



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 25, No. 2, December 2014, pp. 95-109

ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright © ICSRS Publication, 2014

www.i-csrs.org

Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

Quadruple Fixed Point Theorem for Four Mappings

Anushri A. Aserkar^{1,2} and Manjusha P. Gandhi²

¹Rajiv Gandhi College of Engineering and Research, Nagpur, India

E-mail: aserkar_aaa@rediffmail.com

²Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engineering, Nagpur, India

E-mail: manjusha_g2@rediffmail.com

(Received: 3-8-14 / Accepted: 12-9-14)

Abstract

In this paper we have proved a unique common quadruple fixed point theorem for four mappings satisfying w -compatible in partially ordered metric space with two altering distance functions. An example has been given to validate the result.

Keywords: *Quadruple fixed point, Compatible mapping, Partially ordered set, Complete metric space.*

1 Introduction

Fixed point theory has fascinated hundreds of researchers since 1922 with the celebrated Banach's fixed point theorem. This theorem provides a technique for solving a variety of problems in mathematical sciences and engineering. This study is a very active field of research at present.

T.G. Bhashkar et al. [13] introduced the concept of a coupled fixed point and proved theorems in partially ordered complete metric spaces.

V. Lakshmikantham et al. [14] proved coupled coincidence and coupled common fixed point theorems for nonlinear mappings in partially ordered complete metric spaces. Later, many results on coupled fixed point have been obtained [2, 3, 4, 10, 11, 12].

V. Berinde et al. [15] introduced the concept of a tripled fixed point.

B. Samet et al. [1] introduced fixed point of order $N \geq 3$ for the first time. Very recently, E. Karapinar [5] used the notion of quadruple fixed point and obtained some quadruple fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces. Many researchers [6-9] were motivated and proved theorems on quadruple fixed points with monotone property whereas in the present paper a unique common quadruple fixed point theorem for four mappings without using the monotone property and satisfying w -compatible condition in pairs has been proved.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Quadruple Fixed Point

Let $F: X \times X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$. An element (x, y, z, w) is called a quadruple fixed point of F if $F(x, y, z, w) = x$, $F(y, z, w, x) = y$, $F(z, w, x, y) = z$, $F(w, x, y, z) = w$.

2.2 Quadruple Coincidence Point

Let $F: X \times X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$. An element (x, y, z, w) is called a quadruple coincidence point of F and g if $F(x, y, z, w) = gx$, $F(y, z, w, x) = gy$, $F(z, w, x, y) = gz$, $F(w, x, y, z) = gw$.

2.3 Quadruple Common Fixed Point

Let $F: X \times X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$. An element (x, y, z, w) is called a quadruple common fixed point of F and g if $F(x, y, z, w) = gx = x$, $F(y, z, w, x) = gy = y$, $F(z, w, x, y) = gz = z$, $F(w, x, y, z) = gw = w$.

2.4 W-Compatible Mapping

$F: X \times X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \rightarrow X$ are called w -compatible if $F(gx, gy, gz, gw) = g(F(x, y, z, w))$ whenever $F(x, y, z, w) = gx$, $F(y, z, w, x) = gy$, $F(z, w, x, y) = gz$, $F(w, x, y, z) = gw$.

2.5 Alternating Distance Function

Let Φ denote all the functions $\xi \in \Phi$ such that $\xi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which satisfy

(i) $\xi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$,

(ii) $\xi(t)$ is continuous and non-decreasing.

3 Main Theorem

Theorem 3.1: Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Suppose $F, G : X^4 \rightarrow X$ and $g, f : X \rightarrow X$ are such that F, G are continuous. $\xi, \phi \in \Phi$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (i) \xi(d(F(x, y, z, w), G(p, q, r, s))) \\ & \leq \xi\left(\max\{d(fx, gp), d(fy, gq), d(fz, gr), d(fw, gs)\}\right) \\ & - \phi\left(\max\{d(fx, gp), d(fy, gq), d(fz, gr), d(fw, gs)\}\right) \\ & + L \min(d(fx, G(p, q, r, s)), d(gp, F(x, y, z, w)), d(gp, G(p, q, r, s))) \dots \dots \dots (i) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w, p, q, r, s \in X$.

(ii) $F(X^4) \subset g(X), G(X^4) \subset f(X)$,

(iii) the pairs (F, f) and (G, g) are W - compatible .

Then F, G, f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point in X^4 and also they have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let $x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0 \in X$.

$\therefore F(X^4) \subset g(X), G(X^4) \subset f(X)$.

\therefore we may find x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1 so that $F(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0) = g(x_1), F(y_0, z_0, w_0, x_0) = g(y_1)$,

$F(z_0, w_0, x_0, y_0) = g(z_1), F(w_0, x_0, y_0, z_0) = g(w_1)$.

Similarly we may find x_2, y_2, z_2, w_2 so that $G(x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1) = f(x_2), G(y_1, z_1, w_1, x_1) = f(y_2)$,

$G(z_1, w_1, x_1, y_1) = f(z_2), G(w_1, x_1, y_1, z_1) = f(w_2)$.

Continuing in the same way we may form sequences

$\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{w_n\}, \{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\}, \{d_n\}$ in X such that

$a_{2n} = g(x_{2n+1}) = F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}, w_{2n}), b_{2n} = g(y_{2n+1}) = F(y_{2n}, z_{2n}, w_{2n}, x_{2n})$

$c_{2n} = g(z_{2n+1}) = F(z_{2n}, w_{2n}, x_{2n}, y_{2n}), d_{2n} = g(w_{2n+1}) = F(w_{2n}, x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n})$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2n+1} &= f(x_{2n+2}) = G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}), \\ b_{2n+1} &= f(y_{2n+2}) = G(y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \\ c_{2n+1} &= f(z_{2n+2}) = G(z_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), \\ d_{2n+1} &= f(w_{2n+2}) = G(w_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Putting $x = x_{2n}$, $y = y_{2n}$, $z = z_{2n}$, $w = w_{2n}$, $p = x_{2n+1}$, $q = y_{2n+1}$, $r = z_{2n+1}$, $s = w_{2n+1}$ in

(i) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi(d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n})\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n})\}\right) \\ &\quad + L_{\min}(d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n+1}), d(a_{2n}, a_{2n}), d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1})) \\ \therefore &\xi(d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n})\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n})\}\right) \dots \text{(ii)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly if we consider,

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi(d(F(y, z, w, x), G(q, r, s, p))) \\ &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(fy, gq), d(fz, gr), d(fw, gs), d(fx, gp)\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(fy, gq), d(fz, gr), d(fw, gs), d(fx, gp)\}\right) \\ &\quad + L_{\min}(d(fy, G(q, r, s, p)), d(gq, F(y, z, w, x)), d(gq, G(q, r, s, p))) \end{aligned}$$

We may prove that

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi(d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n}), d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n})\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n}), d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n})\}\right) \dots \text{(iii)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we may prove that

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi(d(c_{2n}, c_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n}), d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n}), d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n})\}\right) \dots \text{(iv)} \end{aligned}$$

&

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi(d_{2n}, d_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \xi(\max\{d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n}), d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n})\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n}), d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n})\}) \dots\dots\dots (v) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi(\max\{d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}), d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}), d(c_{2n}, c_{2n+1}), d(d_{2n}, d_{2n+1})\}) \\ &\leq \xi(\max\{d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n})\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n})\}) \dots\dots\dots (vi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore &\xi(\max\{d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}), d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}), d(c_{2n}, c_{2n+1}), d(d_{2n}, d_{2n+1})\}) \\ &\leq \xi(\max\{d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n})\}) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \xi(t)$ is an a non - decreasing sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore &\max\{d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}), d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}), d(c_{2n}, c_{2n+1}), d(d_{2n}, d_{2n+1})\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n})\} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \{d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}), d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}), d(c_{2n}, c_{2n+1}), d(d_{2n}, d_{2n+1})\}$ is a sequence of non - increasing positive real numbers. So, it must converge to a positive real number say $\delta > 0$.

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}), d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}), d(c_{2n}, c_{2n+1}), d(d_{2n}, d_{2n+1})\} = \delta$$

\therefore Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ on (vi) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(\max\{d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}), d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}), d(c_{2n}, c_{2n+1}), d(d_{2n}, d_{2n+1})\}) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(\max\{d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n})\}) \\ &\quad - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\max\{d(a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}), d(b_{2n-1}, b_{2n}), d(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}), d(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n})\}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \xi(\delta) \leq \xi(\delta) - \phi(\delta) \Rightarrow \phi(\delta) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta = 0$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}), d(b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}), d(c_{2n}, c_{2n+1}), d(d_{2n}, d_{2n+1})\} = 0$$

\therefore Generalising we get,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{d(a_n, a_{n+1}), d(b_n, b_{n+1}), d(c_n, c_{n+1}), d(d_n, d_{n+1})\} = 0 \dots\dots\dots (vii)$$

We will show that $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\}, \{d_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences. Assume on the contrary, that $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\}$ and $\{d_n\}$ are not Cauchy sequences, consequently, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a_n, a_m) \neq 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(b_n, b_m) \neq 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(c_n, c_m) \neq 0$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(d_n, d_m) \neq 0$.

Let there exists $\epsilon > 0$ for which we can find subsequence of integers $\{m_k\}$ and

$\{n_k\}$ such that $n_k > m_k > k$.

$$\left\{ d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k}), d(b_{2m_k}, b_{2n_k}), d(c_{2m_k}, c_{2n_k}), d(d_{2m_k}, d_{2n_k}) \right\} \geq \epsilon \text{ and}$$

$$\left\{ d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k-1}), d(b_{2m_k}, b_{2n_k-1}), d(c_{2m_k}, c_{2n_k-1}), d(d_{2m_k}, d_{2n_k-1}) \right\} < \epsilon$$

By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k-1}) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2n_k-1}, a_{2n_k}) \\ \epsilon &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k}) < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k-1}) \leq \epsilon \\ \therefore \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k}) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k-1}) = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we may that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(b_{2m_k}, b_{2n_k}) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(b_{2m_k}, b_{2n_k-1}) = \epsilon \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(c_{2m_k}, c_{2n_k}) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(c_{2m_k}, c_{2n_k-1}) = \epsilon \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(d_{2m_k}, d_{2n_k}) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(d_{2m_k}, d_{2n_k-1}) = \epsilon \\ d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k+1}) &\leq d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k}) + d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k+1}) \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k+1}) &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k}) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k+1}) \\ \therefore \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k+1}) &\leq \epsilon \\ d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2n_k}) &\leq d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2m_k}) + d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k}) \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2n_k}) &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2m_k}) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k}) \\ \therefore \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2n_k}) &\leq \epsilon \\ d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2n_k+1}) &\leq d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2m_k}) + d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k}) + d(a_{2n_k}, a_{2n_k+1}) \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2n_k+1}) &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2m_k}) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k}) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2n_k}, a_{2n_k+1}) \\ \therefore \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2n_k+1}) &\leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we may prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(b_{2m_k}, b_{2n_k+1}) \leq \epsilon, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(b_{2m_k-1}, b_{2n_k}) \leq \epsilon, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(b_{2m_k-1}, b_{2n_k+1}) \leq \epsilon \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(c_{2m_k}, c_{2n_k+1}) \leq \epsilon, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(c_{2m_k-1}, c_{2n_k}) \leq \epsilon, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(c_{2m_k-1}, c_{2n_k+1}) \leq \epsilon \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(d_{2m_k}, d_{2n_k+1}) \leq \epsilon, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(d_{2m_k-1}, d_{2n_k}) \leq \epsilon, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(d_{2m_k-1}, d_{2n_k+1}) \leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Putting $x = x_{2m_k}, y = y_{2m_k}, z = z_{2m_k}, w = w_{2m_k}, p = x_{2n_k+1}, q = y_{2n_k+1}, r = z_{2n_k+1}, s = w_{2n_k+1}$ in (i), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi(d(F(x_{2m_k}, y_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k}), G(x_{2n_k+1}, y_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1})) \\ & \leq \xi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(fx_{2m_k}, gx_{2n_k+1}), d(fy_{2m_k}, gy_{2n_k+1}), d(fz_{2m_k}, gz_{2n_k+1}), \\ & d(fw_{2m_k}, gw_{2n_k+1}) \end{aligned} \right\} \right) \\ & - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(fx_{2m_k}, gx_{2n_k+1}), d(fy_{2m_k}, gy_{2n_k+1}), d(fz_{2m_k}, gz_{2n_k+1}), \\ & d(fw_{2m_k}, gw_{2n_k+1}) \end{aligned} \right\} \right) \\ & + L \min \left(\begin{aligned} & d(fx_{2m_k}, G(x_{2n_k+1}, y_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1})), \\ & d(gx_{2n_k+1}, F(x_{2m_k}, y_{2m_k}, z_{2m_k}, w_{2m_k})), \\ & d(gx_{2n_k+1}, G(x_{2n_k+1}, y_{2n_k+1}, z_{2n_k+1}, w_{2n_k+1})) \end{aligned} \right) \\ & \xi(d(a_{2m_k}, a_{2n_k+1}) \leq \xi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2n_k}), d(b_{2m_k-1}, b_{2n_k}), d(c_{2m_k-1}, c_{2n_k}), \\ & d(d_{2m_k-1}, d_{2n_k}) \end{aligned} \right\} \right) \\ & - \phi \left(\max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2n_k}), d(b_{2m_k-1}, b_{2n_k}), d(c_{2m_k-1}, c_{2n_k}), d(d_{2m_k-1}, d_{2n_k}) \end{aligned} \right\} \right) \\ & + L \min \left(d(a_{2m_k-1}, a_{2n_k+1}), d(a_{2n_k}, a_{2m_k}), d(a_{2n_k}, a_{2n_k+1}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$, we get

$$\xi(\epsilon) \leq \xi(\epsilon) - \phi(\epsilon)$$

$$\therefore \phi(\epsilon) = 0$$

$\therefore \epsilon = 0$, which is not possible

$\{a_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence.

Similarly we may prove that $\{b_n\}, \{c_n\}, \{d_n\}$ are cauchy sequences.

As (X, d) is a complete metric space. So,

$$a_{2n+1} = f(x_{2n+2}), b_{2n+1} = f(y_{2n+2}), c_{2n+1} = f(z_{2n+2}), d_{2n+1} = f(w_{2n+2})$$

converge to some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta$ in X .

Hence there exists x, y, z, w in X , such that $\alpha = fx, \beta = fy, \gamma = fz, \theta = fw$.

Also the subsequences $\{a_{2n}\}, \{b_{2n}\}, \{c_{2n}\}, \{d_{2n}\}$ converge to $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta$

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi(d(F(x, y, z, w), G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}))) \\ & \leq \xi\left(\max\{d(fx, gx_{2n+1}), d(fy, gy_{2n+1}), d(fz, gz_{2n+1}), d(fw, gw_{2n+1})\}\right) \\ & \quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(fx, gx_{2n+1}), d(fy, gy_{2n+1}), d(fz, gz_{2n+1}), d(fw, gw_{2n+1})\}\right) \\ & \quad + L \min\left(\begin{array}{l} d(fx, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1})), d(gx_{2n+1}, F(x, y, z, w)), \\ d(gx_{2n+1}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1})) \end{array}\right) \\ & \xi(d(F(x, y, z, w), a_{2n+1})) \\ & \leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, a_{2n}), d(\beta, b_{2n}), d(\gamma, c_{2n}), d(\theta, d_{2n})\}\right) \\ & \quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, a_{2n}), d(\beta, b_{2n}), d(\gamma, c_{2n}), d(\theta, d_{2n})\}\right) \\ & \quad + L \min(d(\alpha, a_{2n+1}), d(a_{2n}, F(x, y, z, w)), d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1})) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ to both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \therefore \xi(d(F(x, y, z, w), \alpha)) \leq 0 \\ & \therefore F(x, y, z, w) = \alpha \\ & \therefore F(x, y, z, w) = fx = \alpha \\ & \therefore (F, f) \text{ are } w\text{-compatible.} \\ & \therefore f\alpha = f(F(x, y, z, w)) = F(fx, fy, fz, fw) = F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we may prove that

$$\begin{aligned} f\beta &= F(\beta, \gamma, \theta, \alpha) \\ f\gamma &= F(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, \beta) \\ f\theta &= F(\theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

Putting $x = \alpha, y = \beta, z = \gamma, w = \theta$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi(d(F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta), G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}))) \\ & \leq \xi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, gx_{2n+1}), d(f\beta, gy_{2n+1}), d(f\gamma, gz_{2n+1}), d(f\theta, gw_{2n+1})\}\right) \\ & \quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, gx_{2n+1}), d(f\beta, gy_{2n+1}), d(f\gamma, gz_{2n+1}), d(f\theta, gw_{2n+1})\}\right) \\ & \quad + L \min\left(\begin{array}{l} d(f\alpha, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1})), d(gx_{2n+1}, F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)), \\ d(gx_{2n+1}, G(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1})) \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \xi(d(f\alpha, a_{2n+1})) &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, a_{2n}), d(f\beta, b_{2n}), d(f\gamma, c_{2n}), d(f\theta, d_{2n})\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, a_{2n}), d(f\beta, b_{2n}), d(f\gamma, c_{2n}), d(f\theta, d_{2n})\}\right) \\ &\quad + L\min(d(f\alpha, a_{2n+1}), d(a_{2n}, F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)), d(a_{2n}, a_{2n+1})) \\ \xi(d(f\alpha, \alpha)) &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, \alpha), d(f\beta, \beta), d(f\gamma, \gamma), d(f\theta, \theta)\}\right) - \\ &\quad \phi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, \alpha), d(f\beta, \beta), d(f\gamma, \gamma), d(f\theta, \theta)\}\right) \\ \xi(d(f\beta, \beta)) &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(f\beta, \beta), d(f\gamma, \gamma), d(f\theta, \theta), d(f\alpha, \alpha)\}\right) - \\ &\quad \phi\left(\max\{d(f\beta, \beta), d(f\gamma, \gamma), d(f\theta, \theta), d(f\alpha, \alpha)\}\right) \\ \xi(d(f\theta, \theta)) &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(f\theta, \theta), d(f\alpha, \alpha), d(f\beta, \beta), d(f\gamma, \gamma)\}\right) - \\ &\quad \phi\left(\max\{d(f\theta, \theta), d(f\alpha, \alpha), d(f\beta, \beta), d(f\gamma, \gamma)\}\right) \\ \xi(d(f\gamma, \gamma)) &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(f\gamma, \gamma), d(f\theta, \theta), d(f\alpha, \alpha), d(f\beta, \beta)\}\right) - \\ &\quad \phi\left(\max\{d(f\gamma, \gamma), d(f\theta, \theta), d(f\alpha, \alpha), d(f\beta, \beta)\}\right) \\ \therefore \xi(\max\{d(f\alpha, \alpha), d(f\beta, \beta), d(f\gamma, \gamma), d(f\theta, \theta)\}) &\leq \\ &\quad \xi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, \alpha), d(f\beta, \beta), d(f\gamma, \gamma), d(f\theta, \theta)\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, \alpha), d(f\beta, \beta), d(f\gamma, \gamma), d(f\theta, \theta)\}\right) \\ \therefore \phi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, \alpha), d(f\beta, \beta), d(f\gamma, \gamma), d(f\theta, \theta)\}\right) &= 0 \\ \max\{d(f\alpha, \alpha), d(f\beta, \beta), d(f\gamma, \gamma), d(f\theta, \theta)\} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(f\alpha, \alpha) = 0, d(f\beta, \beta) = 0, d(f\gamma, \gamma) = 0 \text{ \& } d(f\theta, \theta) = 0 \\ \therefore f\alpha = \alpha, f\beta = \beta, f\gamma = \gamma, f\theta = \theta \\ \therefore F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) = f\alpha = \alpha \quad F(\beta, \gamma, \theta, \alpha) = f\beta = \beta \\ F(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, \beta) = f\gamma = \gamma \quad F(\theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = f\theta = \theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore F(X^4) \subset g(X), \text{ there exists } u, v, \sigma, \tau \text{ such that } g(u) = F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) = f\alpha = \alpha \\ g(v) = F(\beta, \gamma, \theta, \alpha) = f\beta = \beta \quad g(\sigma) = F(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, \beta) = f\gamma = \gamma \quad g(\tau) = F(\theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = f\theta = \theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \xi(d(g(u), G(v, v, \sigma, \tau))) &= \xi(d(F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta), G(v, v, \sigma, \tau))) \\ &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, gv), d(f\beta, gv), d(f\gamma, g\sigma), d(f\theta, g\tau)\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, gv), d(f\beta, gv), d(f\gamma, g\sigma), d(f\theta, g\tau)\}\right) \\ &\quad + L\min(d(f\alpha, G(v, v, \sigma, \tau)), d(gv, F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)), d(gv, G(v, v, \sigma, \tau))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \xi(d(g(u), G(v, v, \sigma, \tau))) \leq 0 \Rightarrow d(g(u), G(v, v, \sigma, \tau)) = 0$$

$$\therefore g(u) = G(v, v, \sigma, \tau)$$

Similarly we may prove that

$$g(v) = G(v, \sigma, \tau, v), \quad g(\sigma) = G(\sigma, \tau, v, v), \quad g(\tau) = G(\tau, v, v, \sigma)$$

$\because (G, g)$ are W compatible

$$\therefore g\alpha = gg(v) = gG(v, v, \sigma, \tau) = G(g(v), g(v), g(\sigma), g(\tau)) = G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$$

Similarly we may prove that

$$g\beta = G(\beta, \gamma, \theta, \alpha), \quad g\gamma = G(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, \beta), \quad g\theta = G(\theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

Putting $x = x_{2n}$, $y = y_{2n}$, $z = z_{2n}$, $w = w_{2n}$, $p = \alpha$, $q = \beta$, $r = \gamma$, $s = \theta$ in (i) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi(d(F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}, w_{2n}), G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta))) \\ & \leq \xi\left(\max\{d(fx_{2n}, g\alpha), d(fy_{2n}, g\beta), d(fz_{2n}, g\gamma), d(fw_{2n}, g\theta)\}\right) \\ & \quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(fx_{2n}, g\alpha), d(fy_{2n}, g\beta), d(fz_{2n}, g\gamma), d(fw_{2n}, g\theta)\}\right) \\ & \quad + L\min\left(d(fx_{2n}, G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)), d(g\alpha, F(x_{2n}, y_{2n}, z_{2n}, w_{2n})), d(g\alpha, G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta))\right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(d(\alpha, g\alpha)) & \leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta)\}\right) \\ & \quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta)\}\right) \\ & \quad + L\min\left(d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(g\alpha, \alpha), d(g\alpha, g\alpha)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \xi(d(\alpha, g\alpha)) & \leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta)\}\right) \\ & \quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta)\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we may prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(d(\beta, g\beta)) & \leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta), d(\alpha, g\alpha)\}\right) \\ & \quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta), d(\alpha, g\alpha)\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(d(\gamma, g\gamma)) & \leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta), d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta)\}\right) \\ & \quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta), d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta)\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(d(\theta, g\theta)) & \leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\theta, g\theta), d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma)\}\right) \\ & \quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\theta, g\theta), d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma)\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \xi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta)\}\right) \\ \leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta)\}\right) \\ - \phi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta)\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \phi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta)\}\right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \max\{d(\alpha, g\alpha), d(\beta, g\beta), d(\gamma, g\gamma), d(\theta, g\theta)\} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore g\alpha &= \alpha, & g\beta &= \beta, & g\gamma &= \gamma, & g\theta &= \theta \\ g\alpha &= G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) = \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we may prove that

$$\begin{aligned} g\beta &= G(\beta, \gamma, \theta, \alpha) = \beta, & g\gamma &= G(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, \beta) = \gamma, & g\theta &= G(\theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \theta \\ \therefore F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) &= f\alpha = g\alpha = G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) = \alpha & F(\beta, \gamma, \theta, \alpha) &= f\beta = g\beta = G(\beta, \gamma, \theta, \alpha) = \beta \\ F(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, \beta) &= f\gamma = g\gamma = G(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, \beta) = \gamma, & F(\theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= f\theta = g\theta = G(\theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \theta \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ is a quadruple fixed point of F, G, f, g

Uniqueness: Let if possible there are two fixed points say

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) \text{ and } (\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*, \theta^*) \text{ of } F, G, f, g \\ \therefore F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) &= f\alpha = g\alpha = G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) = \alpha & F(\beta, \gamma, \theta, \alpha) &= f\beta = g\beta = G(\beta, \gamma, \theta, \alpha) = \beta \\ F(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, \beta) &= f\gamma = g\gamma = G(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, \beta) = \gamma, & F(\theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= f\theta = g\theta = G(\theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \theta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*, \theta^*) &= f\alpha^* = g\alpha^* = G(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*, \theta^*) = \alpha^* \\ F(\beta^*, \gamma^*, \theta^*, \alpha^*) &= f\beta^* = g\beta^* = G(\beta^*, \gamma^*, \theta^*, \alpha^*) = \beta^* \\ F(\gamma^*, \theta^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*) &= f\gamma^* = g\gamma^* = G(\gamma^*, \theta^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*) = \gamma^*, \\ F(\theta^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*) &= f\theta^* = g\theta^* = G(\theta^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*) = \theta^* \end{aligned}$$

Putting $x = \alpha, y = \beta, z = \gamma, w = \theta$ and $p = \alpha^*, q = \beta^*, r = \gamma^*, s = \theta^*$

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi(d(F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta), G(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*, \theta^*))) \\ &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, g\alpha^*), d(f\beta, g\beta^*), d(f\gamma, g\gamma^*), d(f\theta, g\theta^*)\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(f\alpha, g\alpha^*), d(f\beta, g\beta^*), d(f\gamma, g\gamma^*), d(f\theta, g\theta^*)\}\right) \\ &\quad + L\min(d(f\alpha, G(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*, \theta^*)), d(g\alpha^*, F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)), d(g\alpha^*, G(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*, \theta^*))) \\ \xi(d(\alpha, \alpha^*)) &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*)\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*)\}\right) \\ &\quad + L\min(d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\alpha^*, \alpha), d(\alpha^*, \alpha^*)) \\ \xi(d(\alpha, \alpha^*)) &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*)\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*)\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we may prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(d(\beta, \beta^*)) &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*), d(\alpha, \alpha^*)\}\right) \\ &\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*), d(\alpha, \alpha^*)\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(d(\gamma, \gamma^*)) &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*), d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*)\}\right) \\
&\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*), d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*)\}\right) \\
\xi(d(\theta, \theta^*)) &\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\theta, \theta^*), d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*)\}\right) \\
&\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\theta, \theta^*), d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*)\}\right) \\
\therefore \xi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*)\}\right) \\
&\leq \xi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*)\}\right) \\
&\quad - \phi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*)\}\right) \\
\therefore \phi\left(\max\{d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*)\}\right) &= 0 \\
\therefore \max\{d(\alpha, \alpha^*), d(\beta, \beta^*), d(\gamma, \gamma^*), d(\theta, \theta^*)\} &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow d(\alpha, \alpha^*) = d(\beta, \beta^*) = d(\gamma, \gamma^*) = d(\theta, \theta^*) &= 0 \\
\therefore \alpha = \alpha^*, \beta = \beta^*, \gamma = \gamma^*, \theta = \theta^* &
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ is a unique common quadruple fixed point of F, G, f, g .

Corollary 3.2: Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Suppose $F, G : X^4 \rightarrow X$ and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are such that F, G are continuous. $k \in [0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i) } d(F(x, y, z, w), G(p, q, r, s)) \\
\leq k\left(\max\{d(fx, gp), d(fy, gq), d(fz, gr), d(fw, gs)\}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w, p, q, r, s \in X$.

$$\text{(ii) } F(X^4) \subset g(X), G(X^4) \subset f(X),$$

(iii) the pairs (F, f) and (G, g) are W -compatible.

Then F, G, f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point in X^4 and also they have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Substituting $\xi(t) = t$ and $\phi(t) = (1 - k)t$ and $L=0$, in the main theorem we get the proof.

Corollary 3.3: Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Suppose $F, G : X^4 \rightarrow X$ and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are such that F, G are continuous.

$$\text{(i) } d(F(x, y, z, w), G(p, q, r, s)) \leq \frac{k}{4}(d(fx, gp) + d(fy, gq) + d(fz, gr) + d(fw, gs))$$

for all $x, y, z, w, p, q, r, s \in X$.

- (ii) $F(X^4) \subset g(X), G(X^4) \subset f(X)$,
- (iii) the pairs (F, f) and (G, g) are W - compatible .

Then F, G, f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point in X^4 and also they have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: We know that

$$\frac{1}{4} \{d(fx, gp) + d(fy, gq) + d(fz, gr) + d(fw, gs)\} \leq \max \{d(fx, gp), d(fy, gq), d(fz, gr), d(fw, gs)\}$$

So we get the proof from Corollary 3.1.

Corollary 3.4: Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space .Suppose $F, G : X^4 \rightarrow X$ and $g, f : X \rightarrow X$ are such that F, G are continuous. $\phi \in \Phi$

- (i) $d(F(x, y, z, w), G(p, q, r, s))$
 $\leq \max \{d(fx, gp), d(fy, gq), d(fz, gr), d(fw, gs)\} -$
 $\phi \left(\max \{d(fx, gp), d(fy, gq), d(fz, gr), d(fw, gs)\} \right)$
 for all $x, y, z, w, p, q, r, s \in X$.

- (ii) $F(X^4) \subset g(X), G(X^4) \subset f(X)$,
- (iii) the pairs (F, f) and (G, g) are W - compatible .

Then F, G, f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point in X^4 and also they have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Substituting $\xi(t) = t$ and $L=0$, in the main theorem we get the proof.

Corollary 3.5: Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space .Suppose $F : X^4 \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ are such that F, G are continuous. k is a constant such that $k \in [0, 1)$

- (i) $d(F(x, y, z, w), F(p, q, r, s)) \leq k \max \{d(fx, fp), d(fy, fq), d(fz, fr), d(fw, fs)\}$
 for all $x, y, z, w, p, q, r, s \in X$.

- (ii) $F(X^4) \subset f(X)$,
- (iii) the pairs (F, f) are W - compatible .

Then F, f has a unique common coupled fixed point in X^4 and also they have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Substituting $F = G$ and $f = g$ in Corollary 3.1, we get the proof.

Example 3.6: Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, (X, d) is a complete metric space

$$F(x, y, z, w) = \max\{x, y, z, w\} \quad G(x, y, z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{x, y, z, w\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t) &= \frac{1}{5}t & \phi(t) &= \frac{1}{6}t \\ fx &= 4x & gx &= 3x \end{aligned}$$

Thus $F, G : X^4 \rightarrow X$ and $g, f : X \rightarrow X$ and F, G are continuous. $\xi, \phi \in \Phi$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$F(X^4) \subset g(X), G(X^4) \subset f(X), \quad \text{and the pairs } (F, f) \text{ and } (G, g) \text{ are } W\text{-compatible.}$$

Thus all the conditions of the theorem are satisfied. The unique fixed point is $(0, 0, 0, 0)$.

Conclusion

A unique common quadruple fixed point theorem by introducing a new contractive condition for two altering distance functions and four maps satisfying w-compatible condition in pairs has been proved without using monotone property.

References

- [1] B. Samet and C. Vetro, Coupled fixed point, f-invariant set and fixed point of N-order, *Annals of Functional Analysis*, 1(2) (2010), 46-56.
- [2] B.S. Choudhury, N. Metiya and A. Kundu, Coupled coincidence point theorems in ordered metric spaces, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara.*, 57(2011), 1-16.
- [3] E. Karapınar, Couple fixed point on cone metric spaces, Gazi University, *Journal of Science*, 24(2011), 51-58.
- [4] E. Karapınar, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 59(2010), 3656-3668.
- [5] E. Karapınar, Quartet fixed point for nonlinear contraction, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.5472>, 27 June (2011).
- [6] E. Karapınar, A new quartet fixed point theorem for nonlinear contractions, *Journal of Fixed Point Theory Appli.*, 6(2) (2011), 119-135.
- [7] E. Karapınar, Quadruple fixed point theorems for weak ϕ -contractions, *ISRN Mathematical Analysis*, Article ID 989423(2011), 15 pages.
- [8] E. Karapınar and N.V. Luong, Quadruple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 64(2012), 1839-1848.

- [9] E. Karapinar and V. Berinde, Quadruple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 6(1) (2012), 74-89.
- [10] H. Aydi, Some coupled fixed point results on partial metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Article ID 647091(2011), 11 pages.
- [11] M. Abbas, M.A. Khan and S. Radenović, Common coupled fixed point theorem in cone metric space for w-compatible mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 217(2010), 195-202.
- [12] N.V. Luong and N.X. Thuan, Coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces and application, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 74(2011), 983-992.
- [13] T.G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theory in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 65(2006), 1379-1393.
- [14] V. Lakshmikantham and Lj. B. Ćirić, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 70(2009), 4341-4349.
- [15] V. Berinde and M. Borcut, Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 74(2011), 4889-4897.