**Research** Article

# **Regularity for Variational Evolution Integrodifferential Inequalities**

# Yong Han Kang<sup>1</sup> and Jin-Mun Jeong<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Liberal Education, Catholic University of Daegu, Daegue 712-702, Republic of Korea
 <sup>2</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, Pukyong National University, Busan 608-737, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Jin-Mun Jeong, jmjeong@pknu.ac.kr

Received 8 May 2012; Accepted 28 June 2012

Academic Editor: Sergey Piskarev

Copyright © 2012 Y. H. Kang and J.-M. Jeong. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We deal with the regularity for solutions of nonlinear functional integrodifferential equations governed by the variational inequality in a Hilbert space. Moreover, by using the simplest definition of interpolation spaces and the known regularity result, we also prove that the solution mapping from the set of initial and forcing data to the state space of solutions is continuous, which very often arises in application. Finally, an example is also given to illustrate our main result.

## **1. Introduction**

In this paper, we deal with the regularity for solutions of nonlinear functional integrodifferential equations governed by the variational inequality in a Hilbert space *H*:

$$(x'(t) + Ax(t), x(t) - z) + \phi(x(t)) - \phi(z)$$
  
 
$$\leq \left( \int_0^t k(t-s)g(s, x(s))ds + h(t), x(t) - z \right), \quad \text{a.e., } 0 < t \le T, \ z \in H,$$
 (VIP)  
 
$$x(0) = x_0,$$

where *A* is a unbounded linear operator associated with a sesquilinear form satisfying Gårding's inequality and  $\phi : H \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  is a lower semicontinuous, proper convex function. The nonlinear mapping *g* is a Lipschitz continuous from  $\mathbb{R} \times V$  into *H* in the second coordinate, where *V* is a dense subspace of *H*.

The background of these problems has emerged vigorously in such applied fields as automatic control theory, network theory, and the dynamic systems.

By using the subdifferential operator  $\partial \phi$ , the control system (VIP) is represented by the following nonlinear functional differential equation on *H*:

$$x'(t) + Ax(t) + \partial \phi(x(t)) \ni \int_0^t k(t-s)g(s,x(s))ds + h(t), \quad 0 < t \le T,$$
  
(NDE)  
$$x(0) = x_0.$$

In Section 4.3.2 of Barbu [1] (also see Section 4.3.1 in [2]) is widely developed the existence of solutions for the case  $g \equiv 0$ . Recently, the regular problem for solutions of the nonlinear functional differential equations with a nonlinear hemicontinuous and coercive operator A was studied in [3]. Some results for solutions of a class of semilinear equations with the nonlinear terms have been dealt with in [3–7]. As for nontrivial physical examples from the field of visco-elastic materials modeled by integrodifferential equations on Banach spaces, we refer to [8].

In this paper, we will define  $\phi_{\epsilon} : H \to H(\epsilon > 0)$  such that the function  $\phi_{\epsilon}$  is Fréchet differentiable on H and its Frechet differential  $\partial \phi_{\epsilon}$  is a single valued and Lipschitz continuous on H with Lipschitz constant  $e^{-1}$ , where  $\partial \phi_{\epsilon} = e^{-1}(I - (I + \epsilon \partial \phi)^{-1})$  as is seen in Corollary 2.2 in [1, Chapter II]. It is also well-known results that  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \phi_{\epsilon} = \phi$  and  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \partial \phi_{\epsilon}(x) = (\partial \phi)^{0}(x)$  for every  $x \in D(\partial \phi)$ , where  $(\partial \phi)^{0}$  is the minimal segment of  $\partial \phi$ . Now, we introduce the smoothing system corresponding to (NDE) as follows:

$$x'(t) + Ax(t) + \partial \phi_{\varepsilon}(x(t)) = \int_{0}^{t} k(t-s)g(s,x(s))ds + h(t), \quad 0 < t \le T,$$
  
(SDE 1)  
$$x(0) = x_{0}.$$

First we recall some regularity results and a variation of constant formula for solutions of the semilinear functional differential equation (in the case  $g \equiv 0$  in (SDE 1)):

$$x'(t) + Ax(t) + \partial\phi_{\epsilon}(x(t)) = h(t)$$
(1.1)

in a Hilbert space *H*.

Next, based on the regularity results for (1.1), we intend to establish the regularity for solutions of (NDE). Here, our approach is that results of a class of semilinear equations as (1.1) on  $L^2$ -regularity remain valid under the above formulation perturbed of nonlinear terms. Here, we note that sine A is not bounded operator H into itself, the Lipschitz continuity of nonlinear terms must be defined on some adjusted spaces (see Section 3). Moreover, using the simplest definition of interpolation spaces and known regularity, we have that the solution mapping from the set of initial and forcing data to the state space of solutions is continuous, which very often arises in application. Finally, an example is also given to illustrate our main result.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let *V* and *H* be complex Hilbert spaces forming Gelfand triple  $V \,\subset H \,\subset V^*$  with pivot space *H*. The norms of *V*, *H* and *V*<sup>\*</sup> are denoted by  $|| \cdot ||, | \cdot |$ , and  $|| \cdot ||_*$ , respectively. The inner product in *H* is defined by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . The embeddings

$$V \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow V^* \tag{2.1}$$

are continuous. Then the following inequality easily follows:

$$||u||_* \le |u| \le ||u||, \quad \forall u \in V.$$
 (2.2)

Let  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  be a bounded sesquilinear form defined in  $V \times V$  and satisfying Gårding's inequality

Re 
$$a(u, u) \ge \omega_1 ||u||^2 - \omega_2 |u|^2$$
,  $\omega_1 > 0$ ,  $\omega_2 \ge 0$ . (2.3)

Let *A* be the operator associated with the sesquilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ :

$$(Au, v) = a(u, v), \quad u, v \in V.$$
 (2.4)

Then *A* is a bounded linear operator from *V* to  $V^*$  and -A generates an analytic semigroup in both of *H* and  $V^*$  as is seen in [9, Theorem 6.1]. The realization for the operator *A* in *H* which is the restriction of *A* to

$$D(A) = \{ u \in V; \ Au \in H \}$$
(2.5)

is also denoted by A. From the following inequalities:

$$\omega_1 \|u\|^2 \le \operatorname{Re} \ a(u, u) + \omega_2 |u|^2 \le C |Au| \ |u| + \omega_2 |u|^2 \le \max\{C, \omega_2\} \|u\|_{D(A)} |u|, \qquad (2.6)$$

where

$$\|u\|_{D(A)} = \left(|Au|^2 + |u|^2\right)^{1/2}$$
(2.7)

is the graph norm of D(A), it follows that there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|u\| \le C_1 \|u\|_{D(A)}^{1/2} \|u\|^{1/2}.$$
(2.8)

Thus, we have the following sequence:

$$D(A) \subset V \subset H \subset V^* \subset D(A)^*, \tag{2.9}$$

where each space is dense in the next one and continuous injection.

Lemma 2.1. With the notations (2.8), (2.9), one has

$$(D(A), H)_{1/2,2} = V, (2.10)$$

where  $(D(A), H)_{1/2,2}$  denotes the real interpolation space between D(A) and H (Section 2.4 of [10] or [11]).

The following abstract linear parabolic equation:

$$x'(t) + Ax(t) = h(t), \quad 0 < t \le T,$$
  
 $x(0) = x_0,$  (LE)

has a unique solution  $x \in L^2(0,T;D(A)) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T,H)$  for each T > 0 if  $x_0 \in V \equiv (D(A),H)_{1/2,2}$  and  $h \in L^2(0,T;H)$ . Moreover, one has

$$\|x\|_{L^{2}(0,T;D(A))\cap W^{1,2}(0,T;H)} \leq C_{2}\Big(\|x_{0}\|_{(D(A),H)_{1/2,2}} + \|h\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H)}\Big),$$
(2.11)

where  $C_2$  depends on *T* and *M* (see [12, Theorem 2.3], [13]).

In order to substitute *H* for the intermediate space *V* considering *A* as an operator in  $B(V, V^*)$  instead of B(D(A), H) one proves the following result.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let T* > 0*. Then* 

$$H = \left\{ x \in V^* : \int_0^T \left\| A e^{tA} x \right\|_*^2 dt < \infty \right\}.$$
 (2.12)

Hence, it implies that  $H = (V, V^*)_{1/2,2}$  in the sense of intermediate spaces generated by an analytic semigroup.

*Proof.* Put  $u(t) = e^{tA}x$  for  $x \in H$ . From the result of Theorem 2.3 in [12] it follows

$$u \in L^{2}(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^{*}),$$
(2.13)

hence

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left\| Ae^{tA}x \right\|_{*}^{2} dt = \int_{0}^{T} \left\| u'(t) \right\|_{*}^{2} dt < \infty.$$
(2.14)

Conversely, suppose that  $x \in V^*$  and  $\int_0^T ||Ae^{tA}x||_*^2 dt < \infty$ . Put  $u(t) = e^{tA}x$ . Then since *A* is an isomorphism from *V* to  $V^*$  there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \|u(t)\|^{2} dt \leq c \int_{0}^{T} \|Au(t)\|_{*}^{2} dt = c \int_{0}^{T} \|Ae^{tA}x\|_{*}^{2} dt.$$
(2.15)

Thus, we have  $u \in L^2(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^*)$ . By using the definition of real interpolation spaces by trace method, it is known that the embedding  $L^2(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^*) \hookrightarrow C([0,T];H)$  is continuous. Hence, it follows  $x = u(0) \in H$ .

In view of Lemma 2.2 we can apply (2.11) to (LE) in the space  $V^*$  as follows.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $x_0 \in H$  and  $h \in L^2(0,T;V^*)$ , T > 0. Then there exists a unique solution x of (LE) belonging to

$$L^{2}(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^{*}) \hookrightarrow C([0,T];H)$$
(2.16)

and satisfying

$$\|x\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V)\cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^{*})} \leq C_{2}\Big(|x_{0}| + \|h\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V^{*})}\Big),$$
(2.17)

where  $C_2$  is a constant depending on T.

Let  $\phi : V \to (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a lower semicontinuous, proper convex function. Then the subdifferential operator  $\partial \phi$  of  $\phi$  is defined by

$$\partial \phi(x) = \{ x^* \in V^*; \phi(x) \le \phi(y) + (x^*, x - y), \ y \in V \}.$$
(2.18)

First, let us concern with the following perturbation of subdifferential operator:

$$x'(t) + Ax(t) + \partial \phi(x(t)) \ni h(t), \quad 0 < t \le T,$$
  
$$x(0) = x_0.$$
 (VE)

Using the regularity for the variational inequality of parabolic type in case where  $\phi$  :  $V \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  is a lower semicontinuous, proper convex function as is seen in [1, Section 4.3] one has the following result on (VE).

**Proposition 2.4.** (1) Let  $h \in L^2(0,T;V^*)$  and  $x_0 \in V$  satisfying that  $\phi(x_0) < \infty$ . Then (VE) has a unique solution:

$$x \in L^{2}(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^{*}) \hookrightarrow C([0,T];H),$$
(2.19)

which satisfies

$$x'(t) = (h(t) - Ax(t) - \partial \phi(x(t)))^{0},$$
  
$$\|x\|_{L^{2} \cap W^{1,2} \cap C} \leq C_{3} (1 + \|x_{0}\| + \|h\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V^{*})}),$$
  
(2.20)

where  $C_3$  is a constant and  $L^2 \cap W^{1,2} \cap C = L^2(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^*) \cap C([0,T];H)$ .

(2) Let A be symmetric and let us assume that there exist  $g \in H$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and any  $y \in D(\phi)$ 

$$J_{\epsilon}(y+\epsilon g) \in D(\phi), \qquad \phi(J_{\epsilon}(y+\epsilon g)) \le \phi(y).$$
 (2.21)

Then for  $h \in L^2(0,T;H)$  and  $x_0 \in \overline{D(\phi)} \cap V$ , (VE) has a unique solution:

$$x \in L^{2}(0,T;D(A)) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;H) \hookrightarrow C([0,T];H),$$
 (2.22)

which satisfies

$$\|x\|_{L^{2}\cap W^{1,2}\cap C} \leq C_{3} \Big( 1 + \|x_{0}\| + \|h\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H)} \Big).$$
(2.23)

*Remark* 2.5. When the principal operator *A* is bounded from *H* to itself, we assume that  $\phi$  :  $H \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  is a lower semicontinuous, proper convex function and  $g : [0,T] \times H \rightarrow H$  be a nonlinear mapping satisfying the following:

$$|g(t, x_1) - g(t, x_2)| \le L|x_1 - x_2|, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in H.$$
(2.24)

Then it is easily seen that the result of (2) of Proposition 2.4. is immediately obtained.

*Remark 2.6.* Here, we remark that if *V* is compactly embedded in *H* and  $x \in L^2(0,T;V)$  (or the semigroup operator *S*(*t*) is compact), the following embedding:

$$L^{2}(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^{*}) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(0,T;H)$$
 (2.25)

is compact in view of Theorem 2 of Aubin [14]. Hence, the mapping  $(x_0, f) \mapsto x$  is compact from  $V \times L^2(0, T; V^*)$  to  $L^2(0, T; H)$ , which is also applicable to optimal control problem.

#### 3. Regularity for Solutions

We start with the following assumption.

Assumption (F). Let  $g : [0,T] \times V \to H$  be a nonlinear mapping satisfying the following:

$$|g(t,x) - g(t,y)| \le L ||x - y||, \quad g(t,0) = 0 \ \forall x, y \in V$$
(3.1)

for a positive constant *L*.

For  $x \in L^2(0,T;V)$  we set

$$f(t,x) = \int_0^t k(t-s)g(s,x(s))ds,$$
 (3.2)

where *k* belongs to  $L^2(0, T)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $x \in L^2(0,T;V)$ , T > 0. Then  $f(\cdot, x) \in L^2(0,T;H)$ . And

$$\|f(\cdot, x)\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H)} \le L \|k\|_{L^{2}} \sqrt{T} \|x\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V)}.$$
(3.3)

Moreover, if  $x_1, x_2 \in L^2(0,T;H)$ , then

$$\|f(\cdot, x_1) - f(\cdot, x_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} \le L \|k\| \sqrt{T} \|x_1 - x_2\|_{L^2(0,T;V)}.$$
(3.4)

The proof is immediately obtained from Assumption (F). For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , define

$$\phi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \inf\left\{\frac{\|x - J_{\varepsilon}x\|_{*}^{2}}{2\varepsilon} + \phi(J_{\varepsilon}x) : x \in H\right\},\tag{3.5}$$

where  $J_{\epsilon} = (I + \epsilon \partial \phi)^{-1}$ . Then the function  $\phi_{\epsilon}$  is Frechet differentiable on H and its Frechet differentiable  $\partial \phi_{\epsilon}$  is Lipschitz continuous on H with Lipschitz constant  $\epsilon^{-1}$  where  $\partial \phi_{\epsilon} = \epsilon^{-1}(I - (I + \epsilon \partial \phi)^{-1})$  as is seen in Corollary 2.2 in [1, Chapter II]. It is also well-known results that  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \phi_{\epsilon} = \phi$  and  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \partial \phi_{\epsilon}(x) = (\partial \phi)^{0}(x)$  for every  $x \in D(\partial \phi)$ , where  $(\partial \phi)^{0}$  is the minimal segment of  $\partial \phi$ .

Now, one introduces the smoothing system corresponding to (NDE) as follows:

$$x'(t) + Ax(t) + \partial \phi_{\epsilon}(x(t)) = f(t, x) + h(t), \quad 0 < t \le T,$$
  
 $x(0) = x_0.$  (SDE 2)

Since -A generates a semigroup S(t) on H, the mild solution of (SDE 2) can be represented by

$$x_{\varepsilon}(t) = S(t)x_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s) \{ f(s, x_{\varepsilon}) + h(s) - \partial \phi_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}(s)) \} ds.$$
(3.6)

One will use a fixed point theorem and a step and step method to get the global solution for (NDE). Then one needs the following hypothesis.

Assumption (A).  $(\partial \phi)^0$  is uniformly bounded, that is,

$$\left| \left( \partial \phi \right)^0 x \right| \le M_1, \quad x \in V.$$
(3.7)

**Lemma 3.2.** For given  $\epsilon$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $x_{\epsilon}$  and  $x_{\lambda}$  be the solutions of (SDE 2) corresponding to  $\epsilon$  and  $\lambda$ , respectively. Then there exists a constant C independent of  $\epsilon$  and  $\lambda$  such that

$$\|x_{\epsilon} - x_{\lambda}\|_{C([0,T];H) \cap L^{2}(0,T;V)} \le C(\epsilon + \lambda), \quad 0 < T.$$

$$(3.8)$$

*Proof.* From (SDE 2) we have

$$x'_{\varepsilon}(t) - x'_{\lambda}(t) + A(x_{\varepsilon}(t) - x_{\lambda}(t)) + \partial\phi_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}(t)) - \partial\phi_{\lambda}(x_{\lambda}(t)) = f(t, x_{\varepsilon}) - f(t, x_{\lambda}),$$
(3.9)

and hence, from (2.3) and multiplying by  $x_{\epsilon}(t) - x_{\lambda}(t)$ , it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|x_{\varepsilon}(t)-x_{\lambda}(t)|^{2}+\omega_{1}||x_{\varepsilon}(t)-x_{\lambda}(t)||^{2}+\left(\partial\phi_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}(t))-\partial\phi_{\lambda}(x_{\lambda}(t)),x_{\varepsilon}(t)-x_{\lambda}(t)\right) \\
\leq \left(f(t,x_{\varepsilon})-f(t,x_{\lambda}),x_{\varepsilon}(t)-x_{\lambda}(t)\right)+\omega_{2}|x_{\varepsilon}(t)-x_{\lambda}(t)|^{2}.$$
(3.10)

Here, we note

$$|f(t, x_{\varepsilon}) - f(t, x_{\lambda})| \leq L ||k||_{L^{2}} ||x_{\varepsilon}(\cdot) - x_{\lambda}(\cdot)||_{L^{2}(0,t;V)}$$

$$\int_{0}^{T} ||x_{\varepsilon}(\cdot) - x_{\lambda}(\cdot)||_{L^{2}(0,t;V)}^{2} dt = T \int_{0}^{T} ||x_{\varepsilon}(t) - x_{\lambda}(t)||^{2} dt.$$
(3.11)

Thus, we have

$$(f(t, x_{\epsilon}) - f(t, x_{\lambda}), x_{\epsilon}(t) - x_{\lambda}(t))$$

$$\leq |f(t, x_{\epsilon}) - f(t, x_{\lambda})| \cdot |x_{\epsilon}(t) - x_{\lambda}(t)|$$

$$\leq \frac{\omega_{1}}{2T(L||k||_{L^{2}})^{2}} |f(t, x_{\epsilon}) - f(t, x_{\lambda})|^{2} + \frac{T(L||k||_{L^{2}})^{2}}{2\omega_{1}} |x_{\epsilon}(t) - x_{\lambda}(t)|^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{\omega_{1}}{2T} ||x_{\epsilon}(\cdot) - x_{\lambda}(\cdot)||^{2}_{L^{2}(0,t;H)} + \frac{T(L||k||_{L^{2}})^{2}}{2\omega_{1}} |x_{\epsilon}(t) - x_{\lambda}(t)|^{2}.$$

$$(3.12)$$

Therefore, by using the monotonicity of  $\partial \phi$  and integrating (3.10) over [0, T] it holds

$$\frac{1}{2}|x_{\varepsilon}(t) - x_{\lambda}(t)|^{2} + \frac{\omega_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{T} ||x_{\varepsilon}(t) - x_{\lambda}(t)||^{2} dt$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{T} \left(\partial\phi_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}(t)) - \partial\phi_{\lambda}(x_{\lambda}(t)), \lambda\partial\phi_{\lambda}(x_{\lambda}(t)) - \varepsilon\partial\phi_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}(t))\right) dt$$

$$+ \left\{\frac{T(L||k||_{L^{2}})^{2}}{2\omega_{1}} + \omega_{2}\right\} \int_{0}^{T} |x_{\varepsilon}(t) - x_{\lambda}(t)|^{2} dt.$$
(3.13)

Here, we used that

$$\partial \phi_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}(t)) = \varepsilon^{-1} \Big( x_{\varepsilon}(t) - \big( I + \varepsilon \partial \phi \big)^{-1} x_{\varepsilon}(t) \Big).$$
(3.14)

Since  $|\partial \phi_{\epsilon}(x)| \leq |(\partial \phi)^0 x|$  for every  $x \in D(\partial \phi)$  it follows from Assumption (A) and using Gronwall's inequality that

$$\|x_{\epsilon} - x_{\lambda}\|_{C([0,T];H) \cap L^{2}(0,T;V)} \le C(\epsilon + \lambda), \quad 0 < T.$$
(3.15)

Let  $x \in L^1(0,T;V)$ . Then it is well known that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} h^{-1} \int_0^h \|x(t+s) - x(t)\| ds = 0$$
(3.16)

for almost all point of  $t \in (0, T)$ .

*Definition 3.3.* The point *t* which permits (3.16) to hold is called the Lebesgue point of *x*.

We establish the following results on the solvability of (NDE).

**Theorem 3.4.** Let Assumptions (F) and (A) be satisfied. Then for every  $(x_0, h) \in V \times L^2(0, T; V^*)$ , (NDE) has a unique solution:

$$x \in L^{2}(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T;V^{*}) \cap C([0,T];H),$$
(3.17)

and there exists a constant  $C_4$  depending on T such that

$$\|x\|_{L^2 \cap W^{1,2} \cap C} \le C_4 \Big( 1 + \|x_0\| + \|h\|_{L^2(0,T;V^*)} \Big).$$
(3.18)

*Proof.* Let us fix  $T_0 > 0$  such that

$$C_1 C_2 \left( e^{-1} + \sqrt{T_0} \ L \|k\|_{L^2} \right) \left( \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{1/2} < 1.$$
(3.19)

Let  $y \in L^2(0, T_0; V)$ . Then  $f(\cdot, y(\cdot)) \in L^2(0, T_0; H)$  from Assumption (F). Set

$$(Fx)(t) = f(t, x(t)) - \partial \phi_{\varepsilon}(x(t)), \quad 0 \le t \le T_0.$$
(3.20)

Then from Lemma 3.1 it follows that

$$|(Fx_1)(t) - (Fx_2)(t)| \le \left(e^{-1} + \sqrt{T_0}L||k||_{L^2}\right)||x_1(t) - x_2(t)||.$$
(3.21)

For i = 1, 2, we consider the following equation:

$$x'_{i}(t) + Ax_{i}(t) = (Fy_{i})(t) + h(t), \quad 0 < t \le T_{0},$$
  
$$x_{i}(0) = x_{0}.$$
(3.22)

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}(x_1(t) - x_2(t)) + A(x_1(t) - x_2(t)) = (Fy_1)(t) - (Fy_2)(t), \quad t > 0,$$
  
$$x_1(0) - x_2(0) = 0.$$
 (3.23)

From (2.11) it follows that

$$\|x_1 - x_2\|_{L^2(0,T_0;D(A_0)) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T_0;H)} \le C_2 \|Fy_1 - Fy_2\|_{L^2(0,T_0;H)}.$$
(3.24)

Using the Hölder inequality we also obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{0};H)} &= \left\{ \int_{0}^{T_{0}} |x_{1}(t) - x_{2}(t)|^{2} dt \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= \left\{ \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left| \int_{0}^{t} (\dot{x}_{1}(\tau) - \dot{x}_{2}(\tau)) d\tau \right|^{2} dt \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{0}^{T_{0}} t \int_{0}^{t} |\dot{x}_{1}(\tau) - \dot{x}_{2}(\tau)|^{2} d\tau dt \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{T_{0}}}{2} \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{W^{12}(0,T_{0};H)}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.25)

Therefore, in terms of (2.8) and (3.25) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{0};V)} &\leq C_{1} \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{0};D(A_{0}))}^{1/2} \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{0};H)}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_{1} \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{0};D(A_{0}))}^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_{0}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{1/2} \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{W^{1,2}(0,T_{0};H)}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_{1} \left(\frac{T_{0}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{1/2} \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{0};D(A_{0})) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T_{0};H)} \\ &\leq C_{1} C_{2} \left(\frac{T_{0}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{1/2} \|Fy_{1} - Fy_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{0}:H)} \\ &\leq C_{1} C_{2} \left(e^{-1} + \sqrt{T_{0}}L\|k\|_{L^{2}}\right) \left(\frac{T_{0}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{1/2} \|y_{1} - y_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{0};V)}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.26)

So by virtue of the condition (3.19) the contraction principle gives that (SDE 2) has a unique solution in  $[0, T_0]$ . Thus, letting  $\lambda \to 0$  in Lemma 3.1 we can see that there exists a constant *C* independent of  $\epsilon$  such that

$$\|x_{\epsilon} - x\|_{C([0,T_0];H) \cap L^2(0,T_0;V)} \le C\epsilon, \quad 0 < T_0,$$
(3.27)

10

and hence,  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} x_{\epsilon}(t) = x(t)$  exists in *H*. From Assumption (F) and (3.27) it follows that

$$f(\cdot, x_{\epsilon}) \longrightarrow f(\cdot, x), \quad \text{strongly in } L^{2}(0, T_{0}; H),$$
  

$$Ax_{n} \longrightarrow Ax, \quad \text{strongly in } L^{2}(0, T_{0}; V^{*}).$$
(3.28)

Since  $\partial \phi_{\epsilon}(x_{\epsilon})$  is uniformly bounded by Assumption (A), from (3.27), (3.28) we have that

$$\frac{d}{dt}x_{\epsilon} \longrightarrow \frac{d}{dt}x, \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T_0; V^*), \tag{3.29}$$

therefore

$$\partial \phi_{\epsilon}(x_{\epsilon}) \longrightarrow f(\cdot, x) + h - x' - Ax, \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T_0; V^*).$$
 (3.30)

Since  $(I + \epsilon \partial \phi)^{-1} x_{\epsilon} \to x$  strongly and  $\partial \phi$  is demiclosed, we have that

$$f(\cdot, x) + h - x' - Ax \in \partial \phi(x)$$
 in  $L^2(0, T_0; V^*)$ . (3.31)

Thus we have proved that x(t) satisfies a.e. on  $(0, T_0)$  the equation (NDE).

Let *y* be the solution of

$$y'(t) + Ay(t) + \partial \phi(y(t)) \ni 0, \quad 0 < t \le T_0,$$
  
 $y(0) = x_0,$  (3.32)

then, it implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}(x(t) - y(t)) + A(x(t) - y(t)) + \partial\phi(x(t)) - \partial\phi(y(t)) \ni f(t, x) + h(t).$$
(3.33)

Noting that  $|| \cdot || \le | \cdot | \le || \cdot ||$ , by multiplying by x(t) - y(t) and using the monotonicity of  $\partial \phi$  and (2.3), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |x(t) - y(t)|^{2} + \omega_{1} ||x(t) - y(t)||^{2}$$

$$\leq \omega_{2} |x(t) - y(t)|^{2} + |f(t, x) + h(t)| \cdot ||x(t) - y(t)||.$$
(3.34)

Since

$$\left|f(t,x) + h(t)\right| \cdot \left\|x(t) - y(t)\right\| \le \frac{1}{2\omega_1} \left|f(t,x) + h(t)\right|^2 + \frac{\omega_1}{2} \left\|x(t) - y(t)\right\|^2$$
(3.35)

for every c > 0 and by integrating on (3.34) over (0, t) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t) - y(t)|^{2} + \omega_{1} \int_{0}^{t} ||x(s) - y(s)||^{2} ds \\ \leq \frac{1}{\omega_{1}} ||f(\cdot, x) + h||_{L^{2}(0, T_{0}; V^{*})} + 2\omega_{2} \int_{0}^{t} |x(s) - y(s)|^{2} ds \end{aligned}$$
(3.36)

and by Gronwall's inequality:

$$\left\|x(t) - y(t)\right\|^{2} + \omega_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \left\|x(s) - y(s)\right\|^{2} ds \le \omega_{1}^{-1} e^{2\omega_{2}T_{0}} \left\|f(\cdot, x) + h\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T_{0}; V^{*})}^{2}.$$
(3.37)

Let us fix  $T_0 > T_1 > 0$  so that  $T_1$  is a Lebesgue point of x,  $\phi(x(T_1)) < \infty$ , and

$$\omega_1^{-1} e^{2\omega_2 T_1} \sqrt{T_1} L \|k\|_{L^2} < \omega_1.$$
(3.38)

Put

$$N = \sqrt{\omega_1^{-2} e^{\omega_2 T_1}},\tag{3.39}$$

then from Assumption (F) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{1};V)} &\leq N \|f(\cdot, x) + h\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{1};V^{*})} \\ &\leq N\sqrt{T_{1}} \|L\|_{L^{2}} \|x\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{1};V)} + N\|h\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{1}:V^{*})} \end{aligned}$$
(3.40)

and hence, from (2.17) in Proposition 2.3, we have that

$$\begin{split} \|x\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{1};V)} &\leq \frac{1}{1-N\sqrt{T_{1}}L\|k\|_{L^{2}}} \left( \|y\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{1};V)} + N\|h\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{1}:V^{*})} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-N\sqrt{T_{1}}L\|k\|_{L^{2}}} \left\{ C_{2}(1+\|x_{0}\|) + N\|h\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{1}:V^{*})} \right\} \\ &\leq C_{4} \left( 1+\|x_{0}\|+\|h\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{1}:V^{*})} \right) \end{split}$$
(3.41)

for some positive constant  $C_4$ . Since the condition (3.38) is independent of initial values, noting the Assumption (A), the solution of (NDE) can be extended to the internal  $[0, nT_1]$  for natural number n, that is, for the initial  $x(nT_1)$  in the interval  $[nT_1, (n + 1)T_1]$ , as analogous

estimate (3.41) holds for the solution in  $[0, (n + 1)T_1]$ . The norm estimate of *x* in  $W^{1,2}(0, T; H)$  can be obtained by acting on both side of (NDE) by x'(t) and by using

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi(x(t)) = \left(g(t), \frac{d}{dt}x(t)\right), \quad \text{a.e., } 0 < t, \tag{3.42}$$

for all  $g(t) \in \partial \phi(x(t))$ . Furthermore, the estimate (3.18) is immediately obtained from (3.41).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let Assumptions (F) and (A) be satisfied and  $(x_0, h) \in V \times L^2(0, T; V^*)$ , then the solution x of (NDE) belongs to  $x \in L^2(0, T; V) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; V^*)$  and the mapping:

$$V \times L^{2}(0,T;V^{*}) \ni (x_{0},h) \longmapsto x \in L^{2}(0,T;V) \cap C([0,T];H)$$
(3.43)

is continuous.

*Proof.* If  $(x_0, h) \in V \times L^2(0, T; V^*)$  then x belongs to  $L^2(0, T; V) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; V^*)$  form Theorem 3.4. Let  $(x_{0i}, h_i) \in V \times L^2(0, T; V^*)$  and  $x_i$  be the solution of (NDE) with  $(x_{0i}, h_i)$ in place of  $(x_0, h)$  for i = 1, 2. Multiplying on (NDE) by  $x_1(t) - x_2(t)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |x_1(t) - x_2(t)|^2 + \omega_1 ||x_1(t) - x_2(t)||^2 
\leq \omega_2 |x_1(t) - x_2(t)|^2 + |f(t, x_1) - f(t, x_2)| ||x_1(t) - x_2(t)|| 
+ ||h_1(t) - h_2(t)||_* ||x_1(t) - x_2(t)||.$$
(3.44)

Let us fix  $T_1 > T_2 > 0$  so that  $T_2$  is a Lebesgue point of x,  $\phi(x(T_2) < \infty$ , and

$$\omega_1 - \omega_1^{-1} e^{2\omega_2 T_2} \sqrt{T_2} \ L \|K\|_{L^2} > 0.$$
(3.45)

Since

$$\|h_1(t) - h_2(t))\|_* \|x_1(t) - x_2(t)\| \le \frac{1}{\omega_1} \|h_1(t) - h_2(t)\|_*^2 + \frac{\omega_1}{4} \|x_1(t) - x_2(t)\|^2,$$
(3.46)

by integrating on (3.44) over  $[0, T_2]$  where  $T_2 < T$  and as is seen in (3.37), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{C([0,T_{2}];H)}^{2} + \frac{\omega_{1}}{2} \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{2};V)}^{2} \\ &\leq \|x_{01} - x_{02}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{\omega_{1}} \|f(t,x_{1}) - f(t,x_{2})\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{2};H)}^{2} + \frac{2}{\omega_{1}} \|h_{1} - h_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{2};V^{*})} \\ &\leq \|x_{01} - x_{02}\|^{2} + \omega_{1}^{-1} \sqrt{T_{2}} L \|K\|_{L^{2}} \|x_{1} - x_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{2};V)}^{2} + \frac{2}{\omega_{1}} \|h_{1} - h_{2}\|_{L^{2}(0,T_{2};V^{*})}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.47)

Putting that

$$N_1 \equiv \min \left[ 1, \left\{ \frac{\omega_1}{2} - \omega_1^{-1} \sqrt{T_2} L \| K \|_{L^2} \right\} \right]^{1/2}, \qquad N_2 \equiv \max \left\{ 1, \frac{2}{\omega_1} \right\}, \tag{3.48}$$

we have

$$\|x_1 - x_2\|_{L^2 \cap C} \le \frac{2N_2}{\sqrt{1 - N_1}} (\|x_{01} - x_{02}\| + \|h_1 - h_2\|).$$
(3.49)

Suppose  $(x_{0n}, h_n) \rightarrow (x_0, h)$  in  $V \times L^2(0, T; V^*)$ , and let  $x_n$  and x be the solutions (SDE 2) with  $(x_{0n}, h_n)$  and  $(x_0, h)$ , respectively. Then, by virtue of (3.44) and (3.49), we see that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $L^2(0, T_2, V) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T_2, V^*) \hookrightarrow C([0, T_2]; H)$ . This implies that  $x_n(T_2) \rightarrow x(T_2)$  in H. Therefore the same argument shows that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in

$$L^{2}(T_{2},\min\{2T_{2},T\};V) \cap C([T_{2},\min\{2T_{2},T\}];H).$$
(3.50)

Repeating this process, we conclude that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $L^2(0,T;V) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T_2,V^*) \hookrightarrow C([0,T_2];H)$ .

#### 4. Example

Let  $\Omega$  be bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . We define the following spaces:

$$H^{1}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{2}(\Omega), \ i = 1, 2, ..., n \right\},$$
$$H^{2}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : u, \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \in L^{2}(\Omega), \ i, j = 1, 2, ..., n \right\},$$
$$H^{1}_{0}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : u \in H^{1}(\Omega), u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \right\} = \text{the closure of } C^{\infty}_{0}(\Omega) \text{ in } H^{1}(\Omega),$$

where  $\partial/\partial x_i u$  and  $\partial^2/\partial x_i \partial x_j u$  are the derivative of u in the distribution sense. The norm of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$\|u\| = \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}.$$
(4.2)

Hence  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is a Hilbert space. Let  $H^{-1}(\Omega) = H_0^1(\Omega)^*$  be a dual space of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . For any  $l \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$  and  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , the notation (l, v) denotes the value l at v. In what follows, we consider the regularity for given equations in the spaces:

$$V = H_0^1(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in H^1(\Omega); \ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}, \quad H = L^2(\Omega), V^* = H^{-1}(\Omega)$$
(4.3)

as introduced in Section 2. We deal with the Dirichlet condition's case as follows.

Assume that  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  are continuous and bounded on  $\overline{\Omega}$  and  $\{a_{ij}(x)\}$  is positive definite uniformly in  $\Omega$ , that is, there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \ge \delta|\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \overline{\Omega}.$$
(4.4)

Let

$$b_i \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \qquad c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \qquad \beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + b_i.$$
 (4.5)

For each  $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , let us consider the following sesquilinear form:

$$a(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\overline{\partial v}}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \overline{v} + c u \overline{v} \right\} dx.$$
(4.6)

Since  $\{a_{ij}\}$  is real symmetric, by (4.4) the inequality:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\overline{\xi}_j \ge \delta|\xi|^2$$
(4.7)

holds for all complex vectors  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n)$ . By hypothesis, there exists a constant *K* such that  $|\beta_i(x)| \le K$  and  $c(x) \le K$  hold a.e., hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \ a(u,u) \geq \int_{\Omega} \delta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right|^{2} dx - K \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right| |u| dx - K \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2} dx$$
$$\geq \delta \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right|^{2} dx - K \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{e}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{2e} |u|^{2} \right) dx - K \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2} dx \qquad (4.8)$$
$$= \left( \delta - \frac{e}{2} K \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right|^{2} dx - \left( \frac{nK}{2e} + K \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2} dx.$$

By choosing  $\epsilon = \delta K^{-1}$ , we have

Re 
$$a(u,u) \ge \frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right|^{2} dx - \left( \frac{nK^{2}}{2\delta} + K \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2} dx$$
  
$$= \frac{\delta}{2} \|u\|_{1}^{2} - \left( \frac{nK^{2}}{2\delta} + K + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \|u\|^{2}.$$
(4.9)

By virtue of Lax-Milgram theorem, we know that for any  $v \in V$  there exists  $f \in V^*$  such that

$$a(u,v) = (f,v).$$
 (4.10)

Therefore, we know that the associated operator  $A: V \rightarrow V^*$  defined by

$$(Au, v) = -a(u, v), \quad u, v \in V$$
 (4.11)

is bounded and satisfies conditions (2.3) in Section 2.

Let  $g : [0,T] \times V \to H$  be a nonlinear mapping defined by

$$g(t, u(t, x)) = \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \sigma_i(s, \nabla u(s, x)) ds.$$
(4.12)

We assume the following.

Assumption (F1). The partial derivatives  $\sigma_i(s,\xi)$ ,  $\partial/\partial t \sigma_i(s,\xi)$  and  $\partial/\partial \xi_j \sigma_i(s,\xi)$ , exist and continuous for i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, ..., n, and  $\sigma_i(s,\xi)$  satisfies an uniform Lipschitz condition with respect to  $\xi$ , that is, there exists a constant L > 0 such that

$$\left|\sigma_{i}(s,\xi) - \sigma_{i}\left(s,\widehat{\xi}\right)\right| \le L \left|\xi - \widehat{\xi}\right|,\tag{4.13}$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the norm of  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** If Assumption (F1) is satisfied, then the mapping  $t \mapsto g(t, \cdot)$  is continuously differentiable on [0,T] and  $u \mapsto g(\cdot, u)$  is Lipschitz continuous on V.

Proof. Put

$$g_1(s,u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \sigma_i(s, \nabla u), \qquad (4.14)$$

then we have  $g_1(s, u) \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ . For each  $w \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ , we satisfy the following that

$$\left(g_1(s,u),w\right) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sigma_i(s,\nabla u), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}w\right). \tag{4.15}$$

The nonlinear term is given by

$$g(t,u) = \int_0^t g_1(s,u) ds.$$
 (4.16)

For any  $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , if *u* and  $\hat{u}$  belong to  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , by Assumption (F1) we obtain

$$|(g(t,u) - g(t,\hat{u})), w| \le LT ||u - \hat{u}|| ||w||.$$
(4.17)

We set

$$f(t,u) = \int_0^t k(t-s) \int_0^s \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \sigma_i(\tau, \nabla u(\tau, x)) d\tau ds,$$
(4.18)

where *k* belongs to  $L^2(0,T)$ . Let  $\phi : H^1_0(\Omega) \to (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a lower semicontinuous, proper convex function. Now in virtue of Lemma 4.1, we can apply the results of Theorem 3.4 as follows.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let Assumption (F1) be satisfied. Then for any  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $h \in L^2(0,T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$ , the following nonlinear problem:

$$(u'(t) + Au(t), u(t) - z) + \phi(u(t)) - \phi(z)$$
  

$$\leq (f(t, u) + h(t), u(t) - z), \quad a.e., \ 0 < t \le T, \ z \in L^{2}(\Omega), \qquad (4.19)$$
  

$$u(0) = u_{0}$$

has a unique solution:

$$u \in L^2\left(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)\right) \cap W^{1,2}\left(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right) \hookrightarrow C\left([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)\right).$$

$$(4.20)$$

*Furthermore, the following energy inequality holds: there exists a constant*  $C_T$  *depending on* T *such that* 

$$\|u\|_{L^{2}\cap W^{1,2}} \leq C_{T} \Big(1 + \|u_{0}\| + \|h\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))}\Big).$$

$$(4.21)$$

#### Acknowledgment

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2011-0026609).

#### References

- [1] V. Barbu, Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, Nordhoff Leiden, The Netherlands, 1976.
- [2] V. Barbu, Analysis and Control of Nonlinear Infinite Dimensional Systems, vol. 190 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA, 1993.
- [3] J.-M. Jeong, D.-H. Jeong, and J.-Y. Park, "Nonlinear variational evolution inequalities in Hilbert spaces," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 11–20, 2000.

- [4] N. U. Ahmed and X. Xiang, "Existence of solutions for a class of nonlinear evolution equations with nonmonotone perturbations," *Nonlinear Analysis. Series A*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 81–89, 1994.
- [5] J.-M. Jeong and J.-Y. Park, "Nonlinear variational inequalities of semilinear parabolic type," Journal of Inequalities and Applications, vol. 6, no. 2, Article ID 896837, pp. 227–245, 2001.
- [6] J. M. Jeong, Y. C. Kwun, and J. Y. Park, "Approximate controllability for semilinear retarded functional-differential equations," *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 329–346, 1999.
- [7] Y. Kobayashi, T. Matsumoto, and N. Tanaka, "Semigroups of locally Lipschitz operators associated with semilinear evolution equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 330, no. 2, pp. 1042–1067, 2007.
- [8] N. U. Ahmed, "Optimal control of infinite-dimensional systems governed by integrodifferential equations," in Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems, and Control Science, vol. 152 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, pp. 383–402, Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1994.
- [9] H. Tanabe, Equations of Evolution, vol. 6 of Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, Pitman, London, UK, 1979.
- [10] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1972.
- [11] H. Triebel, Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators, vol. 18 of North-Holland Mathematical Library, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1978.
- [12] G. Di Blasio, K. Kunisch, and E. Sinestrari, "L<sup>2</sup>-regularity for parabolic partial integro-differential equations with delay in the highest-order derivatives," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 38–57, 1984.
- [13] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, Problemes Aux Limites Non Homogenes Et Applications, vol. 3, Dunod, Paris, France, 1968.
- [14] J. P. Aubin, "Un Théorème de Compacité," Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, vol. 256, pp. 5042–5044, 1963.



Advances in **Operations Research** 

**The Scientific** 

World Journal





Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





International Journal of Combinatorics

Complex Analysis









International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

Journal of Function Spaces



Abstract and Applied Analysis





Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society