COINCIDENCE POINT AND INVARIANT APPROXIMATION FOR MAPPINGS SATISFYING GENERALIZED WEAK CONTRACTIVE CONDITION

ISMAT BEG AND MUJAHID ABBAS

Received 2 January 2006; Revised 14 February 2006; Accepted 22 February 2006

We prove the existence of coincidence point and common fixed point for mappings satisfying generalized weak contractive condition. As an application, related results on invariant approximation are derived. Our results generalize various known results in the literature.

Copyright © 2006 I. Beg and M. Abbas. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Sessa [15] introduced the notion of weakly commuting maps in metric spaces. Jungck [8] coined the term of compatible mappings in order to generalize the concept of weak commutativity. Jungck and Rhoades [9] then defined a pair of self-mappings to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points. In recent years, several authors used these concepts to obtain coincidence point results of various classes of mappings on a metric space. For a survey of coincidence point theory, its applications, and related results, we refer to [1, 4, 5, 10, 13]. Meinardus [12] introduced the notion of invariant approximation. Brosowski [6] initiated the study of invariant approximation using fixed point theory and subsequently various interesting and valuable results applying fixed point theorems to obtain invariant approximation appeared in the literature of approximation theory (see [3, 7, 16–18]).

The aim of this paper is to present coincidence point result for two mappings which satisfy generalized weak contractive condition. Common fixed point theorem for a pair of weakly compatible maps, which is more general than R-weakly commuting and compatible maps, has also been proved. We also construct modified iterative procedures which converge to the common fixed points of the mappings mentioned afore. As an application, we obtain some results on the existence of common fixed points from the set of best approximations.

The following definitions and results will be needed in the sequel.

Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Fixed Point Theory and Applications
Volume 2006, Article ID 74503, Pages 1–7
DOI 10.1155/FPTA/2006/74503

Let M be a subset of a metric space X. The set $P_M(u) = \{x \in M : d(x,u) = \operatorname{dist}(u,M)\}$ is called the set of *best approximations* to u in X out of M, where $\operatorname{dist}(u,M) = \inf\{d(y,u) : y \in M\}$.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \to X$ is called *weakly contractive* with respect to $f : X \to X$ if for each x, y in X,

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le d(fx,fy) - \phi(d(fx,fy)), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\phi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous and nondecreasing such that ϕ is positive on $(0,\infty)$, $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(t) = \infty$.

Definition 1.2. A point x in X is a *coincidence point* (*common fixed point*) of f and T if $f(x) = T(x)$ ($f(x) = T(x) = x$).

Definition 1.3 (see [8]). Two mappings f and g are *compatible* if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(fg(x_n), gf(x_n)) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} g(x_n) = t \in X$.

We will also need the following lemma from [11].

Lemma 1.4. *Let f, g be two compatible mappings on X. If $f(x) = g(x)$ for some x in X, then $fg(x) = gf(x)$.*

Note that every pair of R-weakly commuting self-maps is compatible and each pair of compatible self-maps is weakly compatible but the converse is not true in general.

Definition 1.5 (*modified Mann iterative scheme*). Let X be a Banach space and let T be a weakly contractive map with respect to f on X. Assume that $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ and $f(X)$ is a convex subset of X. Define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $f(X)$ as

$$y_n = f(x_{n+1}) = (1-\alpha_n) f(x_n) + \alpha_n T(x_n), \quad x_0 \in X,\ n \ge 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $0 \le \alpha_n \le 1$ for each n. The sequence thus obtained is *modified Mann iterative scheme*.

2. Coincidence and common fixed point

Alber and Guerre-Delabriere [2] coined the concept of weakly contractive maps and obtained fixed point results in the setting of Hilbert spaces. Rhoades [14] extended some of their work to Banach spaces. In this section, results regarding coincidence and common fixed point for two mappings, one is weakly contractive with respect to other, are presented.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X,d) be a metric space and let T be a weakly contractive mapping with respect to f. If the range of f contains the range of T and $f(X)$ is a complete subspace of X, then f and T have coincidence point in X.*

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X. Choose a point x_1 in X such that $T(x_0) = f(x_1)$. This can be done, since the range of f contains the range of T. Continuing this process,

having chosen x_n in X, we obtain x_{n+1} in X such that $T(x_n) = f(x_{n+1})$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x_{n+1}), f(x_{n+2})) &= d(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1})) - \phi(d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1}))) \\ &\leq d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1})), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

which shows that $\{d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1}))\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence of positive real numbers and therefore tends to a limit $l \geq 0$. If $l > 0$, then we have

$$d(f(x_{n+1}), f(x_{n+2})) \leq d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1})) - \phi(l). \tag{2.2}$$

Thus,

$$d(f(x_{n+N}), f(x_{n+N+1})) \leq d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1})) - N\phi(l), \tag{2.3}$$

which is a contradiction for N large enough. Therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1})) = 0$. Furthermore, for $m > n$

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x_n), f(x_m)) \leq\ & d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1})) + d(f(x_{n+1}), f(x_{n+2})) \\ & + \cdots + d(f(x_{m-1}), f(x_m)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now using (2.4) and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1})) = 0$ along with weak contractivity of T with respect to f we obtain $d(f(x_n), f(x_m)) \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$. As $f(X)$ is a complete subspace of X, therefore $\{f(x_{n+1})\}$ has a limit q in $f(X)$. Consequently, we obtain p in X such that $f(p) = q$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x_{n+1}), T(p)) &= d(T(x_n), T(p)) \\ &\leq d(f(x_n), f(p)) - \phi(d(f(x_n), f(p))). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$d(q, T(p)) \leq d(q, f(p)) - \phi(q, f(p)). \tag{2.6}$$

Hence, p is a solution of the functional equation $f(x) = T(x)$. □

Remark 2.2. If $f(X) = X$ and $f = id_x$ (the identity map of X), then we conclude from Theorem 2.1 that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a fixed point of T. Thus, our Theorem 2.1 is a generalization of the corresponding theorem of Rhoades [14, Theorem 1].

Remark 2.3. If we define $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ by $\phi(t) = t - r(t)$, where $r : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $r(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$, we obtain the similar contractive condition as given in [13, Theorem 1].

Example 2.4. Let $X = R$ with usual metric and let T and f be given by

$$\begin{aligned} &T(x) = ax, \quad a \neq 0, \\ &f(x) = b + cx, \quad c > 0, b \neq 0, 1, (c-1) \geq a, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

for all $x \in X$. Define $\phi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ as

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{c}x. \tag{2.8}$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx,fy) - \phi(d(fx,fy)) &= (c-1)|x-y| \\ &\geq a|x-y| = d(Tx,Ty), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

therefore T is a weakly contractive mapping with respect to f. However, T and f are not commuting on R. Also if we take $a > c$, then T is not f-nonexpansive map. Moreover, T and f have coincidence fixed point.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (X,d) be a metric space and let T be a weakly contractive mapping with respect to f. If T and f are weakly compatible and $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ and $f(X)$ is a complete subspace of X, then f and T have common fixed point in X.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, we obtain a point p in X such that $T(p) = f(p) = q$ (say) which further implies $fT(p) = Tf(p)$. Obviously, $T(q) = f(q)$. Now we show $f(q) = q$. If it is not so, then consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(q),q) &= d(T(p),T(q)) \\ &\leq d(f(p),f(q)) - \phi(d(q,f(q))) < d(q,f(q)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

This contradiction leads to the result. □

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be a normed space and let T be a weakly contractive mapping with respect to f. If T and f are weakly compatible and $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ and $f(X)$ is a complete subspace of X, then modified Mann iterative scheme with $\sum \alpha_n = \infty$ converges to a common fixed point of f and T.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.5, we obtain a common fixed point q of T and f. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} ||y_n - q|| &= ||(1-\alpha_n)f(x_n) + \alpha_n T(x_n) - f(p)|| \\ &= ||(1-\alpha_n)(f(x_n) - f(p)) + \alpha_n(T(x_n) - T(p))|| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha_n)||f(x_n) - f(p)|| + \alpha_n||T(x_n) - T(p)|| \\ &\leq ||f(x_n) - f(p)|| - \alpha_n\phi(||f(x_n) - f(p)||) \leq ||y_{n-1} - q||, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

which gives $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|y_n - q\| = r \geq 0$. Now if $r > 0$, then for any fixed positive integer N we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \alpha_n\phi(r) &\leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \alpha_n\phi(||y_n - q||) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} (||y_{n-1} - q|| - ||y_n - q||) < ||y_N - q||, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

which contradicts the choice of α_n. Therefore, the modified Mann iterative scheme converges to a common fixed point of T and f. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let T be a weakly contractive mapping with respect to f on a normed space X. If T and f are weakly compatible and $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ and $f(X)$ is a complete subspace of X, suppose two sequences of mappings $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &= f(x_{n+1}) = (1-\alpha_n) f(x_n) + \alpha_n T(v_n), \\ y_n &= f(v_n) = (1-\beta_n) f(x_n) + \beta_n T(x_n), \quad n = 0,1,2,\ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where $0 \le \alpha_n, \beta_n \le 1$, $\sum \alpha_n\beta_n = \infty$, and $x_0 \in X$, then the iterative sequence $\{z_n\}$ converges to a common fixed point of f and T.

Proof. Let q be a common fixed point of T and f; the existence of common fixed point of T and f follows from Theorem 2.5. Now

$$\begin{aligned} ||z_n - q|| &= ||(1-\alpha_n) f(x_n) + \alpha_n T(v_n) - q|| \\ &\le (1-\alpha_n)||f(x_n) - q|| + \alpha_n||T(v_n) - T(p)|| \\ &\le (1-\alpha_n)||f(x_n) - q|| + \alpha_n(||fv_n - q|| - \phi(||f(v_n) - q||)) \\ &= (1-\alpha_n)||f(x_n) - q|| + \alpha_n(\|(1-\beta_n) f(x_n) + \beta_n T(x_n) - q|| \\ &\quad - \phi(||f(v_n) - q||)) \\ &\le (1-\alpha_n)||f(x_n) - q|| + \alpha_n((1-\beta_n)||f(x_n) - q|| \\ &\quad + \beta_n||T(x_n) - T(p)||) - \alpha_n\phi(||f(v_n) - q||) \\ &\le (1-\alpha_n)||f(x_n) - q|| + \alpha_n(1-\beta_n)||f(x_n) - q\| \\ &\quad + \beta_n\alpha_n[||f(x_n) - q|| - \phi(||f(x_n) - q||)] - \alpha_n\phi(||f(v_n) - q||) \\ &\le ||f(x_n) - q|| - \beta_n\alpha_n\phi(||f(x_n) - q||) - \alpha_n\phi(||f(v_n) - q||) \\ &\le ||f(x_n) - q||. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Thus, $\{\|z_n - q\|\}$ is a nonnegative nonincreasing sequence which converges to the limit $r \ge 0$. Suppose that $r > 0$, then for any fixed integer N we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \alpha_n\beta_n\phi(r) &\le \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \alpha_n\beta_n\phi(||z_n - q||) \\ &\le \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} (||z_n - q|| - ||z_{n+1} - q||) \le ||z_N - q||, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

which contradicts $\sum \alpha_n\beta_n = \infty$. Hence, the result follows. □

3. Invariant approximation

As an application of Theorem 2.5, we have the following results regarding invariant approximation.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X,d) be a metric space and let T be a weakly contractive mapping with respect to a continuous map f. Assume that T leaves f-invariant compact subset M of closed subspace $f(X)$ as invariant. If T and f are weakly compatible and $x_0 \in F(T) \cap F(f)$, then $P_M(x_0) \cap F(T) \cap F(f) \neq \phi$.*

Proof. Since M is a compact subset of $f(X)$, therefore $P_M(x_0) \neq \phi$. Now we show that $T(P_M(x_0)) \subseteq f(P_M(x_0))$. Assume on contrary that there exists b in $P_M(x_0)$ with $T(b) \notin f(P_M(x_0))$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(b),x_0) = d(x_0,M) &\leq d(x_0,T(b)) = d(T(x_0),T(b)) \\ &\leq d(f(x_0),f(b)) - \phi(d(f(x_0),f(b))) < d(f(b),x_0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

This contradiction leads to $T(P_M(x_0)) \subseteq f(P_M(x_0))$. Now since $f(P_M(x_0))$ being closed subset of a complete space is complete, therefore T and f have a common fixed point in $P_M(x_0)$. Hence, the result follows. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X,d) be a metric space and let T be a weakly contractive mapping with respect to a continuous map f. Assume that T leaves f-invariant compact subset M of closed subspace $f(X)$ as invariant. Let $u \in X$ and for each $b \in P_M(u)$, $d(x,T(b)) < d(x,f(b))$ and $f(b) \in P_M(u)$. If T and f are weakly compatible, then u has a best approximation in M which is also a common fixed point of f and T.*

Proof. Since M is a compact subset of $f(X)$, therefore $P_M(x_0) \neq \phi$. Now we show $T(P_M(x_0)) \subseteq f(P_M(x_0))$. Assume on contrary that there exists b in $P_M(x_0)$ with $T(b) \notin f(P_M(x_0))$. Consider

$$d(f(b),u) = d(u,M) \leq d(u,T(b)) < d(u,f(b)) < d(u,M). \tag{3.2}$$

This contradiction leads to the assumption. Now $f(P_M(x_0))$ being closed subset of a complete space is complete. Hence, u has a best approximation in M which is also common fixed point of f and T. □

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to Professor Donal O'Regan and the referee for their suggestions to improve the presentation of the paper, specially Theorem 2.1 and Example 2.4.

References

[1] M. A. Ahmed, *Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings*, The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics **33** (2003), no. 4, 1189–1203.

[2] Ya. I. Alber and S. Guerre-Delabriere, *Principle of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces*, New Results in Operator Theory and Its Applications (I. Gohberg and Yu. Lyubich, eds.), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 98, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997, pp. 7–22.

[3] I. Beg and M. Abbas, *Fixed points and best approximation in Manger convex metric spaces*, Archivum Mathematicum **41** (2005), 389–397.

[4] ———, *Fixed-point theorems for weakly inward multivalued maps on a convex metric space*, Demonstratio Mathematica **39** (2006), no. 1, 149–160.

[5] I. Beg and A. Azam, *Common fixed points for commuting and compatible maps*, Discussiones Mathematicae, Differential Inclusions **16** (1996), no. 2, 121–135.
[6] B. Brosowski, *Fixpunktsätze in der Approximationstheorie*, Mathematica—Revue d'Analyse Numérique et de Théorie de l'Approximation **11 (34)** (1969), 195–220.
[7] N. Hussain and A. R. Khan, *Common fixed-point results in best approximation theory*, Applied Mathematics Letters **16** (2003), no. 4, 575–580.
[8] G. Jungck, *Common fixed points for commuting and compatible maps on compacta*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **103** (1988), no. 3, 977–983.
[9] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, *Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **29** (1998), no. 3, 227–238.
[10] T. Kamran, *Coincidence and fixed points for hybrid strict contractions*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **299** (2004), no. 1, 235–241.
[11] H. Kaneko and S. Sessa, *Fixed point theorems for compatible multi-valued and single-valued mappings*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences **12** (1989), no. 2, 257–262.
[12] G. Meinardus, *Invarianz bei linearen Approximationen*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **14** (1963), 301–303.
[13] R. P. Pant, *Common fixed points of noncommuting mappings*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **188** (1994), no. 2, 436–440.
[14] B. E. Rhoades, *Some theorems on weakly contractive maps*, Nonlinear Analysis **47** (2001), no. 4, 2683–2693.
[15] S. Sessa, *On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations*, Institut Mathématique. Publications. Nouvelle Série **32(46)** (1982), 149–153.
[16] N. Shahzad, *Invariant approximations and R-subweakly commuting maps*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **257** (2001), no. 1, 39–45.
[17] ———, *Generalized I- nonexpansive maps and best approximations in Banach spaces*, Demonstratio Mathematica **37** (2004), no. 3, 597–600.
[18] S. P. Singh, *An application of a fixed-point theorem to approximation theory*, Journal of Approximation Theory **25** (1979), no. 1, 89–90.

Ismat Beg: Department of Mathematics and Centre for Advanced Studies in Mathematics, Lahore University of Management Sciences, 54792 Lahore, Pakistan.
E-mail address: ibeg@lums.edu.pk

Mujahid Abbas: Department of Mathematics and Centre for Advanced Studies in Mathematics, Lahore University of Management Sciences, 54792 Lahore, Pakistan.
E-mail address: mujahid@lums.edu.pk

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on
Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk