

A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

VALENTIN MATACHE

Received 4 August 2004

We prove that each analytic self-map of the open unit disk which interpolates between certain n -tuples must have a fixed point.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{U}$ denote the open unit disk centered at the origin and $\mathbb{T}$ its boundary. For any pair of distinct complex numbers z and w and any positive constant k , we consider the locus of all points ζ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ having the ratio of the distances to w and z equal to k , that is, we consider the solution set of the equation

$$\frac{|\zeta - w|}{|\zeta - z|} = k. \quad (1.1)$$

We denote that set by $A(z, w, k)$ and (following [1]) call it *the Apollonius circle of constant k* associated to the points z and w . The set $A(z, w, k)$ is a circle for all values of k other than 1 when it is a line.

In this paper, we consider $z, w \in \mathbb{U}$, show that if $z \neq w$, then necessarily $A(z, w, \sqrt{(1 - |w|^2)/(1 - |z|^2)})$ meets the unit circle twice, consider the arc on the unit circle with those endpoints, situated in the same connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus A(z, w, \sqrt{(1 - |w|^2)/(1 - |z|^2)})$ as z , and denote it by $\Gamma_{z,w}$. We prove that if $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$ and $W = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$ are N -tuples with entries in $\mathbb{U}$ such that $z_j \neq w_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$ and

$$\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{j=1}^N \Gamma_{z_j, w_j}, \quad (1.2)$$

then each analytic self-map of $\mathbb{U}$ interpolating between Z and W must have a fixed point. The next section contains the announced fixed point theorem (Theorem 2.2).

2. The fixed point theorem

For each $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$ and $k > 0$, the set

$$\text{HD}(e^{i\theta}, k) := \{z \in \mathbb{U} : |e^{i\theta} - z|^2 < k(1 - |z|^2)\} \quad (2.1)$$

called *the horodisk with constant k tangent at $e^{i\theta}$* is an open disk internally tangent to $\mathbb{T}$ at $e^{i\theta}$ whose boundary $\text{HC}(e^{i\theta}, k) := \{z \in \mathbb{U} : |e^{i\theta} - z|^2 = k(1 - |z|^2)\}$ is called *the horocycle with constant k tangent at $e^{i\theta}$* .

The center and radius of $\text{HC}(e^{i\theta}, k)$ are given by

$$C = \frac{e^{i\theta}}{1+k}, \quad R = \frac{k}{1+k}, \quad (2.2)$$

respectively. One should note that $\text{HD}(e^{i\theta}, k)$ extends to exhaust $\mathbb{U}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Let φ be a self-map of $\mathbb{U}$. For each positive integer n , $\varphi^{[n]} = \varphi \circ \varphi \circ \dots \circ \varphi$, n times. The following is a combination of results due to Denjoy, Julia, and Wolff.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let φ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{U}$. If φ has no fixed point, then there is a remarkable point w on the unit circle such that the sequence $\{\varphi^{[n]}\}$ converges to w uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{U}$ and*

$$\varphi(\text{HD}(w, k)) \subseteq \text{HD}(w, k) \quad k > 0. \quad (2.3)$$

The remarkable point w is called the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ . Relation (2.3) is a consequence of a geometric function-theoretic result known as Julia's lemma. In case φ has a fixed point, but is not the identity or an elliptic disk automorphism, one can use Schwarz's lemma in classical complex analysis to show that $\{\varphi^{[n]}\}$ tends to that fixed point, (which is also regarded as a constant function), uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{U}$. These facts show that if φ is not the identity, then it may have at most a fixed point in $\mathbb{U}$. Good accounts on all the results summarized above can be found in [2, Section 2.3] and [4, Sections 4.4–5.3].

In the sequel, φ will always denote an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{U}$ other than the identity. For each $z \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $\varphi(z) \neq z$, we consider the intersection of the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ and $A(z, \varphi(z), \sqrt{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)/(1 - |z|^2)})$. It necessarily consists of two points.

Indeed, it cannot be a singleton. If one assumes that the aforementioned intersection is the singleton $\{e^{i\theta}\}$, then the relation

$$\frac{|e^{i\theta} - \varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} = \frac{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \quad (2.4)$$

must be satisfied, and this means that both z and $\varphi(z)$ are on a horocycle tangent to $\mathbb{T}$ at $e^{i\theta}$, which is contradictory due to the fact of, under our assumptions, $A(z, \varphi(z), \sqrt{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)/(1 - |z|^2)})$ is also such a horocycle and hence fails to separate z and $\varphi(z)$ (the points z and $\varphi(z)$ should be in different connected components of $\mathbb{C} \setminus A(z, \varphi(z), \sqrt{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)/(1 - |z|^2)})$).

On the other hand, $\mathbb{T} \cap A(z, \varphi(z), \sqrt{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)/(1 - |z|^2)})$ cannot be empty. Indeed, for any $z, w \in \mathbb{U}$, $z \neq w$, $A(z, w, \sqrt{(1 - |w|^2)/(1 - |z|^2)})$ meets $\mathbb{T}$. To prove that, one can assume without loss of generality that $(1 - |w|^2)/(1 - |z|^2) > 1$. If, arguing by contradiction, we assume that $A(z, w, \sqrt{(1 - |w|^2)/(1 - |z|^2)}) \cap \mathbb{T} = \emptyset$, then $\mathbb{T}$ must be exterior to $A(z, w, (1 - |w|^2)/(1 - |z|^2))$, that is,

$$\left| \frac{e^{i\theta} - w}{e^{i\theta} - z} \right|^2 < \frac{1 - |w|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \frac{|e^{i\theta} - w|^2}{1 - |w|^2} < \frac{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \quad e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (2.5)$$

The last inequality implies that, for each $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$, w is interior to the horocycle H tangent to $\mathbb{T}$ at $e^{i\theta}$ that passes through z . This leads to a contradiction since there exist horocycles that are exteriorly tangent to each other at z .

Thus $\mathbb{T} \cap A(z, \varphi(z), \sqrt{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)/(1 - |z|^2)})$ necessarily consists of two points. Let $\Gamma_{z, \varphi(z)}$ denote the open arc of $\mathbb{T}$ with those endpoints, situated in the same connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus A(z, \varphi(z), \sqrt{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)/(1 - |z|^2)})$ as z .

By straightforward computations, one can obtain the following formulas for the endpoints $e^{i\theta_1}$ and $e^{i\theta_2}$ of $\Gamma_{z, \varphi(z)}$:

$$e^{i\theta_{1,2}} = \frac{-\mu \pm i\sqrt{|\Lambda|^2 - \mu^2}}{\Lambda}, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\Lambda = \bar{z}(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2) - \overline{\varphi(z)}(1 - |z|^2), \quad \mu = |\varphi(z)|^2 - |z|^2. \quad (2.7)$$

It is always true that $\Lambda \neq 0$ and $|\Lambda| > |\mu|$, as the reader can readily check.

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this mathematical note.

THEOREM 2.2. *If there exist $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N$ such that $\varphi(z_j) \neq z_j$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, and*

$$\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{j=1}^N \Gamma_{z_j, \varphi(z_j)}, \quad (2.8)$$

then φ has a fixed point in $\mathbb{U}$. In particular, if $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ are zeros of φ and

$$\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{j=1}^N \{e^{i\theta} : |\theta - \arg(z_j)| < \arccos |z_j|\}, \quad (2.9)$$

then φ has a fixed point in $\mathbb{U}$. Conversely, if φ is an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{U}$ other than the identity and φ has a fixed point, then there exist finitely many points $z_1, \dots, z_k$ in $\mathbb{U}$ such that condition (2.8) is satisfied.

Proof. Observe that if $e^{i\theta} \in \Gamma_{z, \varphi(z)}$, then $e^{i\theta}$ cannot be the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ . Indeed, arguing by contradiction, assume $e^{i\theta}$ is the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ . Note that one can consider a horodisk $\text{HD}(e^{i\theta}, k)$ for which z is interior and $\varphi(z)$ exterior, since $|e^{i\theta} - z|^2/(1 - |z|^2) < |e^{i\theta} - \varphi(z)|^2/(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)$. This leads to a contradiction by (2.3).




Figure 2.1

Thus if (2.8) holds, then φ does not have a Denjoy-Wolff point, that is, it has a fixed point in $\mathbb{U}$. Finally, observe that if $z \neq 0$ and $\varphi(z) = 0$, a simple computation leads to $\Gamma_{z, \varphi(z)} = \{e^{i\theta} : |\theta - \arg(z)| < \arccos |z|\}$, which takes care of (2.9).

To prove the necessity of condition (2.8) now, assume φ is not the identity and has a fixed point $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$. Let $\rho(z, w) := |z - w|/|1 - \bar{w}z|$, $z, w \in \mathbb{U}$, denote the pseudohyperbolic distance on $\mathbb{U}$. For each $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ and $r > 0$, let $K(z_0, r) := \{z \in \mathbb{U} : \rho(z, z_0) < r\}$ be the pseudohyperbolic disk of center z_0 and radius r . Pseudohyperbolic disks are also Euclidean disks inside $\mathbb{U}$ (see [3, page 3]), and if $r < 1$, then $K(z_0, r) \neq \mathbb{U}$. By the invariant Schwarz lemma, (see [3, Lemma 1.2]), one has that $\rho(\varphi(z), \omega) \leq \rho(z, \omega)$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$. This means that φ maps closed pseudohyperbolic disks with pseudohyperbolic center ω into themselves. We record this fact for later use and proceed by noting that condition (2.8) is satisfied for some finite set of points in $\mathbb{U}$ if and only if

$$\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{z \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \{\omega\}} \Gamma_{z, \varphi(z)}, \quad (2.10)$$

which is a direct consequence of the compactness of $\mathbb{T}$. Thus, arguing by contradiction, one should assume that there exists $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that, for each $z \neq \omega$, one has that $e^{i\theta} \notin \Gamma_{z, \varphi(z)}$, that is, $|e^{i\theta} - z|^2/(1 - |z|^2) > |e^{i\theta} - \varphi(z)|^2/(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)$. One deduces that, for each $z \neq \omega$, $\varphi(z)$ is interior to the horocycle H tangent to $\mathbb{T}$ at $e^{i\theta}$ that passes through z . This generates a contradiction. Indeed, consider some $0 < r < 1$ and the pseudohyperbolic disk $K(\omega, r)$. Let H be the horocycle tangent at $e^{i\theta}$ to $\mathbb{T}$ which is also exteriorly tangent to $\partial K(\omega, r)$. Denote this tangence point by z . Since $\omega \in K(\omega, r)$, $z \neq \omega$. On the other hand, it is impossible that $\varphi(z)$ be simultaneously interior to H and in the closure of $K(\omega, r)$. $\square$

Example 2.3. Any holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{U}$ interpolating between the triples $(0.34, 0.5i, -0.5i)$ and $(0.335, 0.25 + 0.125i, 0.25 - 0.125i)$ has a fixed point in $\mathbb{U}$, because

$$\mathbb{T} = \Gamma_{0.34, 0.335} \cup \Gamma_{0.5i, 0.25+0.125i} \cup \Gamma_{-0.5i, 0.25-0.125i} \quad (2.11)$$

as one can readily check by using relations (2.6) and (2.7) (see also Figure 2.1 which illustrates the equality above). The fact that such holomorphic self-maps exist can be checked by using Pick's interpolation theorem, (see [3, Theorem 2.2]) or (much easier) by noting that $\varphi(z) = (z + 1)/4$ is such a map.

References

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978.
- [2] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Florida, 1995.
- [3] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 96, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [4] J. H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

Valentin Matache: Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska, Omaha, NE 68182, USA
E-mail address: vmatache@mail.unomaha.edu

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk