

GENERIC CONVERGENCE OF ITERATES FOR A CLASS OF NONLINEAR MAPPINGS

SIMEON REICH AND ALEXANDER J. ZASLAVSKI

Received 4 March 2004

Let K be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space. We show that the iterates of a typical element (in the sense of Baire's categories) of a class of continuous self-mappings of K converge uniformly on K to the unique fixed point of this typical element.

1. Introduction

Let K be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. We consider the topological subspace $K \subset X$ with the relative topology induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Set

$$\text{diam}(K) = \sup \{\|x - y\| : x, y \in K\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the set of all continuous mappings $A : K \rightarrow K$ which have the following property:

(P1) for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $x_\epsilon \in K$ such that

$$\|Ax - x_\epsilon\| \leq \|x - x_\epsilon\| + \epsilon \quad \forall x \in K. \quad (1.2)$$

For each $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, set

$$d(A, B) = \sup \{\|Ax - Bx\| : x \in K\}. \quad (1.3)$$

Clearly, the metric space $(\mathcal{A}, d)$ is complete.

In this paper, we use the concept of porosity [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] which we now recall.

Let (Y, ρ) be a complete metric space. We denote by $B(y, r)$ the closed ball of center $y \in Y$ and radius $r > 0$. A subset $E \subset Y$ is called porous in (Y, ρ) if there exist $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that for each $r \in (0, r_0]$ and each $y \in Y$, there exists $z \in Y$ for which

$$B(z, \alpha r) \subset B(y, r) \setminus E. \quad (1.4)$$

A subset of the space Y is called σ -porous in (Y, ρ) if it is a countable union of porous subsets in (Y, ρ) .

Since porous sets are nowhere dense, all σ -porous sets are of the first category. If Y is a finite-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, then σ -porous sets are of Lebesgue measure 0.

To point out the difference between porous and nowhere dense sets, note that if $E \subset Y$ is nowhere dense, $y \in Y$, and $r > 0$, then there are a point $z \in Y$ and a number $s > 0$ such that $B(z, s) \subset B(y, r) \setminus E$. If, however, E is also porous, then for small enough r , we can choose $s = \alpha r$, where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is a constant which depends only on E .

Our purpose in this paper is to establish the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. *There exists a set $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that the complement $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ is σ -porous in $(\mathcal{A}, d)$ and each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ has the following properties:*

(i) *there exists a unique fixed point $x_A \in K$ such that*

$$A^n x \rightarrow x_A \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly } \forall x \in K; \quad (1.5)$$

(ii) *$\|Ax - x_A\| \leq \|x - x_A\|$ for all $x \in K$;*

(iii) *for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a natural number n and $\delta > 0$ such that for each integer $p \geq n$, each $x \in K$, and each $B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $d(B, A) \leq \delta$,*

$$\|B^p x - x_A\| \leq \epsilon. \quad (1.6)$$

2. Auxiliary result

In this section, we present and prove an auxiliary result which will be used in the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) in [Section 3](#).

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then there exist $\bar{x} \in K$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d(A, B) &\leq \epsilon, \\ \|\bar{x} - Bx\| &\leq \|x - \bar{x}\| \quad \forall x \in K. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Choose a positive number

$$\epsilon_0 < 8^{-1} \epsilon^2 (\text{diam}(K) + 1)^{-1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Since $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $\bar{x} \in K$ such that

$$\|Ax - \bar{x}\| \leq \|x - \bar{x}\| + \epsilon_0 \quad \forall x \in K. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $x \in K$. There are three cases:

$$\|Ax - \bar{x}\| < \epsilon; \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|Ax - \bar{x}\| \geq \epsilon, \quad \|Ax - \bar{x}\| < \|x - \bar{x}\|; \quad (2.5)$$

$$\|Ax - \bar{x}\| \geq \epsilon, \quad \|Ax - \bar{x}\| \geq \|x - \bar{x}\|. \quad (2.6)$$

First, we consider case (2.4). There exists an open neighborhood V_x of x in K such that

$$\|Ay - \bar{x}\| < \epsilon \quad \forall y \in V_x. \quad (2.7)$$

Define $\psi_x : V_x \rightarrow K$ by

$$\psi_x(y) = \bar{x}, \quad y \in V_x. \quad (2.8)$$

Clearly, for all $y \in V_x$,

$$0 = \|\psi_x(y) - \bar{x}\| \leq \|y - \bar{x}\|, \quad \|Ay - \psi_x(y)\| = \|Ay - \bar{x}\| < \epsilon. \quad (2.9)$$

Consider now case (2.5). Since A is continuous, there exists an open neighborhood V_x of x in K such that

$$\|Ay - \bar{x}\| < \|y - \bar{x}\| \quad \forall y \in V_x. \quad (2.10)$$

In this case, we define $\psi_x : V_x \rightarrow K$ by

$$\psi_x(y) = Ay, \quad y \in V_x. \quad (2.11)$$

Finally, we consider case (2.6). Inequalities (2.6), (2.2), and (2.3) imply that

$$\|x - \bar{x}\| \geq \|Ax - \bar{x}\| - \epsilon_0 > \frac{7}{8}\epsilon. \quad (2.12)$$

For each $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, set

$$z(\gamma) = \gamma Ax + (1 - \gamma)\bar{x}. \quad (2.13)$$

By (2.13), (2.6), and (2.12), we have

$$\|z(0) - \bar{x}\| = 0, \quad \|z(1) - \bar{x}\| = \|Ax - \bar{x}\| \geq \|x - \bar{x}\| > \frac{7}{8}\epsilon. \quad (2.14)$$

By (2.2) and (2.14), there exists $\gamma_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|z(\gamma_0) - \bar{x}\| = \|x - \bar{x}\| - \epsilon_0. \quad (2.15)$$

It now follows from (2.13), (2.15), and (2.3) that

$$\gamma_0(\|x - \bar{x}\| + \epsilon_0) \geq \gamma_0\|Ax - \bar{x}\| = \|\gamma_0 Ax + (1 - \gamma_0)\bar{x} - \bar{x}\| = \|z(\gamma_0) - \bar{x}\| = \|x - \bar{x}\| - \epsilon_0, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\gamma_0 \geq (\|x - \bar{x}\| - \epsilon_0)(\|x - \bar{x}\| + \epsilon_0)^{-1} = 1 - 2\epsilon_0(\|x - \bar{x}\| + \epsilon_0)^{-1} \geq 1 - 2\epsilon_0\|x - \bar{x}\|^{-1}. \quad (2.17)$$

Inequalities (2.17) and (2.12) imply that

$$\gamma_0 \geq 1 - 2\epsilon_0\left(\frac{7}{8}\epsilon\right)^{-1}. \quad (2.18)$$

By (2.13), (1.1), (2.18), and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \|z(\gamma_0) - Ax\| &= \|\gamma_0 Ax + (1 - \gamma_0)\bar{x} - Ax\| = (1 - \gamma_0) \|Ax - \bar{x}\| \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_0) \text{diam}(K) \leq 16\epsilon_0(7\epsilon)^{-1} \text{diam}(K) \\ &\leq 3\epsilon_0 \text{diam}(K)\epsilon^{-1} \leq \frac{3}{8}\epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

$$\|z(\gamma_0) - Ax\| \leq \frac{3}{8}\epsilon. \quad (2.20)$$

Relations (2.15) and (2.20) imply that there exists an open neighborhood V_x of x in K such that for each $y \in V_x$,

$$\|z(\gamma_0) - Ay\| < \epsilon, \quad \|z(\gamma_0) - \bar{x}\| < \|y - \bar{x}\|. \quad (2.21)$$

Define $\psi_x : V_x \rightarrow K$ by

$$\psi_x(y) = z(\gamma_0), \quad y \in V_x. \quad (2.22)$$

It is not difficult to see that in all three cases, we have defined an open neighborhood V_x of x in K and a continuous mapping $\psi_x : V_x \rightarrow K$ such that for each $y \in V_x$,

$$\|Ay - \psi_x(y)\| < \epsilon, \quad \|\bar{x} - \psi_x(y)\| \leq \|y - \bar{x}\|. \quad (2.23)$$

Since the metric space K with the metric induced by the norm is paracompact, there exists a continuous locally finite partition of unity $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ on K subordinated to $\{V_x\}_{x \in K}$, where each $\phi_i : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $i \in I$, is a continuous function such that for each $y \in K$, there is a neighborhood U of y in K such that

$$U \cap \text{supp}(\phi_i) \neq \emptyset \quad (2.24)$$

only for a finite number of $i \in I$;

$$\sum_{i \in I} \phi_i(x) = 1, \quad x \in K; \quad (2.25)$$

and for each $i \in I$, there is $x_i \in K$ such that

$$\text{supp}(\phi_i) \subset V_{x_i}. \quad (2.26)$$

Here, $\text{supp}(\phi)$ is the closure of the set $\{x \in K : \phi(x) \neq 0\}$. Define

$$Bz = \sum_{i \in I} \phi_i(z) \psi_{x_i}(z), \quad z \in K. \quad (2.27)$$

Clearly, $B : K \rightarrow K$ is well defined and continuous.

Let $z \in K$. There are a neighborhood U of z in K and $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$ such that

$$U \cap \text{supp}(\phi_i) = \emptyset \quad \text{for any } i \in I \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}. \quad (2.28)$$

We may assume, without loss of generality, that

$$z \in \text{supp}(\phi_{i_p}), \quad p = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.29)$$

Then

$$\sum_{p=1}^n \phi_{i_p}(z) = 1, \quad Bz = \sum_{p=1}^n \phi_{i_p}(z) \psi_{x_{i_p}}(z). \quad (2.30)$$

Relations (2.26), (2.29), and (2.23) imply that for $p = 1, \dots, n$, the following relations also hold: $z \in V_{x_{i_p}}$,

$$\|Az - \psi_{x_{i_p}}(z)\| < \epsilon, \quad \|\bar{x} - \psi_{x_{i_p}}(z)\| \leq \|\bar{x} - z\|. \quad (2.31)$$

By (2.31) and (2.30),

$$\begin{aligned} \|Bz - Az\| &= \left\| \sum_{p=1}^n \phi_{i_p}(z) \psi_{x_{i_p}}(z) - Az \right\| \leq \sum_{p=1}^n \phi_{i_p}(z) \|\psi_{x_{i_p}}(z) - Az\| < \epsilon, \\ \|\bar{x} - Bz\| &= \left\| \bar{x} - \sum_{p=1}^n \phi_{i_p}(z) \psi_{x_{i_p}}(z) \right\| \leq \sum_{p=1}^n \phi_{i_p}(z) \|\bar{x} - \psi_{x_{i_p}}(z)\| \leq \|\bar{x} - z\|, \\ \|Bz - Az\| &< \epsilon, \quad \|\bar{x} - Bz\| \leq \|\bar{x} - z\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Proposition 2.1 is proved. $\square$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For each $C \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in K$, set $C^0x = x$. For each natural number n , denote by $\mathcal{F}_n$ the set of all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ which have the following property:

(P2) there exist $\bar{x} \in K$, a natural number q , and a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|\bar{x} - Ax\| \leq \|\bar{x} - x\| + n^{-1} \quad \forall x \in K, \quad (3.1)$$

and such that, for each $B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $d(B, A) \leq \delta$, and each $x \in K$,

$$\|B^q x - \bar{x}\| \leq n^{-1}. \quad (3.2)$$

Define

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n. \quad (3.3)$$

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Then there exists a unique fixed point $x_A \in K$ such that*

- (i) $A^n x \rightarrow x_A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on K ;
- (ii)

$$\|Ax - x_A\| \leq \|x - x_A\| \quad \text{for all } x \in K; \quad (3.4)$$

(iii) for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a natural number q and $\delta > 0$ such that, for each $B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $d(B, A) \leq \delta$, each $x \in K$, and each integer $i \geq q$,

$$\|B^i x - x_A\| \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Let n be a natural number. Since $A \in \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_n$, it follows from (P2) that there exist $x_n \in K$, an integer $q_n \geq 1$, and a number $\delta_n \geq 0$ such that

$$\|x_n - Ax\| \leq \|x_n - x\| + n^{-1} \quad \forall x \in K, \quad (3.6)$$

and we have the following property:

(P3) for each $B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $d(B, A) \leq \delta_n$, and each $x \in K$,

$$\|B^{q_n} x - x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n}. \quad (3.7)$$

Property (P3) implies that for each $x \in K$, $\|A^{q_n} x - x_n\| \leq 1/n$. This fact implies, in turn, that for each $x \in K$,

$$\|A^i x - x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for any integer } i \geq q_n. \quad (3.8)$$

Since n is any natural number, we conclude that for each $x \in K$, $\{A^i x\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence and there exists $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A^i x$. Inequality (3.8) implies that for each $x \in K$,

$$\left\| \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A^i x - x_n \right\| \leq \frac{1}{n}. \quad (3.9)$$

Since n is again an arbitrary natural number, we conclude further that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A^i x$ does not depend on x . Hence, there is $x_A \in K$ such that

$$x_A = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A^i x \quad \forall x \in K. \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.9) and (3.10),

$$\|x_A - x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n}. \quad (3.11)$$

Inequalities (3.11) and (3.6) imply that for each $x \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - x_A\| &\leq \|Ax - x_n\| + \|x_n - x_A\| \leq \frac{1}{n} + \|Ax - x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n} + \|x - x_n\| + \frac{1}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} + \|x - x_A\| + \|x_A - x_n\| \leq \|x - x_A\| + \frac{3}{n}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

so that

$$\|Ax - x_A\| \leq \|x - x_A\| + \frac{3}{n}. \quad (3.13)$$

Since n is an arbitrary natural number, we conclude that

$$\|Ax - x_A\| \leq \|x - x_A\| \quad \text{for each } x \in K. \quad (3.14)$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose a natural number

$$n > \frac{8}{\epsilon}. \quad (3.15)$$

Property (P3) implies that

$$\|B^i x - x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad (3.16)$$

for each $x \in K$, each integer $i \geq q_n$, and each $B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $d(B, A) \leq \delta_n$. Inequalities (3.16), (3.11), and (3.15) imply that for each $B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $d(B, A) \leq \delta_n$, each $x \in K$, and each integer $i \geq q_n$,

$$\|B^i x - x_A\| \leq \|B^i x - x_n\| + \|x_n - x_A\| \leq \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon. \quad (3.17)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. $\square$

Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1. In order to complete the proof of this theorem, it is sufficient, by Lemma 3.1, to show that for each natural number n , the set $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{F}_n$ is porous in $(\mathcal{A}, d)$.

Let n be a natural number. Choose a positive number

$$\alpha < (16n)^{-1} 2^{-1} \left((\text{diam}(K) + 1)^2 16 \cdot 8n \right)^{-1}. \quad (3.18)$$

Let

$$A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad r \in (0, 1]. \quad (3.19)$$

By Proposition 2.1, there exist $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\bar{x} \in K$ such that

$$d(A, A_0) \leq \frac{r}{8}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\|A_0 x - \bar{x}\| \leq \|x - \bar{x}\| \quad \text{for each } x \in K. \quad (3.21)$$

Set

$$\gamma = 8^{-1} r (\text{diam}(K) + 1)^{-1} \quad (3.22)$$

and choose a natural number q for which

$$1 \leq q \left((\text{diam}(K) + 1)^2 16n \cdot 8r^{-1} \right)^{-1} \leq 2. \quad (3.23)$$

Define $\bar{A} : K \rightarrow K$ by

$$\bar{A}x = (1 - \gamma)A_0x + \gamma\bar{x}, \quad x \in K. \quad (3.24)$$

Clearly, the mapping $\bar{A}$ is continuous and, for each $x \in K$,

$$\|\bar{A}x - \bar{x}\| = \|(1 - \gamma)A_0x + \gamma\bar{x} - \bar{x}\| = (1 - \gamma)\|A_0x - \bar{x}\| \leq (1 - \gamma)\|x - \bar{x}\|. \quad (3.25)$$

Thus, $\bar{A} \in \mathcal{A}$. Relations (1.3), (3.24), (1.1), (3.22), and (3.25) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\bar{A}, A_0) &= \sup \{\|\bar{A}x - A_0x\| : x \in K\} = \sup \{\gamma\|\bar{x} - A_0x\| : x \in K\} \\ &\leq \gamma \operatorname{diam}(K) \leq \frac{r}{8}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Together with (3.20), this implies that

$$d(\bar{A}, A) \leq d(\bar{A}, A_0) + d(A_0, A) \leq \frac{r}{4}. \quad (3.27)$$

Assume now that

$$B \in \mathcal{A}, \quad d(B, \bar{A}) \leq \alpha r. \quad (3.28)$$

Then (3.28), (3.18), and (3.25) imply that for each $x \in K$,

$$\|Bx - \bar{x}\| \leq \|Bx - \bar{A}x\| + \|\bar{A}x - \bar{x}\| \leq \|x - \bar{x}\| + \alpha r \leq \|x - \bar{x}\| + \frac{1}{n}. \quad (3.29)$$

In addition, (3.28), (3.27), and (3.18) imply that

$$d(B, A) \leq d(B, \bar{A}) + d(\bar{A}, A) \leq \alpha r + \frac{r}{4} \leq \frac{r}{2}. \quad (3.30)$$

Assume that $x \in K$. We will show that there exists an integer $j \in [0, q]$ such that $\|B^j x - \bar{x}\| \leq (8n)^{-1}$. Assume the contrary. Then

$$\|B^i x - \bar{x}\| > (8n)^{-1}, \quad i = 0, \dots, q. \quad (3.31)$$

Let an integer $i \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$. By (3.28) and (3.25),

$$\begin{aligned} \|B^{i+1}x - \bar{x}\| &= \|B(B^i x) - \bar{x}\| \leq \|B(B^i x) - \bar{A}(B^i x)\| + \|\bar{A}(B^i x) - \bar{x}\| \\ &\leq d(B, \bar{A}) + \|\bar{A}(B^i x) - \bar{x}\| \leq \alpha r + (1 - \gamma)\|B^i x - \bar{x}\|, \\ \|B^{i+1}x - \bar{x}\| &\leq \alpha r + (1 - \gamma)\|B^i x - \bar{x}\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

When combined with (3.31), (3.18), and (3.22), this inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|B^i x - \bar{x}\| - \|B^{i+1}x - \bar{x}\| &\geq \|B^i x - \bar{x}\| - \alpha r - (1 - \gamma)\|B^i x - \bar{x}\| \\ &= \gamma\|B^i x - \bar{x}\| - \alpha r > (8n)^{-1}\gamma - \alpha r \geq (16n)^{-1}\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

so that

$$\|B^i x - \bar{x}\| - \|B^{i+1}x - \bar{x}\| \geq (16n)^{-1}\gamma. \quad (3.34)$$

When combined with (1.1), this inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(K) \geq \|x - \bar{x}\| - \|B^q x - \bar{x}\| &\geq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (\|B^i x - \bar{x}\| - \|B^{i+1} x - \bar{x}\|) \geq q(16n)^{-1}\gamma, \\ q &\leq \text{diam}(K) \frac{16n}{\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

a contradiction (see (3.22) and (3.23)). The contradiction we have reached shows that there exists an integer $j \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$ such that

$$\|B^j x - \bar{x}\| \leq (8n)^{-1}. \quad (3.36)$$

It follows from (3.28) and (3.25) that for each integer $i \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B^{i+1} x - \bar{x}\| &= \|B(B^i x) - \bar{x}\| \leq \|B(B^i x) - \bar{A}(B^i x)\| + \|\bar{A}(B^i x) - \bar{x}\| \\ &\leq d(\bar{A}, B) + \|\bar{A}(B^i x) - \bar{x}\| \leq \alpha r + \|B^i x - \bar{x}\|, \\ \|B^{i+1} x - \bar{x}\| &\leq \|B^i x - \bar{x}\| + \alpha r. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

This implies that for each integer s satisfying $j < s \leq q$,

$$\|B^s x - \bar{x}\| \leq \|B^j x - \bar{x}\| + \alpha r(s-j) \leq \|B^j x - \bar{x}\| + \alpha r q. \quad (3.38)$$

It follows from (3.36), (3.38), (3.23), and (3.18) that

$$\|B^q x - \bar{x}\| \leq \alpha r q + (8n)^{-1} \leq (2n)^{-1}. \quad (3.39)$$

Thus, we have shown that the following property holds: for each B satisfying (3.28) and each $x \in K$,

$$\|B^q x - \bar{x}\| \leq (2n)^{-1}, \quad \|Bx - \bar{x}\| \leq \|x - \bar{x}\| + \frac{1}{n} \quad (3.40)$$

(see (3.29)). Thus

$$\left\{ B \in \mathcal{A} : d(B, \bar{A}) \leq \frac{\alpha r}{2} \right\} \subset \mathcal{F}_n \cap \{B \in \mathcal{A} : d(B, A) \leq r\}. \quad (3.41)$$

In other words, we have shown that the set $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{F}_n$ is porous in $(\mathcal{A}, d)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments

The work of the first author was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities (Grant 592/00), by the Fund for the Promotion of Research at the Technion, and by the Technion VPR Fund.

References

- [1] F. S. De Blasi and J. Myjak, *Sur la porosité de l'ensemble des contractions sans point fixe* [On the porosity of the set of contractions without fixed points], C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **308** (1989), no. 2, 51–54 (French).
- [2] ———, *On a generalized best approximation problem*, J. Approx. Theory **94** (1998), no. 1, 54–72.
- [3] F. S. De Blasi, J. Myjak, and P. L. Papini, *Porous sets in best approximation theory*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **44** (1991), no. 1, 135–142.
- [4] S. Reich and A. J. Zaslavski, *The set of divergent descent methods in a Banach space is σ -porous*, SIAM J. Optim. **11** (2001), no. 4, 1003–1018.
- [5] ———, *The set of noncontractive mappings is σ -porous in the space of all nonexpansive mappings*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **333** (2001), no. 6, 539–544.
- [6] ———, *Well-posedness and porosity in best approximation problems*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **18** (2001), no. 2, 395–408.

Simeon Reich: Department of Mathematics, The Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel

E-mail address: sreich@tx.technion.ac.il

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080, USA

E-mail address: sreich@math.ucsb.edu

Alexander J. Zaslavski: Department of Mathematics, The Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel

E-mail address: ajzasl@tx.technion.ac.il

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru