

ON THE STUDY OF A CLASS OF VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES VIA LERAY-SCHAUDER DEGREE

D. GOELEN, D. MOTREANU, AND V. V. MOTREANU

Received 12 July 2004

We present existence results for general variational inequalities without monotonicity or coercivity assumptions. It relies on a Leray-Schauder degree approach and provides additional information about the location of solutions.

1. Introduction

The study of variational inequalities is very important from a theoretic point of view in mathematics as well as for its various and significant applications in different fields, for instance, in what is called nonsmooth mechanics [1, 3, 10]. Comprehensive treatment of different problems related to variational inequalities and their applications can be found in the monographs [2, 5, 6, 7, 8]. A basic assumption in the results studying the variational inequalities on a Hilbert space is the monotonicity condition, in particular, the ellipticity (or coercivity) hypothesis on the (possibly nonlinear) operator entering the problem. The interest to relax this condition, by imposing other type of assumptions, is a real challenge in the recent developments. The present paper is devoted to this topic, where in place of monotonicity there are supposed suitable assumptions allowing the application of topological degree arguments. Our approach permits to encompass the solvability of cases that were not covered by the previous known results.

We describe the functional setting of the paper. Let H be a real Hilbert space endowed with the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the associated norm $\| \cdot \|$.

Consider the following general assumptions on the data in our variational inequality formulation (see problem (1.3)):

- (H1) $\Phi : H \rightarrow H$ is a compact mapping, that is, Φ is continuous and maps the bounded sets onto relatively compact sets;
- (H2) $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex and continuous function which is bounded from above on the bounded subsets of H .

Since a convex and lower semicontinuous function on H is bounded from below by an affine function, it is bounded from below on the bounded subsets of H . Hypothesis (H2) ensures thus that the function φ is bounded on the bounded subsets of H . We stress that

the property of the function $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be bounded from above on the bounded subsets of H as assumed in (H2) is not satisfied, in general, by a convex and continuous function φ on H . We provide an example in this direction based on private communication with J. Saint Raymond (2004).

Example 1.1. Consider the Hilbert space ℓ^2 and the function $f : \ell^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x) = \sup_{n \geq 0} (2n|x_n| - n) \quad \forall x \in \ell^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where x_n are the components of x . The function f is convex, continuous, and not bounded on the bounded sets. Indeed, f is defined on ℓ^2 because for any $x \in \ell^2$ the set

$$\{n : 2n|x_n| - n \geq 0\} = \left\{n : |x_n| \geq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \quad (1.2)$$

is finite. The function f is convex, since it is the upper hull of the convex functions f_n on ℓ^2 given by $f_n(x) = 2n|x_n| - n$. We note that f is zero on the ball centered at 0 and of radius 1/2 because $0 = f_0(x) \leq f(x)$ and $2|x_n| \leq 1$ if $\|x\| < 1/2$. Being bounded on a nonempty open set, the function f is continuous. Finally, it is seen that $f(e_n) = n$, where e_n is the n th vector of the canonical basis of ℓ^2 . It turns out that the function f is not bounded from above on the unit sphere in ℓ^2 .

Given $\Phi : H \rightarrow H$ and $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we formulate now our variational inequality problem: find $\bar{x} \in H$ such that

$$\langle \bar{x} - \Phi(\bar{x}), v - \bar{x} \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(\bar{x}) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in H. \quad (1.3)$$

Our approach in studying the variational inequality (1.3) relies on the Leray-Schauder degree theory (see [4, 9]). Assumption (H1) is mainly imposed to fit the setting of the Leray-Schauder degree theory.

Several approaches using degree theory have been recently developed so as to study problems like the one given in (1.3), even for general classes of proper, convex, and lower semicontinuous functions φ (see [6, 11]), but here we give a more qualitative insight on the topic. Specifically, assumption (H2) allows us to develop a new and powerful continuation result (see Proposition 2.3). Using this continuation result for problem (1.3), we prove several new results guaranteeing the existence of solutions (see Section 3). Some location information on the solution set of problem (1.3) is also available through our results, for example, criteria to have nontrivial solutions. Here the hypotheses (H1) and (H2) play an essential role. Special attention is paid to the situation where the Hilbert space H is finite dimensional. It is worth noting that if H is finite dimensional, then every continuous mapping $\Phi : H \rightarrow H$ satisfies assumption (H1), and every continuous and convex function $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfills assumption (H2). This enables us to have great flexibility in applying our results in the case $H = \mathbb{R}^N$.

Our main argument lies in the use of a nonlinear operator $P_\varphi : H \rightarrow H$ which is related to the function φ in problem (1.3) and hypothesis (H2).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminary results to set up our topological degree framework. Section 3 is devoted to our existence results for the variational inequality (1.3).

2. Preliminary results

This section concerns an auxiliary variational inequality on a real Hilbert space H whose solution will be the main tool in solving problem (1.3). In the sequel we denote by id_H the identity mapping on H . For later use, for any $r > 0$, we denote $B_r := \{x \in H : \|x\| < r\}$, $\bar{B}_r := \{x \in H : \|x\| \leq r\}$, and $\partial B_r := \{x \in H : \|x\| = r\}$.

Let $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and continuous function. The notation $\partial\varphi$ stands for the subdifferential of φ in the sense of convex analysis, that is, the nonempty set

$$\partial\varphi(x) = \{w \in H : \varphi(v) - \varphi(x) \geq \langle w, v - x \rangle \quad \forall v \in H\}. \quad (2.1)$$

The subdifferential $\partial\varphi$ is defined everywhere on H because the function φ is convex and continuous on H .

For a fixed element $y \in H$, we state the variational inequality problem: find $x \in H$ such that

$$\langle x - y, v - x \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in H. \quad (2.2)$$

It is well known that problem (2.2) has a unique solution $x \in H$ (see, e.g., [2, 4, 8]). Therefore the well-defined (nonlinear) operator $P_\varphi : H \rightarrow H$ given by

$$P_\varphi(y) = x \quad \forall y \in H, \quad (2.3)$$

where $x \in H$, is the solution to (2.2). We note that $P_0 y = y$ for all $y \in H$.

First we discuss the continuity properties of the nonlinear operator P_φ described in (2.2) and (2.3).

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and continuous function. Then the operator P_φ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\{y_n\} \subset H$ be a sequence such that $y_n \rightarrow y^*$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. We claim that $P_\varphi(y_n) \rightarrow P_\varphi(y^*)$ in H as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Indeed, denoting $x_n := P_\varphi(y_n)$ and $x^* := P_\varphi(y^*)$, we have from (2.3) and (2.2) that

$$\langle x_n - y_n, v - x_n \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(x_n) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in H, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\langle x^* - y^*, v - x^* \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(x^*) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in H. \quad (2.5)$$

If we set $v = x^*$ in (2.4) and $v = x_n$ in (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_n - y_n, x_n - x^* \rangle - \varphi(x^*) + \varphi(x_n) &\leq 0, \\ -\langle x^* - y^*, x_n - x^* \rangle - \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x^*) &\leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

We derive

$$\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \leq \|x_n - x^*\| \|y_n - y^*\|. \quad (2.7)$$

It follows that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ in H as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, and the conclusion is achieved. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $G : [0, T] \times H \rightarrow H$ be a continuous function on $[0, T] \times H$, with a number $T > 0$, and let $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy hypothesis (H2). Then the mapping

$$(\lambda, y) \in [0, T] \times H \mapsto P_{\lambda\varphi}(G(\lambda, y)) \in H \quad (2.8)$$

is continuous on $[0, T] \times H$, where $P_{\lambda\varphi}$ is the nonlinear operator introduced by (2.2) and (2.3).

Proof. We check the continuity at an arbitrary point $(\lambda^*, y^*) \in [0, T] \times H$. Consider the convergent sequences $\{y_n\} \subset H$ and $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [0, T]$ with $y_n \rightarrow y^*$ in H and $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda^*$ in $\mathbb{R}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. We have to show that $P_{\lambda_n\varphi}(G(\lambda_n, y_n)) \rightarrow P_{\lambda^*\varphi}(G(\lambda^*, y^*))$ in H as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Denote $x_n := P_{\lambda_n\varphi}(G(\lambda_n, y_n))$ and $x^* := P_{\lambda^*\varphi}(G(\lambda^*, y^*))$. By the definition of the mapping $P_{\lambda\varphi}$ in (2.2) and (2.3) it is known that

$$\langle x_n - G(\lambda_n, y_n), v - x_n \rangle + \lambda_n \varphi(v) - \lambda_n \varphi(x_n) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in H, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\langle x^* - G(\lambda^*, y^*), v - x^* \rangle + \lambda^* \varphi(v) - \lambda^* \varphi(x^*) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in H. \quad (2.10)$$

We first prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. To this end, suppose, on the contrary, that along a relabeled subsequence one has $\|x_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Setting $v = 0$ in (2.9), we obtain

$$-\langle x_n - G(\lambda_n, y_n), x_n \rangle + \lambda_n [\varphi(0) - \varphi(x_n)] \geq 0. \quad (2.11)$$

This leads to

$$1 \leq \frac{\|G(\lambda_n, y_n)\|}{\|x_n\|} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\|x_n\|^2} [\varphi(0) - \varphi(x_n)]. \quad (2.12)$$

For n large enough, we may admit that $1/\|x_n\| \in (0, 1]$. Using the convexity of φ we obtain

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\|x_n\|} \varphi(x_n) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|x_n\|}\right) \varphi(0) \quad (2.13)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{\varphi(0) - \varphi(x_n)}{\|x_n\|} \leq \varphi(0) - \varphi\left(\frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}\right). \quad (2.14)$$

Combining with (2.12) implies

$$1 \leq \frac{\|G(\lambda_n, y_n)\|}{\|x_n\|} + \lambda_n \frac{\varphi(0) - \varphi(x_n/\|x_n\|)}{\|x_n\|}. \quad (2.15)$$

Since the function φ is convex and continuous on the whole space H , it turns out φ is bounded from below on the bounded subsets of H . Consequently, in conjunction with assumption (H2), one has that φ is bounded on the bounded subsets of H . This ensures that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi(x_n/\|x_n\|)}{\|x_n\|} = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (2.15) and using the continuity of G , we arrive at contradiction. Therefore the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded in H .

Setting now $v = x^*$ in (2.9) and $v = x_n$ in (2.10) allows to write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_n - G(\lambda_n, y_n), x_n - x^* \rangle - \lambda_n \varphi(x^*) + \lambda_n \varphi(x_n) &\leq 0, \\ -\langle x^* - G(\lambda^*, y^*), x_n - x^* \rangle - \lambda^* \varphi(x_n) + \lambda^* \varphi(x^*) &\leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

It follows that

$$\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \leq \|G(\lambda_n, y_n) - G(\lambda^*, y^*)\| \|x_n - x^*\| + (\lambda_n - \lambda^*) \varphi(x^*) + (\lambda^* - \lambda_n) \varphi(x_n). \quad (2.18)$$

The continuity of G gives $\|G(\lambda_n, y_n) - G(\lambda^*, y^*)\| \rightarrow 0$, while the boundedness of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ combined with assumption (H2) guarantees that the sequence $\{\varphi(x_n)\}$ is bounded. It is then clear that (2.18) yields $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, which completes the proof. $\square$

The following technical result is useful for the computations involving the Leray-Schauder degree in the next section. We recall that, given a compact mapping $\Psi : \bar{B}_r \rightarrow H$ such that $0 \notin (\text{id}_H - \Psi)(\partial B_r)$, there exists the Leray-Schauder degree $\deg(\text{id}_H - \Psi, B_r, 0)$ of $\text{id}_H - \Psi$ in B_r with respect to 0 (see, e.g., [4, 9]).

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Assume that conditions (H1) and (H2) on the mappings $\Phi : H \rightarrow H$ and $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, respectively, are fulfilled. If there exists a compact mapping $\chi : H \rightarrow H$ and a number $r > 0$ such that*

$$\langle x - \Phi(x), \chi(x) - x \rangle + \varphi(\chi(x)) - \varphi(x) < 0 \quad \forall x \in H, \|x\| = r, \quad (2.19)$$

then the following equality holds:

$$\deg(\text{id}_H - P_\varphi \Phi, B_r, 0) = \deg(\text{id}_H - \chi, B_r, 0). \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. Notice that the mapping $P_\varphi \Phi$ is compact being the composition of the continuous mapping P_φ (cf. Proposition 2.1) and the compact one Φ (cf. (H1)). So the mapping $\text{id}_H - P_\varphi \Phi$ is of the form required in the definition of the Leray-Schauder degree (see [4, 9]). Let $h : [0, 1] \times \bar{B}_r \rightarrow H$ be the mapping defined by

$$h(\lambda, y) = y - P_{\lambda\varphi}(\lambda\Phi(y) + (1 - \lambda)\chi(y)) \quad \forall (\lambda, y) \in [0, 1] \times \bar{B}_r. \quad (2.21)$$

Applying Proposition 2.2 with $G : [0, 1] \times H \rightarrow H$ given by $G(\lambda, y) = \lambda\Phi(y) + (1 - \lambda)\chi(y)$, for all $(\lambda, y) \in [0, 1] \times H$, we infer that h is a continuous mapping. Moreover, since Φ and χ are compact, for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the mapping $y \mapsto P_{\lambda\varphi}(\lambda\Phi(y) + (1 - \lambda)\chi(y))$ is compact too.

We claim that

$$h(\lambda, x) \neq 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \quad \forall x \in \partial B_r. \quad (2.22)$$

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that there exist $x \in H$, with $\|x\| = r$, and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that $h(\lambda, x) = 0$. This reads as

$$x = P_{\lambda\varphi}(\lambda\Phi(x) + (1 - \lambda)\chi(x)). \quad (2.23)$$

We first remark that

$$\lambda > 0. \quad (2.24)$$

If not, we have $\lambda = 0$ and equality (2.23) reduces to $x = P_0(\chi(x)) = \chi(x)$, which contradicts assumption (2.19). Thus (2.24) holds true.

On the other hand, (2.23) expresses that

$$\langle x - \lambda\Phi(x) - (1 - \lambda)\chi(x), v - x \rangle + \lambda\varphi(v) - \lambda\varphi(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in H. \quad (2.25)$$

For $v = \chi(x)$, it is seen that

$$\lambda[\langle x - \Phi(x), \chi(x) - x \rangle + \varphi(\chi(x)) - \varphi(x)] \geq (1 - \lambda)\|\chi(x) - x\|^2 \geq 0. \quad (2.26)$$

In view of (2.24) we derive

$$\langle x - \Phi(x), \chi(x) - x \rangle + \varphi(\chi(x)) - \varphi(x) \geq 0. \quad (2.27)$$

This contradicts assumption (2.19). Property (2.22) is established.

On the basis of (2.22), the homotopy invariance property of the Leray-Schauder degree implies

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\text{id}_H - P_\varphi\Phi, B_r, 0) &= \deg(h(1, \cdot), B_r, 0) = \deg(h(0, \cdot), B_r, 0) \\ &= \deg(\text{id}_H - P_0\chi, B_r, 0) = \deg(\text{id}_H - \chi, B_r, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

The proof is thus complete. $\square$

3. Existence theorems

Our first main existence result in studying problem (1.3) is the following.

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that (H1), (H2) hold and that*

(H3) there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\langle x - \Phi(x), x \rangle + \varphi(x) - \varphi(0) > 0 \quad \forall x \in H, \quad \|x\| = r. \quad (3.1)$$

Then problem (1.3) has at least a solution in B_r , that is, there exists $\bar{x} \in B_r$ such that

$$\langle \bar{x} - \Phi(\bar{x}), v - \bar{x} \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(\bar{x}) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in H. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Assumption (H3) entails that relation (2.19) is fulfilled for $\chi = 0$. Consequently, Proposition 2.3 can be applied with $\chi = 0$. Thus we have

$$\deg(\text{id}_H - P_\varphi \Phi, B_r, 0) = \deg(\text{id}_H, B_r, 0) = 1 \neq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

A basic property of Leray-Schauder degree ensures that there exists $\bar{x} \in B_r$ verifying $\bar{x} = P_\varphi(\Phi(\bar{x}))$. Taking into account (2.2), it follows that $\bar{x}$ solves problem (1.3). $\square$

Theorem 3.1 yields a sufficient condition for the existence of nontrivial solutions in solving problem (1.3).

COROLLARY 3.2. *Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 hold and, in addition, there exists a point $v_0 \in H \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$\langle \Phi(0), v_0 \rangle > \varphi(v_0) - \varphi(0). \quad (3.4)$$

Then problem (1.3) has at least a nontrivial solution in B_r .

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.1, we find $\bar{x} \in B_r$ verifying (1.3). In view of (3.4), one obtains that $\bar{x} \neq 0$. $\square$

The next result provides verifiable conditions under which Theorem 3.1 can be applied.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Suppose that conditions (H1) and (H2) are verified as well as $0 \in \partial\varphi(0)$ and that*

(H3') there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\langle x - \Phi(x), x \rangle > 0 \quad \forall x \in H, \|x\| = r. \quad (3.5)$$

Then problem (1.3) has at least a solution in B_r .

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 3.1 observing that assumptions (H3') and $0 \in \partial\varphi(0)$ imply (H3). $\square$

A second main existence result in solving problem (1.3) is now given.

THEOREM 3.4. *Assume that (H1), (H2) hold and that*

(H3'') there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\Phi(x)) - \varphi(x) &< \|\Phi(x) - x\|^2 \quad \forall x \in H, \|x\| = r, \\ \deg(\text{id}_H - \Phi, B_r, 0) &\neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Then problem (1.3) has at least a solution in B_r .

Proof. We apply [Proposition 2.3](#) with $\chi = \Phi$. This is possible because relation (2.19) is fulfilled for $\chi = \Phi$. It turns out from [Proposition 2.3](#) that

$$\deg(\text{id}_H - P_\varphi \Phi, B_r, 0) = \deg(\text{id}_H - \Phi, B_r, 0). \quad (3.7)$$

According to assumption (H3''), we infer that

$$\deg(\text{id}_H - P_\varphi \Phi, B_r, 0) \neq 0. \quad (3.8)$$

It follows that there exists $\bar{x} \in B_r$ such that $\bar{x} = P_\varphi(\Phi(\bar{x}))$. This allows us to conclude. $\square$

[Theorem 3.4](#) gives rise to the following result.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Assume the hypotheses of [Theorem 3.4](#) hold and that there exists a point $v_0 \in H \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (3.4). Then problem (1.3) admits at least a nontrivial solution in B_r .*

Proof. The existence of a solution follows from [Theorem 3.4](#). The obtained solution $\bar{x} \in B_r$ of problem (1.3) is nontrivial because (3.4) prevents having $\bar{x} = 0$. $\square$

We have the following significant case of [Theorem 3.4](#).

COROLLARY 3.6. *Suppose that (H1) holds and that*

(H2') $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $K > 0$, that is,

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq K\|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in H; \quad (3.9)$$

(H3''') there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \Phi(x)\| &> K \quad \forall x \in H \text{ with } \|x\| = r, \\ \deg(\text{id}_H - \Phi, B_r, 0) &\neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Then problem (1.3) has at least a solution in B_r .

Proof. It is worth noting that because a Lipschitz continuous function is bounded on bounded sets, assumption (H2') assures that (H2) is satisfied. We see again from (H2') that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\Phi(x)) - \varphi(x) - \|\Phi(x) - x\|^2 &\leq K\|x - \Phi(x)\| - \|x - \Phi(x)\|^2 \\ &= \|x - \Phi(x)\|(K - \|x - \Phi(x)\|). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Thus, due to (H3'''), we have

$$\varphi(\Phi(x)) - \varphi(x) - \|\Phi(x) - x\|^2 < 0 \quad \forall x \in H, \|x\| = r. \quad (3.12)$$

Since (H3'') holds, the conclusion follows from [Theorem 3.4](#). $\square$

We point out a relevant special case of [Corollary 3.6](#).

THEOREM 3.7. Assume that (H2') holds and that

(H4) $A : H \rightarrow H$ is a linear topological isomorphism such that $\text{id}_H - A$ is a compact mapping.

Then, for every $f \in H$, there exists $\bar{x} \in H$ such that

$$\langle A\bar{x} - f, v - \bar{x} \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in H. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. Fix $f \in H$. According to assumption (H4), the mapping $\Phi : H \rightarrow H$ defined by

$$\Phi(x) = x - Ax + f, \quad \forall x \in H, \quad (3.14)$$

is compact, so condition (H1) is verified. Since A is invertible, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\|Ax\| \geq c\|x\|$ for all $x \in H$. Fix a number

$$r > \max \left\{ \frac{K + \|f\|}{c}, \|A^{-1}f\| \right\}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $K > 0$ is the Lipschitz constant in (H2'). For $\|x\| = r$, it is seen from (3.15) that

$$\|x - \Phi(x)\| = \|Ax - f\| \geq \|Ax\| - \|f\| \geq c\|x\| - \|f\| = cr - \|f\| > K. \quad (3.16)$$

It follows that the first part of condition (H3''') in Corollary 3.6 is fulfilled. We introduce the mapping $h : [0, 1] \times \bar{B}_r \rightarrow H$ by

$$h(\lambda, x) = Ax - \lambda f \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \quad \forall x \in \bar{B}_r. \quad (3.17)$$

We remark that

$$h(\lambda, x) \neq 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \quad \forall x \in H, \text{ with } \|x\| = r. \quad (3.18)$$

Indeed, suppose on the contrary that there exist $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = r$ such that $Ax = \lambda f$. By (3.15), it is known that $\|x\| = \lambda \|A^{-1}f\| < r$, which is a contradiction. Thus the homotopy invariance property of the Leray-Schauder degree can be applied to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\text{id}_H - \Phi, B_r, 0) &= \deg(A - f, B_r, 0) = \deg(h(1, \cdot), B_r, 0) \\ &= \deg(h(0, \cdot), B_r, 0) = \deg(A, B_r, 0) \neq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

since $A \in \text{Isom}(H)$. So the second part of (H3''') is valid too. Therefore the hypotheses of Corollary 3.6 are satisfied. Applying Corollary 3.6 leads to the desired conclusion. $\square$

COROLLARY 3.8. Let X and Y be Hilbert spaces, with Y finite dimensional. Suppose that $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a linear invertible mapping and $\varphi : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function verifying (H2') with $H = X \times Y$. Then, for any $(f, g) \in X \times Y$, there exists $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in X \times Y$ such that

$$\langle \bar{x} - f, v - \bar{x} \rangle + \langle T\bar{y} - g, w - \bar{y} \rangle + \varphi(v, w) - \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq 0 \quad \forall (v, w) \in X \times Y. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. Let $H := X \times Y$. Then the operator $A : H \rightarrow H$ defined by

$$A(x, y) = (x, Ty), \quad \forall (x, y) \in H, \quad (3.21)$$

is a linear topological isomorphism. Since

$$(\text{id}_H - A)(x, y) = (x, y) - (x, Ty) = (0, y - Ty), \quad \forall (x, y) \in H, \quad (3.22)$$

and Y is finite dimensional, it follows that the mapping $\text{id}_H - A$ is compact. The application of [Theorem 3.7](#) completes the proof. $\square$

We illustrate the above result with an application in the finite-dimensional setting.

COROLLARY 3.9. *Suppose that $T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is a real nonsingular matrix and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function verifying (H2') with $H = \mathbb{R}^N$. Then, for any $g \in \mathbb{R}^N$, there exists $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that*

$$\langle T\bar{x} - g, v - \bar{x} \rangle + \varphi(v) - \varphi(\bar{x}) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. It suffices to apply [Corollary 3.8](#) for $X = \{0\}$, $Y = \mathbb{R}^N$, $f = 0$. $\square$

References

- [1] S. Adly and D. Goeleven, *A stability theory for second-order nonsmooth dynamical systems with application to friction problems*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **83** (2004), no. 1, 17–51.
- [2] C. Baiocchi and A. Capelo, *Variational and Quasivariational Inequalities. Applications to Free Boundary Problems*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [3] B. Brogliato, *Nonsmooth Impact Mechanics. Models, Dynamics and Control*, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, vol. 220, Springer-Verlag, London, 1996.
- [4] I. Fonseca and W. Gangbo, *Degree Theory in Analysis and Applications*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 2, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [5] D. Goeleven and D. Motreanu, *Variational and Hemivariational Inequalities: Theory, Methods and Applications. Vol. II. Unilateral Problems*, Nonconvex Optimization and Its Applications, vol. 70, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Massachusetts, 2003.
- [6] D. Goeleven, D. Motreanu, Y. Dumont, and M. Rochdi, *Variational and Hemivariational Inequalities: Theory, Methods and Applications. Vol. I. Unilateral Analysis and Unilateral Mechanics*, Nonconvex Optimization and Its Applications, vol. 69, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Massachusetts, 2003.
- [7] G. Isac, *Complementarity Problems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1528, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [8] D. Kinderlehrer and G. Stampacchia, *An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, vol. 31, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Pennsylvania, 2000.
- [9] N. G. Lloyd, *Degree Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1978.
- [10] M. D. P. Monteiro Marques, *Differential Inclusions in Nonsmooth Mechanical Problems: Shocks and Dry Friction*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993.

- [11] A. Szulkin, *Positive solutions of variational inequalities: a degree-theoretic approach*, J. Differential Equations **57** (1985), no. 1, 90–111.

D. Goeleven: IREMIA, Faculté des Sciences et Technologies, Université de La Réunion, 97400 Saint-Denis, France

E-mail address: daniel.goeleven@univ-reunion.fr

D. Motreanu: Department of Mathematics, Perpignan University, 66860 Perpignan Cedex, France

E-mail address: motreanu@univ-perp.fr

V. V. Motreanu: Department of Mathematics, Perpignan University, 66860 Perpignan Cedex, France

E-mail address: viorica@univ-perp.fr

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk