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We study the existence of periodic solutions of some second-order Hamiltonian systems with impulses. We obtain some new existence theorems by variational methods.

## 1. Introduction

Consider the following systems:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{u}(t)=f(t, u(t)), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T], \\
\Delta \dot{u}\left(t_{k}\right)=g_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m,  \tag{1.1}\\
u(T)-u(0)=\dot{u}(T)-\dot{u}(0)=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}, u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \Delta \dot{u}\left(t_{k}\right)=\dot{u}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-\dot{u}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$with $\dot{u}\left(t_{k}^{ \pm}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{k}^{+}} \dot{u}(t), g_{k}(u)=\operatorname{grad}_{u}$ $G_{k}(u), G_{k} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{m}<$ $t_{m+1}=T$, and we suppose that $f(t, u)=\operatorname{grad}_{u} F(t, u)$ satisfies the following assumption.
(A) $F(t, x)$ is measurable in $t$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and continuously differentiable in $x$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, and there exist $a \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), b \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(t, x)|+|f(t, x)| \leq a(|x|) b(t) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $t \in[0, T]$.

Many solvability conditions for problem (1.1) without impulsive effect are obtained, such as, the coercivity condition, the convexity conditions (see [1-4] and their references), the sublinear nonlinearity conditions, and the superlinear potential conditions. Recently, by using variational methods, many authors studied the existence of solutions of some second-order differential equations with impulses. More precisely, Nieto in $[5,6]$ considers linear conditions, [7-10] the sublinear conditions, and [11-16] the sublinear conditions and the other conditions. But to the best of our knowledge, except [7] there is no result about convexity conditions with impulsive effects. By using different techniques, we obtain different results from [7].

We recall some basic facts which will be used in the proofs of our main results. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{T}^{1}=\left\{u:[0, T] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \text { absolutely continuous; } u(0)=u(T), \dot{u}(t) \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{0}^{T}(u(t), v(t)) d t+\int_{0}^{T}(\dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)) d t, \quad \forall u, v \in H_{T}^{1} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The corresponding norm is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|=\left(\int_{0}^{T}(u(t), u(t)) d t+\int_{0}^{T}(\dot{u}(t), \dot{u}(t)) d t\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \forall u \in H_{T}^{1} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space $H_{T}^{1}$ has some important properties. For $u \in H_{T}^{1}$, let $\bar{u}=(1 / 2 T) \int_{0}^{T} u(t) d t$, and $\tilde{u}=u(t)-\bar{u}$. Then one has Sobolev's inequality (see Proposition 1.3 in [1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq \frac{T}{12} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the corresponding functional $\varphi$ on $H_{T}^{1}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T} F(t, u(t)) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from assumption $(A)$ and the continuity of $g_{k}$ one has that $\varphi$ is continuously differentiable and weakly lower semicontinuous on $H_{T}^{1}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{T}(\dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)) d t+\int_{0}^{T}(f(t, u(t)), v(t)) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(g_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), v\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u, v \in H_{T}^{1}$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ is weakly continuous and the weak solutions of problem (1.1) correspond to the critical points of $\varphi$ (see [8]).

Theorem 1.1 ([2, Theorem 1.1]). Suppose that $V$ and $W$ are reflexive Banach spaces, $\varphi \in C^{1}(V \times$ $W, R), \varphi(v, \cdot)$ is weakly upper semi-continuous for all $v \in V$, and $\varphi(\cdot, w): V \rightarrow R$ is convex for all $w \in W$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ is weakly continuous. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(0, w) \longrightarrow-\infty \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\|w\| \rightarrow \infty$ and for every $M>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(v, w) \longrightarrow+\infty \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\|v\| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for $\|w\| \leq M$. Then $\varphi$ has at least one critical point.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Assume that assumption $(A)$ holds. If further
$\left(H_{1}\right) F(t, \cdot)$ is convex for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, and
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ there exist $\eta, \theta>0$ such that $G_{k}(x) \geq \eta|x|+\theta$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then (1.1) possesses at least one solution in $H_{T}^{1}$.

Remark 2.2. $\left(H_{1}\right)$ implies there exists a point $\bar{x}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \nabla F(t, \bar{x}) d t=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. It follows Remark 2.2, (1.6), and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T}(F(t, u(t))-F(t, \bar{x})) d t+\int_{0}^{T} F(t, \bar{x}) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T} F(t, \bar{x}) d t+\int_{0}^{T}(f(t, \bar{x}), u(t)-\bar{x}) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T} F(t, \bar{x}) d t+\int_{0}^{T}(f(t, \bar{x}), \tilde{u}) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \eta|\tilde{u}+\bar{u}|+m \theta  \tag{2.2}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t-\left(\int_{0}^{T}|f(t, \bar{x})| d t\right)\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty}+m \eta|\bar{u}|-m \eta\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty}+m \theta \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t-C_{0}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+m \eta|\bar{u}|+m \theta
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u \in H_{T}^{1}$ and some positive constant $C_{0}$. As $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\left(|u|^{2}+\|\dot{u}\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow$ $\infty$, we have $\varphi(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 in [1], $\varphi$ has a minimum point in $H_{T}^{1}$, which is a critical point of $\varphi$. Hence, problem (1.1) has at least one weak solution.

Theorem 2.3. Assume that assumption $(A)$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)$ hold. If further
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ there exist $\eta, \theta>0$ and $\alpha \in(0,2)$ such that $G_{k}(x) \leq \eta|x|^{\alpha}+\theta$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and
$\left(H_{4}\right)$ there exist some $\beta>\alpha$ and $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|^{-\beta} \int_{0}^{T} F(t, x) d t \leq-\gamma \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|x| \geq M$ and $t \in[0, T]$, where $M$ is a constant, then (1.1) possesses at least one solution in $H_{T}^{1}$.
Remark 2.4. We can find that our condition $\left(H_{4}\right)$ is very different from condition (vii) in [7] since we prove this by the saddle point theorem substituted for the least action principle.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We prove $\varphi$ satisfies the (PS) condition at first. Suppose $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is such an sequence that $\left\{\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$. We will prove it has a convergent subsequence. By $\left(H_{3}\right)$ and (1.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \eta\left|\tilde{u}\left(t_{k}\right)+\bar{u}\right|^{\alpha}+m \theta \\
& \leq 4 m \eta\left(\left|\tilde{u}\left(t_{k}\right)\right|^{\alpha}+|\bar{u}|^{\alpha}\right)+m \theta  \tag{2.4}\\
& \leq C_{1}\|\dot{u}\|_{2}^{\alpha}+C_{2}|\bar{u}|^{\alpha}+C_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for some positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$. By Remark 2.2, (1.6), and (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi\left(u_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(F\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right)-F(t, \bar{x})\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T} F(t, \bar{x}) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T} F(t, \bar{x}) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(f(t, \bar{x}), u_{n}(t)-\bar{x}\right) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T} F(t, \bar{x}) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(f(t, \bar{x}), \tilde{u}_{n}\right) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t-\left(\int_{0}^{T}|f(t, \bar{x})| d t\right)\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}\right\|_{\infty}-C_{1}\left\|\dot{u}_{n}\right\|_{2}^{\alpha}-C_{2}\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha}-C_{4} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t-C_{5}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}-C_{1}\left\|\dot{u}_{n}\right\|_{2}^{\alpha}-C_{2}\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha}-C_{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for some positive constants $C_{4}, C_{5}$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha / 2} \geq\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}-C_{6} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $C, C_{6}$. By (1.6), the above inequality implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C_{7}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha / 2}+1\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the positive constant $C_{7}$. The one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{n}(t)\right| \geq\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|-\left|\tilde{u}_{n}\right| \geq\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|-\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \geq\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|-C_{7}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha / 2}+1\right), \quad \forall t \in[0, T] . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|\right\}$ is unbounded, we may assume that, going to a subsequence if necessary,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right| \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{n}(t)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all large $n$ and every $t \in[0, T]$. By (2.10) and $\left(H_{4}\right)$, one has $\left|u_{n}(t)\right| \geq M$ for all large $n$. It follows from $\left(H_{4}\right),(2.4),(2.6),(2.7)$, and above inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi\left(u_{n}\right) & \leq\left(C\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha / 2}+C_{6}\right)^{2}-\int_{0}^{T} \gamma\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{\beta} d t+C_{2}\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{\alpha}+C_{2}|\bar{u}|^{\alpha}+C_{3}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \leq\left(C\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha / 2}+C_{6}\right)^{2}-2^{-\beta}\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{\beta} T \gamma+C_{8}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha / 2}+1\right)^{\alpha}+C_{2}|\bar{u}|^{\alpha}+C_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

for large $n$ and the positive constant $C_{8}$, which contradicts the boundedness of $\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)$ since $\beta>\alpha$. Hence $\left(\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|\right)$ is bounded. Furthermore, $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded by (2.6). A similar calculation to Lemma 3.1 in [9] shows that $\varphi$ satisfies the (PS) condition. We now prove that $\varphi$ satisfies the other conditions of the saddle point theorem. Assume that $\widetilde{H}_{T}^{1}=\left\{u \in H_{T}^{1}: \bar{u}=0\right\}$, then $H_{T}^{1}=\widetilde{H}_{T}^{1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n}$. From above calculation, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t-C_{5}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}-C_{1}\|\dot{u}\|_{2}^{\alpha}-C_{4} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in \widetilde{H}_{T}^{1}$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u) \longrightarrow+\infty, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ in $\widetilde{H}_{T}^{1}$. Moreover, by $\left(H_{3}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(x) & =\int_{0}^{T} F(t, x) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}(x)  \tag{2.14}\\
& \leq-T \gamma|x|^{\beta}+m \eta|x|^{\alpha}+m \theta
\end{align*}
$$

for $|x|>M$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x) \longrightarrow-\infty \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ since $\beta>\alpha$. Now Theorem 2.3 is proved by (2.13), (2.15), and the saddle point theorem.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that assumption $(A)$ holds. Suppose that $F(t, \cdot), G_{k}(x)$ are concave and satisfy
$\left(H_{5}\right) G_{k}(x) \leq-\eta|x|+\theta$ for some positive constant $\eta, \theta>0$, then (1.1) possesses at least one solution in $H_{T}^{1}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Consider the corresponding functional $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \widetilde{H}_{T}^{1}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, u(t)) d t-\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is continuously differentiable, bounded, and weakly upper semi-continuous on $H_{T}^{1}$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [2], one has that $\varphi(x+w)$ is convex in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for every $w \in \widetilde{H}_{T}^{1}$. By the condition, we have $-G_{k}(x+w) \geq-2 G_{k}((1 / 2) x)+G_{k}(-w)$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(x+w) & =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{w}|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, x+w) d t-\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}(x+w) \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{w}|^{2} d t-\left(\int_{0}^{T}|f(t, \bar{x})| d t\right)\|w\|_{\infty}-\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}(x+w)+C_{9}  \tag{2.17}\\
& \geq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{w}|^{2} d t-C_{0}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\dot{w}|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}-2 G_{k}\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)+G_{k}(-w)+C_{9}
\end{align*}
$$

which means $\varphi(x+w) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $w \in \widetilde{H}_{T}^{1}$ with $\|w\| \leq M$ by $\left(H_{5}\right)$ and (1.6). On the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(w) & =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{w}|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, w) d t-\sum_{k=1}^{m} G_{k}(w) \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{w}|^{2} d t+C_{0}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\dot{w}|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}+m \eta\|w\|_{\infty}+C_{9} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $\varphi(w) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\|w\| \rightarrow \infty \in \widetilde{H}_{T}^{1}$ by $\left(H_{5}\right)$ and (1.6). We complete our proof by Theorem 1.1.
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