Research Article On t-Derivations of BCI-Algebras

G. Muhiuddin and Abdullah M. Al-roqi

Department of Mathematics, University of Tabuk, P.O. Box 741, Tabuk 71491, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to G. Muhiuddin, chishtygm@gmail.com

Received 29 January 2012; Revised 19 April 2012; Accepted 20 April 2012

Academic Editor: Gerd Teschke

Copyright © 2012 G. Muhiuddin and A. M. Al-roqi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce the notion of *t*-derivation of a BCI-algebra and investigate related properties. Moreover, we study *t*-derivations in a *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra and establish some results on *t*-derivations in a *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra.

1. Introduction

The notion of BCK-algebra was proposed by Imai and Iséki in 1966 [1]. In the same year, Iséki introduced the notion of a BCI-algebra [2], which is a generalization of a BCK-algebra. A series of interesting notions concerning BCI-algebras were introduced and studied, several papers have been written on various aspects of these algebras [3–5]. Recently, in the year 2004 [6], Jun and Xin have applied the notion of derivation in BCI-algebras which is defined in a way similar to the notion of derivation in rings and near-rings theory which was introduced by Posner in 1957 [7]. In fact, the notion of derivation in ring theory is quite old and plays a significant role in analysis, algebraic geometry and algebra.

After the work of Jun and Xin (2004) [6], many research articles have appeared on the derivations of BCI-algebras in different aspects as follows: in 2005 [8], Zhan and Liu have given the notion of f-derivation of BCI-algebras and studied p-semisimple BCI-algebras by using the idea of regular f-derivation in BCI-algebras. In 2006 [9], Abujabal and Al-Shehri have extended the results of BCI-algebras. Further, in the next year 2007 [10], they defined and studied the notion of left derivation of BCI-algebras and investigated some properties of left derivation in p-semisimple BCI-algebras. In 2009 [11], Öztürk and Çeven have defined the notion of derivation and generalized derivation determined by a derivation for a complicated subtraction algebra and discussed some related properties. Also, in 2009 [12], Öztürk et al. have introduced the notion of generalized derivation in BCI-algebras and established some results. Further, they have given the idea of torsion free BCI-algebra and explored some properties. In 2010 [13], Al-Shehri has applied the notion of left-right (resp., right-left) derivation in BCI-algebra to B-algebra and obtained some of its properties. In 2011 [14], Ilbira et al. have studied the notion of left-right (resp., right-left) symmetric biderivation in BCI-algebras.

Motivated by a lot of work done on derivations of BCI-algebras and on derivations of other related abstract algebraic structures, in this paper we introduce the notion of *t*-derivations on BCI-algebras and obtain some of its related properties. Further, we characterize the notion of *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra X by using the notion of *t*-derivation and show that if d_t and d'_t are *t*-derivations on X, then $d_t \circ d'_t$ is also a *t*-derivation and $d_t \circ d'_t = d'_t \circ d_t$. Finally, we prove that $d_t * d'_t = d'_t * d_t$, where d_t and d'_t are *t*-derivations on a *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra.

2. Preliminaries

We review some definitions and properties that will be useful in our results.

Definition 2.1 (see [2]). Let X be a set with a binary operation "*" and a constant 0. Then (X, *, 0) is called a BCI algebra if the following axioms are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (i) ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0,
- (ii) (x * (x * y)) * y = 0,
- (iii) x * x = 0,
- (iv) x * y = 0 and $y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$.

Define a binary relation \leq on X by letting x * y = 0 if and only if $x \leq y$. Then (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set. A BCI-algebra X satisfying $0 \leq x$ for all $x \in X$, is called BCK-algebra (see [1]).

In any BCI-algebra X for all $x, y \in X$, the following properties hold.

- (1) (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y.
- (2) x * 0 = x.
- (3) $(x * z) * (y * z) \le x * y$.
- (4) x * 0 = 0 implies x = 0.
- (5) $x \le y \Leftrightarrow x * z \le y * z$ and $z * y \le z * x$. A BCI-algebra X is said to be associative if for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following holds:
- (6) (x*y)*z = x*(y*z) [4]. Let X be a BCI-algebra, we denote $X_+ = \{x \in X \mid 0 \le x\}$, the BCK-part of X and by $G(X) = \{x \in X \mid 0 * x = x\}$, the BCI-G part of X. If $X_+ = \{0\}$, then X is called a *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra. In a *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra X, the following properties hold.
- (7) x * (x * y) = y.
- (8) x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x).
- (9) x * y = 0 implies x = y.
- (10) (x * z) * (y * z) = x * y.
- (11) x * a = x * b implies a = b that is left cancelable.
- (12) a * x = b * x implies a = b that is right cancelable.

Definition 2.2 (see [6]). A subset *S* of a BCI-algebra X is called subalgebra of X if $x * y \in S$ whenever $x, y \in S$.

For a BCI-algebra X, we denote $x \land y = y * (y * x)$ for all $x, y \in X$ [6]. For more details we refer to [3, 5, 6].

3. *t*-Derivations in a BCI-Algebra/*p*-Semisimple BCI-Algebra

The following definitions introduce the notion of *t*-derivation for a BCI-algebra.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a-BCI-algebra. Then for any $t \in X$, we define a self map $d_t : X \to X$ by $d_t(x) = x * t$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 3.2. Let X be a BCI-algebra. Then for any $t \in X$, a self map $d_t : X \to X$ is called a left-right *t*-derivation or (l, r)-*t*-derivation of X if it satisfies the identity $d_t(x * y) = (d_t(x) * y) \land (x * d_t(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Similarly, we get the following.

Definition 3.3. Let X be a BCI-algebra. Then for any $t \in X$, a self map $d_t : X \to X$ is called a right-left *t*-derivation or (r, l)-*t*-derivation of X if it satisfies the identity $d_t(x * y) = (x * d_t(y)) \land (d_t(x) * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Moreover, if d_t is both a (l,r)- and a (r,l)-t-derivation on X, we say that d_t is a t-derivation on X.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a BCI-algebra with the following Cayley table:

For any $t \in X$, define a self map $d_t : X \to X$ by $d_t(x) = x * t$ for all $x \in X$. Then it is easily checked that d_t is a *t*-derivation of *X*.

Proposition 3.5. Let d_t be a self map of an associative BCI-algebra X. Then d_t is a (l, r)-t-derivation of X.

Proof. Let X be an associative BCI-algebra, then we have

$$d_t(x * y) = (x * y) * t$$

= {x * (y * t)} * 0 by Property (6) and (2)
= {x * (y * t)} * [{x * (y * t)} * {x * (y * t)}] by Property (iii)
= {x * (y * t)} * [{x * (y * t)} * {(x * y) * t}] by Property (6)

$$= \{x * (y * t)\} * [\{x * (y * t)\} * \{(x * t) * y\}] \text{ by Property (1)}$$
$$= ((x * t) * y) \land (x * (y * t))$$
$$= (d_t(x) * y) \land (x * d_t(y)).$$

Proposition 3.6. Let d_t be a self map of an associative BCI-algebra X. Then, d_t is a (r, l)-t-derivation of X.

Proof. Let X be an associative BCI-algebra, then we have

$$d_{t}(x * y) = (x * y) * t$$

$$= \{(x * t) * y\} * 0 \text{ by Property (1) and (2)}$$

$$= \{(x * t) * y\} * [\{(x * t) * y\} * \{(x * t) * y\}] \text{ (as } x * x = 0)$$

$$= \{(x * t) * y\} * [\{(x * t) * y\} * \{(x * y) * t\}] \text{ by Property (1)}$$
(3.3)
$$= \{(x * t) * y\} * [\{(x * t) * y\} * \{x * (y * t)\}] \text{ by Property (6)}$$

$$= (x * (y * t)) \land ((x * t) * y) \text{ (as } y * (y * x) = x \land y)$$

$$= (x * d_{t}(y)) \land (d_{t}(x) * y).$$

Combining Propositions 3.5 and 3.6, we get the following Theorem.

(3.2)

Theorem 3.7. Let d_t be a self map of an associative BCI-algebra X. Then, d_t is a t-derivation of X. Definition 3.8. A self map d_t of a BCI-algebra X is said to be t-regular if $d_t(0) = 0$. Example 3.9. Let $X = \{0, a, b\}$ be a BCI-algebra with the following Cayley table:

(i) For any $t \in X$, define a self map $d_t : X \to X$ by

$$d_t(x) = x * t = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } x = 0, a \\ 0 & \text{if } x = b. \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

Then it is easily checked that d_t is (l, r) and (r, l)-t-derivations of X, which is not t-regular.

Abstract and Applied Analysis

(ii) For any $t \in X$, define a self map $d'_t : X \to X$ by

$$d'_t(x) = x * t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, a \\ b & \text{if } x = b. \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

Then it is easily checked that d'_t is (l, r) and (r, l)-t-derivations of X, which is t-regular.

Proposition 3.10. Let d_t be a self map of a BCI-algebra X. Then

- (i) If d_t is a (l, r)-t-derivation of X, then $d_t(x) = d_t(x) \land x$ for all $x \in X$.
- (ii) If d_t is a (r, l)-t-derivation of X, then $d_t(x) = x \wedge d_t(x)$ for all $x \in X$ if and only if d_t is *t*-regular.

Proof of (i). Let d_t be a (l, r)-*t*-derivation of X, then

$$d_{t}(x) = d_{t}(x * 0)$$

$$= (d_{t}(x) * 0) \land (x * d_{t}(0))$$

$$= d_{t}(x) \land \{x * d_{t}(0)\}$$

$$= \{x * d_{t}(0)\} * [\{x * d_{t}(0)\} * d_{t}(x)]$$

$$= \{x * d_{t}(0)\} * [\{x * d_{t}(x)\} * d_{t}(0)]$$

$$\leq x * \{x * d_{t}(x)\} \text{ by Property (3)}$$

$$= d_{t}(x) \land x.$$
(3.7)

But $d_t(x) \land x \le d_t(x)$ is trivial so (i) holds.

Proof of (ii). Let d_t be a (r, l)-*t*-derivation of *X*. If $d_t(x) = x \wedge d_t(x)$ then

$$d_t(0) = 0 \wedge d_t(0)$$

= $d_t(0) * \{d_t(0) * 0\}$
= $d_t(0) * d_t(0)$
= 0 (3.8)

thereby implying d_t is *t*-regular. Conversely, suppose that d_t is *t*-regular, that is $d_t(0) = 0$, then we have

$$d_{t}(x) = d_{t}(x * 0)$$

= $(x * d_{t}(0)) \wedge (d_{t}(x) * 0)$
= $(x * 0) \wedge d_{t}(x)$
= $x \wedge d_{t}(x)$. (3.9)

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.11. Let d_t be a (l, r)-t-derivation of a p-semisimple BCI-algebra X. Then the following hold:

- (i) $d_t(0) = d_t(x) * x$ for all $x \in X$.
- (ii) d_t is one-one.
- (iii) If d_t is t-regular, then it is an identity map.
- (iv) if there is an element $x \in X$ such that $d_t(x) = x$, then d_t is identity map.
- (v) if $x \leq y$, then $d_t(x) \leq d_t(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof of (i). Let d_t be a (l, r)-*t*-derivation of a *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra X. Then for all $x \in X$, we have x * x = 0 and so

$$d_{t}(0) = d_{t}(x * x)$$

$$= (d_{t}(x) * x) \land (x * d_{t}(x))$$

$$= \{x * d_{t}(x)\} * [\{x * d_{t}(x)\} * \{d_{t}(x) * x\}]$$

$$= d_{t}(x) * x \text{ by property (7).}$$

$$(3.10)$$

Proof of (ii). Let $d_t(x) = d_t(y) \Longrightarrow x * t = y * t$, then by property (12), we have x = y and so d_t is one-one.

Proof of (iii). Let d_t be *t*-regular and $x \in X$. Then, $0 = d_t(0)$ so by the above part (i), we have $0 = d_t(x) * x$ and hence by property (9), we obtain $d_t(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore, d_t is the identity map.

Proof of (iv). It is trivial and follows from the above part (iii). \Box

Proof of (v). Let $x \le y$ implying x * y = 0. Now,

$$d_t(x) * d_t(y) = (x * t) * (y * t)$$

= x * y by property (10)
= 0. (3.11)

Therefore, $d_t(x) \leq d_t(y)$. This completes the proof.

Definition 3.12. Let d_t be a *t*-derivation of a BCI-algebra *X*. Then, d_t is said to be an isotone *t*-derivation if $x \le y \Longrightarrow d_t(x) \le d_t(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Example 3.13. In Example 3.9(ii), d'_t is an isotone *t*-derivation, while in Example 3.9(i), d_t is not an isotone *t*-derivation.

Proposition 3.14. Let X be a BCI-algebra and d_t be a t-derivation on X. Then for all $x, y \in X$, the following hold:

- (i) If $d_t(x \wedge y) = d_t(x) \wedge d_t(y)$, then d_t is an isotone t-derivation.
- (ii) If $d_t(x * y) = d_t(x) * d_t(y)$, then d_t is an isotone t-derivation.

Proof of (i). Let $d_t(x \land y) = d_t(x) \land d_t(y)$. If $x \le y \Longrightarrow x \land y = x$ for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, we have

$$d_t(x) = d_t(x \wedge y)$$

= $d_t(x) \wedge d_t(y)$ (3.12)
 $\leq d_t(y).$

Henceforth $d_t(x) \le d_t(y)$ which implies that d_t is an isotone *t*-derivation.

Proof of (ii). Let $d_t(x * y) = d_t(x) * d_t(y)$. If $x \le y \Longrightarrow x * y = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, we have

$$d_{t}(x) = d_{t}(x * 0)$$

= $d_{t} \{ x * (x * y) \}$
= $d_{t}(x) * d_{t}(x * y)$ (3.13)
= $d_{t}(x) * \{ d_{t}(x) * d_{t}(y) \}$
 $\leq d_{t}(y)$ by property (ii).

Thus, $d_t(x) \le d_t(y)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.15. Let d_t be a t-regular (r, l)-t-derivation of a BCI-algebra X. Then, the following hold:

- (i) $d_t(x) \leq x$ for all $x \in X$.
- (ii) $d_t(x) * y \le x * d_t(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (iii) $d_t(x * y) = d_t(x) * y \le d_t(x) * d_t(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- (iv) $\ker(d_t) := \{x \in X : d_t(x) = 0\}$ is a subalgebra of X.

Proof of (i). For any $x \in X$, we have $d_t(x) = d_t(x*0) = (x*d_t(0)) \land (d_t(x)*0) = (x*0) \land (d_t(x)*0) = x \land d_t(x) \le x$.

Proof of (ii). Since $d_t(x) \le x$ for all $x \in X$, then $d_t(x) * y \le x * y \le x * d_t(y)$ and hence the proof follows.

Proof of (iii). For any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$d_{t}(x * y) = (x * d_{t}(y)) \land (d_{t}(x) * y)$$

= { $d_{t}(x) * y$ } * [{ $d_{t}(x) * y$ } * { $x * d_{t}(y)$ }]
= { $d_{t}(x) * y$ } * 0
= $d_{t}(x) * y \le d_{t}(x) * d_{t}(y)$. (3.14)

Proof of (iv). Let $x, y \in \text{ker}(d_t) \Longrightarrow d_t(x) = 0 = d_t(y)$. From (iii), we have $d_t(x * y) \le d_t(x) * d_t(y) = 0 * 0 = 0$ implying $d_t(x * y) \le 0$ and so $d_t(x * y) = 0$. Therefore, $x * y \in \text{ker}(d_t)$. Consequently $\text{ker}(d_t)$ is a subalgebra of *X*. This completes the proof.

Definition 3.16. Let X be a BCI-algebra and let d_t , d'_t be two self maps of X. Then we define $d_t \circ d'_t : X \to X$ by $(d_t \circ d'_t)(x) = d_t(d'_t(x))$ for all $x \in X$.

Example 3.17. Let $X = \{0, a, b\}$ be a BCI algebra which is given in Example 3.4. Let d_t and d'_t be two self maps on X as defined in Example 3.9(i) and Example 3.9(ii), respectively.

Now, define a self map $d_t \circ d'_t : X \to X$ by

$$(d_t \circ d'_t)(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = a, b \\ b & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.15)

Then, it is easily checked that $(d_t \circ d'_t)(x) = d_t(d'_t(x))$ for all $x \in X$.

Proposition 3.18. Let X be a p-semisimple BCI-algebra X and let d_t , d'_t be (l, r)-t-derivations of X. Then, $d_t \circ d'_t$ is also a (l, r)-t-derivation of X.

Proof. Let X be a *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra. d_t and d'_t are (l, r)-*t*-derivations of X. Then for all $x, y \in X$, we get

$$(d_{t} \circ d'_{t})(x * y) = d_{t}(d'_{t}(x * y))$$

$$= d_{t}[(d'_{t}(x) * y) \land (x * d'_{t}(y))]$$

$$= d_{t}[(x * d'_{t}(y)) * \{(x * d'_{t}(y)) * (d'_{t}(x) * y)\}]$$

$$= d_{t}(d'_{t}(x) * y) \text{ by property (7)}$$
(3.16)
$$= \{x * d_{t}(d'_{t}(y))\} * [\{x * d_{t}(d'_{t}(y))\} * \{d_{t}(d'_{t}(x) * y)\}]$$

$$= \{d_{t}(d'_{t}(x) * y)\} \land \{x * d_{t}(d'_{t}(y))\}$$

$$= ((d_{t} \circ d'_{t})(x) * y) \land (x * (d_{t} \circ d'_{t})(y)).$$

Therefore, $(d_t \circ d'_t)$ is a (l, r)-t-derivation of X. Similarly, we can prove the following.

Proposition 3.19. Let X be a p-semisimple BCI-algebra and let d_t , d'_t be (r, l)-t-derivations of X. Then $d_t \circ d'_t$ is also a (r, l)-t-derivation of X.

Combining Propositions 3.18 and 3.19, we get the following.

Theorem 3.20. Let X be a p-semisimple BCI-algebra and let d_t , d'_t be t-derivations of X. Then, $d_t \circ d'_t$ is also a t-derivation of X.

Now, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.21. Let X be a p-semisimple BCI-algebra and let d_t , d'_t be t-derivations of X. Then $d_t \circ d'_t = d'_t \circ d_t$.

Abstract and Applied Analysis

Proof. Let X be a *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra. d_t and d'_t , *t*-derivations of X. Suppose d'_t is a (l, r)-*t*-derivation, then for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$(d_{t} \circ d'_{t})(x * y) = d_{t}(d'_{t}(x * y))$$

= $d_{t}[(d'_{t}(x) * y) \land (x * d'_{t}(y))]$
= $d_{t}[(x * d'_{t}(y)) * \{(x * d'_{t}(y)) * (d'_{t}(x) * y)\}]$
= $d_{t}(d'_{t}(x) * y)$ by property (7).
(3.17)

As d_t is a (r, l)-*t*-derivation, then

$$= (d'_t(x) * d_t(y)) \land (d_t(d'_t(x)) * y) = d'_t(x) * d_t(y).$$
(3.18)

Again, if d_t is a (r, l)-*t*-derivation, then we have

$$(d'_{t} \circ d_{t})(x * y) = d'_{t}[d_{t}(x * y)]$$

= $d'_{t}[(x * d_{t}(y)) \land (d_{t}(x) * y)]$
= $d'_{t}[x * d_{t}(y)]$ by property (7)
(3.19)

But d'_t is a (l, r)-*t*-derivation, then

$$= (d'_t(x) * d_t(y)) \land (x * d'_t(d_t(y))) = d'_t(x) * d_t(y).$$
(3.20)

Therefore from (3.18) and (3.20), we obtain

$$(d_t \circ d'_t)(x * y) = (d'_t \circ d_t)(x * y).$$
(3.21)

By putting y = 0, we get

$$(d_t \circ d'_t)(x) = (d'_t \circ d_t)(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$$
(3.22)

Hence, $d_t \circ d'_t = d'_t \circ d_t$. This completes the proof.

Definition 3.22. Let X be a BCI-algebra and let d_t , d'_t be two self maps of X. Then we define $d_t * d'_t : X \to X$ by $(d_t * d'_t)(x) = d_t(x) * d'_t(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Example 3.23. Let $X = \{0, a, b\}$ be a BCI algebra which is given in Example 3.4. Let d_t and d'_t be two self maps on X as defined in Example 3.9(i) and Example 3.9(ii), respectively.

Now, define a self map $d_t * d'_t : X \to X$ by

$$(d_t * d'_t)(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = a, b \\ b & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (3.23)

Then, it is easily checked that $(d_t * d'_t)(x) = d_t(x) * d'_t(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.24. Let X be a p-semisimple BCI-algebra and let d_t , d'_t be t-derivations of X. Then $d_t * d'_t = d'_t * d_t$.

Proof. Let X be a *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra. d_t and d'_t , *t*-derivations of X. Since d'_t is a (r, l)-*t*-derivation of X, then for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$(d_t \circ d'_t)(x * y) = d_t [d'_t(x * y)]$$

= $d_t [(x * d'_t(y)) \land (d'_t(x) * y)]$
= $d_t [x * d'_t(y)]$ by property (7).
(3.24)

But d_t is a (l, r)-t-derivation, so

$$= (d_t(x) * d'_t(y)) \land (x * d_t(d'_t(y)))$$

= $d_t(x) * d'_t(y).$ (3.25)

Again, if d'_t is a (l, r)-*t*-derivation of *X*, then for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$(d_{t} \circ d'_{t})(x * y) = d_{t}[d'_{t}(x * y)]$$

= $d_{t}[(d'_{t}(x) * y) \land (x * d'_{t}(y))]$
= $d_{t}[(x * d'_{t}(y)) * \{(x * d'_{t}(y)) * (d'_{t}(x) * y)\}]$
= $d_{t}(d'_{t}(x) * y)$ by property (7).
(3.26)

As d_t is a (r, l)-*t*-derivation, then

$$= (d'_t(x) * d_t(y)) \land (d_t(d'_t(x)) * y) = d'_t(x) * d_t(y).$$
(3.27)

Henceforth from (3.25) and (3.27), we conclude

$$d_t(x) * d'_t(y) = d'_t(x) * d_t(y)$$
(3.28)

By putting y = x, we get

$$d_t(x) * d'_t(x) = d'_t(x) * d_t(x) (d_t * d'_t)(x) = (d'_t * d_t)(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$$
(3.29)

Hence, $d_t * d'_t = d'_t * d_t$. This completes the proof.

4. Conclusion

Derivation is a very interesting and important area of research in the theory of algebraic structures in mathematics. The theory of derivations of algebraic structures is a direct descendant of the development of classical Galois theory (namely, Suzuki [15] and Van der Put and Singer [16, 17]) and the theory of invariants. An extensive and deep theory has been developed for derivations in algebraic structures viz. BCI-algebras, *C**-algebras, commutative Banach algebras and Galois theory of linear differential equations (see, e.g., Jun and Xin [6], Ara and Mathieu [18], Bonsall and Duncan [19], Murphy [20] and Villena [21] where further references can be found). It plays a significant role in functional analysis; algebraic geometry; algebra and linear differential equations.

In the present paper, we have considered the notion of *t*-derivations in BCI-algebras and investigated the useful properties of the *t*-derivations in BCI-algebras. Finally, we investigated the notion of *t*-derivations in a *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra and established some results on *t*-derivations in a *p*-semisimple BCI-algebra. In our opinion, these definitions and main results can be similarly extended to some other algebraic systems such as subtraction algebras [11], B-algebras [13], MV-algebras [22], d-algebras, Q-algebras and so forth. In future we can study the notion of *t*-derivations on various algebraic structures which may have a lot of applications in different branches of theoretical physics, engineering and computer science. It is our hope that this work would serve as a foundation for the further study in the theory of derivations of BCK/BCI-algebras.

In our future study of *t*-derivations in BCI-algebras, may be the following topics should be considered:

- (1) to find the generalized *t*-derivations of BCI-algebras,
- (2) to find more results in *t*-derivations of BCI-algebras and its applications,
- (3) to find the *t*-derivations of B-algebras, Q-algebras, subtraction algebras, d-algebra and so forth.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and several useful suggestions. This research is supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, University of Tabuk, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia.

References

- Y. Imai and K. Iséki, "On axiom systems of propositional calculi. XIV," Proceedings of the Japan Academy, vol. 42, pp. 19–22, 1966.
- [2] K. Iséki, "An algebra related with a propositional calculus," *Proceedings of the Japan Academy*, vol. 42, pp. 26–29, 1966.
- [3] M. Aslam and A. B. Thaheem, "A note on p-semisimple BCI-algebras," Mathematica Japonica, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 39–45, 1991.
- [4] Q. P. Hu and K. Iséki, "On BCI-algebras satisfying (x * y) * z = x * (y * z)," Kobe University. Mathematics Seminar Notes, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 553–555, 1980.
- [5] K. Iséki, "On BCI-algebras," Kobe University. Mathematics Seminar Notes, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 125–130, 1980.
- [6] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, "On derivations of BCI-algebras," Information Sciences, vol. 159, no. 3-4, pp. 167–176, 2004.
- [7] E. C. Posner, "Derivations in prime rings," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 8, pp. 1093–1100, 1957.
- [8] J. Zhan and Y. L. Liu, "On f-derivations of BCI-algebras," International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, no. 11, pp. 1675–1684, 2005.
- [9] H. A. S. Abujabal and N. O. Al-Shehri, "Some results on derivations of BCI-algebras," The Journal of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, vol. 46, no. 1-2, pp. 13–19, 2006.
- [10] H. A. S. Abujabal and N. O. Al-Shehri, "On left derivations of BCI-algebras," Soochow Journal of Mathematics, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 435–444, 2007.
- [11] M. A. Öztürk and Y. Çeven, "Derivations on subtraction algebras," Korean Mathematical Society. Communications, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 509–515, 2009.
- [12] M. A. Öztürk, Y. Çeven, and Y. B. Jun, "Generalized derivations of BCI-algebras," Honam Mathematical Journal, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 601–609, 2009.
- [13] N. O. Al-Shehri, "Derivations of B-algebras," Journal of King Abdulaziz University, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 71–83, 2010.
- [14] S. Ilbira, A. Firat, and Y. B. Jun, "On symmetric bi-derivations of BCI-algebras," Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 5, no. 57-60, pp. 2957–2966, 2011.
- [15] S. Suzuki, "Some types of derivations and their applications to field theory," Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 375–382, 1981.
- [16] M. van der Put and M. F. Singer, Galois Theory of Difference Equations, vol. 1666 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1997.
- [17] M. van der Put and M. F. Singer, Galois Theory of Linear Differential Equations, vol. 328 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2003.
- [18] P. Ara and M. Mathieu, "An application of local multipliers to centralizing mappings of C* -algebras," The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics. Oxford. Second Series, vol. 44, no. 174, pp. 129–138, 1993.
- [19] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, Complete Normed Algebras, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1973.
- [20] G. J. Murphy, "Aspects of the theory of derivations," in *Functional Analysis and Operator Theory*, vol. 30 of *Banach Center Publications*, pp. 267–275, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland, 1994.
- [21] A. R. Villena, "Lie derivations on Banach algebras," Journal of Algebra, vol. 226, no. 1, pp. 390–409, 2000.
- [22] N. O. Alshehri, "Derivations of MV-algebras," International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 2010, Article ID 312027, 7 pages, 2010.



Advances in **Operations Research**

The Scientific

World Journal





Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





International Journal of Combinatorics

Complex Analysis









International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

Journal of Function Spaces



Abstract and Applied Analysis





Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society