

ITERATIVE SCHEMES WITH SOME CONTROL CONDITIONS FOR A FAMILY OF FINITE NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS IN BANACH SPACES

JONG SOO JUNG, YEOL JE CHO, AND R. P. AGARWAL

Received 19 September 2004 and in revised form 8 January 2005

The iterative schemes with some control conditions for a family of finite nonexpansive mappings are established in a Banach space. The main theorem improves results of Jung and Kim (also Bauschke). Our results also improve the corresponding results of Cho et al., Shioji and Takahashi, Xu, and Zhou et al. in certain Banach spaces and of Lions, O'Hara et al., and Wittmann in a framework of a Hilbert space, respectively.

1. Introduction

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space E and let $T_1, \dots, T_N$ be nonexpansive mappings from C into itself (recall that a mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is *nonexpansive* if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$).

We consider the iterative scheme. For a positive integer N , nonexpansive mappings $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$, $a, x_0 \in C$, and $\lambda_n \in (0, 1]$,

$$x_{n+1} = \lambda_{n+1}a + (1 - \lambda_{n+1})T_{n+1}x_n, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.1)$$

In 1967, Halpern [7] firstly introduced the iteration scheme (1.1) for $a = 0$, $N = 1$ (i.e., he considered only one mapping T); see also Browder [2]. He showed that the conditions

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_n) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - \lambda_k) = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

are necessary for convergence of the iterative scheme (1.1) to a fixed point of T . Ten years later, Lions [11] investigated the general case in Hilbert spaces under (1.2), (1.3), and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1}^2} = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

on the parameters. However, Lions' conditions on the parameters were more restrictive and did not include the natural candidate $\lambda_n = 1/(n+1)$. In 1980, Reich [16] gave the

iterative scheme (1.1) for $N = 1$ in the case when E is uniformly smooth and $\lambda_n = 1/n^s$ with $0 < s < 1$.

In 1992, Wittmann [20] studied the iteration scheme (1.1) for $N = 1$ in the case when E is a Hilbert space and $\{\lambda_n\}$ satisfies (1.2), (1.3), and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| < \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

In 1994, Reich [17] obtained a strong convergence of the iterative scheme (1.1) for $N = 1$ with two necessary and decreasing conditions on parameters for convergence in the case when E is uniformly smooth with a weakly continuous duality mapping. In 1996, Bauschke [1] improved results of Wittmann [20] to finitely many mappings with additional condition on the parameters

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+N}| < \infty, \quad (1.6)$$

where $T_n := T_{n \bmod N}$, $N > 1$. He also provided an algorithmic proof which has been used successfully, with modifications, by many authors [4, 13, 18, 22]. In 1997, Jung and Kim [9] extended Bauschke's result to a Banach space and Shioji and Takahashi [19] improved Wittmann's result to a certain Banach space. Shimizu and Takahashi [18], in 1997, dealt with the above iterative scheme with the necessary conditions on the parameters and some additional conditions imposed on the mappings in a Hilbert space. Recently, O'Hara et al. [13] generalized the result of Shimizu and Takahashi [18] and also complemented a result of Bauschke [1] by imposing a new condition on the parameters

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+N}} = 1 \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+N}}{\lambda_{n+N}} = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

in the framework of a Hilbert space. Xu [22] also studied some control conditions of Halpern's iterative sequence for finite nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces. Very recently, Jung [8] extended the results of O'Hara et al. [13] to a Banach space. By using the Banach limit as in [19, 23], Zhou et al. [24] also provided the strong convergence of the iterative scheme (1.1) in certain Banach spaces with the weak asymptotically regularity.

In this paper, we consider the perturbed control condition

$$|\alpha_{n+N} - \alpha_n| \leq \circ(\alpha_{n+N}) + \sigma_n, \quad (1.8)$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$, and prove a strong convergence of the iterative scheme (1.1) in a uniformly smooth Banach space with a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping. Our results improve the corresponding results of Bauschke [1], Jung [8], Jung and Kim [9], O'Hara et al. [13], Zhou et al. [24] along with Cho et al. [3], Lions [11], Shioji and Takahashi [19], Wittmann [20], Xu [21, 23], and others.

2. Preliminaries and lemmas

First, we mention the relations between conditions (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (1.6), (1.7), and give an example satisfying the perturbed control condition (1.8).

In general, the control conditions (1.6) and (1.7) are not comparable (coupled with conditions (1.2) and (1.3)), that is, neither of them implies the others as in the following examples.

Example 2.1. Consider the control sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ defined by

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n^s} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{n^s} + \frac{1}{n^t} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

with $1/2 < s < t \leq 1$. Then $\{\alpha_n\}$ satisfies conditions (1.2), (1.3), and (1.7), but it fails to satisfy condition (1.6), where N is odd.

Example 2.2. Take two sequences $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$ of positive integers such that

- (i) $m_1 = 1$, $m_k < n_k$, and $\max\{4n_k, n_k + N\} < m_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 1$,
- (ii) $\sum_{i=m_k}^{n_k} (1/\sqrt{i}) > 1$ for $k \geq 1$.

Define a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ by

$$\mu_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} & \text{if } m_k \leq i \leq n_k, k \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n_k}} & \text{if } n_k < i < m_{k+1}, k \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Then $\{\mu_n\}$ is decreasing and $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence conditions (1.2) and (1.6) are satisfied. Noting that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=m_k}^{n_k} \mu_i = \infty, \quad (2.3)$$

then we see that condition (1.3) is also satisfied. On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{\mu_{n_k}}{\mu_{n_k+N}} = 2, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (2.4)$$

which shows that condition (1.7) is not satisfied.

Example 2.3 (Xu [22]). Consider the control sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ defined by

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Then $\{\alpha_n\}$ satisfies (1.7), but it fails to satisfy (1.6).

Example 2.4. Take $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\mu_n\}$ as in the above Examples 2.1 and 2.2. Define a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ by

$$\lambda_n = \alpha_n + \mu_n \quad (2.6)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Then $\{\lambda_n\}$ satisfies conditions (1.2), (1.3), and

$$|\lambda_{n+N} - \lambda_n| \leq \sigma(\lambda_{n+N}) + \sigma_n, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$, but it fails to satisfy both conditions (1.6) and (1.7). For the case $N = 1$, we also refer to [3].

Example 2.5. Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ satisfy (1.2), (1.3), not (1.6), (1.7) and let $\{\mu_n\}$ be (1.2), (1.3), (1.6), not (1.7). Assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{\mu_n} = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

and define a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ by

$$\lambda_n = \alpha_n + \mu_n \quad (2.9)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Then $\{\lambda_n\}$ satisfies conditions (1.2), (1.3), not (1.6), (1.7), and (1.8).

Let E be a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let E^* be its dual. The value of $f \in E^*$ at $x \in E$ will be denoted by $\langle x, f \rangle$. When $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in E , then $x_n \rightarrow x$ (resp., $x_n \rightharpoonup x$, $x_n \xrightarrow{*} x$) will denote strong (resp., weak, weak*) convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ to x .

The norm of E is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* (and E is said to be *smooth*) if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \quad (2.10)$$

exists for each x, y in its unit sphere $U = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$. It is said to be *uniformly Fréchet differentiable* (and E is said to be *uniformly smooth*) if the limit in (2.10) is attained uniformly for $(x, y) \in U \times U$.

The (normalized) *duality mapping* J from E into the family of nonempty (by Hahn-Banach theorem) weak-star compact subsets of its dual E^* is defined by

$$J(x) = \{f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2\} \quad (2.11)$$

for each $x \in E$. It is single valued if and only if E is smooth. It is also well known that if E has a uniformly Fréchet differentiable norm, J is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of E . Suppose that J is single valued. Then J is said to be *weakly sequentially continuous* if, for each $\{x_n\} \in E$ with $x_n \rightharpoonup x$, $J(x_n) \xrightarrow{*} J(x)$.

We need the following lemma for the proof of our main results, which was given by Jung and Morales [10]. It is actually Petryshyn's [15, Lemma 1].

LEMMA 2.6. Let X be a real Banach space and let J be the normalized duality mapping. Then, for any given $x, y \in X$,

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle \quad (2.12)$$

for all $j(x + y) \in J(x + y)$.

A Banach space E is said to satisfy Opial's condition [14] if, for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E , $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\| \quad (2.13)$$

for all $y \in E$ with $y \neq x$. It is well known that if E admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping, then E satisfies Opial's condition.

Recall that a mapping T defined on a subset C of a Banach space E (and taking values in E) is said to be *demiclosed* if, for any sequence $\{u_n\}$ in C , the following implication holds:

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tu_n - w\| = 0 \quad (2.14)$$

implies that

$$u \in C, \quad Tu = w. \quad (2.15)$$

The following lemma can be found in [5, page 108].

LEMMA 2.7. Let E be a reflexive Banach space which satisfies Opial's condition and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Suppose that $T : C \rightarrow E$ is a nonexpansive mapping. Then the mapping $I - T$ is demiclosed on C , where I is the identity mapping.

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . A mapping Q of C into C is said to be a *retraction* if $Q^2 = Q$. If a mapping Q of C into itself is a retraction, then $Qz = z$ for every $z \in R(Q)$, where $R(Q)$ is range of Q . Let D be a subset of C and let Q be a mapping of C into D . Then Q is said to be *sunny* if each point on the ray $\{Qx + t(x - Qx) : t > 0\}$ is mapped by Q back onto Qx ; in other words,

$$Q(Qx + t(x - Qx)) = Qx \quad (2.16)$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in C$. A subset D of C is said to be a *sunny nonexpansive retraction* of C if there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction of C onto D . For more details, we refer to [6].

The following lemma is well known (cf. [6, page 48]).

LEMMA 2.8. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space E , let D be a subset of C , let $J : E \rightarrow E^*$ be the duality mapping of E , and let $Q : C \rightarrow D$ be a retraction. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) $\langle x - Qx, J(y - Qx) \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in C$ and $y \in D$;
- (b) $\|Qz - Qw\|^2 \leq \langle z - w, J(Qz - Qw) \rangle$ for all z and w in C ;
- (c) Q is both sunny and nonexpansive.

Finally, we need the following lemma, which is essentially Liu's [12, Lemma 2]. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof.

LEMMA 2.9. *Let $\{s_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying*

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - \lambda_n)s_n + \lambda_n\beta_n + \gamma_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (2.17)$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, and $\{\gamma_n\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ or, equivalently, $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_n) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=0}^n (1 - \lambda_k) = 0$;
- (ii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq 0$; or
- (iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \beta_n < \infty$;
- (iv) $\gamma_n \geq 0$ ($n \geq 0$), $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$.

Proof. First assume that (i), (ii), and (iv) hold. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $N \geq 1$ be an integer such that

$$\beta_n < \varepsilon, \quad \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \varepsilon, \quad n > N. \quad (2.18)$$

By using (2.17) and straightforward induction, we obtain

$$s_{n+1} \leq \left(\prod_{k=N}^n (1 - \lambda_k) \right) s_N + \left(1 - \prod_{k=N}^n (1 - \lambda_k) \right) \varepsilon + \sum_{k=N}^n \gamma_k \quad (2.19)$$

for any $n > N$. Then conditions (i), (ii), and (iv) imply that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \leq 2\varepsilon$.

Next, assume that (i), (iii), and (iv) hold. Then, repeatedly using (2.17), we have

$$s_{n+1} \leq \prod_{k=m}^n (1 - \lambda_k) s_m + \sum_{k=m}^n \lambda_k \beta_k + \sum_{k=m}^n \gamma_n \quad (2.20)$$

for any $n > m$. Letting in (2.20) first $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \leq 0$. $\square$

3. Main results

Using the perturbed control condition, we study the strong convergence result for a family of finite nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space.

We consider N mappings $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$. For $n > N$, set $T_n := T_{n \bmod N}$, where $n \bmod N$, is defined as follows: if $n = kN + l$, $0 \leq l < N$, then

$$n \bmod N := \begin{cases} l & \text{if } l \neq 0, \\ N & \text{if } l = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

We will use $\text{Fix}(T)$ to denote the fixed point set of T , that is,

$$\text{Fix}(T) := \{x \in C : Tx = x\}. \quad (3.2)$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let E be a uniformly smooth Banach space with a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J : E \rightarrow E^*$ and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let $T_1, \dots, T_N$ be nonexpansive mappings from C into itself with $F := \cap_{i=1}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$F = \text{Fix}(T_N \cdots T_1) = \text{Fix}(T_1 T_N \cdots T_3 T_2) = \cdots = \text{Fix}(T_{N-1} T_{N-2} \cdots T_1 T_N). \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ which satisfies conditions (1.2), (1.3), and (1.8). Then the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.1) converges strongly to $Q_F a$, where Q is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of C onto F .

Proof. As in the proof of [1, Theorem 1], we proceed with the following steps.

Step 1. $\|x_n - z\| \leq \max\{\|x_0 - z\|, \|a - z\|\}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and all $z \in F$ and so $\{x_n\}$ is bounded.

We use an inductive argument. The result is clearly true for $n = 0$. Suppose the result is true for n . Let $z \in F$. Then, since T_{n+1} is nonexpansive,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - z\| &= \|\lambda_{n+1}a + (1 - \lambda_{n+1})T_{n+1}x_n - z\| \\ &\leq \lambda_{n+1}\|a - z\| + (1 - \lambda_{n+1})\|T_{n+1}x_n - z\| \\ &\leq \lambda_{n+1}\|a - z\| + (1 - \lambda_{n+1})\|x_n - z\| \\ &\leq \lambda_{n+1}\max\{\|x_0 - z\|, \|a - z\|\} + (1 - \lambda_{n+1})\max\{\|x_0 - z\|, \|a - z\|\} \\ &= \max\{\|x_0 - z\|, \|a - z\|\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and $\|x_n\| \leq \|x_n - z\| + \|z\| \leq \max\{\|x_0 - z\|, \|a - z\|\} + \|z\|$.

Step 2. $\{T_{n+1}x_n\}$ is bounded. For all $n \geq 0$ and $z \in F$, since

$$\|T_{n+1}x_n\| \leq \|T_{n+1}x_n - z\| + \|z\| \leq \|x_n - z\| + \|z\| \leq \max\{\|x_0 - z\|, \|a - z\|\} + \|z\| \quad (3.5)$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $z \in F$, it follows that $\{T_{n+1}x_n\}$ is bounded.

Step 3. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_n\| = 0$. Indeed, since

$$\|x_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_n\| = \lambda_{n+1}\|a - T_{n+1}x_n\| \leq \lambda_{n+1}(\|a\| + \|T_{n+1}x_n\|) \leq \lambda_{n+1}M \quad (3.6)$$

for some M , we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - T_{n+1}x_n\| = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Step 4. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+N} - x_n\| = 0$. By Step 2, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\|a - T_{n+1}x_n\| \leq L. \quad (3.8)$$

Since for all $n \geq 1$, $T_{n+N} = T_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+N} - x_n\| &= \|(\lambda_{n+N} - \lambda_n)(a - T_n x_{n-1}) + (1 - \lambda_{n+N})(T_n x_{n+N-1} - T_n x_{n-1})\| \\
 &\leq L\|\lambda_{n+N} - \lambda_n\| + (1 - \lambda_{n+N})\|x_{n+N-1} - x_{n-1}\| \\
 &= (1 - \lambda_{n+N})\|x_{n+N-1} - x_{n-1}\| + \|\lambda_{n+N} - \lambda_n\|L \\
 &\leq (1 - \lambda_{n+N})\|x_{n+N-1} - x_{n-1}\| + (\circ(\lambda_{n+N}) + \sigma_n)L.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

By taking $s_{n+1} = \|x_{n+N} - x_n\|$, $\lambda_{n+N} = \alpha_n$, $\circ(\lambda_{n+N})L = \alpha_n\beta_n$, and $\gamma_n = \sigma_nL$, we have

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)s_n + \alpha_n\beta_n + \gamma_n, \tag{3.10}$$

and, by Lemma 2.9,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+N} - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Step 5. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_{n+N}, \dots, T_{n+1}x_n\| = 0$. By the proof in [1] with Step 4, we can obtain this fact and so its proof is omitted.

Step 6. $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle a - Q_F a, J(x_{n+1} - Q_F a) \rangle \leq 0$. Let a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ be such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle a - Q_F a, J(x_{n_j+1} - Q_F a) \rangle = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle a - Q_F a, J(x_{n+1} - Q_F a) \rangle. \tag{3.12}$$

We assume (after passing to another subsequence if necessary) that $n_j + 1 \bmod N = i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and that $x_{n_j+1} \rightharpoonup x$. From Step 5, it follows that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j+1} - T_{i+N} \cdots T_{i+1}x_{n_j+1}\| = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.7, we have $x \in \text{Fix}(T_{i+N} \cdots T_{i+1}) = F$.

On the other hand, since E is uniformly smooth, F is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of C (cf. [6, page 49]). Thus, by weakly sequentially continuity of duality mapping J and Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle a - Q_F a, J(x_{n+1} - Q_F a) \rangle \\
 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle a - Q_F a, J(x_{n_j+1} - Q_F a) \rangle = \langle a - Q_F a, J(x - Q_F a) \rangle \leq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Step 7. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Q_F a\| = 0$. By using (1.1), we have

$$(1 - \lambda_{n+1})(T_{n+1}x_n - Q_F a) = (x_{n+1} - Q_F a) - \lambda_{n+1}(a - Q_F a). \tag{3.14}$$

Applying Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - Q_F a\|^2 &\leq (1 - \lambda_{n+1})^2 \|T_{n+1}x_n - Q_F a\|^2 + 2\lambda_{n+1} \langle a - Q_F a, J(x_{n+1} - Q_F a) \rangle \\
 &\leq (1 - \lambda_{n+1})\|x_n - Q_F a\|^2 + 2\lambda_{n+1}\beta_n,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $\beta_n = \langle a - Q_F a, J(x_{n+1} - Q_F a) \rangle$. By Step 6, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq 0$. Now, if we define $\delta_n = \max\{0, \beta_n\}$, then $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and so (3.15) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - Q_F a\|^2 &\leq (1 - \lambda_{n+1})^2 \|T_{n+1}x_n - Q_F a\|^2 + 2\lambda_{n+1}\delta_n \\
 &\leq (1 - \lambda_{n+1})\|x_n - Q_F a\|^2 + \circ(\lambda_{n+1}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Thus it follows from Lemma 2.9 with $\gamma_n = 0$ that Step 7 holds. This completes the proof. $\square$

As an immediate consequence, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let H be a Hilbert space, let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H , and let $T_1, \dots, T_N$ be nonexpansive mappings from C into itself with $F := \cap_{i=1}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$F = \text{Fix}(T_N \cdots T_1) = \text{Fix}(T_1 T_N \cdots T_3 T_2) = \cdots = \text{Fix}(T_{N-1} T_{N-2} \cdots T_1 T_N). \quad (3.17)$$

Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ which satisfies conditions (1.2), (1.3), and (1.8). Then the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.1) converges strongly to $P_F a$, where P is the nearest point projection of C onto F .

Proof. Note that the nearest point projection P of C onto F is a sunny nonexpansive retraction. Thus the result follows from Theorem 3.1. $\square$

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let E be a uniformly smooth Banach space with a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J : E \rightarrow E^*$ and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let T be nonexpansive mappings from C into itself with $F = \text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ which satisfies conditions (1.2), (1.3), and (1.8). Then the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.1) with $T = T_1$ ($N = 1$) converges strongly to $Q_F a$, where Q is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of C onto $F = \text{Fix}(T)$.*

Remark 3.4. Since condition (1.8) includes conditions (1.4), (1.5), (1.6), and (1.7) as special cases, our main results unify and improve the corresponding results obtained by Bauschke [1], Jung [8], Jung and Kim [9], O'Hara et al. [13] for $N > 1$ and by Cho et al. [3], Lions [11], Shioji and Takahashi [19], Wittmann [20], Xu [21, 23], and others for $N = 1$, respectively.

Remark 3.5. (1) Our proof lines of Theorem 3.1 are different from those of Zhou et al. [24], in which, as in [19, 23], they utilized the concept of Banach's limit along with the weak asymptotically regularity and Reich's result [16] to prove their main results.

(2) Corollary 3.3 does not also use Reich's result [16] in comparison with those of Cho et al. [3], Shioji and Takahashi [19], and Xu [21].

Let D be a subset of a Banach space E . Recall that a mapping $T : D \rightarrow E$ is said to be firmly nonexpansive if for each x and y in D , the convex function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\phi(s) = \|(1-s)x + sTx - ((1-s)y + sTy)\| \quad (3.18)$$

is nonincreasing. Since ϕ is convex, it is easy to check that a mapping $T : D \rightarrow E$ is firmly nonexpansive if and only if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|(1-t)(x - y) + t(Tx - Ty)\| \quad (3.19)$$

for each x and y in D and $t \in [0, 1]$. It is clear that every firmly nonexpansive mapping is nonexpansive (cf. [5, 6]).

The following result extends a Lions-type iterative scheme [11] with condition (1.8) to a Banach space setting.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let E be a uniformly smooth Banach space with a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J : E \rightarrow E^*$ and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . Let $T_1, \dots, T_N$ be firmly nonexpansive mappings from C into itself with $F := \bigcap_{i=1}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$F = \text{Fix}(T_N \cdots T_1) = \text{Fix}(T_1 T_N \cdots T_3 T_2) = \cdots = \text{Fix}(T_{N-1} T_{N-2} \cdots T_1 T_N). \quad (3.20)$$

Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ which satisfies conditions (1.2), (1.3), and (1.8). Then the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.1) converges strongly to Q_{Fa} , where Q is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of C onto F .

Remark 3.7. (1) In Hilbert spaces, Lions [11, Théorème 4] had used

$$(L1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0,$$

$$(L2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{kN+i} = \infty \text{ for all } i = 0, \dots, N-1, \text{ which is more restrictive than (1.3),}$$

$$(L3)' \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |\lambda_{kN+i} - \lambda_{(k-1)N+i}| / \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_{kN+i} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \text{ in place of (1.6).}$$

(2) In general, (1.6) and (L3)' are independent, even when $N = 1$. For more details, see [1].

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant KRF-2003-002-C00018.

References

- [1] H. H. Bauschke, *The approximation of fixed points of compositions of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **202** (1996), no. 1, 150–159.
- [2] F. E. Browder, *Convergence of approximants to fixed points of nonexpansive non-linear mappings in Banach spaces*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **24** (1967), 82–90.
- [3] Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang, and H. Zhou, *Some control conditions on iterative methods*, Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. **12** (2005), no. 2, 27–34.
- [4] F. Deutsch and I. Yamada, *Minimizing certain convex functions over the intersection of the fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **19** (1998), no. 1-2, 33–56.
- [5] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 28, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [6] K. Goebel and S. Reich, *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 83, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [7] B. Halpern, *Fixed points of nonexpanding maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 957–961.
- [8] J. S. Jung, *Iterative approaches to common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **302** (2005), no. 2, 509–520.
- [9] J. S. Jung and T. H. Kim, *Convergence of approximate sequences for compositions of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **34** (1997), no. 1, 93–102.
- [10] J. S. Jung and C. H. Morales, *The Mann process for perturbed m -accretive operators in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. Ser. A: Theory Methods **46** (2001), no. 2, 231–243.

- [11] P.-L. Lions, *Approximation de points fixes de contractions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **284** (1977), no. 21, A1357–A1359 (French).
- [12] L. S. Liu, *Ishikawa and Mann iterative process with errors for nonlinear strongly accretive mappings in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **194** (1995), no. 1, 114–125.
- [13] J. G. O'Hara, P. Pillay, and H.-K. Xu, *Iterative approaches to finding nearest common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. Series A: Theory and Methods **54** (2003), no. 8, 1417–1426.
- [14] Z. Opial, *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 591–597.
- [15] W. V. Petryshyn, *A characterization of strict convexity of Banach spaces and other uses of duality mappings*, J. Funct. Anal. **6** (1970), 282–291.
- [16] S. Reich, *Strong convergence theorems for resolvents of accretive operators in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **75** (1980), no. 1, 287–292.
- [17] ———, *Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Panamer. Math. J. **4** (1994), no. 2, 23–28.
- [18] T. Shimizu and W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence to common fixed points of families of nonexpansive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **211** (1997), no. 1, 71–83.
- [19] N. Shioji and W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence of approximated sequences for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 12, 3641–3645.
- [20] R. Wittmann, *Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **58** (1992), no. 5, 486–491.
- [21] H.-K. Xu, *Another control condition in an iterative method for nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **65** (2002), no. 1, 109–113.
- [22] H. K. Xu, *An iterative approach to quadratic optimization*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **116** (2003), no. 3, 659–678.
- [23] H.-K. Xu, *Remarks on an iterative method for nonexpansive mappings*, Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. **10** (2003), no. 1, 67–75.
- [24] H. Y. Zhou, L. Wei, and Y. J. Cho, *Strong convergence theorems on an iterative method for a family nonexpansive mappings in reflexive Banach spaces*, to appear in Appl. Math. Comput.

Jong Soo Jung: Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences, Dong-A University, Busan 604-714, Korea

E-mail address: jungjs@mail.donga.ac.kr

Yeol Je Cho: Department of Mathematics Education, College of Education, and the Research Institute of Natural Science, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea

E-mail address: yjcho@gsnu.ac.kr

R. P. Agarwal: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901-6975, USA

E-mail address: agarwal@fit.edu

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk