

CORRECT SELFADJOINT AND POSITIVE EXTENSIONS OF NONDENSELY DEFINED MINIMAL SYMMETRIC OPERATORS

I. PARASSIDIS AND P. TSEKREKOS

Received 13 May 2004

Let A_0 be a closed, minimal symmetric operator from a Hilbert space \mathbb{H} into \mathbb{H} with domain not dense in \mathbb{H} . Let \hat{A} also be a correct selfadjoint extension of A_0 . The purpose of this paper is (1) to characterize, with the help of \hat{A} , all the correct selfadjoint extensions B of A_0 with domain equal to $D(\hat{A})$, (2) to give the solution of their corresponding problems, (3) to find sufficient conditions for B to be positive (definite) when \hat{A} is positive (definite).

1. Introduction

Minimal symmetric operators arise naturally in boundary value problems where they represent differential operators with all their defects, that is, their range is not the whole space and also their domain cannot be dense in the whole space. For example, the operator A_0 defined by the problem $A_0 y = iy'$, $y(0) = y(1) = 0$ is a minimal symmetric nondensely defined operator. The problem of finding all correct selfadjoint extensions of a minimal symmetric operator is not either easy or always possible. The whole problem is facilitated when the domain of definition of the minimal symmetric operator is dense. Correct extensions of densely defined minimal not necessarily symmetric operators in Hilbert and Banach spaces have been investigated by Vishik [17], Dezin [3], Otelbaev et al. [10], Oinarov and Parasidi [14], and many others. Correct selfadjoint extensions of a densely defined minimal symmetric operator A_0 have been studied by a number of authors as J. Von Neumann [13], Kočubei [7], Mikhaïlets [12], and V. I. Gorbachuk and M. L. Gorbachuk [5]. They described the extensions as restrictions of some operators, usually of the adjoint operator A_0^* of A_0 . In this paper, we attack the above problem, developing a method which does not depend on maximal operators, but only on the existence of some correct selfadjoint extension of A_0 . The essential ingredient in our approach is the extension of the main idea in [14]. More precisely, we show (Theorem 3.2) that every correct selfadjoint extension of a minimal operator is uniquely determined by a vector and a Hermitian matrix (see the comments preceding Theorem 3.2).

In [1, 2, 9, 8] extensions of nondensely defined symmetric operators by embedding \mathbb{H} in a space X in which the operator A_0 is dense were studied. The class of extensions they

consider is much wider than ours, but they do not consider correct selfadjoint extensions. Our method does not require such an embedding and applies equally well to positive correct selfadjoint extensions. Positive selfadjoint extensions of densely defined positive symmetric operators have been considered by Friedrichs [4].

As a demonstration of the theory developed in this paper, we give here all the correct selfadjoint extensions of the minimal operator A_0 in the example mentioned in the beginning of the introduction. These are the operators $B : L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$, $Bu = iu' - ct \int_0^1 tu(t)dt$ with $D(B) = \{u \in \mathbb{H}(0, 1) : u(0) = -u(1)\}$, where c is any real number.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic terminology and notation about operators. In Section 3, we prove the main general results. Finally, in Section 4, we discuss several examples of integrodifferential equations which show the usefulness of our results.

2. Terminology and notation

By \mathbb{H} , we will always denote a complex Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . The operators (linear) from \mathbb{H} into \mathbb{H} we refer to are not everywhere defined on \mathbb{H} . We write $D(A)$ and $R(A)$ for the domain and the range of the operator A , respectively. Two operators A_1 and A_2 are said to be *equal* if $D(A_1) = D(A_2)$ and $A_1x = A_2x$, for all $x \in D(A_1)$. A_2 is said to be an *extension* of A_1 , or A_1 is a *restriction* of A_2 , in symbol $A_1 \subset A_2$ if $D(A_2) \supseteq D(A_1)$ and $A_1x = A_2x$, for all $x \in D(A_1)$. We notice that if $A \subset B$ and A^{-1}, B^{-1} exist, then $A^{-1} \subset B^{-1}$. An operator $A_0 : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is called *closed* if for every sequence x_n in $D(A)$ converging to x_0 with $Ax_n \rightarrow f_0$, it follows that $x_0 \in D(A)$ and $Ax_0 = f_0$. A closed operator $A_0 : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is called *minimal* if $R(A_0) \neq \mathbb{H}$ and the inverse A_0^{-1} exists on $R(A_0)$ and is continuous. A is called *maximal* if $R(A) = \mathbb{H}$ and $\ker A \neq \{0\}$. An operator \hat{A} is called *correct* if $R(\hat{A}) = \mathbb{H}$ and the inverse \hat{A}^{-1} exists and is continuous. An operator \hat{A} is called a *correct extension* (resp., *restriction*) of the minimal (resp., maximal) operator A_0 (resp., A) if it is a correct operator and $A_0 \subset \hat{A}$ (resp., $\hat{A} \subset A$).

Let A be an operator with domain $D(A)$ dense in \mathbb{H} . The *adjoint* operator $A^* : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ of A with domain $D(A^*)$ is defined by the equation $(Ax, y) = (x, A^*y)$ for every $x \in D(A)$ and every $y \in D(A^*)$. The domain $D(A^*)$ of A^* consists of all $y \in \mathbb{H}$ for which the functional $x \mapsto (Ax, y)$ is continuous on $D(A)$. An operator A is called *selfadjoint* if $A = A^*$ and *symmetric* if $(Ax, y) = (x, Ay)$ for all $x, y \in D(A)$. We note that, in the case in which $\overline{D(A)} = \mathbb{H}$, A is symmetric if $A \subset A^*$. A symmetric operator A is said to be *positive* if $(Ax, x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in D(A)$ and *positive definite* if there exists a positive real number k such that $(Ax, x) \geq k\|x\|^2$, for all $x \in D(A)$.

The *defect* $\text{def } A_0$ of an operator A_0 is the dimension of the orthogonal complement $R(A_0)^\perp$ of its range $R(A_0)$.

Let $F = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$ be a vector of \mathbb{H}^m and $AF = (AF_1, \dots, AF_m)$. We write F^t and (Ax, F^t) for the column vectors $\text{col}(F_1, \dots, F_m)$ and $\text{col}((Ax, F_1), \dots, (Ax, F_m))$, respectively. We denote by (AF^t, F) the $m \times m$ matrix whose i, j th entry is the inner product (AF_i, F_j) and by M^t the transpose matrix of M . We denote by I and $\mathbf{0}$ the identity and the zero matrix, respectively.

3. Correct selfadjoint extensions of minimal symmetric operators

Throughout this paper, A_0 will denote a nondensely defined symmetric minimal operator and \hat{A} a correct selfadjoint extension of A_0 . Let $E_{cs}(A_0, \hat{A})$ denote the set of all correct selfadjoint extensions of A_0 with domain $D(\hat{A})$ and let $E_{cs}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$ denote the subset of $E_{cs}(A_0, \hat{A})$ consisting of all $B \in E_{cs}(A_0, \hat{A})$ such that $\dim R(B - \hat{A}) = m$.

We begin with the following key lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *For every $B \in E_{cs}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$, there exists a vector $F = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$, where F_1, \dots, F_m are linearly independent elements of $D(\hat{A}) \cap R(A_0)^\perp$ and a Hermitian invertible matrix $T = \|t_{ij}\|_{i,j=1}^m$ such that*

$$Bx = \hat{A}x - (\hat{A}F)T\overline{W^{-1}}(x, \hat{A}F^t), \quad \forall x \in D(\hat{A}), \tag{3.1}$$

where $W = I + (\hat{A}F^t, F)T$, with $\det W \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $B \in E_{cs}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$. Then $\dim R(B - \hat{A}) = m$. The main result of [10] implies that there exists a linear continuous operator $K : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow D(\hat{A})$ with $D(K) = \mathbb{H}$, $\ker K \supseteq R(A_0)$, $\text{Ker}(\hat{A}^{-1} + K) = \{0\}$ such that

$$B^{-1} = \hat{A}^{-1} + K \quad \text{or} \quad K = B^{-1} - \hat{A}^{-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

Hence $K = K^*$, since B^{-1} and \hat{A}^{-1} are selfadjoint operators. Since A_0 is a minimal operator, it follows that $R(A_0)$ is a closed subspace of \mathbb{H} , and so

$$\mathbb{H} = R(A_0) \oplus R(A_0)^\perp. \tag{3.3}$$

We will show that $\dim R(K) = m$. Indeed, from (3.2), it follows that $Kf = B^{-1}f - \hat{A}^{-1}f$ for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$. Let $x = B^{-1}f$. Then,

$$x = \hat{A}^{-1}f + Kf, \quad \hat{A}x = f + \hat{A}Kf, \tag{3.4}$$

from which it follows that $(\hat{A} - B)x = \hat{A}(Kf)$, for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$. Since $\dim R(\hat{A} - B) = m$ and the operator \hat{A} is invertible, we have $\dim R(K) = m$. Therefore, the selfadjointness of K gives the decomposition

$$\mathbb{H} = \ker K \oplus R(K). \tag{3.5}$$

From decompositions (3.3), (3.5), and the inclusion $\ker K \supseteq R(A_0)$, we conclude that

$$R(K) \subseteq R(A_0)^\perp. \tag{3.6}$$

Fix a basis $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$ of $R(K)$. Then, for every f in \mathbb{H} , there are α_i in \mathbb{R} such that

$$Kf = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i F_i. \tag{3.7}$$

Let $\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_m\}$ be the biorthogonal family of elements of \mathbb{H} corresponding to the above basis of $R(K)$, that is, $(\psi_i, F_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$. From (3.7), we have $(Kf, \psi_j) = (\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i F_i, \psi_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (F_i, \psi_j) = \alpha_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Hence,

$$Kf = \sum_{i=1}^m (Kf, \psi_i) F_i = \sum_{i=1}^m (f, K\psi_i) F_i, \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{3.8}$$

In particular, for $f = \psi_j$, we have

$$K\psi_j = \sum_{i=1}^m (\psi_j, K\psi_i) F_i, \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad K\psi_i = \sum_{l=1}^m (\psi_i, K\psi_l) F_l. \tag{3.9}$$

Replacing the above expression for $K\psi_j$ in (3.8), we obtain

$$Kf = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(f, \sum_{l=1}^m (\psi_i, K\psi_l) F_l \right) F_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^m (f, F_l) (K\psi_l, \psi_i) F_i. \tag{3.10}$$

If T denotes the matrix $\|(K\psi_l, \psi_i)\|_{i,l=1}^m$, then (3.10) takes the form

$$Kf = F\bar{T}(f, F^t) = F\bar{T}(\overline{F^t, f}). \tag{3.11}$$

Now, the reader can easily verify that each of the matrices T and $(\widehat{A}F^t, F)$ is a Hermitian matrix. We claim that T is invertible. Let $\widehat{K} = K|_{R(K)}$ denote the restriction of K to its range. From (3.5), it follows that $\ker K \cap R(K) = \{0\}$. Therefore, $\ker \widehat{K} = \{0\}$. Substituting $f = F_j$ into (3.11), we obtain

$$KF_j = F\bar{T}(\overline{F^t, F_j}) \quad \text{or} \quad KF = F\bar{T}(\overline{F^t, F}). \tag{3.12}$$

The determinant $\det(F^t, F)$ is nonzero, being the determinant of the Gramm matrix (F^t, F) of F . Since the vectors of $R(K)$ F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m are linearly independent and $\ker \widehat{K} = \{0\}$, it follows that $\det T \neq 0$, which proves our claim.

We now prove the formula (3.1) which describes the action of the operator B on x . From (3.4) and (3.11), we have

$$\widehat{A}x = f + \widehat{A}F\bar{T}(\overline{F^t, f}). \tag{3.13}$$

Then, taking the inner product with F^t , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{A}x, F^t) &= (\widehat{A}F\bar{T}(\overline{F^t, f}), F^t) + (f, F^t) \\ &= \overline{(F^t, \widehat{A}F)} \bar{T}(\overline{F^t, f}) + (f, F^t) \\ &= (f, F^t) + \overline{(F^t, \widehat{A}F)} \bar{T}(f, F^t) \\ &= [I + \overline{(\widehat{A}F^t, F)} \bar{T}](f, F^t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Let W denote the matrix $I + (\widehat{A}F^t, F)T$. We will show that $\det W \neq 0$. For if $\det W = 0$, then $\det W^t = 0$. Hence, there exists a nonzero vector $\vec{a} = \text{col}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ such that $W^t \vec{a} = \vec{0}$. We consider the linear combination $f_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \widehat{A}F_i$. Since the vectors F_1, \dots, F_m are linearly independent and $\ker \widehat{A} = \{0\}$, their images $\widehat{A}(F_i)$ under \widehat{A} are linearly independent as well. It follows that $f_0 \neq 0$. Combining (3.4) and (3.11), we get $x = \widehat{A}^{-1}f + \overline{F\overline{T}(f, F^t)}$, where $x = B^{-1}f$. In particular, for $x = B^{-1}f_0$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_0 &= \widehat{A}^{-1}f_0 + \overline{F\overline{T}(f_0, F^t)} \\
 &= \widehat{A}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \widehat{A}F_i + \overline{F\overline{T} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \widehat{A}F_i, F^t \right)} \\
 &= F\vec{a} + \overline{F\overline{T} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (F^t, \widehat{A}F_i)} \\
 &= F\vec{a} + \overline{F\overline{T} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (\widehat{A}F^t, F_i)} \\
 &= F\vec{a} + \overline{F\overline{T}(\widehat{A}F^t, F)}\vec{a} \\
 &= F \left[I + \overline{T(\widehat{A}F^t, F)} \right] \vec{a} \\
 &= FW^t \vec{a}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.15}$$

In the above chain of equalities, the last one follows from the definition of W and the fact that the matrices T and $(\widehat{A}F^t, F)$ are Hermitian. But $W^t \vec{a} = \vec{0}$. This implies that the nonzero vector f_0 is contained in the kernel $\ker B^{-1}$ of B^{-1} , contradicting the correctness of B . So $\det W \neq 0$. Now (3.14) gives $(f, F^t) = \overline{W}^{-1}(x, \widehat{A}F^t)$, which with (3.13) implies formula (3.1). □

We now prove our main theorem which describes the set $E_{cs}^m(A_0, \widehat{A})$ of all correct self-adjoint extensions B of an operator A_0 with $D(B) = D(\widehat{A})$ and $\dim R(B - \widehat{A}) = m$, using one correct selfadjoint extension \widehat{A} of a minimal symmetric operator A_0 with $\text{def } A_0 \leq \infty$. Every operator B is uniquely determined by a vector F with components $F_i \in D(\widehat{A}) \cap R(A_0)^\perp$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, and a Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C with $\text{rank } C = n \leq m$, satisfying condition (3.16) which is the solvability condition for the problem $Bx = f$ (whose solution is also given in the following result).

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose that A_0, \widehat{A} are as in Lemma 3.1. Then the following hold.*

(i) *For every $B \in E_{cs}^m(A_0, \widehat{A})$, there exists a vector $F = (F_1 \cdots F_m)$, where F_1, \dots, F_m are linearly independent elements from $D(\widehat{A}) \cap R(A_0)^\perp$ and a Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C with $\det C \neq 0$, such that*

$$\det \left[I - \overline{(\widehat{A}F^t, F)}C \right] \neq 0,
 \tag{3.16}$$

$$Bx = \widehat{A}x - (\widehat{A}F)C(\widehat{A}x, F^t) = f.
 \tag{3.17}$$

(ii) *Conversely, for every vector $F = (F_1 \cdots F_m)$, where F_1, \dots, F_m defined as above, and Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C , which has $\text{rank } C = n \leq m$ and satisfies (3.16), the operator B*

defined by (3.17) belongs to $E_{cs}^n(A_0, \hat{A})$. The unique solution of (3.17) is given by the formula

$$x = B^{-1}f = \hat{A}^{-1}f + FC \left[I - \overline{(\hat{A}F^t, F)C} \right]^{-1} (f, F^t) \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. (i) Let $B \in E_{cs}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$. Then by Lemma 3.1, there exists a Hermitian, invertible $m \times m$ matrix $T = (t_{ij})$, and vector $F = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$, where F_1, \dots, F_m are linearly independent elements from $D(\hat{A}) \cap D(A_0)^\perp$ such that $\det W \neq 0$ and (3.1) holds true. From (3.1), since $B = B^*$, for every $y \in D(B^*) = D(B) = D(\hat{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Bx, y) &= (\hat{A}x - (\hat{A}F)\overline{T} \overline{W}^{-1}(x, \hat{A}F^t), y) = (\hat{A}x, y) - (\hat{A}F, y)\overline{T} \overline{W}^{-1}(x, \hat{A}F^t) \\ &= (x, \hat{A}y) - \left(x, \overline{(\hat{A}F, y)\overline{T} \overline{W}^{-1}(\hat{A}F^t)} \right) = (x, \hat{A}y - (y, \hat{A}F)TW^{-1}(\hat{A}F^t)) = (x, B^*y). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Hence,

$$B^*y = \hat{A}y - (y, \hat{A}F)TW^{-1}(\hat{A}F^t) = \hat{A}y - (\hat{A}F)(TW^{-1})^t(y, \hat{A}F^t). \quad (3.20)$$

We denote by C the matrix $\overline{T} \overline{W}^{-1}$. Since $B = B^*$, relations (3.1), (3.20) imply that

$$C = \overline{T} \overline{W}^{-1} = (TW^{-1})^t = \overline{C}^t. \quad (3.21)$$

Hence the matrix C is Hermitian and so (3.1) implies (3.17). The invertibility of C is implied by the fact that \overline{T} and \overline{W}^{-1} are invertible matrices. To show (3.16), we first remember that the $m \times m$ matrix $(\hat{A}F^t, F) = D = (d_{ij})$ is Hermitian. From $\overline{C} = TW^{-1}$, we take $T = \overline{C}W = \overline{C}(I + DT)$ or $\overline{C} = (I - \overline{C}D)T$. Since \overline{C} and T are invertible, it follows that $\det(I - \overline{C}D) \neq 0$, and we finally have that $\det(I - \overline{D}C) \neq 0$, that is, (3.16) is fulfilled.

(ii) We will show that $B \in E_{cs}^n(A_0, \hat{A})$. We first show that B is a correct extension of A_0 . Taking into account (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (F^t, f) &= (F^t, \hat{A}x - (\hat{A}F)C(\hat{A}x, F^t)) \\ &= [I - (\hat{A}F^t, F)\overline{C}](\hat{A}x, F^t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

or

$$\left[I - \overline{(\hat{A}F^t, F)C} \right] (\hat{A}x, F^t) = (f, F^t). \quad (3.23)$$

From (3.16), we have

$$(\hat{A}x, F^t) = \left[I - \overline{(\hat{A}F^t, F)C} \right]^{-1} (f, F^t). \quad (3.24)$$

Since \hat{A} is invertible, (3.17) implies that

$$x - FC(\hat{A}x, F^t) = \hat{A}^{-1}f, \quad f = Bx, \tag{3.25}$$

and because of (3.24), we have

$$x = \hat{A}^{-1}f + FC\left[I - \overline{(\hat{A}F^t, F)}C\right]^{-1}(f, F^t), \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}, \tag{3.26}$$

which is (3.18).

Since \hat{A}^{-1} is continuous on \mathbb{H} , B^{-1} is continuous on \mathbb{H} . From (3.18), it is clear that $D(B) = D(\hat{A}) \supseteq D(A_0)$. Since $A_0 \subset \hat{A}$ and $F_i \in R(A_0)^\perp, i = 1, \dots, m$, it follows from (3.17) that $Bx = \hat{A}x = A_0x$, for all $x \in D(A_0)$.

So, $A_0 \subset B$ and since B^{-1} exists and is continuous on \mathbb{H} , B is a correct extension of A_0 . From (3.17), because of $\text{rank } C = n$ and $\hat{A}F_1, \dots, \hat{A}F_m$ being linearly independent, it follows that $\dim R(B - \hat{A}) = n$.

It remains to show that $B = B^*$.

Taking into account (3.17) for $y \in D(\hat{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Bx, y) &= (\hat{A}x, y) - ((\hat{A}F)C(\hat{A}x, F^t), y) = (x, \hat{A}y) - (\hat{A}F, y)C(\hat{A}x, F^t) \\ &= (x, \hat{A}y) - \left(x, \overline{(\hat{A}F, y)}C(\hat{A}F^t)\right) = (x, \hat{A}y - (y, \hat{A}F)\overline{C}(\hat{A}F^t)) = (x, \phi). \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

It follows that $y \in D(B^*)$ and $D(\hat{A}) = D(B) \subseteq D(B^*)$. But for $y \in D(\hat{A})$, we have

$$B^*y = \phi = \hat{A}y - (y, \hat{A}F)\overline{C}(\hat{A}F^t) = \hat{A}y - (\hat{A}F)C(\hat{A}y, F^t) = By. \tag{3.28}$$

Hence $B \subset B^*$. Let now $y \in D(B^*)$. From (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Bx, y) &= (\hat{A}x, y) - ((\hat{A}F)C(\hat{A}x, F^t), y) = (\hat{A}x, y) - (\hat{A}F, y)C(\hat{A}x, F^t) \\ &= \left(\hat{A}x, y - \overline{(\hat{A}F, y)}CF^t\right) = (x, B^*y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

So, $y - \overline{(\hat{A}F, y)}CF^t \in D(\hat{A}^*) = D(\hat{A}) = D(B)$ and since $F_1, \dots, F_m \in D(\hat{A})$, it follows that $y \in D(\hat{A})$. Hence, $D(B^*) = D(\hat{A}) = D(B)$ and $B = B^*$. So the theorem has been proved. \square

In the next particular case when $F_i \in D(A_0) \cap R(A_0)^\perp, i = 1, \dots, m$, the condition (3.16) is fulfilled automatically and the solution of $Bx = f$ is simpler.

COROLLARY 3.3. For every vector $F = (F_1 \cdots F_m)$, where F_1, \dots, F_m are linearly independent elements from $D(A_0) \cap R(A_0)^\perp$, and for every Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C with $\text{rank } C = n \leq m$, the operator B defined by (3.17) belongs to $E_{\text{cs}}^n(A_0, \hat{A})$.

The unique solution of (3.17) is given by

$$x = B^{-1}f = \hat{A}^{-1}f + FC(f, F^t), \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{3.30}$$

Proof. Indeed, if $F_i \in D(A_0) \cap R(A_0)^\perp$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, then $(\hat{A}F_i, F_j) = (A_0F_i, F_j) = 0$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, since $F_j \in R(A_0)^\perp$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $(\hat{A}F^t, F) = \mathbf{0}$. The rest easily follows from the above theorem. \square

Remark 3.4. For every $B \in E_{\text{cs}}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$ from (3.2) and (3.6), we have

$$R(B^{-1} - \hat{A}^{-1}) \subseteq R(A_0)^\perp, \quad \dim R(B - \hat{A}) = m \leq \text{def } A_0. \tag{3.31}$$

Let now the minimal operator A_0 have finite defect $\text{def } A_0 = \dim R(A_0)^\perp = m$. Then $D(A_0)$ can be defined as follows:

$$D(A_0) = \{x \in D(\hat{A}) : (\hat{A}x, F^t) = \mathbf{0}\}, \tag{3.32}$$

where $F = (F_1 \cdots F_m)$, F_1, \dots, F_m are linearly independent elements of $R(A_0)^\perp \cap D(\hat{A})$. So if we have chosen the elements F_1, \dots, F_m so that (3.32) holds, then every B from $E_{\text{cs}}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$ is defined only by the Hermitian matrix C and we can restate Theorem 3.2 as follows.

THEOREM 3.5. (i) For every $B \in E_{\text{cs}}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$, where A_0 satisfies (3.32), there exists a Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C with $\det C \neq 0$, such that (3.16) and (3.17) are fulfilled.

(ii) Conversely, for every Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C , which satisfies (3.16) and $\text{rank } C = n$, the operator B defined by (3.17) belongs to $E_{\text{cs}}^n(A_0, \hat{A})$. The unique solution of (3.17) is given by (3.18).

Proof. From (3.32), we have

$$R(A_0) = \{f \in \mathbb{H} : (f, F_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}. \tag{3.33}$$

It is evident that $\dim R(A_0)^\perp = m$ and $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ is a basis of $R(A_0)^\perp$. Then from $\dim R(A_0)^\perp = m$, $\dim R(K) = m$, and (3.6), it follows that

$$R(K) = R(B^{-1} - \hat{A}^{-1}) = R(A_0)^\perp. \tag{3.34}$$

As basis of $R(K)$, we can take F_1, \dots, F_m . The rest is proved similarly. \square

Remark 3.6. For every $B \in E_{\text{cs}}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$, where A_0 satisfies (3.32), we have $R(B^{-1} - \hat{A}^{-1}) = R(A_0)^\perp$ and $\dim R(B - \hat{A}) = \text{def } A_0$.

Remark 3.7. The operators $B \in E_{cs}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$ in both cases of either $\text{def } A_0 = m < \infty$ or $\text{def } A_0 = \infty$ are described by the same formulas (3.16) and (3.17).

Remark 3.8. Let A_0 be defined by (3.32) or (3.33), and $F = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$, where F_1, \dots, F_m are linearly independent elements of $R(A_0)^\perp \cap D(\hat{A})$. Then,

$$(\hat{A}F^t, F) = \mathbf{0} \iff F_i \in D(A_0), \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \tag{3.35}$$

Let now the minimal symmetric operator A_0 be defined by

$$A_0 \subset \hat{A}, \quad D(A_0) = \{x \in D(\hat{A}) : (\hat{A}x, F_i) = 0\}, \quad F_i \in D(A_0), \tag{3.36}$$

$i = 1, \dots, m$, and F_1, \dots, F_m are linearly independent elements of $D(A_0)$. Then from the above remark and Theorem 3.5 follows the next corollary, which describes the most “simple” extensions of A_0 .

COROLLARY 3.9. (i) For every $B \in E_{cs}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$, where A_0 satisfies (3.36), there exists a Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C with $\det C \neq 0$, such that (3.17) is fulfilled.

(ii) Conversely, for every Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C , with $\text{rank } C = n \leq m$, the operator B defined by (3.17) belongs to $E_{cs}^n(A_0, \hat{A})$.

The unique solution of (3.17) is given by (3.30).

The next theorem is useful for applications and gives the criterion of correctness of below problems and their solutions.

THEOREM 3.10. Let

$$Bx = \hat{A}x - (\hat{A}F)C(\hat{A}x, F^t) = f, \quad D(B) = D(\hat{A}), \tag{3.37}$$

where \hat{A} as in Lemma 3.1, C a Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix with $\text{rank } C = n$, F_1, \dots, F_m linearly independent elements of $D(\hat{A})$. Then B is correct and selfadjoint operator with $\dim R(B - \hat{A}) = n$ if and only if

$$\det [I - \overline{(\hat{A}F^t, F)C}] \neq 0, \tag{3.38}$$

and the unique solution of (3.37) is given by

$$x = B^{-1}f = \hat{A}^{-1}f + FC [I - \overline{(\hat{A}F^t, F)C}]^{-1} (f, F^t). \tag{3.39}$$

Proof. We define corresponding to this problem the minimal operator A_0 as a restriction of \hat{A} by (3.32).

If $n = m$, then the theorem is true by Theorem 3.5.

While if $n < m$ and $B \in E_{cs}^n(A_0, \hat{A})$, then from (3.37), we have $Bx = f$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (F^t, f) &= (F^t, \hat{A}x) - (F^t, \hat{A}F) \overline{C(\hat{A}x, F^t)} \\ &= [I - (\hat{A}F^t, F) \overline{C}] (F^t, \hat{A}x) \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

or

$$\left[I - \overline{(\widehat{A}F^t, F)} C \right] (\widehat{A}x, F^t) = (f, F^t), \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{3.41}$$

Let $L = I - \overline{(\widehat{A}F^t, F)} C$ and $\text{rank } L = k < m$. If we suppose that the first k lines of the matrix L are linearly independent, then for $f = \psi_{k+1}$, where $(F_i, \psi_k) = \delta_{i,k}$, $i, k = 1, \dots, m$, the system $L(\widehat{A}x, F^t) = (f, F^t)$ has no solution, since the rank of the augmented matrix is $k + 1 \neq k$. Then $Bx = \psi_{k+1}$ has no solution and $R(B) \neq \mathbb{H}$. Consequently, B is not a correct operator. So (3.38) holds true. Conversely, let $\det L \neq 0$, then by Theorem 3.5, we have that $B \in E_{cs}^n(A_0, \widehat{A})$. \square

We recall that a Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix $C = (c_{ij})$ is called *negative semidefinite* (*negative definite*) if $\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\xi}_i \xi_j c_{ij} \leq 0$,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\xi}_i \xi_j c_{ij} < 0 \right), \quad \forall \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m \ (\xi \in \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \{0\}). \tag{3.42}$$

THEOREM 3.11. *If in Theorem 3.2 \widehat{A} is positive operator and C is negative semidefinite matrix, then B , defined by (3.17), is a positive operator.*

Proof. We will show that $(Bx, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in D(B)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (Bx, x) &= (\widehat{A}x - (\widehat{A}F)C(\widehat{A}x, F^t), x) = (\widehat{A}x, x) - (\widehat{A}F, x)C(\widehat{A}x, F^t) \\ &= (\widehat{A}x, x) - \overline{(\widehat{A}x, F)}C(\widehat{A}x, F^t) = (\widehat{A}x, x) - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \overline{(\widehat{A}x, F_i)} (\widehat{A}x, F_j) c_{ij} \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

for C is negative and semidefinite.

We remind that an operator $\widehat{A} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is called *positive definite* if there exists a positive real number k such that

$$(\widehat{A}x, x) \geq k \|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in D(\widehat{A}). \tag{3.44}$$

\square

THEOREM 3.12. *If the operator \widehat{A} in Theorem 3.2 is positive definite, then the operator B , which is defined by the relation (3.17), is positive definite whenever the matrix C is Hermitian and satisfies the inequality*

$$k > \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \|\widehat{A}F_i\| \|\widehat{A}F_j\| |c_{ij}| \tag{3.45}$$

and positive when $k \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \|\widehat{A}F_i\| \|\widehat{A}F_j\| |c_{ij}|$.

Proof. For $x \in D(B)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Bx, x) &= (\hat{A}x - (\hat{A}F)C(\hat{A}x, F^t), x) = (\hat{A}x, x) - \overline{(x, \hat{A}F)C(x, \hat{A}F^t)} \\
 &\geq k\|x\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \overline{(x, \hat{A}F_i)}(x, \hat{A}F_j) c_{ij} \right| \\
 &\geq \left(k - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \|\hat{A}F_i\| \|\hat{A}F_j\| |c_{ij}| \right) \|x\|^2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.46}$$

The theorem now easily follows. □

Now we will state Theorem 3.2, in the following more general form, which is useful in the solutions of differential equations.

THEOREM 3.13. *Suppose that A_0, \hat{A} are as in Theorem 3.2. Then the following hold.*

(i) *For every $B \in E_{cs}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$, there exists a vector $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_m)$, where q_1, \dots, q_m are linearly independent elements from $D(A_0)^\perp$ and a Hermitian invertible $m \times m$ matrix C , such that*

$$\det \left[I - \overline{(Q^t, \hat{A}^{-1}Q)C} \right] \neq 0,
 \tag{3.47}$$

$$Bx = \hat{A}x - QC(x, Q^t) = f, \quad D(B) = D(\hat{A}).
 \tag{3.48}$$

(ii) *Conversely, for every vector $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_m)$, defined as above, and Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C , which has rank $C = n$ and satisfies (3.47), the operator B defined by (3.48) belongs to $E_{cs}^n(A_0, \hat{A})$.*

The unique solution of (3.48) is given by the formula

$$x = \hat{A}^{-1}f + (\hat{A}^{-1}Q)C \left[I - \overline{(Q^t, \hat{A}^{-1}Q)C} \right]^{-1} (f, \hat{A}^{-1}Q^t)
 \tag{3.49}$$

for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$.

The proof easily follows from Theorem 3.2 by substituting $Q = \hat{A}F, F = \hat{A}^{-1}Q$, where $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_m), q_i \in D(A_0)^\perp, i = 1, \dots, m$.

COROLLARY 3.14. *For every vector $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_m)$, where q_1, \dots, q_m are linearly independent elements of $D(A_0)^\perp \cap R(A_0), i = 1, \dots, m$, and for every Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C , with rank $C = n$, the operator B defined by (3.48) belongs to $E_{cs}^n(A_0, \hat{A})$*

The unique solution of (3.48) is given by the formula

$$x = B^{-1}f = \hat{A}^{-1}f + (\hat{A}^{-1}Q)C(f, \hat{A}^{-1}Q^t), \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}.
 \tag{3.50}$$

Let now the minimal symmetric operator A_0 have finite defect and be defined by the relations

$$A_0x = \hat{A}x, \quad \forall x \in D(A_0), \quad D(A_0) = \{x \in D(\hat{A}) : (x, Q^t) = 0\}, \quad (3.51)$$

where Q is defined as in Theorem 3.13. Then $\dim D(A_0)^\perp = m$ and $\text{def } A_0 = \dim R(A_0)^\perp = m$.

In this case, we restate Theorems 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in the following more general form.

THEOREM 3.15. (a) For every $B \in E_{\text{cs}}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$, where A_0 is defined by (3.51), there exists a Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C with $\det C \neq 0$, such that (3.47) and (3.48) are fulfilled.

(b) Conversely, for every Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C , which satisfies (3.47) and has rank $C = n$, the operator B defined by (3.48) belongs to $E_{\text{cs}}^n(A_0, \hat{A})$. The unique solution of (3.48) is given by (3.49).

(c) If the operator \hat{A} is positive and the matrix C is negative semidefinite, then B is positive.

(d) If \hat{A} is positive definite (so it satisfies a relation (3.44)) and if C is a Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix which satisfies the inequality

$$k > \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \|q_i\| \|q_j\| |c_{ij}|, \quad (3.52)$$

then B is positive definite; it is positive when $k \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \|q_i\| \|q_j\| |c_{ij}|$,

Proof. Since \hat{A} is selfadjoint and $R(\hat{A}) = \mathbb{H}$, for every $x \in D(\hat{A})$, we have $(x, q_i) = (x, \hat{A}\hat{A}^{-1}q_i) = (\hat{A}x, F_i)$, where $F_i = \hat{A}^{-1}q_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

It is clear that $F_i \in D(\hat{A})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and that they are linearly independent. If we substitute $Q = \hat{A}F$, $Q^t = \hat{A}F^t$ in (3.47), (3.48), and (3.49), then we receive the relations (3.16), (3.17), and (3.18) of Theorem 3.2, which hold true. Because of Theorems 3.11, 3.12, and the relations $q_i = \hat{A}F_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, cases (c) and (d) of the present theorem are true. \square

Remark 3.16. Suppose that A_0, \hat{A} are as in Theorem 3.15 and $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_m)$, where q_1, \dots, q_m are linearly independent elements of $D(A_0)^\perp$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R(A_0) &= \{f \in \mathbb{H} : (f, \hat{A}^{-1}q_j) = 0, j = 1, \dots, m\}, \\ (Q', \hat{A}^{-1}Q) &= \mathbf{0} \iff q_i \in R(A_0), \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

Let now the minimal symmetric operator A_0 be defined by the relation

$$A_0 \subset \hat{A}, \quad D(A_0) = \{x \in D(\hat{A}) : (x, Q^t) = \mathbf{0}, Q \in R(A_0)^m\} \quad (3.54)$$

and let $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_m)$, q_1, \dots, q_m be linearly independent elements of $D(A_0)^\perp$. By the above remark and Theorem 3.15, we take the following corollary, which describes the most “simple” extensions of A_0 .

COROLLARY 3.17. (i) *For every $B \in E_{cs}^m(A_0, \hat{A})$, where A_0 satisfies (3.54), there exists a Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C with $\det C \neq 0$, such that (3.48) is fulfilled.*

(ii) *Conversely, for every Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C , with $\text{rank } C = n \leq m$, the operator B defined by (3.48) belongs to $E_{cs}^n(A_0, \hat{A})$, where A_0 satisfies (3.54).*

The unique solution of (3.48) is given by (3.50).

Let now $G = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$, where g_1, \dots, g_m are arbitrary elements of \mathbb{H} , \hat{A} as in Theorem 3.2, and Q satisfies (3.51). Then holds the next corollary which is useful for applications.

COROLLARY 3.18. (i) *If the operator $B : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ defined by*

$$Bx = \hat{A}x - G(x, Q^t) = f, \quad D(B) = D(\hat{A}) \tag{3.55}$$

is correct and selfadjoint and $\dim R(B - \hat{A}) = m$, then the elements q_1, \dots, q_m are linearly independent and there exists a Hermitian, invertible $m \times m$ matrix C such that $G = QC$, where C satisfies (3.47).

(ii) *Conversely, if there exists a Hermitian, $m \times m$ matrix C such that $G = QC$, where C satisfies (3.47), then B is correct and selfadjoint. If also $\det C \neq 0$, then $\dim R(B - \hat{A}) = m$.*

Proof. Follows easily from Theorem 3.15 by defining a minimal operator A_0 by (3.51). □

Let now $G = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$, $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, where $g_i \in \mathbb{H}$, $q_i \in D(A_0)^\perp \subset \mathbb{H}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. We suppose that the elements q_1, \dots, q_m ($m < n$) are linearly independent and for the rest q_{m+1}, \dots, q_n , there exists an $(n - m) \times m$ matrix $M = (\mu_{ij})$ such that $Q_{n-m}^t = MQ_{n-m}^t$, where $Q^m = (q_1, \dots, q_m)$, $Q_{n-m} = (q_{m+1}, \dots, q_n)$, $Q^{tm} = \text{col}(q_1, \dots, q_m)$, and $Q_{n-m}^t = \text{col}(q_{m+1}, \dots, q_n)$.

A generalization of Theorem 3.13 is the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.19. (i) *If the operator $B : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ defined by (3.55) is correct and selfadjoint and $R(B - \hat{A}) = m$, then the elements of the matrix $G^m + G_{n-m}\overline{M}$ are linearly independent and there exists a Hermitian invertible $m \times m$ matrix C such that*

$$G^m + G_{n-m}\overline{M} = Q^m C, \tag{3.56}$$

$$\det [I - \overline{(Q^{tm}, \hat{A}^{-1}Q^m)C}] \neq 0. \tag{3.57}$$

(ii) *Conversely, if there exists a Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix C such that relations (3.56) and (3.57) are satisfied, then B is correct and selfadjoint. If also $\det C \neq 0$, then $\dim R(B - \hat{A}) = m$.*

The solution of problem (3.55) is given by the formula

$$x = \hat{A}^{-1}f + (\hat{A}^{-1}Q^m)C [I - \overline{(Q^{tm}, \hat{A}^{-1}Q^m)C}]^{-1} (f, \hat{A}^{-1}Q^{tm}) \tag{3.58}$$

for all $f \in \mathbb{H}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, Q^t) &= G^m(x, Q^{tm}) + G_{n-m}(x, Q_{n-m}^t) = G^m(x, Q^{tm}) + G_{n-m}(x, MQ^{tm}) \\ &= G^m(x, Q^{tm}) + G_{n-m}\overline{M}(x, Q^{tm}) = (G^m + G_{n-m}\overline{M})(x, Q^{tm}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

If we substitute the term $G(x, Q^t)$ in (3.55) with its equal from above, then we take

$$Bx = \widehat{A}x - (G^m + G_{n-m}\overline{M})(x, Q^{tm}) = f. \tag{3.60}$$

Now the operator B in (3.60) has the form of the operator B in (3.55), where instead of G we have $G^m + G_{n-m}\overline{M}$ and instead of Q we have Q^m . So according to Corollary 3.18, the relations (3.56) and (3.57) hold true; also (3.49) implies (3.58). \square

In the following examples, $\mathbb{H}^1(0, 1)(\mathbb{H}^2(0, 1))$ denotes the Sobolev space of all complex functions of $L_2(0, 1)$, which have generalized derivatives up to first-(second-)order, Lebesgue integrable.

4. Examples

Example 4.1. For every real number c , the operator $B : L_2(o, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$ corresponding to the problem

$$Bu = iu' - cx \int_0^1 xu(x)dx = f(x), \tag{4.1}$$

$$D(B) = \{u \in \mathbb{H}^1(0, 1) : u(0) = -u(1)\} \tag{4.2}$$

is a correct selfadjoint extension of the minimal symmetric operator A_0 defined by

$$A_0 \subset B, \quad D(A_0) = \left\{ u \in D(B) : \int_0^1 xu(x)dx = 0 \right\}. \tag{4.3}$$

The unique solution of (4.1)-(4.2) is given by the formula

$$u(x) = \frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 f(t)dt - i \int_0^x f(t)dt + \frac{ci}{16}(1 - 2x^2) \int_0^1 (1 - 2t^2) f(t)dt. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. By comparing (4.1) with (3.48) and (4.3) with (3.54), we take

$$\widehat{A}u = iu', \quad D(\widehat{A}) = D(B), \quad m = 1, \quad C = c, \quad Q(x) = x, \quad x \in D(A_0)^\perp. \tag{4.5}$$

It is evident that A_0 is minimal symmetric operator. From [6, page 272] (in our case $\theta = \pi$) follows that \widehat{A} is selfadjoint and it is easily seen that

$$\widehat{A}^{-1}f = \frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 f(t)dt - i \int_0^x f(t)dt, \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{4.6}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}^{-1}Q &= \frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 t dt - i \int_0^x t dt = \frac{i}{4}(1 - 2x^2), \\ (Q', \hat{A}^{-1}Q) &= \int_0^1 t \frac{i}{4}(1 - 2t^2) dt = 0, \\ (f, \hat{A}^{-1}Q) &= \int_0^1 f(t) \frac{i}{4}(1 - 2t^2) dt = -\frac{i}{4} \int_0^1 (1 - 2t^2) f(t) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

The condition $(Q', \hat{A}^{-1}Q) = 0$ and Remark 3.16 imply that $Q \in R(A_0)$ and from Corollary 3.17 follows the validity of this example. \square

Example 4.2. The operator $\hat{A} : L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$ defined by

$$\hat{A}u = -u'' = f, \tag{4.8}$$

$$D(\hat{A}) = \{u \in \mathbb{H}^2(0, 1) : u(0) = -u(1), u'(0) = -u'(1)\} \tag{4.9}$$

is a correct, selfadjoint, positive definite operator and satisfies the inequality

$$(\hat{A}u, u) = \int_0^1 |u'|^2 dx \geq 4 \int_0^1 |u|^2 dx. \tag{4.10}$$

For every $f \in L_2(0, 1)$, the unique solution u of the problem (4.8)-(4.9) is given by the formula

$$u = \hat{A}^{-1}f = - \int_0^t (t - \xi) f(\xi) d\xi + \frac{t}{2} \int_0^1 f(\xi) d\xi - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{2}\right) f(\xi) d\xi. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. Indeed, formula (4.11) is found by two direct integrations of (4.8), where (4.9) is taken into consideration. That \hat{A}^{-1} is continuous is proved easily by showing, using Schwarz's inequality and formula (4.11), that \hat{A}^{-1} is a bounded operator. Hence \hat{A} is a correct operator. We show that \hat{A} is selfadjoint. From formula (4.11), we take

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}^{-1}f &= \int_0^t (\xi - t) f(\xi) d\xi + \int_0^t \left[\frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right] f(\xi) d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_t^1 \left[\frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right] f(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - t + \frac{1}{2}\right) \eta(t - \xi) + \frac{1}{2} \left(t - \xi + \frac{1}{2}\right) \eta(\xi - t) \right] f(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

So, the integral Kernel of \hat{A}^{-1} is the function

$$K(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - t + \frac{1}{2} \right) \eta(t - \xi) + \frac{1}{2} \left(t - \xi + \frac{1}{2} \right) \eta(\xi - t), \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0, \\ 0, & x \leq 0, \end{cases} \text{ is the Heaviside's function.} \tag{4.14}$$

Since

$$\overline{K(t, \xi)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(t - \xi + \frac{1}{2} \right) \eta(\xi - t) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - t + \frac{1}{2} \right) \eta(t - \xi) = K(\xi, t), \tag{4.15}$$

it follows from [16] that \hat{A}^{-1} is selfadjoint. Then, from the equalities $\hat{A}^{-1} = (\hat{A}^{-1})^* = (\hat{A}^*)^{-1}$ [11] follows that $D(\hat{A}) = D(\hat{A}^*)$. On the other hand, for all $x, y \in D(\hat{A}) = D(\hat{A}^*)$, we have

$$(\hat{A}x, y) = (\hat{A}x, \hat{A}^{-1}\hat{A}y) = (x, \hat{A}y). \tag{4.16}$$

The above two remarks imply that $\hat{A} = \hat{A}^*$.

Next, we prove inequality (4.10), showing at the same time that \hat{A} is positive definite. Let $u(x) \in D(\hat{A})$. Since $u(0) = -u(1)$, we have

$$u(x) = \int_0^x u'(t) dt - u(1), \quad u(1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u'(t) dt. \tag{4.17}$$

From these equalities, we take

$$u(x) = \int_0^1 u'(t) \eta(x - t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u'(t) dt = \int_0^1 u'(t) \left[\eta(x - t) - \frac{1}{2} \right] dt, \tag{4.18}$$

and then $|u(x)| \leq 1/2 \int_0^1 |u'(t)| dt$. Using Schwarz's inequality, we take

$$|u(x)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\int_0^1 |u'(t)| dt \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 |u'(t)|^2 dt. \tag{4.19}$$

Then

$$\int_0^1 |u(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 |u'(t)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 |u'(t)|^2 dt. \tag{4.20}$$

Now, by (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\hat{A}u, u) &= - \int_0^1 u'' \bar{u} dx = -u'(x)\bar{u}(x) \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 u' \bar{u}' dx \\
 &= -u'(1)\bar{u}(1) + u'(0)\bar{u}(0) + \int_0^1 |u'|^2 dx = \int_0^1 |u'(x)|^2 dx. \tag{4.21} \\
 &\geq 4 \int_0^1 |u(x)|^2 dx. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.3. The operator $B : L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$, which corresponds to the problem

$$Bu = -u'' - c(3t^2 - 1) \int_0^1 (2t^4 - 4t^2 + 1)u''(t)dt = f(t) \tag{4.22}$$

with

$$D(B) = \{u \in \mathbb{H}^2(0, 1) : u(0) = -u(1), u'(0) = -u'(1)\} \tag{4.23}$$

and c a constant is

- (i) correct and selfadjoint if and only if c is real and $c \neq 105/64$,
- (ii) positive definite when c is real and $|c| < 5/8$ and positive when c is real and $c \leq 5/8$.

The unique solution of problem (4.22) for every $f \in L_2(0, 1)$ is given by

$$u(t) = \hat{A}^{-1}f + (2t^4 - 4t^2 + 1) \frac{105c}{8(105 - 64c)} \int_0^1 (2t^4 - 4t^2 + 1)f(t)dt, \tag{4.24}$$

where $\hat{A}^{-1}f$ is found by (4.11) of Example 4.2.

Proof. If we compare (4.22) with (3.37), it is natural to take $\hat{A}u = -u''$ with $D(\hat{A}) = D(B)$, $m = 1$, $F(t) = 2t^4 - 4t^2 + 1$. We easily see that $F \in D(\hat{A})$ and $\hat{A}F = -8(3t^2 - 1)$. Then (4.22) can be written as follows:

$$Bu = -u'' - \frac{c}{8}(-8)(3t^2 - 1) \int_0^1 (2t^4 - 4t^2 + 1)(-u''(t))dt, \tag{4.25}$$

which is the form of (3.37) with $C = c/8$. Then we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\hat{A}F\|^2 &= 64 \int_0^1 (3t^2 - 1)^2 dt = \frac{256}{5}, \\
 (\hat{A}F, F) &= -8 \int_0^1 (3t^2 - 1)(2t^4 - 4t^2 + 1)dt = \frac{512}{105}, \tag{4.26} \\
 \det \left[I - \overline{(\hat{A}F^t, F)}C \right] &= 1 - \frac{512}{105} \frac{c}{8} = \frac{105 - 64c}{105}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Relation (4.10) shows that $k = 4$. From Theorem 3.10, we conclude that B is correct and selfadjoint operator if and only if $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(105 - 64c)/105 \neq 0$, or $c \neq 105/64$.

To find the values of $c \in \mathbb{R}$ for which B is positive definite, we use (3.45)

$$4 = k > \|\widehat{A}F\|^2 \frac{|c|}{8} = \frac{|c|}{8} \frac{256}{5} \quad \text{or} \quad |c| < \frac{5}{8}. \tag{4.27}$$

So for $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|c| < 5/8$, the operator B is positive definite.

By Theorem 3.12 for $|c| \leq 5/8$, B is positive. Also by Theorem 3.11 for $c \leq 0$, B is again positive. So we conclude that for $c \leq 5/8$, B is a positive operator. \square

Example 4.4. The operator $B : L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$, which corresponds to the problem

$$Bu = -u'' + c_1 \int_0^1 (t^2 - t)u''(t)dt + c_2 \sin(\pi x) \int_0^1 \sin(\pi t)u''(t)dt = f(x), \tag{4.28}$$

$$D(B) = \{u \in \mathbb{H}^2(0, 1) : u(0) = -u(1), u'(0) = -u'(1)\} \tag{4.29}$$

is

(i) correct and selfadjoint if and only if c_1, c_2 are real constants such that

$$\left(1 - \frac{c_1}{6}\right) \left(1 + \frac{c_2}{2}\right) + \frac{8c_1c_2}{\pi^4} \neq 0, \tag{4.30}$$

(ii) positive definite when c_1, c_2 are reals and satisfy the inequality

$$4|c_1| + \pi^2|c_2| < 8, \tag{4.31}$$

(iii) positive when $c_1 \leq 0, c_2 \geq 0$ or when $4|c_1| + \pi^2|c_2| \leq 8$.

Proof. By comparing again (4.28) with (3.37), we take $\widehat{A}u = -u''$ with $D(\widehat{A}) = D(B)$, $m = 2, F_1(t) = t^2 - t, F_2(t) = \sin(\pi t)$, and $C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_2/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then we find $\widehat{A}F_1 = -2, \widehat{A}F_2 = \pi^2 \sin(\pi t), (\widehat{A}F^t, F) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & -4/\pi \\ -4/\pi & \pi^2/2 \end{pmatrix}$. We notice that C is Hermitian if and only if $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The condition (3.38) gives the relation (4.30). So B is correct and selfadjoint operator if and only if $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfy (4.30). By simple calculation, we find $\|\widehat{A}F_1\|^2 = 4$ and $\|\widehat{A}F_2\|^2 = \pi^4/2$. From (3.45), it is implied that B is positive definite if $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfy inequality (4.31). By Theorem 3.11, B is positive if C is negative semidefinite, that is, $c_1 \leq 0, c_2 \geq 0$. Also, by Theorem 3.12, B is positive if c_1, c_2 satisfy $4|c_1| + \pi^2|c_2| \leq 8$. \square

Example 4.5. The operator $B : L_2(0, 1) \rightarrow L_2(0, 1)$, with $D(B)$ defined by (4.29) and B by the equation

$$Bu = -u'' - c \int_0^1 u(t)dt = f(t) \tag{4.32}$$

is

(i) correct and selfadjoint if and only if c is a real number such that $c \neq 12$,

(ii) positive definite when c is real and $|c| < 4$ and positive when c is real and $c \leq 4$.

The unique solution of problem (4.32) is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) = & - \int_0^t (t - \xi) f(\xi) d\xi + \frac{t}{2} \int_0^1 f(\xi) d\xi \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{2} \right) f(\xi) d\xi + \frac{3c(t^2 - t)}{12 - c} \int_0^1 (\xi^2 - \xi) f(\xi) d\xi.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.33}$$

Proof. We refer now to Theorem 3.15. By comparing (4.32) with (3.48), we take $\hat{A}u = -u''$ with $D(\hat{A}) = D(B)$ and

$$A_0 \subset \hat{A}, \quad \text{with } D(A_0) = \left\{ u \in D(\hat{A}) : \int_0^1 u(t) dt = 0 \right\}.
 \tag{4.34}$$

Since \hat{A} is a correct and selfadjoint operator, A_0 is a minimal symmetric operator. We take also $Q = 1$, $C = c$. By Theorem 3.15, B is correct and selfadjoint if and only if $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and relation (3.47) is satisfied, that is, if

$$1 - c \int_0^1 (\hat{A}^{-1}Q)(t) dt \neq 0,
 \tag{4.35}$$

where by (4.11),

$$\hat{A}^{-1}Q = \hat{A}^{-1}1 = - \int_0^t (t - \xi) d\xi + \frac{t}{2} \int_0^1 d\xi - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{2} \right) d\xi = -\frac{1}{2}(t^2 - t),
 \tag{4.36}$$

$$\int_0^1 (\hat{A}^{-1}Q)(t) dt = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (t^2 - t) dt = \frac{1}{12}.
 \tag{4.37}$$

So, (4.35) implies that $(12 - c)/12 \neq 0$, that is, $c \neq 12$. By Theorem 3.15, if $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|c| < 4$, then B is positive definite. Again by Theorem 3.15, if $c \leq 0$ and $|c| \leq 4$, that is, $c \leq 4$, then B is a positive operator. The solution of the problem (4.32) is found by formula (3.49). □

Let $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < 1\}$, $\partial\Omega = \gamma$, and $\mathbb{H}^2(\Omega)$ —the Sobolev space of all functions of $L_2(\Omega)$ which have their partial generalized derivatives up to second-order, Lebesgue integrable. The problem

$$-\Delta u = f, \quad u|_\gamma = 0, \quad u \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega), \quad f \in L_2(\Omega)
 \tag{4.38}$$

is the well-known Dirichlet problem and it is known that the corresponding operator \hat{A} (i) is correct and selfadjoint, and

$$u = \hat{A}^{-1}f = \int_\Omega G(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad \forall f \in L_2(\Omega),
 \tag{4.39}$$

where $G(x, y)$ is Green's function, (ii) is positive definite and

$$(\hat{A}u, u) = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \frac{\pi^2}{2} \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.
 \tag{4.40}$$

This inequality which has been proved in [15, pages 194, 195] for real functions $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) : u|_{\gamma} = 0$ holds true for all $u \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega) : u|_{\gamma} = 0$, since $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ is dense in $\mathbb{H}^2(\Omega)$ and for all $u \in D(\hat{A})$, exist the real functions $g, h \in D(\hat{A})$ such that $u = g + ih$, $(\hat{A}u, u) = (\hat{A}g, g) - i(\hat{A}g, h) + i(\hat{A}h, g) + (\hat{A}h, h) \geq (\pi^2/2)\|g\|^2 + (\pi^2/2)\|h\|^2 = (\pi^2/2)\|u\|^2$ and since

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{A}h, g) &= - \int_{\Omega} g \Delta h \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla g \nabla h \, dx, \\ (\hat{A}g, h) &= - \int_{\Omega} h \Delta g \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla g \nabla h \, dx, \quad g|_{\gamma} = h|_{\gamma} = 0, \quad (g, h) = (h, g), \\ \|u\|^2 &= (u, u) = (g + ih, g + ih) = (g, g) + (h, h) = \|g\|^2 + \|h\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

Example 4.6. The operator $B : L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)$ which corresponds to the problem

$$Bu = -\Delta u - c \Delta v \int_{\Omega} u \Delta \bar{v} \, dx = f(x) \tag{4.42}$$

$$D(B) = \{u \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega) : u|_{\gamma} = 0\}, \tag{4.43}$$

where $v \in D(B)$, $v \neq 0$, is correct and selfadjoint if and only if $1 - c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx \neq 0$ and B is positive definite if $|c| < \pi^2/(2 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^2 \, dx)$, B is positive if $c \leq \pi^2/(2 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^2 \, dx)$.

The unique solution of (4.42)-(4.43) is given by the formula

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y) f(y) \, dy + \frac{cv(x)}{1 - c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \, dy} \int_{\Omega} f(y) \overline{v(y)} \, dy. \tag{4.44}$$

Proof. From Green's formula, since $u|_{\gamma} = 0$, $v|_{\gamma} = 0$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\bar{v} \Delta u - u \Delta \bar{v}) \, dx = \int_{\gamma} \left(\bar{v} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - u \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial n} \right) \, ds = 0. \tag{4.45}$$

So,

$$\int_{\Omega} \bar{v} \Delta u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u \Delta \bar{v} \, dx. \tag{4.46}$$

Now (4.42) takes the form

$$Bu = -\Delta u - c \Delta v \int_{\Omega} \bar{v} \Delta u \, dx = f, \quad u \in D(B). \tag{4.47}$$

We refer now to Theorem 3.10 and take $m = 1$, $F = v$, $\hat{A}u = -\Delta u$ with $D(\hat{A}) = D(B)$. Then, since $v \neq 0$, we have $\|\hat{A}F\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^2 \, dx \neq 0$,

$$(\hat{A}F^t, F) = (\hat{A}v, v) = - \int_{\Omega} \bar{v} \Delta v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx. \tag{4.48}$$

The last equality follows from Green’s formula

$$\int_{\Omega} u \Delta v \, dx = \int_{\gamma} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \, ds - \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla u \, dx \tag{4.49}$$

by taking $u = \bar{v} \in D(B)$ and the fact that $v|_{\gamma} = 0$.

Now, by Theorem 3.10, B is correct and selfadjoint if and only if $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\det [I - \overline{(\hat{A}F^t, F)C}] = 1 - c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx \neq 0. \tag{4.50}$$

By relations (3.39) and (4.39), we find (4.44)—the unique solution of problem (4.42)-(4.43).

From (4.40) and Theorems 3.11, 3.12, it is implied that $k = \pi^2/2$ and B is positive definite if $|c| < \pi^2/(2 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^2 \, dx)$, and B is positive if $c \leq \pi^2/(2 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^2 \, dx)$. \square

Let \hat{A} be as above, λ_1, λ_2 its two eigenvalues and v_1, v_2 the eigenvectors of \hat{A} , corresponding to λ_1, λ_2 . It is known that v_1, v_2 are linearly independent elements of $D(\hat{A})$, $(v_1, v_2) = 0$, and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$.

Example 4.7. The operator $B : L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)$ which corresponds to the problem

$$Bu = -\Delta u - c_1 v_1 \int_{\Omega} \bar{v}_1 \Delta u \, dx - c_2 v_2 \int_{\Omega} \bar{v}_2 \Delta u \, dx = f(x), \tag{4.51}$$

$$D(B) = \{u \in \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega) : u|_{\gamma} = 0\} \tag{4.52}$$

is

(i) correct and selfadjoint if and only if c_1, c_2 are real numbers such that

$$(1 + c_1 \|v_1\|^2)(1 + c_2 \|v_2\|^2) \neq 0, \tag{4.53}$$

(ii) positive definite if $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$2\lambda_1 |c_1| \|v_1\|^2 + 2\lambda_2 |c_2| \|v_2\|^2 < \pi^2, \tag{4.54}$$

(iii) positive if $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$c_1, c_2 \leq 0 \quad \text{or when} \quad 2\lambda_1 |c_1| \|v_1\|^2 + 2\lambda_2 |c_2| \|v_2\|^2 \leq \pi^2. \tag{4.55}$$

The unique solution of (4.51)-(4.52) is given by the formula

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y) f(y) \, dy + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{c_i v_i(x)}{\lambda_i (1 + c_i \|v_i\|^2)} \int_{\Omega} f(y) \overline{v_i(y)} \, dy. \tag{4.56}$$

Proof. Since $v_i = -\Delta v_i/\lambda_i, i = 1, 2$, from (4.51), we have

$$Bu = -\Delta u - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{c_i}{\lambda_i} (-\Delta v_i) \int_{\Omega} \bar{v}_i(-\Delta u) dx = f(x). \tag{4.57}$$

By comparing (4.57) with (3.37), we take $\hat{A}u = -\Delta u, D(\hat{A}) = D(B), m = 2, F = (v_1(x)v_2(x)), C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1/\lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2/\lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\hat{A}F^t = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta v_1 \\ -\Delta v_2 \end{pmatrix}, (\hat{A}F^t, F) = -\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \|v_1\|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \|v_2\|^2 \end{pmatrix}, (f, F^t) = \begin{pmatrix} (f, v_1) \\ (f, v_2) \end{pmatrix}, \det(I - \overline{(\hat{A}F^t, F)C}) = (1 + c_1 \|v_1\|^2)(1 + c_2 \|v_2\|^2) \neq 0$, that is, we received (4.53). Also, $\|\hat{A}F_i\| = \|-\Delta v_i\| = \lambda_i \|v_i\|, i = 1, 2$ and

$$\left(I - \overline{(\hat{A}F^t, F)C} \right)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(1 + c_1 \|v_1\|^2)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{(1 + c_2 \|v_2\|^2)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.58}$$

From Theorem 3.12 and (4.40), it follows that $k = \pi^2/2$ and operator B is positive definite if $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfy the inequality (4.54). By Theorem 3.11, the operator B is positive if C is negative semidefinite, that is, $c_1, c_2 \leq 0$. Also, by Theorem 3.12, B is positive if $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfy $2\lambda_1 |c_1| \|v_1\|^2 + 2\lambda_2 |c_2| \|v_2\|^2 \leq \pi^2$.

The relations (3.39) and (4.39) give us the unique solution (4.56) of this problem. \square

The results of this paper can be applied to Hermitian matrices, which are the matrices of Hermitian operators in unitary spaces with respect to any orthonormal basis of the space.

Example 4.8. Let \hat{A} be a Hermitian operator in the n -dimensional unitary space $E, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ its eigenvalues, which are real numbers, different from zero, with multiplicity p_1, \dots, p_m and E_1, \dots, E_m , the corresponding eigenspaces. If we consider that E endowed with an orthonormal basis D consisted of eigenvectors of \hat{A} , such that the first p_1 elements of D constitute a basis of E_1 , the next p_2 elements constitute a basis of E_2 and so on, then the matrix of \hat{A} , with respect to this basis, is the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & & \\ & & \lambda_1 & & & & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & & & & \\ & & & & \lambda_m & & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & & & \lambda_m & & & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & & & & \lambda_m & \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.59}$$

where all the other elements are zero. Let A_0 be the restriction of \hat{A} onto the subspace $E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_{m-1}$, which is a symmetric operator on this subspace. Let also $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_p$ be an orthonormal basis of E_m , where $p_m = p$. If we write $F = (\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_p)$, then Theorem 3.10 asserts that any invertible Hermitian extension B of A_0 to the whole space E which takes

References

- [1] E. A. Coddington, *Self-adjoint subspace extensions of nondensely defined symmetric operators*, Advances in Math. **14** (1974), 309–332.
- [2] E. A. Coddington and A. Dijksma, *Self-adjoint subspaces and eigenfunction expansions for ordinary differential subspaces*, J. Differential Equations **20** (1976), no. 2, 473–526.
- [3] A. A. Dezin, *General questions of the theory of boundary value problems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1980.
- [4] K. O. Friedrichs, *Symmetric positive linear differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **11** (1958), 333–418.
- [5] V. I. Gorbachuk and M. L. Gorbachuk, *Boundary value problems for operator differential equations*, Mathematics and Its Applications (Soviet Series), vol. 48, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [6] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [7] A. N. Kočubei, *Extensions of a nondensely defined symmetric operator*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž **18** (1977), no. 2, 314–320, 478.
- [8] ———, *Extensions of positive definite symmetric operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Ser. A (1979), no. 3, 168–171, 237 (Russian).
- [9] ———, *Symmetric operators and nonclassical spectral problems*, Math. Notes **25** (1979), 224–229, (English translation).
- [10] B. K. Kokebaev, M. Otelbaev, and A. N. Shinibekov, *About Restrictions and Extensions of operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk (D.A.N) SSSR **271** (1983), no. 6, 1307–1310 (Russian).
- [11] E. Kreyszig, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1989.
- [12] V. A. Mikhaïlets, *Spectra of operators and boundary value problems*, Spectral Analysis of Differential Operators, Akademiya Nauk Ukrainy SSR, Institut Matematiki, Kiev, 1980, pp. 106–131.
- [13] J. von Neumann, *Allgemeine Eigenwerttheorie Hermitescher Funktionaloperatoren*, Math. Ann. **102** (1929), 49–131 (German).
- [14] R. Oinarov and I. N. Parasidi, *Correctly solvable extensions of operators with finite deficiencies in a Banach space*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Kazah. SSR Ser. Fiz.-Mat. **144** (1988), no. 5, 42–46 (Russian).
- [15] K. Rektorys, *Variational Methods in Mathematical Physics and Engineering*, “Mir”, Moscow, 1985.
- [16] I. Stakgold, *Green's Functions and Boundary Value Problems*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1979.
- [17] M. I. Vishik, *About of boundary value problems for elliptical differential equations*, Mosk. Math. Society **1** (1952), 187–246 (Russian).

I. Parassidis: TEI of Larissa, 41110 Larissa, Greece
E-mail address: parasidis@hol.gr

P. Tsekrekos: Department of Mathematics, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou Campus, 15780 Athens, Greece
E-mail address: ptsekre@math.ntua.gr