ON ALMOST COINCIDENCE POINTS IN GENERALIZED CONVEX SPACES

ZORAN D. MITROVIĆ

Received 19 April 2006; Accepted 7 June 2006

We prove an almost coincidence point theorem in generalized convex spaces. As an application, we derive a result on the existence of a maximal element and an almost coincidence point theorem in hyperconvex spaces. The results of this paper generalize some known results in the literature.

Copyright © 2006 Zoran D. Mitrović. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The notion of a generalized convex space we work with in this paper was introduced by Park and Kim in [10]. In generalized convex spaces, many results on fixed points, coincidence points, equilibrium problems, variational inequalities, continuous selections, saddle points, and others have been obtained, see, for example, [6, 8, 10–13].

In this paper, we obtain an almost coincidence point theorem in generalized convex spaces. Some applications to the existence of a maximal element of an almost fixed point theorem in hyperconvex spaces are given.

A multimap or map $F : X \multimap Y$ is a function from a set X into the power set of a set Y. For $A \subset X$, let $F(A) = \bigcup\{Fx : x \in A\}$. For any $B \subset Y$, the lower inverse and upper inverse of B under F are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F^-(B) &= \{x \in X : Fx \cap B \neq \emptyset\}, \\ F^+(B) &= \{x \in X : Fx \subset B\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

respectively. The lower inverse of $F : X \multimap Y$ is the map $F^- : Y \multimap X$ defined by $x \in F^- y$ if and only if $y \in Fx$.

A map $F : X \multimap Y$ is upper (lower) semicontinuous on X if and only if for every open $V \subset Y$, the set $F^+(V)$ $(F^-(V))$ is open. A map $F : X \multimap Y$ is continuous if and only if it is upper and lower semicontinuous.

Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Fixed Point Theory and Applications
Volume 2006, Article ID 91397, Pages 1–7
DOI 10.1155/FPTA/2006/91397

For a nonempty subset D of X, let $\langle D\rangle$ denote the set of all nonempty finite subsets of D. Let Δ_n denote the standard n-simplex with vertices $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n+1}$, where e_i is the ith unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

A generalized convex space or G-convex space $(X, D; \Gamma)$ consists of a topological space X, a nonempty set D, and a function $\Gamma : \langle D\rangle \multimap X$ with nonempty values such that for each $A \in \langle D\rangle$ with $|A| = n+1$, there exists a continuous function $\varphi_A : \Delta_n \to \Gamma(A)$, such that $\varphi_A(\Delta_J) \subset \Gamma(J)$, where Δ_J denote the faces of Δ_n corresponding to $J \in \langle A\rangle$.

Particular forms of G-convex space are convex subsets of a topological vector space, Lassonde's convex space, a metric space with Michael's convex structure, S-contractible space, H-space, Komiya's convex space, Bielawski's simplicial convexity, Joó's pseudoconvex space, see, for example, [11–13].

For each $A \in \langle D\rangle$, we may write $\Gamma(A) = \Gamma_A$. Note that Γ_A does not need to contain A. For $(X, D; \Gamma)$, a subset C of X is said to be G-convex if for each $A \in \langle D\rangle$, $A \subset C$ implies $\Gamma_A \subset C$. If $D = X$, then $(X, D; \Gamma)$ will be denoted by (X, Γ). The G-convex hull of K, denoted by $G - \mathrm{co}(K)$, is the set

$$\bigcap \{B \subset X : B \text{ is a } G\text{-convex subset of } X \text{ containing } K\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Let C be a G-convex subset of X, a map $F : C \multimap X$ is called G-quasiconvex if

$$F(d) \cap S \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for each } d \in D \Longrightarrow F(u) \cap S \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for each } u \in \Gamma_D, \tag{1.3}$$

for each $D \in \langle C\rangle$, and for each G-convex subset S of X. If X is a topological vector space and $\Gamma_A = \mathrm{co}A$, we obtain the class of quasiconvex maps, see, for example, [7, page 18].

Let C be a subset of X, a map $F : C \multimap X$ is called G-KKM map if $\Gamma_A \subset F(A)$ for each $A \in \langle C\rangle$.

The following version of G-KKM-type theorem, see, for example, [13, page 49], will be used to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, Γ) be a G-convex space, K a nonempty subset of X, and $H : K \multimap X$ a map with closed (open) values and G-KKM map. Then $\bigcap_{x\in D} H(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $D \in \langle K\rangle$.*

2. Almost-like coincidence point theorem

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, Γ) be a G-convex space, K a nonempty subset of X, U a nonempty closed (open) G-convex subset of X, and $\mu : K \times K \multimap X$ a map such that*

(1) *for each fixed $y \in K$, the map $x \mapsto \mu(x, y)$ is upper (lower) semicontinuous map,*

(2) *for each fixed $x \in K$, the map $y \mapsto \mu(x, y)$ is G-quasiconvex map,*

(3) *there exists a set $D \in \langle K\rangle$ such that $\Gamma_D \subseteq K$ and $\mu(x, D) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in K$.*

Then there exists $x_U \in K$ such that

$$\mu(x_U, x_U) \cap U \neq \emptyset. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let for every $y \in K$, $H : K \multimap K$ be defined by

$$H(y) = \{x \in K : \mu(x, y) \cap U = \emptyset\}. \tag{2.2}$$

From assumption (1), we obtain that $H(y)$ is closed (open) set for each $y \in K$. We can prove that H is not a G-KKM map. Namely,

$$\bigcap_{y\in D} H(y) = \{x \in K : \mu(x,D) \cap U = \emptyset\}, \tag{2.3}$$

and from assumption (3), we obtain that

$$\bigcap_{y\in D} H(y) = \emptyset. \tag{2.4}$$

So, by Theorem 1.1, $H : K \multimap K$ is not a G-KKM map. This implies that there exists $A \in \langle D\rangle$ such that

$$\Gamma_A \nsubseteq H(A), \tag{2.5}$$

and hence there is an $x_U \in \Gamma_A$ such that $x_U \notin H(A)$. This implies that

$$\mu(x_U, a) \cap U \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for each } a \in A. \tag{2.6}$$

From assumption (2), we obtain

$$\mu(x_U, x_U) \cap U \neq \emptyset. \tag{2.7}$$

□

From Theorem 2.1, we have the following almost coincidence point theorem for topological vector space.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a topological vector space, K a nonempty subset of X, U a nonempty open (closed) convex neighborhood of 0 in X, and $F_1 : K \multimap X$, $F_2 : K \multimap X$ ($F_2 : K \to X$) are maps such that*

(1) *the map F_1 is lower (upper) semicontinuous map with convex values,*
(2) *the map F_2 is quasiconvex,*
(3) *there exists a set $D \in \langle K\rangle$ such that $\operatorname{co} D \subseteq K$ and $F_1(x) \cap (F_2(D) + U) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in K$.*

Then there exists $x_U \in K$ such that

$$F_1(x_U) \cap (F_2(x_U) + U) \neq \emptyset. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Taking $\mu(x,y) = F_1(x) - F_2(y)$ and $\Gamma_A = \operatorname{co} A$ in Theorem 2.1, we get the proof. □

As an application of Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following result of existence of almost fixed point of Park [9, Theorem 2.1].

Corollary 2.3. *Let X be a topological vector space, K a nonempty subset of X, U a nonempty open (closed) convex neighborhood of 0 in X, and $F : K \multimap X$ a lower (upper) semicontinuous map with convex values such that there exists a set $D \in \langle K\rangle$ such that $\operatorname{co} D \subseteq K$ and $F(x) \cap (D + U) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in K$. Then there exists $x_U \in K$ such that*

$$F(x_U) \cap (x_U + U) \neq \emptyset. \tag{2.9}$$

Remark 2.4. The assumption

$$F(x) \cap (D+U) \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{for each } x \in K, \tag{2.10}$$

in Corollary 2.3 can be replaced by the following condition:

$$F(X) \subseteq D+U. \tag{2.11}$$

In this case, we obtain the result of Kim and Park [4, Theorem 1.2].

3. Almost coincidence point theorem in metrizable G-convex spaces

Let (X,Γ) be a metrizable G-convex space with metric d. For any nonnegative real number r and any subset A of X, we define

$$B(A,r) = \bigcup \{B(a,r) : a \in A\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $B(a,r) = \{x \in X : d(a,x) < r\}$.

Similarly, we define

$$B[A,r] = \bigcup \{B[a,r] : a \in A\}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $B[a,r] = \{x \in X : d(a,x) \leq r\}$.

In this case, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X,Γ) be a metrizable G-convex space, K a nonempty subset of X, $F_1 : K \multimap X$ a map with G-convex values, and $F_2 : K \multimap X$ a map such that*

(1) *the map F_1 is lower semicontinuous,*

(2) *there exists a $\lambda \geq 1$ such that $G - \mathrm{co}(B(F_2^-(A),r)) \subseteq F_2^-(B(A,\lambda r))$, for all G-convex subsets A of X and nonnegative real number r,*

(3) *there exists a set $D \in \langle K \rangle$ such that $\Gamma_D \subseteq K$ and $F_1(x) \cap B(F_2(D),\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in K$, where $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Then there exists $x_\varepsilon \in K$ such that

$$F_1(x_\varepsilon) \cap B(F_2(x_\varepsilon),\lambda\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Let for every $y \in K$, $H : K \multimap K$ be defined by

$$H(y) = \{x \in K : F_1(x) \cap B(F_2(y),\varepsilon) = \emptyset\}. \tag{3.4}$$

From assumption (1), we obtain that $H(y)$ is open for each $y \in K$, further, from assumption (3), we obtain that

$$\bigcap_{y \in D} H(y) = \emptyset. \tag{3.5}$$

So, by Theorem 1.1, $H : K \multimap K$ is not a G-KKM map. This implies that there exists $A \in \langle D \rangle$ such that

$$\Gamma_A \nsubseteq H(A), \tag{3.6}$$

and hence there is an $x_\varepsilon \in \Gamma_A$ such that

$$F_1(x_\varepsilon) \cap B(F_2(a), \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for each } a \in A. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$F_2(a) \cap B(F_1(x_\varepsilon), \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for each } a \in A. \tag{3.8}$$

So, from assumption (2), we have

$$F_2(x_\varepsilon) \cap B(F_1(x_\varepsilon), \lambda\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset, \tag{3.9}$$

that is,

$$F_1(x_U) \cap B(F_2(x_U), \lambda\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset. \tag{3.10}$$

□

Note that if in Theorem 3.1 a map $F_2(x) = \{x\}$, $x \in K$, and open balls are replaced by closed balls, we obtain following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, Γ) be a metrizable G-convex space, K a nonempty subset of X, $F : K \multimap X$ an upper semicontinuous map with G-convex values, and there exists a $\lambda \geq 1$ such that $G - \operatorname{co} B[A, r] \subseteq B[A, \lambda r]$, for all G-convex subsets A of X and nonnegative real number r. If there exists a set $D \in \langle K \rangle$ such that $\Gamma_D \subseteq K$ and $F(x) \cap B[D, \varepsilon] \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in K$, where $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $x_\varepsilon \in K$ such that*

$$F(x_\varepsilon) \cap B[x_\varepsilon, \lambda\varepsilon] \neq \emptyset. \tag{3.11}$$

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a metrizable G-convex space, K a nonempty subset of X, $f : K \to X$ a continuous map, and there exists a $\lambda \geq 1$ such that $G - \operatorname{co} B[A, r] \subseteq B[A, \lambda r]$, for all G-convex subsets A of X and nonnegative real number r. If there exists a set $D \in \langle K \rangle$ such that $\operatorname{co} D \subseteq K$ and $f(K) \subseteq B[D, \varepsilon] \neq \emptyset$, where $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $x_\varepsilon \in K$ such that*

$$f(x_\varepsilon) \in B[x_\varepsilon, \lambda\varepsilon]. \tag{3.12}$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a metrizable G-convex space, K a nonempty G-convex compact subset of X, $f : K \to K$ a continuous map, and there exists a $\lambda \geq 1$ such that $G - \operatorname{co} B[A, r] \subseteq B[A, \lambda r]$, for all G-convex subsets A of X and nonnegative real number r. Then there exists $x \in K$ such that $f(x) = x$.*

Remark 3.5. (1) Note that if X is locally G-convex space, see, for example, [13, page 190], set K is a compact set and $F : K \multimap K$ is map with closed values, from Theorem 3.2 we obtain a famous Fan-Glicksberg-type fixed point theorem.

(2) If X is a normed space, then Corollary 3.3 reduces to the result of Kim and Park [4, Theorem 2.1].

(3) Note that from Corollary 3.4, we obtain famous Schauder fixed point theorem.

Example 3.6. Let X be a hyperconvex metric space, see, for example, [2, 3]. For a nonempty bounded subset A of X, put

$$\operatorname{co} A = \bigcap \{B : B \text{ is closed ball in } X \text{ containing } A\}. \tag{3.13}$$

Let $\mathscr{A}(X) = \{A \subset X : A = \operatorname{co} A\}$. The elements of $\mathscr{A}(X)$ are called admissible subsets of X. It is known that any hyperconvex metric space (X,d) is a G-convex space (X,Γ), with $\Gamma_A = \operatorname{co} A$ for each $A \in \langle X \rangle$.

The $B(A,r)$ of an admissible subset A of a hyperconvex metric space is also an admissible set, see [2, Lemma 4.10]. Let $F_2 : K \multimap X$ be a G-quasiconvex map, that is, $F_2^-(A)$ is an admissible set for each admissible subset A of X. Then the map F_2 satisfies the condition (2) in Theorem 3.1 for each real number λ such that $\lambda \geq 1$.

From Theorem 3.1, we have the following almost coincidence point theorem and almost fixed point theorem in hyperconvex metric spaces.

Theorem 3.7. *Let X be a hyperconvex metric space, K a nonempty subset of X, $F_1 : K \multimap X$ a map with admissible values, and $F_2 : K \multimap X$ a map such that*

(1) *the map F_1 is lower semicontinuous,*

(2) *the map F_2 is quasiconvex,*

(3) *there exists a set $D \in \langle K \rangle$ such that $\operatorname{co} D \subseteq K$ and $F_1(x) \cap B(F_2(D),\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in K$, where $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Then there exists $x_\varepsilon \in K$ such that

$$F_1(x_\varepsilon) \cap B(F_2(x_\varepsilon),\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset. \tag{3.14}$$

Note that if K is a bounded set and $\alpha(\cdot)$ is a measure of noncompactness, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite set $D \subseteq K$ such that $K \subseteq B[D, \alpha(K) + \varepsilon)]$. In this case, lower semicontinuous map can be replaced by upper semicontinuous map.

Theorem 3.8. *Let X be a hyperconvex metric space, K a nonempty bounded admissible subset of X, $F : K \multimap B[K,\mu]$ an upper semicontinuous map with admissible values, where $\mu > 0$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x_\varepsilon \in K$ such that*

$$x_\varepsilon \in B\big[F(x_\varepsilon), \alpha(K) + \varepsilon + \mu\big]. \tag{3.15}$$

If in Theorem 3.8 set K is a compact set and map F with closed values, then as an immediate consequence, we obtain the result of existence of fixed point of Kirk and Shin [5, Corollary 3.5].

Finally, we obtain the result of existence of maximal elements for hyperconvex metric spaces.

Let $F : K \to 2^X$, where 2^X denotes the set of all subsets of X. An element $x \in K$ is a maximal element of K if $F(x) = \emptyset$, see, for example, [1, page 33]. The F-maximal set of F is defined as $M_F = \{x \in K : F(x) = \emptyset\}$.

Corollary 3.9. *Let X be a hyperconvex metric space, K a nonempty subset of X, $F_1 : K \to 2^X$ a map with admissible values, and $F_2 : K \to 2^X$ a map such that*

(1) *the map F_1 is lower semicontinuous,*
(2) *the map F_2 is quasiconvex,*
(3) *there exists a set $D \in \langle K \rangle$ such that $\operatorname{co} D \subseteq K$ and $F_1(x) \cap B(F_2(D), \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in K$, where $\varepsilon > 0$.*

If $x \notin F_1^-(B(F_2(x), \varepsilon))$ for each $x \in K$, then $M_{F_1} \cup M_{F_2}$ is a nonempty set.

Corollary 3.10. *Let X be a hyperconvex metric space, K a nonempty bounded admissible subset of X, $F : K \to 2^X$ an upper semicontinuous map with admissible values, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $x \in F^-(B[K, \varepsilon]) \setminus F^-(B[x, \alpha(K) + \varepsilon])$ for each $x \in K$. Then F has a maximal element.*

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the referee for his suggestions.

References

[1] K. C. Border, *Fixed Point Theorems with Applications to Economics and Game Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
[2] R. Espínola and M. A. Khamsi, *Introduction to Hyperconvex Spaces*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2001.
[3] M. A. Khamsi, *KKM and Ky Fan theorems in hyperconvex metric spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **204** (1996), no. 1, 298–306.
[4] I.-S. Kim and S. Park, *Almost fixed point theorems of the Fort type*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **34** (2003), no. 5, 765–771.
[5] W. A. Kirk and S. S. Shin, *Fixed point theorems in hyperconvex spaces*, Houston Journal of Mathematics **23** (1997), no. 1, 175–188.
[6] L.-J. Lin, *Applications of a fixed point theorem in G-convex space*, Nonlinear Analysis **46** (2001), no. 5, 601–608.
[7] K. Nikodem, *K-Convex and K-Concave Set-Valued Functions*, Politechnika, Lodzka, 1989.
[8] S. Park, *Continuous selection theorems in generalized convex spaces*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization **20** (1999), no. 5-6, 567–583.
[9] ———, *Remarks on fixed point theorems for new classes of multimaps*, Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences, Republic of Korea **43** (2004), 1–20.
[10] S. Park and H. Kim, *Admissible classes of multifunction on generalized convex spaces*, Proceedings of College Nature Science, Seoul National University **18** (1993), 1–21.
[11] ———, *Coincidence theorems for admissible multifunctions on generalized convex spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **197** (1996), no. 1, 173–187.
[12] ———, *Foundations of the KKM theory on generalized convex spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **209** (1997), no. 2, 551–571.
[13] G. X.-Z. Yuan, *KKM Theory and Applications in Nonlinear Analysis*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 218, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999.

Zoran D. Mitrović: Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Banja Luka, Patre 5, Banja Luka 78000, Bosnia and Herzegovina
E-mail address: zmitrovic@etfbl.net

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru