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#### Abstract

A ratio-dependent predator-prey system with Holling type III functional response and feedback controls is proposed. By constructing a suitable Lyapunov function and using the comparison theorem of difference equation, sufficient conditions which ensure the permanence and global attractivity of the system are obtained. After that, under some suitable conditions, we show that the predator species $y$ will be driven to extinction. Examples together with their numerical simulations show that the main results are verifiable.
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## 1. Introduction

Wang and $\mathrm{Li}[1,2]$ established verifiable criteria for the existence of globally attractive positive periodic solutions of the following delayed predator-prey model with Holling type III functional response:

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{1}^{\prime}(t)=N_{1}(t)\left(b_{1}(t)-a_{1}(t) N_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}(t)\right)-\frac{\alpha_{1}(t) N_{1}(t)}{1+m N_{1}^{2}(t)} N_{2}(t-\sigma(t))\right), \\
N_{2}^{\prime}(t)=N_{2}(t)\left(-b_{2}(t)-a_{2}(t) N_{2}(t)+\frac{\alpha_{2}(t) N_{1}^{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}(t)\right)}{1+m N_{1}^{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}(t)\right)}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $N_{1}(t), N_{2}(t)$ are the densities of the prey population and predator population at time $t$, respectively; $b_{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, a_{i}, \tau_{i}, \sigma, \alpha_{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)(i=1,2)$ are continuous functions of period $T$ and $\int_{0}^{T} b_{i}(t) d t>0, \alpha_{i}(t) \neq 0 ; m$ is a nonnegative constant. For more works on the
predator-prey system with Holling type functional response, one could refer to [3-11] and the references cited therein. But, recently, lots of scholars found that when predators have to search for food (and, therefore, have to share or compete for food), a more suitable general predator-prey theory should be based on the so-called ratio-dependent theory, which can be roughly stated as that the per capita predator growth rate should be the so-called ratiodependent functional response. This is strongly supported by numerous fields and laboratory experiments and observations [12, 13]. In [14], Wang and Li proposed the following ratiodependent predator-prey system with Holling type III functional response:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime}(t)=x(t)\left(a(t)-b(t) \int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s) x(s) d s\right)-\frac{c(t) x^{2}(t) y(t)}{m^{2} y^{2}(t)+x^{2}(t)}  \tag{1.2}\\
y^{\prime}(t)=y(t)\left(\frac{e(t) x^{2}(t-\tau)}{m^{2} y^{2}(t-\tau)+x^{2}(t-\tau)}-d(t)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $x(t), y(t)$ are the densities of the prey population and predator population at time $t$, respectively, $a(t), b(t), c(t), d(t)$, and $e(t)$ are all positive periodic continuous functions, and $m>0, \tau \geq 0$ are real constants. They found that the criteria for the permanence are exactly the same as those for the existence of positive periodic solution of (1.2). For more works on the ratio-dependent predator-prey system, one could refer to $[15,16]$ and the references cited therein.

On the other hand, when the size of the population is rarely small or the population has nonoverlapping generations, the discrete time models are more appropriate than the continuous ones $[17,18]$. For the discrete ratio-dependent predator-prey model with Holling type III functional response, Fan and Li [19] considered the following system:

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{1}(k+1)=N_{1}(k) \exp \left\{b_{1}(k)-a_{1}(k) N_{1}\left(k-\left[\tau_{1}\right]\right)-\frac{a_{1}(k) N_{1}(k) N_{2}(k)}{N_{1}^{2}(k)+m^{2}(k) N_{2}^{2}(K)}\right\},  \tag{1.3}\\
N_{2}(k+1)=N_{2}(k) \exp \left\{-b_{2}(k)+\frac{a_{2}(k) N_{1}^{2}\left(k-\left[\tau_{2}\right]\right)}{N_{1}^{2}\left(k-\left[\tau_{1}\right]\right)+m^{2}(k) N_{2}^{2}\left(k-\left[\tau_{2}\right]\right)}\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

sufficient conditions which ensure the permanence of system (1.3) are obtained.
However, as pointed out by Huo and Li [20], "ecosystem in the real world is continuously disturbed by unpredictable forces which can result in changes in the biological parameters such as survival rates. The question of whether or not an ecosystem can withstand those unpredictable disturbances which persist for a finite period of time is of practical interest in ecology. In the language of control variables, we call the disturbance functions as control variables." For this direction, in [15], Chen and Ji proposed the following system:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{x}_{1}=x_{1}\left[a_{1}(t)-b(t) x-\frac{c_{1}(t)}{x_{1}+\alpha(t) x_{2}}-e_{1}(t) u_{1}\right] \\
\dot{x}_{2}=x_{2}\left[-a_{2}(t)+\frac{c_{2}(t)}{x_{1}+\alpha(t) x_{2}}+e_{2}(t) u_{2}\right]  \tag{1.4}\\
\dot{u}_{1}=h_{1}(t)-d_{1}(t) u_{1}+f_{1}(t) x_{1}, \quad \dot{u}_{2}=h_{2}(t)-d_{2}(t) u_{2}+f_{2}(t) x_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $x_{i}(t)$ stand for the densities of the prey and predator, respectively, $u_{i}(t)(i=1,2)$ is the control variable, $\alpha(t), b(t), a_{i}(t), c_{i}(t), h_{i}(t), d_{i}(t), f_{i}(t)$, and $e_{i}(t)(i=1,2)$ are continuous and strictly positive functions. In this paper, they considered the almost periodic solution of system (1.4). For more work on this direction, one could refer to [15, 21-28].

To the best of the author's knowledge, so far no scholar has considered system (1.3) with feedback controls. This motivates us to propose and study the following discrete ratiodependent predator-prey system with Holling type III and feedback controls:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x(n+1)=x(n) \exp \left\{a(n)-b(n) x(n)-\frac{c(n) x(n) y(n)}{x^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y^{2}(n)}-e_{1}(n) u_{1}(n)\right\}, \\
y(n+1)=y(n) \exp \left\{-d(n)+\frac{f(n) x^{2}(n)}{x^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y^{2}(n)}-e_{2}(n) u_{2}(n)\right\},  \tag{1.5}\\
\Delta u_{1}(n)=-\eta_{1}(n) u_{1}(n)+q_{1}(n) x(n), \quad \Delta u_{2}(n)=-\eta_{2}(n) u_{2}(n)+q_{2}(n) y(n),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $x(t), y(t)$ are the densities of the prey population and predator population at time $t$, respectively, for $i=1,2,\{a(n)\},\{b(n)\},\{c(n)\},\{d(n)\},\{f(n)\},\{m(n)\},\left\{e_{i}(n)\right\},\left\{\eta_{i}(n)\right\}$, and $\left\{q_{i}(n)\right\}$ are all bounded nonnegative sequences such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0<a^{L} \leq a(n) \leq a^{U}, & 0<b^{L} \leq b(n) \leq b^{U} \\
0<c^{L} \leq c(n) \leq c^{U}, & 0<d^{L} \leq d(n) \leq d^{U} \\
0<f^{L} \leq f(n) \leq f^{U}, & 0<m^{L} \leq m(n) \leq m^{U}  \tag{0}\\
0<e_{i}^{L} \leq e_{i}(n) \leq e_{i}^{U}, & 0<\eta_{i}^{L} \leq \eta_{i}(n) \leq \eta_{i}^{U}<1, \\
0 & <q_{i}^{L} \leq q_{i}(n) \leq q_{i}^{U}<1 .
\end{array}
$$

Here, for any bounded sequence $\{a(n)\}, a^{L}=\inf _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\{a(n)\}, a^{U}=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\{a(n)\}$.
By the biological meaning, we will focus our discussion on the positive solutions of system (1.5). So, it is assumed that the initial conditions of system (1.5) are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)>0, \quad y(0)>0, \quad u_{i}(0)>0, \quad i=1,2 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to see that solutions of (1.5) and (1.6) are well defined and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(n)>0, \quad y(n)>0, \quad u_{i}(n)>0, \quad \text { for } k \in \mathbb{N}^{+} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main purpose of this paper is to derive sufficient conditions for the permanence, global attractivity, and extinction of system (1.5).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some useful lemmas. In Section 3, we will study the permanence and global attractivity of system (1.5). In Section 4, we will study the extinction of the predator species $y$. In the last section, numerical simulation is presented to illustrate the feasibility of our main results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Now, let us state several lemmas which will be useful in proving the main results.
First, let us consider the first-order difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(k+1)=A y(k)+B, \quad k=1,2 \ldots \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ are positive constants. The following Lemma 2.1 is a direct corollary of Theorem 6.2 of L. Wang and M. Q. Wang [29, page 125].

Lemma 2.1. Assume that $|A|<1$. For any initial $y(0)$, there exists a unique solution $y(k)$ of (2.1), which can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(k)=A^{k}\left(y(0)-y^{*}\right)+y^{*} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y^{*}=B /(1-A)$. Thus, for any solutions $y(k)$ of system (2.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} y(k)=y^{*} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following comparison theorem for difference equation is Theorem 2.1 of [29, page 241].

Lemma 2.2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{k_{0}}^{+}=\left\{k_{0}, k_{0}+1, \ldots, k_{0}+l, \ldots\right\}$ and $r \geq 0$. For any fixed $k, g(k, r)$ is a nondecreasing function with respect to $r$, and for $k \geq k_{0}$, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(k+1) \leq g(k, y(k)), \quad u(k+1) \geq g(k, u(k)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $y\left(k_{0}\right) \leq u\left(k_{0}\right)$, then $y(k) \leq u(k)$ for all $k \geq k_{0}$.
The following Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 can be found in [21].
Lemma 2.3 (see [21]). Assume that $x(n)$ satisfies $x(n)>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(n+1) \leq x(n) \exp (r(n)-a(n) x(n)) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $r(n)$ and $a(n)$ are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x(n) \leq \frac{1}{a^{L}} \exp \left(r^{U}-1\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4 (see [21]). Assume that $\{x(n)\}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(n+1) \geq x(n) \exp (r(n)-a(n) x(n)), \quad k \geq N_{0} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x(n) \leq x^{*}$ and $x\left(N_{0}\right)>0$, where $r(n)$ and $a(n)$ are nonnegative sequences bounded above and below by positive constants and $N_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x(n) \geq \frac{r^{L} \exp \left\{r^{L}-a^{U} x^{*}\right\}}{a^{U}} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Permanence and global attractivity

Now, we investigate the permanence and global attractivity of system (1.5).
Theorem 3.1. Assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
a^{L}-\frac{c^{U}}{2 m^{L}}-e_{1}^{U} W_{1}>0,  \tag{1}\\
f^{L}-d^{U}-e_{2}^{U} W_{2}>0 \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold, then the species $x$ and $y$ are permanent, that is, for any positive solution $\left(x(n), y(n), u_{1}(n)\right.$, $u_{2}(n)$ ) of system (1.5) with the initial conditions (1.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x(n) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x(n) \leq M_{1}, \\
& m_{2} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y(n) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y(n) \leq M_{2}, \\
& w_{1} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{1}(n) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{1}(n) \leq W_{1},  \tag{3.1}\\
& w_{2} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{2}(n) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{2}(n) \leq W_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{1}=\frac{a^{L}-c^{U} / 2 m^{L}-e_{1}^{U} W_{1}}{b^{U}} \exp \left\{a^{L}-b^{U} M_{1}-\frac{c^{U}}{2 m^{L}}-e_{1}^{U} W_{1}\right\}, \\
m_{2}=\frac{2 m_{1}\left(f^{L}-d^{U}-e_{2}^{U} W_{2}\right)}{f^{L} m^{U}} \exp \left\{-d^{U}-e_{2} W_{2}\right\}, \\
w_{1}=\frac{q_{1}^{L} m_{1}}{\eta_{1}^{U}}, \quad w_{2}=\frac{q_{2}^{L} m_{2}}{\eta_{2}^{U}},  \tag{3.2}\\
M_{1}=\frac{1}{b^{L}} \exp \left\{a^{U}-1\right\}, \quad M_{2}=\frac{f^{U} M_{1}}{2 d^{L} m^{L}} \exp \left\{f^{U}-d^{L}\right\}, \\
W_{1}=\frac{q_{1}^{U} M_{1}}{\eta_{1}^{L}}, \quad W_{2}=\frac{q_{2}^{U} M_{2}}{\eta_{2}^{L}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. We divided the proof into five steps.
Step 1. We show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x(n) \leq M_{1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first equation of system (1.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(n+1) \leq x(n) \exp \{a(n)-b(n) x(n)\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (3.3) follows immediately from Lemma 2.3. Thus, for any positive $\varepsilon$, there exists an $n_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(n) \leq M_{1}+\varepsilon \quad \forall n>n_{0} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. We prove $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y(n) \leq M_{2}$ by distinguishing two cases.
Case 1. There exists an $n_{1} \geq n_{0}$ such that $y\left(n_{1}+1\right) \geq y\left(n_{1}\right)$. Then, by the second equation of system (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d\left(n_{1}\right)+\frac{f\left(n_{1}\right) x^{2}\left(n_{1}\right)}{x^{2}\left(n_{1}\right)+m^{2}\left(n_{1}\right) y^{2}\left(n_{1}\right)}-e_{2}\left(n_{1}\right) u_{2}\left(n_{1}\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d^{L}+\frac{f^{U} x\left(n_{1}\right)}{2 m^{L} y\left(n_{1}\right)} \geq 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above inequality combined with (3.5) leads to $y\left(n_{1}\right) \leq f^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right) / 2 m^{L} d^{L}$. Thus from the second equation of system (1.5) again we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y\left(n_{1}+1\right) & \leq y\left(n_{1}\right) \exp \left\{-d\left(n_{1}\right)+\frac{f\left(n_{1}\right) x^{2}\left(n_{1}\right)}{x^{2}\left(n_{1}\right)+y^{2}\left(n_{1}\right)}\right\} \\
& \leq y\left(n_{1}\right) \exp \left\{-d^{L}+f^{U}\right\}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \leq \frac{f^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{2 m^{L} d^{L}} \exp \left\{-d^{L}+f^{U}\right\} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} M_{2}^{\varepsilon}
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that $y(n) \leq M_{2}^{\varepsilon}$ for all $n \geq n_{1}$. In fact, suppose there exists $q \geq n_{1}+2$ such that $y(q)>$ $M_{2}^{\varepsilon}$. Let $q_{0}$ be the smallest integer between $n_{1}$ and $q$ such that $y\left(q_{0}\right)>M_{2}^{\varepsilon}$ and $y\left(q_{0}-1\right) \leq M_{2}^{\varepsilon}$. Then $y\left(q_{0}\right)>y\left(q_{0}-1\right)$ implies $y\left(q_{0}\right) \leq M_{2}^{\varepsilon}$, a contradiction. This proves the claim. Setting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.8) leads to $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y(n) \leq M_{2}$.

Case 2. Suppose $y(n+1) \leq y(n)$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$. In this case, $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y(n)$ exists, denoted by $\bar{y}$. We claim that $\bar{y} \leq f^{U} M_{1} / 2 m^{L} d^{L}$. If not, suppose $\bar{y}>f^{U} M_{1} / 2 m^{L} d^{L}$. Choose $\sigma>0$ such that $\sigma<\bar{y}-f^{U} M_{1} / 2 m^{L} d^{L}$. Taking limit in the second equation of system (1.5) produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(-d(n)+\frac{f(n) x^{2}(n)}{x^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y^{2}(n)}-e_{2}(n) u_{2}(n)\right)=0, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is impossible as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d(n)+\frac{f(n) x^{2}(n)}{x^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y^{2}(n)}-e_{2}(n) u_{2}(n) \leq-d^{L}+\frac{f^{U} x(n)}{2 m^{L} y(n)}<-d^{L}+\frac{f^{U} M_{1}}{2 m^{L}(\bar{y}-\sigma)}<0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $n$. This proves the claim. Since $\left(H_{2}\right)$ implies $f^{U} M_{1} / 2 m^{L} d^{L} \leq M_{2}$, we have proved $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y(n) \leq M_{2}$.

Step 3 . We verify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{1}(n) \leq W_{1}, \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{2}(n) \leq W_{2} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any positive $\varepsilon$, there exists $n_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(n) \leq M_{1}+\varepsilon, \quad y(n) \leq M_{2}+\varepsilon \quad \text { for } n \geq n_{2} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n \geq n_{2}$, (3.12) combined with the third equation of (1.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u_{1}(n) \leq-\eta_{1}(n) u_{1}(n)+q_{1}(n)\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(n+1) \leq\left(1-\eta_{1}^{L}\right) u_{1}(n)+q_{1}^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right) \quad \text { for } n \geq n_{2} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{1}(n) \leq \frac{q_{1}^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{\eta_{1}^{L}} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we immediately get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{1}(n) \leq W_{1} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, one can show limsup $\sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{2}(n) \leq W_{2}$.

Step 4. We check

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x(n) \geq m_{1}, \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y(n) \geq m_{2} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{L}-\frac{c^{U}}{2 m^{L}}-e_{1}^{U}\left(W_{1}+\varepsilon\right)>0, \quad f^{L}-d^{U}-e_{2}^{U}\left(W_{1}+\varepsilon\right)>0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for all small enough positive constant $\varepsilon$. For any such $\varepsilon$, there exists $n_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(n) \leq M_{1}+\varepsilon, \quad u_{1}(n) \leq W_{1}+\varepsilon \quad \text { for } n \geq n_{3} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $n \geq n_{3}$, it follows from (3.19) and the first equation of system (1.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(n+1) \geq x(n) \exp \left\{a^{L}-\frac{c^{U}}{2 m^{L}}-e_{1}^{U}\left(W_{1}+\varepsilon\right)-b^{U} x(n)\right\} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Lemma 2.4, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x(n) \geq \frac{a^{L}-c^{U} / 2 m^{L}-e_{1}^{U}\left(W_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{b^{U}} \exp \left\{a^{L}-\frac{c^{U}}{2 m^{L}}-e_{1}^{U}\left(W_{1}+\varepsilon\right)-b^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)\right\} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x(n) \geq m_{1} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for any small positive $\varepsilon_{1}<m_{1} / 2$, it follows from (3.19) and (3.22) that there exists $n_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(n) \geq m_{1}-\varepsilon_{1}, \quad y(n) \leq M_{2}+\varepsilon_{1}, \quad u_{2}(n) \leq W_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq n_{4}$. This, combined with the second equation of system (1.5), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(n+1) \geq y(n) \exp \left\{-d^{U}+f^{L}-e_{2}^{U}\left(W_{2}+\varepsilon_{1}\right)-\frac{f^{L} m^{U} y(n)}{2\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}\right\} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.4, one easily obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y(n) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{2\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)\left(f^{L}-d^{U}-e_{2}^{U}\left(W_{2}+\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right)}{f^{L} m^{U}} \exp \left\{f^{L}-d^{U}-e_{2}^{U}\left(W_{2}+\varepsilon_{1}\right)-\frac{f^{L} m^{U}\left(M_{2}+\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{2\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}\right\} . \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Because of the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon$, it is not difficult to see that $\lim _{\inf }^{n \rightarrow+\infty} 10(n) \geq m_{2}$.
Step 5. Finally, we only show $\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{1}(n) \geq w_{1}$ as the proof of $\lim _{\inf }^{n \rightarrow+\infty}{ } u_{2}(n) \geq w_{2}$ is similar. For any $\varepsilon_{2}>0$ such that $\varepsilon_{2}<m_{1}$, there exists $n_{5}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(n) \geq m_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \quad \text { for } n \geq n_{5} . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and the third equation of system (1.5) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u_{1}(n) \geq-\eta_{1}(n) u_{1}(n)+q_{1}^{L}\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq n_{5}$. Then, for $n \geq n_{5}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(n+1) \geq\left(1-\eta_{1}^{u}\right) u_{1}(n)+q_{1}^{L}\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 immediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{1}(n) \geq \frac{q_{1}^{L}\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right)}{\eta_{1}^{U}} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon_{2} \rightarrow 0$ gives $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{1}(n) \geq w_{1}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
Theorem 3.2. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold. Assume further that there exist positive constants $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \zeta$, and $\delta$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha \min \left\{b^{L}, \frac{2}{M_{1}}-b^{U}\right\}-\alpha \frac{c^{U}}{4\left(m^{L}\right)^{2} m_{2}}-\alpha \frac{c^{U} M_{1}}{4 m_{1}^{2}}-\beta \frac{f^{U} M_{2}}{2 m_{2} m_{1}}-\gamma q_{1}^{U}>\delta,  \tag{3}\\
\beta \min \left\{\frac{2 f^{L}\left(m^{L}\right)^{2} m_{1}^{2} m_{2}}{\left(M_{1}^{2}+\left(m^{U}\right)^{2} M_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}, \frac{2}{M_{2}}-\frac{f^{U} M_{1}}{2 m_{1} m_{2}}\right\}-\alpha \frac{c^{U} M_{1}}{4\left(m^{L}\right)^{2} m_{2}^{2}}-\alpha \frac{c^{U}}{4 m_{1}}-\zeta q_{2}^{U}>\delta,  \tag{4}\\
r \eta_{1}^{L}-\alpha e_{1}^{U}>\delta,  \tag{5}\\
\zeta \eta_{2}^{L}-\beta e_{2}^{U}>\delta . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the species $x$ and $y$ are globally attractive, that is, for any positive solutions $\left(x_{1}(n), y_{1}(n)\right.$, $\left.u_{1}(n), u_{2}(n)\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}(n), y_{2}(n), \widetilde{u_{1}}(n), \widetilde{u_{2}}(n)\right)$ of system (1.5) with the initial conditions (1.6),

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right|=0, & \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right|=0 \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right|=0, & \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{2}(n)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(n)\right|=0 \tag{3.30}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. From conditions $\left(H_{3}\right)-\left(H_{6}\right)$, there exists small enough positive constant $\varepsilon<\min \left\{m_{1} / 2\right.$, $\left.m_{2} / 2\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha \min \left\{b^{L}, \frac{2}{\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}-b^{U}\right\}-\alpha \frac{c^{U}}{4\left(m^{L}\right)^{2}\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}-\alpha \frac{c^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{4\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)^{2}}-\beta \frac{f^{U}\left(M_{2}+\varepsilon\right)}{2\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}-\gamma q_{1}^{U}>\delta, \\
\beta \min \left\{\frac{2 f^{L}\left(m^{L}\right)^{2}\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)^{2}\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}{\left(\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}+\left(m^{U}\right)^{2}\left(M_{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}, \frac{2}{\left(M_{2}+\varepsilon\right)}-\frac{f^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{2\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}\right\} \\
-\alpha \frac{c^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{4\left(m^{L}\right)^{2}\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)^{2}}-\alpha \frac{c^{U}}{4\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)}-\zeta q_{2}^{U}>\delta, \\
\gamma \eta_{1}^{L}-\alpha e_{1}^{U}>\delta \\
\zeta \eta_{2}^{L}-\beta e_{2}^{U}>\delta . \tag{3.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold, for any positive solutions $\left(x_{1}(n), y_{1}(n), u_{1}(n), u_{2}(n)\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}(n)\right.$, $\left.y_{2}(n), \widetilde{u_{1}}(n), \widetilde{u_{2}}(n)\right)$ of system (1.5) with the initial conditions (1.6), it follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(n) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(n) \leq M_{1} \\
& m_{2} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y_{i}(n) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y_{i}(n) \leq M_{2} \\
& w_{i} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{i}(n) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u_{i}(n) \leq W_{i}  \tag{3.32}\\
& w_{i} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \tilde{u}_{i}(n) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \tilde{u}_{i}(n) \leq W_{i}, \quad i=1,2 .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, there exists an $n_{0}>0$ such that, for all $n>n_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1}-\varepsilon \leq x_{i}(n) \leq M_{1}+\varepsilon, \quad m_{2}-\varepsilon \leq y_{i}(n) \leq M_{2}+\varepsilon,  \tag{3.33}\\
& w_{i}-\varepsilon \leq u_{i}(n) \leq W_{i}+\varepsilon, \quad w_{i}-\varepsilon \leq \tilde{u}_{i}(n) \leq W_{i}+\varepsilon, \quad i=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(n)=\left|\ln x_{1}(n)-\ln x_{2}(n)\right| . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from the first equation of system (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1}(n+1)= & \left|\ln x_{1}(n+1)-\ln x_{2}(n+1)\right| \\
\leq & \left|\ln x_{1}(n)-\ln x_{2}(n)-b(n)\left(x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right)\right| \\
& +c(n)\left|\frac{x_{1}(n) y_{1}(n)}{x_{1}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{1}^{2}(n)}-\frac{x_{2}(n) y_{2}(n)}{x_{2}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{2}^{2}(n)}\right| \\
& +e_{1}(n)\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the mean value theorem, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)=\exp \left(\ln x_{1}(n)\right)-\exp \left(\ln x_{2}(n)\right)=\xi_{1}(n)\left(\ln x_{1}(n)-\ln x_{2}(n)\right), \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{1}(n)$ lies between $x_{1}(n)$ and $x_{2}(n)$.
It follows from (3.35) and (3.36) that

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{1}(n+1) \leq & \left|\ln x_{1}(n)-\ln x_{2}(n)\right|-\left(\frac{1}{\xi_{1}(n)}-\left|\frac{1}{\xi_{1}(n)}-b(n)\right|\right)\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right| \\
& \left.\left.+\left|\frac{c(n) x_{1}(n) y_{1}(n) x_{2}(n)}{\left(x_{1}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{1}^{2}(n)\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{2}^{2}(n)\right)}\right| \right\rvert\, x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right) \mid \\
& \left.\left.+\left|\frac{c(n) m^{2}(n) y_{1}^{2}(n) y_{2}(n)}{\left(x_{1}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{1}^{2}(n)\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{2}^{2}(n)\right)}\right| \right\rvert\, x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right) \mid  \tag{3.37}\\
& \left.\left.+\left|\frac{c(n) x_{1}^{2}(n) x_{2}(n)}{\left(x_{1}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{1}^{2}(n)\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{2}^{2}(n)\right)}\right| \right\rvert\, y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right) \mid \\
& \left.\left.+\left|\frac{c(n) m^{2}(n) y_{1}(n) y_{2}(n) x_{1}(n)}{\left(x_{1}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{1}^{2}(n)\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{2}^{2}(n)\right)}\right| \right\rvert\, y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right) \mid \\
& +e_{1}(n) \mid u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}(n) \mid .}
\end{align*}
$$

So, for $n \geq n_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta V_{1}(n) \leq & -\min \left\{b^{L}, \frac{2}{M_{1}+\varepsilon}-b^{U}\right\}\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right|+\frac{c^{U}}{4\left(m^{L}\right)^{2}\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right| \\
& +\frac{c^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{4\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)^{2}}\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right|+\frac{c^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{4\left(m^{L}\right)^{2}\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)^{2}}\left|y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right| \\
& +\frac{c^{U}}{4\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)}\left|y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right|+e_{1}^{U}\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right| \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}(n)=\left|\ln y_{1}(n)-\ln y_{2}(n)\right| . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from the second equation of system (1.5), we have
$V_{2}(n+1)$
$=\left|\ln y_{1}(n+1)-\ln y_{2}(n+1)\right|$
$=\left|\ln y_{1}(n)-\ln y_{2}(n)+f(n)\left(\frac{x_{1}^{2}(n)}{x_{1}^{2}+m^{2}(n) y_{1}^{2}(n)}-\frac{x_{2}^{2}(n)}{x_{2}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{2}^{2}(n)}\right)-e_{2}(n)\left(u_{2}(n)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(n)\right)\right|$
$\leq \left\lvert\, \ln y_{1}(n)-\ln y_{2}(n)-\frac{f(n) m^{2}(n) x_{1}(n)\left(x_{1}(n) y_{2}(n)+y_{1}(n) x_{2}(n)\right)}{\left(x_{1}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{1}^{2}(n)\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{2}^{2}(n)\right)}\right.$
$+\frac{f(n) m^{2}(n) y_{1}(n)\left(x_{1}(n) y_{2}(n)+y_{1}(n) x_{2}(n)\right)}{\left(x_{1}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{1}^{2}(n)\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{2}^{2}(n)\right)}$
$\times\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right|+e_{2}(n)\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right|$.

Using the mean value theorem, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)=\exp \left(\ln y_{1}(n)\right)-\exp \left(\ln y_{2}(n)\right)=\xi_{2}(n)\left(\ln y_{1}(n)-\ln y_{2}(n)\right) \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{2}(n)$ lies between $y_{1}(n)$ and $y_{2}(n)$.

Now, it follows from (3.40) and (3.41) that, for $n>n_{0}$,
$\Delta V_{2}(n)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & -\left(\frac{1}{\xi_{2}(n)}-\left|\frac{1}{\xi_{2}(n)}-\frac{f(n) m^{2}(n) x_{1}(n)\left(x_{1}(n) y_{2}(n)+y_{1}(n) x_{2}(n)\right)}{\left(x_{1}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{1}^{2}(n)\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{2}^{2}(n)\right)}\right|\right)\left|y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right| \\
& +\frac{f(n) m^{2}(n) y_{1}(n)\left(x_{1}(n) y_{2}(n)+y_{1}(n) x_{2}(n)\right)}{\left(x_{1}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{1}^{2}(n)\right)\left(x_{2}^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y_{2}^{2}(n)\right)}\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right|+e_{2}(n)\left|u_{2}(n)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(n)\right| \\
\leq & -\min \left\{\frac{2 f^{L}\left(m^{L}\right)^{2}\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)^{2}\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}{\left(\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}+\left(m^{U}\right)^{2}\left(M_{2}+\varepsilon\right)\right)^{2}}, \frac{2}{\left(M_{2}+\varepsilon\right)}-\frac{f^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{2\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}\right\} \\
& \times\left|y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right|+\frac{f^{U}\left(M_{2}+\varepsilon\right)}{2\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right|+e_{2}^{U}\left|u_{2}(n)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(n)\right| . \tag{3.42}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{3}(n)=\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right| . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from the third equation of system (1.5), one can easily obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{3}(n+1) & =\left|u_{1}(n+1)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n+1)\right| \\
& \leq\left(1-\eta_{1}(n)\right)\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right|+q_{1}(n)\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right| . \tag{3.44}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.44) that, for $n>n_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{3}(n) \leq-\eta_{1}^{L}\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right|+q_{1}^{u}\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right| . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{4}(n)=\left|u_{2}(n)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(n)\right| . \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the analysis of (3.44) and (3.45), we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{4}(n) \leq-\eta_{2}^{L}\left|u_{2}(n)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(n)\right|+q_{2}^{u}\left|y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right| . \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we define a Lyapunov function as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(n)=\alpha V_{1}(n)+\beta V_{2}(n)+\gamma V_{3}(n)+\zeta V_{4}(n) \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n>n_{0}$, it follows from (3.38), (3.42), (3.45), and (3.47) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta V(n) \leq & -\left[\alpha \min \left\{b^{L}, \frac{2}{\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}-b^{U}\right\}-\alpha \frac{c^{U}}{4\left(m^{L}\right)^{2}\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}-\alpha \frac{c^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{4\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-\beta \frac{f^{U}\left(M_{2}+\varepsilon\right)}{2\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}-\gamma q_{1}^{U}\right] \times\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right| \\
- & {\left[\beta \min \left\{\frac{2 f^{L}\left(m^{L}\right)^{2}\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)^{2}\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}{\left(\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}+\left(m^{U}\right)^{2}\left(M_{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}, \frac{2}{\left(M_{2}+\varepsilon\right)}-\frac{2 f^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{2\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)}\right\}\right.} \\
& \left.\quad-\alpha \frac{c^{U}\left(M_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{4\left(m^{L}\right)^{2}\left(m_{2}-\varepsilon\right)^{2}}-\alpha \frac{c^{U}}{4\left(m_{1}-\varepsilon\right)}-\zeta q_{2}^{U}\right] \times\left|y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right| \\
- & -\left(\gamma \eta_{1}^{L}-\alpha e_{1}^{u}\right) \times\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right|-\left(\zeta \eta_{2}^{L}-\beta e_{2}^{U}\right) \times\left|u_{2}(n)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(n)\right| \\
\leq- & \delta\left(\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right|+\left|y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right|+\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right|+\left|u_{2}(n)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(n)\right|\right) . \tag{3.49}
\end{align*}
$$

Summating both sides of the above inequalities from $n_{0}$ to $n$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{p=n_{0}}^{n}(V(p+1)-V(p))  \tag{3.50}\\
& \quad \leq-\delta \sum_{p=n_{0}}^{n}\left(\left|x_{1}(p)-x_{2}(p)\right|+\left|y_{1}(p)-y_{2}(p)\right|+\left|u_{1}(p)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(p)\right|+\left|u_{2}(p)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(p)\right|\right),
\end{align*}
$$

which implies
$V\left(n_{0}\right) \geq \delta \sum_{p=n_{0}}^{n}\left(\left|x_{1}(p)-x_{2}(p)\right|+\left|y_{1}(p)-y_{2}(p)\right|+\left|u_{1}(p)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(p)\right|+\left|u_{2}(p)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(p)\right|\right)+V(n+1)$.

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V\left(n_{0}\right)}{\delta} \geq \sum_{p=n_{0}}^{n}\left(\left|x_{1}(p)-x_{2}(p)\right|+\left|y_{1}(p)-y_{2}(p)\right|+\left|u_{1}(p)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(p)\right|+\left|u_{2}(p)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(p)\right|\right) \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V\left(n_{0}\right)}{\delta} \geq \sum_{p=n_{0}}^{+\infty}\left(\left|x_{1}(p)-x_{2}(p)\right|+\left|y_{1}(p)-y_{2}(p)\right|+\left|u_{1}(p)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(p)\right|+\left|u_{2}(p)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(p)\right|\right) \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right|+\left|y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right|+\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right|+\left|u_{2}(n)-\widetilde{u_{2}}(n)\right|\right)=0 \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{1}(n)-x_{2}(n)\right|=0, & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|y_{1}(n)-y_{2}(n)\right|=0 \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right|=0, & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{1}(n)-\widetilde{u_{1}}(n)\right|=0 \tag{3.55}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

## 4. Extinction of the predator species

This section is devoted to studying the extinction of the predator species $y$.
Theorem 4.1. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d^{L}+f^{U}<0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for any solution $\left(x(n), y(n), u_{1}(n), u_{2}(n)\right)$ of system (1.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y(n)=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From condition $\left(H_{7}\right)$, there exists small enough positive constant $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d^{L}+f^{U}<-\gamma<0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, from (4.2) and the second equation of system (1.5), one can easily obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
y(n+1) & =y(n) \exp \left\{-d(n)+\frac{f(n) x^{2}(n)}{x^{2}(n)+m^{2}(n) y^{2}(n)}-e_{2}(n) u_{2}(n)\right\} \\
& <y(n) \exp \left\{-d^{L}+f^{U}\right\}  \tag{4.3}\\
& <y(n) \exp \{-\gamma\}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(n+1)<y(0) \exp \{-n \gamma\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y(n)=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

## 5. Examples

The following two examples show the feasibility of the main results.
Example 5.1. Consider the following system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(n+1)=x(n) \exp \{ 1.225+0.025 \sin (\sqrt{2} n)-(5.25+0.25 \cos (n)) x(n) \\
&-\frac{((0.0075+0.0025 \sin (n)) y(n) x(n)}{x^{2}(n)+(0.885+0.005 \cos (\sqrt{3} n))^{2} y^{2}(n)} \\
&\left.-(0.002+0.001 \cos (\sqrt{3} n)) u_{1}(n)\right\}, \\
& y(n+1)=y(n) \exp \{ -(0.1125+0.0025 \cos (\sqrt{2} n))  \tag{5.1}\\
&+\frac{(0.195+0.005 \sin (\sqrt{3} n)) x^{2}(n)}{x^{2}(n)+(0.885+0.005 \cos (\sqrt{3} n))^{2} y^{2}(n)} \\
&\left.-(0.0015+0.0005 \sin (n)) u_{2}(n)\right\}, \\
& \Delta u_{1}(n)=-(0.925+0.025 \sin (n)) u_{1}(n)+(0.0375+0.0275 \cos (n)) x(n), \\
& \Delta u_{2}(n)=-(0.925+0.025 \cos (n)) u_{2}(n)+(0.025+0.005 \sin (n)) y(n) .
\end{align*}
$$

One could easily see that there exist $\alpha=0.06, \beta=0.05, \gamma=0.01, \zeta=0.001$, and $\delta=0.00001$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
a^{L}-\frac{c^{U}}{2 m^{L}}-e_{1}^{U} W_{1} \approx 1.2>0, \\
f^{L}-d^{U}-e_{2}^{U} W_{2} \approx 0.04>0, \\
\alpha \min \left\{b^{L}, \frac{2}{M_{1}}-b^{U}\right\}-\alpha \frac{c^{U}}{4\left(m^{L}\right)^{2} m_{2}}-\alpha \frac{c^{U} M_{1}}{4 m_{1}^{2}}-\beta \frac{f^{U} M_{2}}{2 m_{1} m_{2}}-\gamma q_{1}^{U} \approx 0.04512>\delta, \\
\beta \min \left\{\frac{2 f^{L}\left(m^{L}\right)^{2} m_{1}^{2} m_{2}}{M_{1}^{2}+\left(m^{U}\right)^{2} M_{2}^{2}}, \frac{2}{M_{2}}-\frac{f^{U} M_{1}}{2 m_{1} m_{2}}\right\}-\alpha \frac{c^{U} M_{1}}{4\left(m^{L}\right)^{2} m_{2}}-\alpha \frac{c^{U}}{4 m_{1}}-\zeta q_{2}^{U} \approx 0.000013>\delta, \\
r \eta_{1}^{L}-\alpha e_{1}^{U} \approx 0.0072>\delta, \\
\zeta \eta_{2}^{L}-\beta e_{2}^{U} \approx 0.00087>\delta . \tag{5.2}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 1: Dynamics behaviors of system (5.1) with initial conditions $\left(x(0), y(0), u_{1}(0), u_{2}(0)\right)^{T}=$ $(0.31,0.26,0.02,0.08)^{T}$ and $(0.18,0.18,0.01,0.1)^{T}$, respectively.

Clearly, conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{6}\right)$ are satisfied. From Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 , the system is permanent and globally attractive. Numeric simulation (Figure 1) strongly supports our results.

Example 5.2. Consider the following system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(n+1)=x(n) \exp \{ 0.8+0.1 \sin (n)-(11.5+3.5 \cos (n)) x(n) \\
&\left.-\frac{(0.035+0.025 \sin (n)) y(n) x(n)}{x^{2}(n)+(5.75+0.35 \cos (\sqrt{2} n))^{2} y^{2}(n)}-(0.025+0.005 \cos (n)) u_{1}(n)\right\}, \\
& y(n+1)=y(n) \exp \{ -(0.975+0.025 \cos (\sqrt{2} n))+\frac{(0.195+0.005 \sin (\sqrt{3} n)) x^{2}(n)}{x^{2}(n)+(5.75+0.35 \cos (\sqrt{2} n))^{2} y^{2}(n)} \\
&\left.-(0.0015+0.0005 \cos (\sqrt{3} n)) u_{2}(n)\right\}, \\
& \Delta u_{1}(n)=-(0.925+0.025 \sin (n)) u_{1}(n)+(0.0375+0.0275 \cos (n)) x(n), \\
& \Delta u_{2}(n)=-(0.925-0.025 \cos (n)) u_{2}(n)+(0.025+0.005 \sin (n)) y(n) . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By simple computation, we can easily have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d^{L}+f^{U}=-0.8<0 . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: Dynamics behaviors of system (5.2) with initial conditions $\left(x(0), y(0), u_{1}(0), u_{2}(0)\right)^{T}=$ $(0.06,0.08,0.04,0.02)^{T}$.

Thus, condition $\left(H_{7}\right)$ is satisfied; from Theorem 4.1, it follows that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} y(n)=0$. Numeric simulation (Figure 2) strongly supports our result.
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