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We derive several sufficient conditions for monotonicity of eventually positive solutions on a class of second order perturbed nonlinear difference equation. Furthermore, we obtain a few nonexistence criteria for eventually positive monotone solutions of this equation. Examples are provided to illustrate our main results.

## 1. Introduction

The theory of difference equations and their applications have received intensive attention. In the last few years, new research achievements kept emerging (see [1-7]). Among them, in [3], Saker considered the second order nonlinear delay difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(p_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)+q_{n} f\left(x_{n-\sigma}\right)=0, \quad n \geqslant 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Saker used the Riccati transformation technique to obtain several sufficient conditions which guarantee that every solution of (1) oscillates or converges to zero. In [4], Rath et al. considered the more general second order equations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta\left(r_{n} \Delta\left(y_{n}-p_{n} y_{n-m}\right)\right)+q_{n} G\left(y_{n-k}\right)=0, & n \geqslant 0 \\
\Delta\left(r_{n} \Delta\left(y_{n}-p_{n} y_{n-m}\right)\right)+q_{n} G\left(y_{n-k}\right)=f_{n}, & n \geqslant 0 . \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

They found necessary conditions for the solutions of the above equations to be oscillatory or tend to zero. Following this trend, this paper is concerned with the second order perturbed nonlinear difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(a_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)+P\left(n, x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=Q\left(n, x_{n}, \Delta x_{n}\right), \quad n \geqslant 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a positive sequence, $P, Q: N \times R^{2} \rightarrow R$ are two continuous functions, and $\Delta$ is the forward difference operator defined as $\Delta x_{n}=x_{n+1}-x_{n}$.

In [8], Li and Cheng considered the special case of (3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(p_{n-1} \Delta x_{n-1}\right)+q_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)=0, \quad n \geqslant 0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

They got the sufficient conditions for asymptotically monotone solutions of (4). Enlightened by [8, 9], in this paper, we derive several sufficient conditions for monotonicity of eventually positive solutions on (3) and obtain a few nonexistence criteria for eventually positive monotone solutions of (3). Our results improve and generalize results in [8]. We also provide examples to illustrate our main results.

For convenience, these essential conditions used in main results are listed as follows:
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ there exists a continuous function $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that $x f(x)>0$ for all $x \neq 0$;
$\left(H_{2}\right) f$ is a derivable function and $f^{\prime}(x) \geqslant 0$ for $x \neq 0$;
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ there exist two sequences $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{n}\right\}$, such that $P\left(n, x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) / f\left(x_{n+1}\right) \geqslant p_{n}$ and $Q\left(n, x_{n}, \Delta x_{n}\right) /$ $f\left(x_{n+1}\right) \leqslant q_{n}$ for $x_{n} \neq 0$;
$\left(H_{4}\right) \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} 1 / a_{n}=+\infty, n_{0}$ is a positive integral number,
where $a_{n}, P\left(n, x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$ and $Q\left(n, x_{n}, \Delta x_{n+1}\right)$ are all in (3).

## 2. Main Results

We first state a result which relates a positive sequence and a positive nondecreasing function. Its proof can be found in [8].

Lemma 1 (see [8]). Let $f(x)$ be a positive nondecreasing function defined for $x>0$. Let $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ be a real sequence such that $x_{k}>0$ for $i \leqslant k \leqslant j+1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=i}^{j} \frac{\Delta x_{k}}{f\left(x_{k+1}\right)} \leqslant \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{j+1}} \frac{d u}{f(u)} \leqslant \sum_{k=i}^{j} \frac{\Delta x_{k}}{f\left(x_{k}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. Suppose that conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold, $p_{n}$ and $q_{n}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\left(H_{5}\right) \sum_{s=n_{0}}^{\infty}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right)<+\infty ;
$$

$\left(H_{6}\right) \lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n_{0}}^{n}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) \geqslant 0$
for all $n_{0}$. Then eventually positive solutions of (3) are eventually monotone increasing.

Proof. Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a positive solution of (3), say $x_{n}>$ 0 for $n>N>n_{0}$. If conclusion cannot hold, without any loss of generality, assume $\Delta x_{N} \leqslant 0$, in view of (3) and conditions, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta\left(\frac{a_{n} \Delta x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}\right) & =\frac{\Delta\left(a_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n+1}\right)}-\frac{a_{n}\left(\Delta x_{n}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}+\theta \Delta x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(x_{n+1}\right)}  \tag{6}\\
& \leqslant q_{n}-p_{n} \quad(0<\theta<1)
\end{align*}
$$

by summing (6) from $N$ to $n-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{n} \Delta x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}\right)} \leqslant \frac{a_{N} \Delta x_{N}}{f\left(x_{N}\right)}-\sum_{s=N}^{n-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of condition $\left(H_{6}\right)$, we know $\Delta x_{n}<0$ for $n \geqslant N$. Summing (3) and using ( $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{n} \Delta x_{n} \leqslant & a_{N} \Delta x_{N}-\sum_{s=N}^{n-1} f\left(x_{s+1}\right)\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) \\
= & a_{N} \Delta x_{N}-f\left(x_{n+1}\right) \sum_{s=N}^{n-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& +\sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \Delta f\left(x_{s}\right)\left(\sum_{t=N}^{s-1}\left(p_{t}-q_{t}\right)\right) \\
\leqslant & a_{N} \Delta x_{N}
\end{align*}
$$

By summing (8), we then see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1} \leqslant x_{N}+a_{N} \Delta x_{N} \sum_{s=N}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{s}} \longrightarrow-\infty \quad(\text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts the fact $x_{n}>0$. The proof is complete.

Example 3. Consider the difference equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta\left(\frac{\Delta x_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)+x_{n+1}\left(r\left(n, x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{n^{2}(n+1)}-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{3}}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& \quad=x_{n+1} r\left(n, x_{n}\right), \quad n \geqslant 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $r\left(n, x_{n}\right)$ is any function of $n$ and $x_{n}$. By taking $f(x)=x$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{P\left(n, x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)}{f\left(x_{n+1}\right)}=r\left(n, x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{n^{2}(n+1)}-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{3}}=p_{n}, \\
\frac{Q\left(n, x_{n}, \Delta x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n+1}\right)}=r\left(n, x_{n}\right)=q_{n} . \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

So conditions of Theorem 2 hold. By Theorem 2, (10) has a positive monotone increasing solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}=\{n\}$.

Theorem 4. If conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold, there exist $M>0$ and $j>n_{0}$ for $n_{0} \geqslant M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=j}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{k}} \sum_{s=n_{0}}^{k-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right)>0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then eventually positive solutions $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of (3) are eventually monotone increasing or $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.

Proof. Suppose $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a positive solution of (3), there exists $N>n_{0}$ such that $x_{n}>0$ for $n>N$. Let $\Delta x_{N} \leqslant 0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=j}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{k}} \sum_{s=N}^{k-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right)>0, \quad j>N \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n} \neq 0$, then there exist $T \geqslant N$ and a number $\alpha>0$ such that $x_{n}>\alpha>0$ for $n \geqslant T$; in view of (7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}\right)} \leqslant \frac{a_{N} \Delta x_{N}}{f\left(x_{N}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{a_{n}}-\frac{1}{a_{n}} \sum_{s=N}^{n-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing (14) and making use of Lemma 1, we know

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{x_{j}}^{\alpha} \frac{d u}{f(u)} & \leqslant \int_{x_{j}}^{x_{n+1}} \frac{d u}{f(u)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{a_{N} \Delta x_{N}}{f\left(x_{N}\right)} \sum_{k=j}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{k}}-\sum_{k=j}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{k}} \sum_{s=N}^{k-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

By $\left(H_{4}\right)$, the right side of (15) tends to $-\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, whereas the left side is finite. This contradiction completes our proof.

Example 5. Consider the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\frac{\Delta x_{n}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)+\frac{x_{n+1}}{\sqrt{n}}=\frac{\sqrt{n+2}}{n+1} x_{n+1}, \quad n \geqslant 0 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $f(x)=x$, we have $P\left(n, x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) / f\left(x_{n+1}\right)=$ $1 / \sqrt{n}=p_{n}, Q\left(n, x_{n}, \Delta x_{n}\right) / f\left(x_{n+1}\right)=\sqrt{n+2} / n+1=q_{n}$. So conditions of Theorem 4 hold. By Theorem 4, (16) has a positive monotone increasing solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}=\{\sqrt{n}\}$.

Theorem 6. If conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_{n}} \sum_{s=n_{0}}^{n-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right)>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $n_{0}$. Then eventually positive solutions $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of (3) are eventually monotone increasing or eventually monotone decreasing and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.

Proof. Suppose $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a positive solution of (3), there exists $N>n_{0}$ such that $x_{n}>0$ for $n>N$. Let $\Delta x_{N} \leqslant 0$ and $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 / a_{n} \sum_{s=N}^{n-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right)>0$, then there exists $\beta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a_{n}} \sum_{s=N}^{n-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) \geqslant \beta>0, \quad n \geqslant N \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x_{n} \leqslant-f\left(x_{n}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{a_{n}} \sum_{s=N}^{n-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) \leqslant-\beta f\left(x_{n}\right)<0, \quad n>N \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n} \neq 0$, then there exists $c>0$ such that $x_{n} \geqslant c>0$. There is no harm in assumption $x_{n} \geqslant c$ for $n \geqslant N$. Summing (19), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \leqslant x_{n+1} \leqslant x_{N}-(n+1-N) \beta f(c) \longrightarrow-\infty \quad(n \longrightarrow \infty), \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contrary. The proof is complete.
Example 7. Consider the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(n^{2} \Delta x_{n}\right)+x_{n+1}\left(r\left(n, x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{n+2}\right)=x_{n+1} r\left(n, x_{n}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r\left(n, x_{n}\right)$ is any function of $n$ and $x_{n}$. By taking $f(x)=x$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{P\left(n, x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)}{f\left(x_{n+1}\right)}=r\left(n, x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{n+2}=p_{n}  \tag{22}\\
\frac{Q\left(n, x_{n}, \Delta x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n+1}\right)}=r\left(n, x_{n}\right)=q_{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

So conditions of Theorem 6 hold. By Theorem 6, (21) has a monotone decreasing positive solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}=\{1 / n\}$.

Theorem 8. If conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold and

$$
\left(H_{7}\right) \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=k}^{n} 1 / a_{s} \sum_{t=n_{0}}^{s-1}\left(p_{t}-q_{t}\right)=+\infty
$$

$\left(H_{8}\right)$ for all $\varepsilon>0, \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} d u / f(u)<+\infty$.
Then eventually positive solutions of (3) are eventually monotone increasing.

Proof. Suppose $x_{n}>0$ for $n>N>n_{0},\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a solution of (3), and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=k}^{n} 1 / a_{s} \sum_{t=N}^{s-1}\left(p_{t}-q_{t}\right)=\infty$. If the result does not hold, without any loss of generality, assume $\Delta x_{N} \leqslant 0$. In view of (7), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Delta x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}\right)} & \leqslant \frac{a_{N} \Delta x_{N}}{f\left(x_{N}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{a_{n}}-\frac{1}{a_{n}} \sum_{t=N}^{n-1}\left(p_{t}-q_{t}\right) \\
& \leqslant-\frac{1}{a_{n}} \sum_{t=N}^{n-1}\left(p_{t}-q_{t}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Summing (23) and using Lemma 1, we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{n+1}} \frac{d u}{f(u)} \leqslant \sum_{s=k}^{n} \frac{\Delta x_{s}}{f\left(x_{s}\right)} \leqslant-\sum_{s=k}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{s}} \sum_{t=N}^{s-1}\left(p_{t}-q_{t}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction. The proof is complete.
Remark 9. In Theorems 2 and 4, condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ is essential; that is, the series with positive terms $\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} 1 / a_{n}$ is divergent, but it is not required in Theorems 6 and 8 .

Remark 10. The eventually positive solutions in Theorems 4 and 8 are increasing it is not necessarily so in Theorem 6.

Next, we will derive several nonexistence criteria for eventually positive monotone solutions of (3).

Theorem 11. If conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(p_{k}-q_{k}\right)=+\infty \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (3) cannot have any eventually positive monotone increasing solutions.

Proof of Theorem 11 is obvious. If $x_{n}>0$ is an eventually positive increasing solution, by means of conditions, (7) is a contrary.

Theorem 12. If conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold, and there is a nonnegative and nondegenerate sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=n_{0}}^{n} \varphi_{k+1} / a_{k} \sum_{s=n_{0}}^{k-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right)}{\sum_{k=n_{0}}^{n} \varphi_{k+1} / a_{k}}=\infty \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $n_{0}$. Then, (3) cannot have any eventually positive nondecreasing solutions.

Proof. Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a positive solution of (3), there exists $N>n_{0}$ such that $x_{n}>0$ and $\Delta x_{n} \geqslant 0$ for $n>N$. Multiplying (7) by $\varphi_{n+1} / a_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi_{n+1} \Delta x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}+\frac{\varphi_{n+1}}{a_{n}} \sum_{s=N}^{n-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) \leqslant \frac{\varphi_{n+1}}{a_{n}} \cdot \frac{a_{N} \Delta x_{N}}{f\left(x_{N}\right)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=N}^{n} \frac{\varphi_{k+1}}{a_{k}} \sum_{s=N}^{k-1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) \leqslant \frac{a_{N} \Delta x_{N}}{f\left(x_{N}\right)} \sum_{k=N}^{n} \frac{\varphi_{k+1}}{a_{k}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is contrary to our condition. The proof is complete.

Theorem 13. If $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ hold, $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence, $f(x)$ is a nondecreasing function, and there is a nonnegative sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$, where $\left\{\Delta \varphi_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H_{9}\right) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n_{0}}^{n-1} \varphi_{s+1}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) / a_{s+1}=+\infty \text { for all } n_{0} ; \\
& \left(H_{10}\right) 0<\int_{\varepsilon}^{+\infty} d u / f(u)<+\infty, \varepsilon>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, (3) cannot have any eventually positive monotone increasing solutions.

Proof. Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a solution of (3), and there exists $N>n_{0}$ such that $x_{n}>0$ and $\Delta x_{n}>0$ for $n>N$. Multiplying (3) by $\varphi_{n+1} / a_{n+1} f\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ and summing from $N$ to $n-1$ again, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{\varphi_{s+1}}{a_{s+1} f\left(x_{s+1}\right)} \Delta\left(a_{s} \Delta x_{s}\right) \leqslant \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{\varphi_{s+1}}{a_{s+1}}\left(q_{s}-p_{s}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varphi_{n} \Delta x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}-\frac{\varphi_{N} \Delta x_{N}}{f\left(x_{N}\right)}-\sum_{s=N}^{n-1} a_{s} \Delta x_{s} \Delta\left(\frac{\varphi_{s}}{a_{s} f\left(x_{s}\right)}\right)  \tag{30}\\
& \quad \leqslant \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{\varphi_{s+1}}{a_{s+1}}\left(q_{s}-p_{s}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

As $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\frac{\varphi_{s}}{a_{s} f\left(x_{s}\right)}\right)=\frac{\varphi_{s+1}}{a_{s+1} f\left(x_{s+1}\right)}-\frac{\varphi_{s}}{a_{s} f\left(x_{s}\right)} \leqslant \frac{\Delta \varphi_{s}}{a_{s+1} f\left(x_{s+1}\right)} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{s} \Delta x_{s} \cdot \Delta\left(\frac{\varphi_{s}}{a_{s} f\left(x_{s}\right)}\right) \leqslant \frac{\Delta x_{s} \Delta \varphi_{s}}{f\left(x_{s+1}\right)} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (30), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi_{n} \Delta x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}-\frac{\varphi_{N} \Delta x_{N}}{f\left(x_{N}\right)}+\sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{\varphi_{s+1}}{a_{s+1}}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) \leqslant \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{\Delta x_{s} \Delta \varphi_{s}}{f\left(x_{s+1}\right)}, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

using Lemma 1 and conditions, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{\Delta x_{s} \Delta \varphi_{s}}{f\left(x_{s+1}\right)} \leqslant M \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} \frac{\Delta x_{s}}{f\left(x_{s+1}\right)} \leqslant M \int_{x_{N}}^{+\infty} \frac{d u}{f(u)}, \quad M>0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that the left-hand side of (33) is bounded, this is contrary to our condition $\left(H_{9}\right)$. The proof is complete.

By means of proof of Theorem 13, we get
Corollary 14. If $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)$, and $\left(H_{9}\right)$ hold, $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence, $f(x)$ is a nondecreasing function, and there is a nonnegative sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\},\left\{\Delta \varphi_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, and $0<\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} d u / f(u)<+\infty$ for $\varepsilon>0$. Then, (3) cannot have any eventually positive nondecreasing bounded solutions.

Corollary 15. Suppose $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)$, and $\left(H_{9}\right)$ hold, $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence, $f(x)$ is a nondecreasing function, and there is a nonnegative nonincreasing sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$. Then, (3) cannot have any eventually positive monotone increasing solutions.

Theorem 16. Suppose $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)$, and $\left(H_{5}\right)$ hold, $f(x)$ is a nondecreasing function, and
$\left(H_{11}\right) \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n_{0}}^{n} 1 / a_{k} \sum_{s=k}^{\infty}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right)=+\infty$ for all $n_{0} ;$ $\left(H_{12}\right) 0<\int_{\varepsilon}^{+\infty} d u / f(u)<+\infty, \varepsilon>0$.

Then, (3) cannot have any eventually positive nondecreasing solutions.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there exists $N>n_{0}$ such that $x_{n}>0$ and $\Delta x_{n}>0$ for $n>N .\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a solution of (3). By means of (3) and ( $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta\left(a_{n} \Delta x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n+1}\right)} \leqslant\left(q_{n}-p_{n}\right), \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

by summing (35) from $N$ to $n-1$, thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a_{n} \Delta x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n+1}\right)}-\frac{a_{N} \Delta x_{N}}{f\left(x_{N+1}\right)}-\sum_{s=N}^{n-1} a_{s+1} \Delta x_{s+1} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{f\left(x_{s+1}\right)}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant \sum_{s=N}^{n-1}\left(q_{s}-p_{s}\right) \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

As $f(x)$ is a nondecreasing function, we know $\Delta x_{s+1} \Delta\left(1 / f\left(x_{s+1}\right)\right) \leqslant 0$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=N}^{\infty}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) \leqslant \frac{a_{N} \Delta x_{N}}{f\left(x_{N+1}\right)} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Lemma 1, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{N=T}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{N}} \sum_{s=N}^{\infty}\left(p_{s}-q_{s}\right) \leqslant \sum_{N=T}^{n} \frac{\Delta x_{N}}{f\left(x_{N+1}\right)} \leqslant \int_{x_{T}}^{x_{n+1}} \frac{d u}{f(u)} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradiction establishes our assertion.
By means of proof of Theorem 16, we obtain the following.
Corollary 17. Suppose $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{3}\right),\left(H_{5}\right)$, and $\left(H_{11}\right)$ hold, $f(x)$ is a nondecreasing function. Then (3) cannot have any eventually positive nondecreasing bounded solutions.
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