Hindawi Publishing Corporation Boundary Value Problems Volume 2007, Article ID 79090, 14 pages doi:10.1155/2007/79090

Research Article Existence of Symmetric Positive Solutions for an *m*-Point Boundary Value Problem

Yongping Sun and Xiaoping Zhang

Received 23 June 2006; Revised 17 December 2006; Accepted 11 March 2007

Recommended by Colin Rogers

We study the second-order *m*-point boundary value problem u''(t) + a(t)f(t, u(t)) = 0, 0 < t < 1, $u(0) = u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\eta_i)$, where $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} \le 1/2$, $\alpha_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m-2$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i < 1, m \ge 3$. $a : (0, 1) \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous, symmetric on the interval (0, 1), and maybe singular at t = 0 and t = 1, $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous, and $f(\cdot, x)$ is symmetric on the interval [0, 1] for all $x \in [0, \infty)$ and satisfies some appropriate growth conditions. By using Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem in a cone, we get some existence results of symmetric positive solutions.

Copyright © 2007 Y. Sun and X. Zhang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

The *m*-point boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations arise in a variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics. In the past few years, the existence of positive solutions for nonlinear second-order multipoint boundary value problems has been studied by many authors by using the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem, nonlinear alternative of Leray Schauder, coincidence degree theory, Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem, Leggett-Wiliams fixed point theorem, or lower- and uppersolutions method (see [1-21] and references therein). On the other hand, there is much current attention focusing on questions of symmetric positive solutions for second-order two-point boundary value problems, for example, Avery and Henderson [22], Henderson and Thompson [23] imposed conditions on f to yield at least three symmetric positive solutions to the problem

$$y'' + f(y) = 0, \quad 0 \le t \le 1,$$

 $u(0) = u(1) = 0,$ (1.1)

where $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, +\infty)$ is continuous. Both of the papers [22, 23] make an application of an extension of the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem. Li and Zhang [24] considered the existence of multiple symmetric nonnegative solutions for the second-order boundary value problem

$$-x'' = f(x,x'), \quad 0 \le t \le 1,$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0,$$

(1.2)

where $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, +\infty)$ is continuous. The main tool is the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem. Yao [25] gave the existence of *n* symmetric positive solutions and established a corresponding iterative scheme for the two-point boundary value problem

$$w''(t) + h(t)f(w(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1,$$

$$\alpha w(0) - \beta w(0) = 0, \quad \alpha w(1) + \beta w(1) = 0,$$
(1.3)

where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \ge 0$, and the coefficient h(t) may be singular at both end points t = 0and t = 1. The main tool is the monotone iterative technique. Very recently, by using the Leggett-Wiliams fixed point theorem and a coincidence degree theorem of Mawhin, Kosmatov [26, 27] studied the existence of three positive solutions for a multipoint boundary value problem

$$-u''(t) = a(t)f(t, u(t), |u'(t)|), \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

$$u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i u(\xi_i), \qquad u(1-t) = u(t), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

(1.4)

where $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_n \le 1/2$, $\mu_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, with $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i < 1$, $n \ge 2$.

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of symmetric positive solutions for the following second-order *m*-point boundary value problem (BVP):

$$u''(t) + a(t)f(t, u(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1,$$
(1.5)

$$u(0) = u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\eta_i), \qquad (1.6)$$

where $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} \le 1/2$, $\alpha_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i < 1$, $m \ge 3$. $a: (0,1) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous, symmetric on the interval (0,1), and may be singular at both end points t = 0 and t = 1, $f: [0,1] \times [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous and f(1-t,x) = f(t,x) for all $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times [0,\infty)$. We use Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem in cones and combine it with an available transformation to establish some simple criteria for the existence of at least one, at least two, or many symmetric positive solutions to BVP (1.5)-(1.6).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present some necessary definitions and preliminary results that will be used to prove our main results. In Section 3, we discuss the existence of at least one symmetric positive solution for BVP (1.5)-(1.6). Then we will prove the existence of two or many positive solutions in Section 4, where n is an arbitrary natural number.

2. Preliminaries and lemmas

In this section, we introduce some necessary definitions and preliminary results that will be used to prove our main results. A function *w* is said to be concave on [0, 1] if

$$w(rt_1 + (1-r)t_2) \ge rw(t_1) + (1-r)w(t_2), \quad r, t_1, t_2 \in [0,1].$$
(2.1)

A function *w* is said to be symmetric on [0,1] if

$$w(t) = w(1-t), \quad t \in [0,1].$$
 (2.2)

A function u^* is called a symmetric positive solution of BVP (1.5)-(1.6) if $u^*(t) > 0$, $u^*(1-t) = u^*(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, and (1.5) and (1.6) are satisfied.

We will consider the Banach space C[0,1] equipped with norm $||u|| = \max_{0 \le t \le 1} |u(t)|$. Set

$$C^{+}[0,1] = \{ w \in C[0,1] : w(t) \ge 0, \ t \in [0,1] \}.$$

$$(2.3)$$

We consider first the *m*-point BVP:

$$u'' + h(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{2.4}$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\eta_i), \qquad (2.5)$$

where $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} < 1$.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \neq 1$, $h \in C[0,1]$. Then the m-point BVP (2.4)-(2.5) has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 H(t,s)h(s)ds,$$
 (2.6)

where

$$H(t,s) = G(t,s) + E(s),$$
 (2.7)

$$G(x,y) = \begin{cases} x(1-y), & 0 \le x \le y \le 1, \\ y(1-x), & 0 \le y \le x \le 1, \end{cases} \qquad E(s) = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i G(\eta_i, s).$$
(2.8)

Proof. From (2.4), we have

$$u(t) = -\int_0^t (t-s)h(s)ds + Bt + A.$$
 (2.9)

In particular,

$$u(0) = A,$$

$$u(1) = -\int_{0}^{1} (1 - s)h(s)ds + B + A,$$

$$u(\eta_{i}) = -\int_{0}^{\eta_{i}} (\eta_{i} - s)h(s)ds + B\eta_{i} + A.$$
(2.10)

Combining with (2.5), we conclude that

$$B = \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)h(s)ds,$$

$$A = \frac{1}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{0}^{1} G(\eta_{i},s)h(s)ds.$$
(2.11)

Therefore, the *m*-point BVP (2.4)-(2.5) has a unique solution

$$u(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} (t-s)h(s)ds + t\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)h(s)ds + \frac{1}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\alpha_{i}}\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\alpha_{i}\int_{0}^{1}G(\eta_{i},s)h(s)ds$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1}G(t,s)h(s)ds + \int_{0}^{1}E(s)h(s)ds = \int_{0}^{1}H(t,s)h(s)ds.$$
(2.12)

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} \le 1/2$, $\alpha_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i < 1$. Then

- (1) $H(t,s) \ge 0, t,s \in [0,1], H(t,s) > 0, t,s \in (0,1);$
- (2) $G(1-t, 1-s) = G(t,s), t, s \in [0,1];$
- (3) $\gamma H(s,s) \le H(t,s) \le H(s,s), t,s \in [0,1]$, where

$$\gamma = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}.$$
(2.13)

Proof. The conclusions (1), (2), and the second inequality of (3) are evident. Now we prove that the first inequality of (3) holds. In fact, from $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} \le 1/2$, we know $1 - \eta_i \ge \eta_i$, thus for $s \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$G(\eta_i, s) = \begin{cases} (1 - \eta_i)s, & 0 \le s \le \eta_i \\ \eta_i(1 - s), & \eta_i \le s \le 1 \end{cases} \ge \eta_i s(1 - s) = \eta_i G(s, s), \tag{2.14}$$

which means that

$$\alpha_i G(\eta_i, s) \ge \alpha_i \eta_i G(s, s), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2,$$
(2.15)

and summing both sides from 1 to m - 2, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i G(\eta_i, s) \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i\right) G(s, s).$$
(2.16)

So

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i G(\eta_i, s) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i E(s) \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i\right) [G(s, s) + E(s)].$$
(2.17)

Thus

$$\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\alpha_i+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\alpha_i\eta_i\right)E(s) \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\alpha_i\eta_i\right)\left[G(s,s)+E(s)\right] = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\alpha_i\eta_i\right)H(s,s).$$
(2.18)

Subsequently,

$$E(s) \ge \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \eta_i} H(s, s) = \gamma H(s, s).$$
(2.19)

Therefore,

$$H(t,s) = G(t,s) + E(s) \ge E(s) \ge \gamma H(s,s), \quad t,s \in [0,1].$$
(2.20)

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \neq 1$, $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} < 1$, h(t) be symmetric on [0,1]. Then the unique solution u(t) of BVP (2.4)-(2.5) is symmetric on [0,1].

Proof. For any $t, s \in [0, 1]$, from (2.7) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$u(1-t) = \int_{0}^{1} H(1-t,s)h(s)ds = \int_{0}^{1} G(1-t,s)h(s)ds + \int_{0}^{1} E(s)h(s)ds$$

= $\int_{1}^{0} G(1-t,1-s)h(1-s)d(1-s) + \int_{0}^{1} E(s)h(s)ds$
= $\int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)h(s)ds + \int_{0}^{1} E(s)h(s)ds$
= $\int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)h(s)ds$
= $u(t).$ (2.21)

Therefore,

$$u(1-t) = u(t), \quad t \in [0,1],$$
 (2.22)

that is, u(t) is symmetric on [0,1].

Without loss of generality, all constants η_i in the boundary value condition (1.6) are placed in the interval (0,1/2] because of the symmetry of the solution.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $\alpha_i > 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m - 2 with $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i < 1, 0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} < 1$, $h \in C^+[0,1]$. Then the unique solution u(t) of BVP (2.4)-(2.5) is nonnegative on [0,1], and if $h(t) \neq 0$, then u(t) is positive on [0,1].

Proof. Let $h \in C^+[0,1]$. From the fact that $u''(t) = -h(t) \le 0$, $t \in [0,1]$, we know that u(t) is concave on [0,1]. From (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$u(1) = u(0) = \int_0^1 H(0,s)h(s)ds = \int_0^1 E(s)h(s)ds \ge 0.$$
 (2.23)

It follows that $u(t) \ge 0$, $t \in [0,1]$, and if $h(t) \ne 0$, then u(t) > 0, $t \in [0,1]$.

From the proof of Lemma 2.4, we know that if $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i > 1$, $h \in C^+[0,1]$, then the BVP (2.4)-(2.5) has no positive solution. So in order to obtain positive solution of the BVP (2.4)-(2.5), in the rest of the paper we assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \in (0,1)$.

LEMMA 2.5. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \in (0,1)$, $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} \le 1/2$, $h \in C^+[0,1]$. Then the unique solution u(t) of BVP (2.4)-(2.5) satisfies

$$\min_{t \in [0,1]} u(t) \ge \gamma ||u||, \tag{2.24}$$

 \square

 \Box

where y is as in Lemma 2.2.

Proof. Applying (2.6) and Lemma 2.2, we find that for $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 H(t,s)h(s)ds \le \int_0^1 H(s,s)h(s)ds.$$
(2.25)

Therefore,

$$\|u\| \le \int_0^1 H(s,s)h(s)ds.$$
 (2.26)

On the other hand, for any $t \in [0,1]$, by (2.7) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 H(t,s)h(s)ds \ge \int_0^1 \gamma H(s,s)h(s)ds = \gamma \int_0^1 H(s,s)h(s)ds.$$
(2.27)

From (2.26) and (2.27) we know that (2.24) holds.

We will use the following assumptions.

(A₁) $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} \le 1/2$, $\alpha_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i < 1$; (A₂) $a : (0, 1) \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous, symmetric on (0, 1), and

$$0 < \int_0^1 H(s,s)a(s)ds < +\infty;$$
 (2.28)

(A₃) $f: [0,1] \times [0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ is continuous and $f(\cdot,x)$ is symmetric on [0,1] for all $x \ge 0$.

Define

$$K = \{ w \in C^+[0,1] : w(t) \text{ is symmetric, concave on } [0,1], \min_{0 \le t \le 1} w(t) \ge \gamma \|w\| \}.$$
(2.29)

It is easy to see that *K* is a cone of nonnegative functions in C[0,1]. Define an integral operator $T: E \rightarrow E$ by

$$Tu(t) = \int_0^1 H(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s))ds, \quad t \in [0,1].$$
(2.30)

It is easy to see that BVP (1.5)-(1.6) has a solution u = u(t) if and only if u is a fixed point of the operator T defined by (2.30).

LEMMA 2.6. Suppose that (A_1) , (A_2) , and (A_3) hold, then T is completely continuous and $T(K) \subset K$.

Proof. $(Tu)''(t) = -a(t)f(t, u(t)) \le 0$ implies that Tu is concave, thus from Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5, we know that $T(K) \subset K$. Now we will prove that the operator T is completely continuous. For $n \ge 2$, define a_n by

$$a_{n}(t) = \begin{cases} \inf_{0 < s \le 1/n} a(s), & 0 < t \le \frac{1}{n}, \\ a(t), & \frac{1}{n} < t < 1 - d\frac{1}{n}, \\ \inf_{1 - 1/n \le s < 1} a(s), & 1 - \frac{1}{n} \le t < 1, \end{cases}$$
(2.31)

and define $T_n : K \to K$ by

$$T_n u(t) = \int_0^1 H(t,s) a_n(s) f(s,u(s)) ds.$$
 (2.32)

Obviously, T_n is compact on K for any $n \ge 2$ by an application of Ascoli-Arzela theorem [28]. Denote $B_R = \{u \in K : ||u|| \le R\}$. We claim that T_n converges on B_R uniformly to T as $n \to \infty$. In fact, let $M_R = \max\{f(s,x) : (s,x) \in [0,1] \times [0,R]\}$, then $M_R < \infty$. Since $0 < \int_0^1 H(s,s)a(s)ds < +\infty$, by the absolute continuity of integral, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{e(1/n)} H(s,s) a(s) ds = 0,$$
(2.33)

where $e(1/n) = [0, 1/n] \cup [1 - 1/n, 1]$. So, for any $t \in [0, 1]$, fixed R > 0, and $u \in B_R$,

$$|T_n u(t) - Tu(t)| = \left| \int_0^1 [a(s) - a_n(s)] H(t,s) f(s, u(s)) ds \right|$$

$$\leq M_R \int_0^1 |a(s) - a_n(s)| H(t,s) ds$$

$$\leq M_R \int_{e(1/n)} a(s) H(s,s) ds \longrightarrow 0 (n \longrightarrow \infty),$$
(2.34)

where we have used assumptions (A_1) , (A_2) , and (A_3) and the fact that $H(t,s) \le H(s,s)$ for $t, s \in [0,1]$. Hence the completely continuous operator T_n converges uniformly to T as $n \to \infty$ on any bounded subset of K, and therefore T is completely continuous.

We will use the following notations:

$$f_{0} = \liminf_{x \to +0} \min_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t,x)}{x}, \qquad f_{\infty} = \liminf_{x \to +\infty} \min_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t,x)}{x},$$

$$f^{0} = \limsup_{x \to +0} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t,x)}{x}, \qquad f^{\infty} = \limsup_{x \to +\infty} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t,x)}{x}, \qquad (2.35)$$

$$\Lambda = \left(\int_{0}^{1} H(s,s)a(s)ds\right)^{-1}.$$

Now we formulate a fixed point theorem which will be used in the sequel (cf. [29, 30]).

THEOREM 2.7. Let *E* be a Banach space and let $K \subset E$ be a cone in *E*. Assume Ω_1 and Ω_2 are open subsets of *E* with $0 \in \Omega_1$ and $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$, let $T : K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \to K$ be a completely continuous operator such that

(A) $||Tu|| \le ||u||$, for all $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $||Tu|| \ge ||u||$, for all $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$; or (B) $||Tu|| \ge ||u||$, for all $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $||Tu|| \le ||u||$, for all $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$. Then *T* has a fixed point in $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

3. The existence of single positive solution

In this section, we will impose growth conditions on f which allow us to apply Theorem 2.7 with regard to obtaining the existence of at least one symmetric positive solution for BVP (1.5)-(1.6). We obtain the following existence results.

THEOREM 3.1. Assume that (A_1) , (A_2) , and (A_3) hold. If there exist two constants R_1 , R_2 with $0 < R_1 \le \gamma R_2$ such that

- (D₁) $f(t,x) \leq \Lambda R_1$, for all $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times [\gamma R_1, R_1]$, and $f(t,x) \geq (1/\gamma)\Lambda R_2$, for all $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times [\gamma R_2, R_2]$; or
- (D₂) $f(t,x) \ge (1/\gamma)\Lambda R_1$, for all $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times [\gamma R_1, R_1]$, and $f(t,x) \le \Lambda R_2$, for all $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times [\gamma R_2, R_2]$,

then BVP (1.5)-(1.6) has at least one symmetric positive solution u* satisfying

$$R_1 \le ||u^*|| \le R_2. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. We only prove the case (D_1) . Let

$$\Omega_1 = \{ u : u \in E, \|u\| < R_1 \}, \qquad \Omega_2 = \{ u : u \in E, \|u\| < R_2 \}.$$
(3.2)

For $u \in K$, from Lemma 2.5 we know that $\min_{0 \le s \le 1} u(s) \ge \gamma ||u||$. Therefore, for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$, we have $u(s) \in [\gamma R_1, R_1]$, $s \in [0, 1]$, which imply that $f(s, u(s)) \le \Lambda R_1$. Thus for

 $t \in [0,1]$, we have

$$Tu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s))ds \leq \int_{0}^{1} H(s,s)a(s)f(s,u(s))ds$$

$$\leq \Lambda R_{1} \int_{0}^{1} H(s,s)a(s)ds = R_{1} = ||u||.$$
(3.3)

Therefore,

$$||Tu|| \le ||u||, \quad u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$, we have $u(s) \in [\gamma R_2, R_2]$, $s \in [0, 1]$, which imply that $f(s, u(s)) \ge (1/\gamma)\Lambda R_2$. Thus for $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$Tu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s))ds \ge \frac{1}{\gamma}\Lambda R_{2}\int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)a(s)ds$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{\gamma}\Lambda R_{2}\int_{0}^{1} \gamma H(s,s)a(s)ds = R_{2} = ||u||,$$
(3.5)

which implies that

$$||Tu|| \ge ||u||, \quad u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, from (3.4), (3.6), and Theorem 2.7, it follows that *T* has a fixed point $u^* \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$. So, u^* is a symmetric positive solution of BVP (1.5)-(1.6) with $R_1 \leq ||u^*|| \leq R_2$.

THEOREM 3.2. Assume that (A_1) , (A_2) , and (A_3) hold. If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(D₃) $f_0 > (1/\gamma^2)\Lambda$ and $f^{\infty} < \Lambda$ (particularly, $f_0 = \infty$ and $f^{\infty} = 0$), (D₄) $f^0 < \Lambda$ and $f_{\infty} > (1/\gamma^2)\Lambda$ (particularly, $f^0 = 0$ and $f_{\infty} = \infty$), then BVP (1.5)-(1.6) has at least one symmetric positive solution.

Proof. We only prove the case (D₃). From $f_0 > (1/\gamma^2)\Lambda$, we know that there exists $R_1 > 0$ such that $f(s,x) \ge (1/\gamma^2)\Lambda x$ for $(s,x) \in [0,1] \times [0,R_1]$. Let $\Omega_1 = \{u : u \in E, ||u|| < R_1\}$, then for $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $t \in [0,1]$, we have

$$Tu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s))ds \ge \frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\Lambda\int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)a(s)u(s)ds$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\Lambda\int_{0}^{1}\gamma G(s,s)a(s)\gamma ||u||ds = ||u||.$$
(3.7)

Therefore,

$$||Tu|| \ge ||u||, \quad u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1.$$
(3.8)

On the other hand, from $f^{\infty} < \Lambda$ we know that there exists $\overline{R} > 0$ such that $f(s,x) \le \Lambda x$ for $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times (\overline{R},\infty)$. Let $R_2 > \max\{R_1,(1/\gamma)\overline{R}\}$, and $\Omega_2 = \{u : u \in E,$

 $|u\| < R_2$. Then, for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$, we have $u(s) \ge \gamma ||u|| = \gamma R_2 > \overline{R}$, which implies that $f(u(s)) \le \Lambda u(s)$ for $s \in [0, 1]$. Thus,

$$Tu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s))ds \le \int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)a(s)\Lambda u(s)ds$$

$$\le \Lambda \int_{0}^{1} H(s,s)a(s)||u||ds = ||u||.$$
(3.9)

Hence we have

$$\|Tu\| \le \|u\|, \quad u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2. \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, from (3.8), (3.10), and Theorem 2.7, it follows that *T* has a fixed point $u^* \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$, and thus u^* is a symmetric positive solution of BVP (1.5)-(1.6). \Box

THEOREM 3.3. Assume that (A_1) , (A_2) , and (A_3) hold. If there exists two constants R_1 , R_2 with $0 < R_1 \le R_2$ such that

(D₅) $f(t, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing on $[0, R_2]$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

(D₆) $f(s, \gamma R_1) \ge (1/\gamma)\Lambda R_1$, and $f(t, R_2) \le \Lambda R_2$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, then BVP (1.5)-(1.6) has at least one symmetric positive solution u^* satisfying

$$R_1 \le ||u^*|| \le R_2. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Let

$$\Omega_1 = \{ u : u \in E, \|u\| < R_1 \}, \qquad \Omega_2 = \{ u : u \in E, \|u\| < R_2 \}.$$
(3.12)

For $u \in K$, from Lemma 2.5, we know that $\min_{0 \le t \le 1} u(t) \ge \gamma ||u||$. Therefore, for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$, we have $u(s) \ge \gamma ||u|| = \gamma R_1$ for $s \in [0, 1]$, thus by (D₅) and (D₆), we have

$$Tu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s))ds \ge \int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)a(s)f(s,\gamma R_{1})ds$$

$$\ge \int_{0}^{1} \gamma H(s,s)a(s)\frac{1}{\gamma}\Lambda R_{1}ds = R_{1} = ||u||.$$
(3.13)

Therefore,

$$||Tu|| \ge ||u||, \quad u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1. \tag{3.14}$$

On the other hand, for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$, we have $u(s) \leq R_2$ for $s \in [0,1]$, thus by (D₅) and (D₆), we have

$$Tu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s))ds \leq \int_{0}^{1} H(t,s)a(s)f(s,R_{2})ds$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} H(s,s)a(s)\Lambda R_{2}ds = R_{2} = ||u||.$$
(3.15)

Hence we have

$$||Tu|| \le ||u||, \quad u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2. \tag{3.16}$$

Therefore, from (3.14), (3.16), and Theorem 2.7, it follows that *T* has a fixed point $u^* \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ satisfying $R_1 \leq ||u^*|| \leq R_2$, u^* is a symmetric positive solution of BVP (1.5)-(1.6).

4. The existence of many positive solutions

Now we discuss the multiplicity of positive solutions for BVP (1.5)-(1.6). We obtain the following existence results.

THEOREM 4.1. Assume that (A_1) , (A_2) and (A_3) hold. In addition, suppose that (D_7) $f_0 > (1/\gamma^2)\Lambda$ and $f_{\infty} > (1/\gamma^2)\Lambda$ (particularly, $f_0 = f_{\infty} = \infty$); (D_8) there exists a constant ρ_1 such that

$$f(s,x) \le \Lambda \rho_1, \quad (s,x) \in [0,1] \times [\gamma \rho_1, \rho_1]. \tag{4.1}$$

Then BVP (1.5)-(1.6) *has at least two symmetric positive solutions* u_1 *and* u_2 *satisfying* $0 < ||u_1|| \le \rho_1 \le ||u_2||$.

Proof. At first, in view of $f_0 > (1/\gamma^2)\Lambda$, there exists $r \in (0, \rho_1)$ such that

$$f(s,x) \ge \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \Lambda x, \quad (s,x) \in [0,1] \times [0,r].$$
 (4.2)

Set $\Omega_r = \{u : u \in E, ||u|| < r\}$. Then for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_r$, we have

$$Tu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s))ds \ge \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\Lambda u(s)ds$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{\gamma^{2}}\Lambda \int_{0}^{1} \gamma G(s,s)a(s)\gamma ||u||ds = ||u||,$$
(4.3)

which implies that

$$||Tu|| \ge ||u||, \quad u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_r.$$
(4.4)

Next, since $f_{\infty} > (1/\gamma^2)\Lambda$, there exists $R \in (\rho_1, \infty)$ such that

$$f(s,x) \ge \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \Lambda x, \quad (s,x) \in [0,1] \times [0,R].$$
 (4.5)

Set $\Omega_R = \{u : u \in E, ||u|| < R\}$. For $u \in K$, from Lemma 2.5, we know that $u(s) \ge \gamma ||u||$, for $s \in [0,1]$. Therefore, for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_R$, we have $u(s) \in [\gamma R, R]$, $s \in [0,1]$, which imply

that $f(s, u(s)) \ge (1/\gamma^2)\Lambda u(s) \ge (1/\gamma)\Lambda ||u||$. Thus,

$$Tu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s))ds \ge \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)\frac{1}{\gamma}\Lambda ||u||ds$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{\gamma}\Lambda \int_{0}^{1} \gamma G(s,s)a(s)||u||ds = ||u||,$$
(4.6)

which implies that

$$||Tu|| \ge ||u||, \quad u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_R. \tag{4.7}$$

Finally, set $\Omega_{\rho_1} = \{u : u \in E, \|u\| < \rho_1\}$. For any $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{\rho_1}$, we have $u(s) \in [\gamma \rho_1, \rho_1]$, $s \in [0, 1]$. Thus, from (2.30) and (D₈), we obtain

$$Tu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s))ds \le \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)\Lambda u(s)ds$$

$$\le \Lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(s,s)a(s) ||u||ds = ||u||,$$
(4.8)

which yields

$$||Tu|| \le ||u||, \quad u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_1}. \tag{4.9}$$

Hence, since $r < \rho_1 < R$, from (4.4), (4.7), and (4.9), it follows from Theorem 2.7 that *T* has a fixed point $u_1 \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_{\rho_1} \setminus \Omega_r)$, and a fixed point $u_2 \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_R \setminus \Omega_{\rho_1})$. Both are symmetric positive solutions of BVP (1.5)-(1.6).

Remark 4.2. From the proof, we know that if (D_8) holds and $f_0 > (1/\gamma^2)\Lambda$ (or $f_{\infty} > (1/\gamma^2)\Lambda$), then BVP (1.5)- (1.6) has a symmetric positive solution *u* satisfying $0 < ||u|| \le \rho_1$ (or $||u|| \ge \rho_1$).

In a similar way, we can get the following results.

THEOREM 4.3. Assume that (A_1) , (A_2) , and (A_3) hold. If the following conditions are satisfied.

(D₉) $f^0 < \Lambda$ and $f^{\infty} < \Lambda$ (particularly, $f^0 = f^{\infty} = 0$).

 (D_{10}) There exists a constant ρ_2 such that

$$f(s,x) \ge \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \Lambda \rho_2, \quad (s,x) \in [0,1] \times [\gamma \rho_2, \rho_2].$$
 (4.10)

Then BVP (1.5)-(1.6) *has at least two symmetric positive solutions* u_1 *and* u_2 *satisfying* $0 < ||u_1|| < \rho_2 < ||u_2||$.

Remark 4.4. If (D₁₀) holds and $f^0 < \Lambda$ (or $f^{\infty} < \Lambda$), then BVP (1.5)-(1.6) has a symmetric positive solution *u* satisfying $0 < ||u|| \le \rho_2$ (or $||u|| \ge \rho_2$).

THEOREM 4.5. Assume that (A_1) , (A_2) , and (A_3) hold. If there exist 2n positive numbers r_k , R_k , k = 1, 2, ..., n, with $r_1 < \gamma R_1 < r_2 < \gamma R_2 < \cdots < r_n < \gamma R_n$ such that

(D₁₁) $f(s,x) \le \Lambda r_k$ for $(s,x) \in [0,1] \times [\gamma r_k, r_k]$, and $f(s,x) \ge (1/\gamma)\Lambda R_k$ for $(s,x) \in [0,1] \times [\gamma R_k, R_k]$, k = 1, 2, ..., n; or

(D₁₂) $f(s,x) \ge (1/\gamma)\Lambda r_k$ for $(s,x) \in [0,1] \times [\gamma r_k, r_k]$, and $f(s,x) \le \Lambda R_k$ for $(s,x) \in [0,1] \times [\gamma R_k, R_k]$, k = 1, 2, ..., n,

then BVP (1.5)-(1.6) has n symmetric positive solutions u_k satisfying $r_k \le ||u_k|| \le R_k$ for k = 1, 2, ..., n.

THEOREM 4.6. Assume that (A_1) , (A_2) , and (A_3) hold. If there exist 2n positive numbers $r_1 < R_1 < r_2 < R_2 < \cdots < r_n < R_n$ such that

(D₁₃) $f(t, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing on $[0, R_n]$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$; (D₁₄) $f(s, \gamma r_k) \ge (1/\gamma)\Lambda r_k$, and $f(s, R_k) \le \Lambda R_k$, k = 1, 2, ..., n for all $s \in [0, 1]$, then BVP (1.5)-(1.6) has n symmetric positive solutions u_k satisfying $r_k \le ||u_k|| \le R_k$, k = 1, 2, ..., n.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the referees for valuable suggestions and comments. This project was supported by the NSF of Zhejiang Province of China (Y605144), the Education Department of Zhejiang Province of China (20051897), and Zhejiang University of Media and Communications (2006016).

References

- S. Chen, J. Hu, L. Chen, and C. Wang, "Existence results for *n*-point boundary value problem of second order ordinary differential equations," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 180, no. 2, pp. 425–432, 2005.
- [2] W.-S. Cheung and J. Ren, "Positive solution for *m*-point boundary value problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 303, no. 2, pp. 565–575, 2005.
- [3] W.-S. Cheung and J. Ren, "Twin positive solutions for quasi-linear multi-point boundary value problems," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 167–177, 2005.
- [4] S. Dong and W. Ge, "Positive solutions of an *m*-point boundary value problem with sign changing nonlinearities," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 589–598, 2005.
- [5] Y. Guo, W. Shan, and W. Ge, "Positive solutions for second-order *m*-point boundary value problems," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 151, no. 2, pp. 415–424, 2003.
- [6] G. Infante and J. R. L. Webb, "Nonzero solutions of Hammerstein integral equations with discontinuous kernels," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 272, no. 1, pp. 30– 42, 2002.
- [7] L. Kong and Q. Kong, "Second-order boundary value problems with nonhomogeneous boundary conditions—I," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 278, no. 1-2, pp. 173–193, 2005.
- [8] N. Kosmatov, "Semipositone *m*-point boundary-value problems," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2004, no. 119, pp. 1–7, 2004.
- [9] B. Liu, "Solvability of multi-point boundary value problem at resonance.—part IV," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 143, no. 2-3, pp. 275–299, 2003.
- [10] B. Liu, "Positive solutions of a nonlinear four-point boundary value problems," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 155, no. 1, pp. 179–203, 2004.
- [11] X. Liu, "Nontrivial solutions of singular nonlinear *m*-point boundary value problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 284, no. 2, pp. 576–590, 2003.

- [12] R. Ma, "Existence results of a *m*-point boundary value problem at resonance," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 294, no. 1, pp. 147–157, 2004.
- [13] R. Ma, "Multiple positive solutions for nonlinear *m*-point boundary value problems," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 148, no. 1, pp. 249–262, 2004.
- [14] R. Ma and D. O'Regan, "Solvability of singular second order *m*-point boundary value problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 301, no. 1, pp. 124–134, 2005.
- [15] Y. Sun, "Positive solutions of nonlinear second-order *m*-point boundary value problem," *Non-linear Analysis*, vol. 61, no. 7, pp. 1283–1294, 2005.
- [16] Y. Sun, "Nontrivial solution for a three-point boundary-value problem," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2004, no. 111, pp. 1–10, 2004.
- [17] Y. Sun and L. Liu, "Solvability for a nonlinear second-order three-point boundary value problem," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 296, no. 1, pp. 265–275, 2004.
- [18] X. Xu, "Multiple sign-changing solutions for some *m*-point boundary-value problems," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2004, no. 89, pp. 1–14, 2004.
- [19] X. Xu, "Positive solutions for singular *m*-point boundary value problems with positive parameter," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 291, no. 1, pp. 352–367, 2004.
- [20] G. Zhang and J. Sun, "Positive solutions of *m*-point boundary value problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 291, no. 2, pp. 406–418, 2004.
- [21] Z. Zhang and J. Wang, "On existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to singular multipoint boundary value problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 295, no. 2, pp. 502–512, 2004.
- [22] R. I. Avery and J. Henderson, "Three symmetric positive solutions for a second-order boundary value problem," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 1–7, 2000.
- [23] J. Henderson and H. B. Thompson, "Multiple symmetric positive solutions for a second order boundary value problem," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 128, no. 8, pp. 2373–2379, 2000.
- [24] F. Li and Y. Zhang, "Multiple symmetric nonnegative solutions of second-order ordinary differential equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 261–267, 2004.
- [25] Q. Yao, "Existence and iteration of *n* symmetric positive solutions for a singular two-point boundary value problem," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 47, no. 8-9, pp. 1195–1200, 2004.
- [26] N. Kosmatov, "Symmetric solutions of a multi-point boundary value problem," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 309, no. 1, pp. 25–36, 2005.
- [27] N. Kosmatov, "A symmetric solution of a multipoint boundary value problem at resonance," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2006, Article ID 54121, 11 pages, 2006.
- [28] K. Deimling, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1985.
- [29] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1964.
- [30] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1988.

Yongping Sun: Department of Electron and Information, Zhejiang University of Media and Communications, Hangzhou 310018, Zhejiang, China *Email address*: mathysun@yahoo.com.cn

Xiaoping Zhang: Department of Electron and Information, Zhejiang University of Media and Communications, Hangzhou 310018, Zhejiang, China *Email address*: mathzxp@yahoo.com.cn