2^n}\varphi(2^nx,2^ny,2^nz)=0\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

for all $x,y,z\in A$. So

$$\delta(xyz)=\delta(xy)z-x\delta(y)z+x\delta(yz)\tag{3.20}$$

for all $x,y,z\in A$. Thus, $\delta:A\to A$ is a generalized derivation satisfying (3.5). □

Corollary 3.3. *Let $r<1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f:A\to A$ be a mapping such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\|Df(x,y,z)\|_A&\le\theta\cdot\|x\|_A^{r/3}\cdot\|y\|_A^{r/3}\cdot\|z\|_A^{r/3},\\\|f(xyz)-f(xy)z+xf(y)z-xf(yz)\|_A&\le\theta\cdot\|x\|_A^{r/3}\cdot\|y\|_A^{r/3}\cdot\|z\|_A^{r/3}\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

for all $x,y,z\in A$. If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t\in\mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x\in A$, then there exists a unique generalized derivation $\delta:A\to A$ such that

$$\|f(x)-\delta(x)\|_A\le\frac{\theta}{4-2^{r+1}}\|x\|_A^r\tag{3.22}$$

for all $x\in A$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.2 by taking

$$\varphi(x,y,z):=\theta\cdot\|x\|_A^{r/3}\cdot\|y\|_A^{r/3}\cdot\|z\|_A^{r/3}\tag{3.23}$$

for all $x,y,z\in A$. Then, $L=2^{r-1}$ and we get the desired result. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f : A \to A$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : A^3 \to [0,\infty)$ satisfying (3.3) and (3.4) such that*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 8^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{y}{2^j}, \frac{z}{2^j}\right) < \infty \tag{3.24}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. If there exists an $L < 1$ such that $\varphi(x,x,x) \le (1/2)L\varphi(2x,2x,2x)$ for all $x \in A$ and if $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in A$, then there exists a unique generalized derivation $\delta : A \to A$ such that

$$\left\|f(x) - \delta(x)\right\|_A \le \frac{L}{4-4L}\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{3.25}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. We consider the linear mapping $J : X \to X$ such that

$$Jg(x) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \tag{3.26}$$

for all $x \in A$.

It follows from (3.10) that

$$\left\|f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|_A \le \frac{1}{2}\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \le \frac{L}{4}\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{3.27}$$

for all $x \in A$. Hence $d(f, Jf) \le L/4$.

By Theorem 1.4, there exists a mapping $\delta : A \to A$ such that the following hold.

(1) δ is a fixed point of J, that is,

$$\delta(2x) = 2\delta(x) \tag{3.28}$$

for all $x \in A$. The mapping δ is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$Y = \{g \in X : d(f,g) < \infty\}. \tag{3.29}$$

This implies that δ is a unique mapping satisfying (3.28) such that there exists $C \in (0,\infty)$ satisfying

$$\left\|\delta(x) - f(x)\right\|_A \le C\varphi(x,x,x) \tag{3.30}$$

for all $x \in A$.

(2) $d(J^n f, \delta) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies the equality

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = \delta(x) \tag{3.31}$$

for all $x \in A$.

(3) $d(f,\delta) \le (1/(1-L))d(f,Jf)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(f,\delta) \le \frac{L}{4-4L}, \tag{3.32}$$

which implies that the inequality (3.25) holds.

It follows from (3.3), (3.24), and (3.31) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|2\delta\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)-\delta(x)-\delta(y)-2\delta(z)\right\|_A \\
&\quad=\lim_{n\to\infty}2^n\left\|2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^{n+1}}+\frac{z}{2^n}\right)-f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)-f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right)-2f\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right)\right\|_A \\
&\quad\le\lim_{n\to\infty}2^n\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n},\frac{y}{2^n},\frac{z}{2^n}\right)\le\lim_{n\to\infty}8^n\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n},\frac{y}{2^n},\frac{z}{2^n}\right)=0
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

for all $x,y,z\in A$. So

$$2\delta\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)=\delta(x)+\delta(y)+2\delta(z) \tag{3.34}$$

for all $x,y,z\in A$. By [1, Lemma 2.1], the mapping $\delta: A\to A$ is Cauchy additive.

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem of [4], the mapping $\delta: A\to A$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear.

It follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\delta(xyz)-\delta(xy)z+x\delta(y)z-x\delta(yz)\|_A \\
&\quad=\lim_{n\to\infty}8^n\left\|f\left(\frac{xyz}{8^n}\right)-f\left(\frac{xy}{4^n}\right)\cdot\frac{z}{2^n}+\frac{x}{2^n}f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right)\cdot\frac{z}{2^n}-\frac{x}{2^n}f\left(\frac{yz}{4^n}\right)\right\|_A \\
&\quad\le\lim_{n\to\infty}8^n\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n},\frac{y}{2^n},\frac{z}{2^n}\right)=0
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

for all $x,y,z\in A$. So

$$\delta(xyz)=\delta(xy)z-x\delta(y)z+x\delta(yz) \tag{3.36}$$

for all $x,y,z\in A$. Thus, $\delta: A\to A$ is a generalized derivation satisfying (3.28). □

Corollary 3.5. *Let $r>3$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f: A\to A$ be a mapping satisfying (3.21). If $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t\in\mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x\in A$, then there exists a unique generalized derivation $\delta: A\to A$ such that*

$$\|f(x)-\delta(x)\|_A \le \frac{\theta}{2^{r+1}-4}\|x\|_A^r \tag{3.37}$$

for all $x\in A$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.4 by taking

$$\varphi(x,y,z) := \theta\cdot\|x\|_A^{r/3}\cdot\|y\|_A^{r/3}\cdot\|z\|_A^{r/3} \tag{3.38}$$

for all $x,y,z\in A$. Then, $L=2^{1-r}$ and we get the desired result. □

References

[1] C. Baak, "Cauchy-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings in Banach spaces," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1789–1796, 2006.

[2] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 8, Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 1960.

[3] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 222–224, 1941.

[4] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.

[5] P. Găvruţa, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 431–436, 1994.

[6] C.-G. Park, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 275, no. 2, pp. 711–720, 2002.

[7] C.-G. Park, "Modified Trif's functional equations in Banach modules over a C^*-algebra and approximate algebra homomorphisms," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 278, no. 1, pp. 93–108, 2003.

[8] C.-G. Park, "On an approximate automorphism on a C^*-algebra," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 132, no. 6, pp. 1739–1745, 2004.

[9] C.-G. Park, "Lie $*$-homomorphisms between Lie C^*-algebras and Lie $*$-derivations on Lie C^*-algebras," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 293, no. 2, pp. 419–434, 2004.

[10] C.-G. Park, "Homomorphisms between Lie JC^*-algebras and Cauchy-Rassias stability of Lie JC^*-algebra derivations," *Journal of Lie Theory*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 393–414, 2005.

[11] C.-G. Park, "Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^*-algebras," *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 79–97, 2005.

[12] C.-G. Park, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a generalized Euler-Lagrange type additive mapping and isomorphisms between C^*-algebras," *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society. Simon Stevin*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 619–632, 2006.

[13] C. Park, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a generalized Apollonius-Jensen type additive mapping and isomorphisms between C^*-algebras," to appear in *Mathematische Nachrichten*.

[14] C. Park and J. Hou, "Homomorphisms between C^*-algebras associated with the Trif functional equation and linear derivations on C^*-algebras," *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 461–477, 2004.

[15] Th. M. Rassias, "Problem 16; 2; Report of the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 39, no. 2-3, pp. 292–293, 309, 1990.

[16] Th. M. Rassias, "The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 246, no. 2, pp. 352–378, 2000.

[17] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 251, no. 1, pp. 264–284, 2000.

[18] J. M. Rassias, "On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings," *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, vol. 108, no. 4, pp. 445–446, 1984.

[19] J. M. Rassias, "Solution of a problem of Ulam," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 268–273, 1989.

[20] J. M. Rassias, "On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 126–130, 1982.

[21] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, "Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 1, article 4, p. 7, 2003.

[22] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, "A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 74, pp. 305–309, 1968.

[23] P. Ara and M. Mathieu, *Local Multipliers of C*-Algebras*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, London, UK, 2003.

Choonkil Park: Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, South Korea
Email address: baak@hanyang.ac.kr

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru