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#### Abstract

In this study, we give dual characterizations for Mannheim offsets of timelike ruled surfaces with timelike rulings in terms of their integral invariants. Also, we give new characterization for the Mannheim offsets of developable timelike ruled surfaces. We show that if the offset surfaces are developable then the striction lines of surfaces are Mannheim curves. Moreover, we obtain relationships between areas of projections of spherical images for Mannheim offsets of timelike ruled surfaces and their integral invariants.
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## 1 Introduction

In the space, a continuously moving of a straight line generates a surface which is called ruled surface. These surfaces are one of the most important topics of differential geometry. Because of their simple generation, these surfaces arise in a variety of applications including Computer Aided Geometric Design (CAGD), mathematical physics, kinematics for modeling the problems and model-based manufacturing of mechanical products. Furthermore, in general, offset surface, the surface which is offset a specified distance from the original along the parent surface's normal, is used in CAGD widely. Some studies dealing with offsets of surfaces have been given in references [4, 18, 19, 20]. Ravani and Ku have defined and given a generalization of theory of Bertrand curves to ruled surfaces and called Bertrand trajectory ruled surfaces on the line geometry [20]. By using the invariants of ruled surfaces given in [5, 6], Küçük and Gürsoy have given the characterizations of Bertrand trajectory ruled surfaces in dual space and have obtained the relations between the invariants [10].

Furthermore, recently, a new definition of curve pairs has been given by Liu and Wang: Let $C$ and $C^{*}$ be two space curves. $C$ is said to be a Mannheim partner curve of $C^{*}$ if there exists a one to one correspondence between their points such that the binormal vector of $C$ is the principal normal vector of $C^{*}$ [11]. Orbay, Kasap and Aydemir have given a generalization of Mannheim curves to ruled surfaces and called Mannheim offsets [12]. Mannheim offsets of timelike ruled surfaces in the Minkowski 3-space $I R_{1}^{3}$ have been studied in [14].

In this paper, we examine the Mannheim offsets of trajectory timelike ruled surfaces with timelike rulings in view of their integral invariants. We give a result obtained in [14] in short form. Furthermore, using the dual representations of timelike ruled surfaces, we obtain some new results which are not obtained in [14]. Moreover, we obtain that the striction lines of Mannheim offsets of developable timelike trajectory ruled surfaces are Mannheim partner curves in the Minkowski 3-space $I R_{1}^{3}$. Furthermore, we give relations between the integral invariants (such as the angle of pitch and the pitch) of closed timelike trajectory ruled surfaces. Finally, we obtain the relationships between the areas of projections of spherical images of Mannheim offsets of timelike trajectory ruled surfaces and their integral invariants.

## 2 Differential Geometry of the Ruled Surfaces in the Minkowski 3-Space

Let $I R_{1}^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional Minkowski space over the field of real numbers $I R$ with the Lorentzian inner product $\langle$,$\rangle given by$

$$
\langle\vec{a}, \vec{b}\rangle=-a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}+a_{3} b_{3}
$$

where $\vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ and $\vec{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right) \in I R_{1}^{3}$. A vector $\vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ of $I R_{1}^{3}$ is said to be timelike if $\langle\vec{a}, \vec{a}\rangle<0$, spacelike if $\langle\vec{a}, \vec{a}\rangle>0$ or $\vec{a}=0$, and lightlike (null) if $\langle\vec{a}, \vec{a}\rangle=0$ and $\vec{a} \neq 0$. Similarly, an arbitrary curve $\alpha(s)$ in $I R_{1}^{3}$ can locally be spacelike, timelike or null (lightlike), if all of its velocity vectors $\alpha^{\prime}(s)$ are spacelike, timelike or null (lightlike), respectively [13]. The norm of a vector $\vec{a}$ is defined by $\|\vec{a}\|=\sqrt{|\langle\vec{a}, \vec{a}\rangle|}$. A vector $\vec{a} \in I R_{1}^{3}$ is called a unit vector if $\|\vec{a}\|=\sqrt{|\langle\vec{a}, \vec{a}\rangle|}=1$ and the sets of the unit timelike and spacelike vectors are called hyperbolic unit sphere and Lorentzian unit sphere, respectively, and denoted by

$$
H_{0}^{2}=\left\{\vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in I R_{1}^{3}:\langle\vec{a}, \vec{a}\rangle=-1\right\}
$$

and

$$
S_{1}^{2}=\left\{\vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in I R_{1}^{3}:\langle\vec{a}, \vec{a}\rangle=1\right\}
$$

respectively [22].
A surface in the Minkowski 3-space $I R_{1}^{3}$ is called a timelike surface if the induced metric on the surface is a Lorentz metric and is called a spacelike surface if the induced metric on the surface is a positive definite Riemannian metric, i.e., the normal vector on the spacelike (timelike) surface is a timelike (spacelike) vector [3].

Let $I$ be an open interval in the real line $I R, \vec{k}=\vec{k}(s)$ be a curve in $I R_{1}^{3}$ defined on $I$ and $\vec{q}=\vec{q}(s)$ be a unit direction vector of an oriented line in $I R_{1}^{3}$. Then we have the following parametrization for a ruled surface $N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s, v)=\vec{k}(s)+v \vec{q}(s) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{q}(s)$ is called ruling and $\vec{k}=\vec{k}(s)$ is called base curve or generating curve of the surface. In particular, if the direction of $\vec{q}$ is constant, the ruled surface is said to be cylindrical, and non-cylindrical otherwise.

The striction point on a ruled surface $N$ is the foot of the common normal between two consecutive rulings. The set of the striction points constitute a curve $\vec{c}=\vec{c}(s)$ lying on the ruled surface and is called striction curve. The parametrization of the striction curve $\vec{c}=\vec{c}(s)$ on a ruled surface is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{c}(s)=\vec{k}(s)-\frac{\langle d \vec{q}, d \vec{k}\rangle}{\langle d \vec{q}, d \vec{q}\rangle} \vec{q} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that, the base curve of the ruled surface is its striction curve if and only if $\langle d \vec{q}, d \vec{k}\rangle=0$.

The distribution parameter (or drall) of the ruled surface in (1) is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\varphi}=\frac{|d \vec{k}, \vec{q}, d \vec{q}|}{\langle d \vec{q}, d \vec{q}\rangle} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a ruled surface is developable if and only if at all its points the distribution parameter is $\delta_{\varphi}=0[1,9]$.

For the unit normal vector $\vec{m}$ of the ruled surface $N$ we have $\vec{m}=\frac{\vec{\varphi}_{s} \times \vec{\varphi}_{v}}{\left\|\vec{\varphi}_{s} \times \vec{\varphi}_{v}\right\|}$. So,
at the points of a nontorsal ruling $s=s_{1}$ we have $\vec{a}=\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \vec{m}\left(s_{1}, v\right)=\frac{(d \vec{q} / d s) \times \vec{q}}{\|d \vec{q} / d s\|}$.
The plane of ruled surface $N$ which passes through its ruling $s_{1}$ and is perpendicular to the vector $\vec{a}$ is called the asymptotic plane $\alpha$. The tangent plane $\gamma$ passing through the ruling $s_{1}$ which is perpendicular to the asymptotic plane $\alpha$ is called the central plane. Its point of contact $C$ is central point of the ruling. The straight lines which pass through point $C$ and are perpendicular to the planes $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ are called the central tangent and central normal, respectively.

Since the vectors $\vec{q}, d \vec{q} / d s$ are perpendicular to the vector $\vec{a}$, representation of the unit vector $\vec{h}$ of central normal is given by $\vec{h}=\frac{d \vec{q} / d s}{\|d \vec{q} / d s\|}$. The orthonormal system $\{C ; \vec{q}, \vec{h}, \vec{a}\}$ is called Frenet frame of the ruled surfaces $N$ such that $\vec{h}$ and $\vec{a}=\vec{h} \times \vec{q}$ are the central normal and the central tangent of $N$, respectively, and $C$ is the striction point.

Let now consider the ruled surface $N$. According to the Lorentzian characters of ruling and central normal, we can give the following classifications for the ruled surface $N$ :
i) If the central normal vector $\vec{h}$ is spacelike and the ruling $\vec{q}$ is timelike, then the ruled surface $N$ is said to be of type $N_{-}^{1}$.
ii) If both the central normal vector $\vec{h}$ and the ruling $\vec{q}$ are spacelike, then the ruled surface $N$ is said to be of type $N_{+}^{1}$.
iii) If the central normal vector $\vec{h}$ is timelike and the ruling $\vec{q}$ is spacelike, then the ruled surface $N$ is said to be of type $N_{+}^{2}[16,23]$.

The ruled surfaces of types $N_{-}^{1}$ and $N_{+}^{1}$ are clearly timelike and the ruled surface of type $N_{+}^{2}$ is spacelike [8].

By using these classifications, the parametrization of $N$ can be given as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s, v)=\vec{k}(s)+v \vec{q}(s) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\vec{h}, \vec{h}\rangle=\varepsilon_{1}(= \pm 1),\langle\vec{q}, \vec{q}\rangle=\varepsilon_{2}(= \pm 1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of all bound vectors $\vec{q}(s)$ at the origin 0 constitutes the directing cone of the ruled surface $N$. If $\varepsilon_{2}=-1$ (resp. $\varepsilon_{2}=1$ ), the end points of the vectors $\vec{q}(s)$ drive a spherical spacelike (resp. spacelike or timelike) curve $k_{1}$ on hyperbolic unit sphere $H_{0}^{2}$ (resp. on Lorentzian unit sphere $S_{1}^{2}$ ), called the hyperbolic (resp. Lorentzian) spherical image of the ruled surface $N[16,23]$.

Let $\{\vec{q}, \vec{h}, \vec{a}\}$ be a moving orthonormal trihedron making a spatial motion along a closed space curve $\vec{k}(s)$ in $I R_{1}^{3}$ where $s \in I R$ and $\vec{h}$ is assumed spacelike. In this motion, the oriented line $\vec{q}$ generates a closed timelike ruled surface called closed timelike trajectory ruled surface (CTTRS). A parametric equation of a closed trajectory timelike ruled surface generated by $\vec{q}$-axis is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{q}(s, v)=\vec{k}(s)+v \vec{q}(s), \quad \varphi(s+2 \pi, v)=\varphi(s, v), s, v \in I R \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the moving orthonormal system $\{\vec{q}, \vec{h}, \vec{a}\}$ which represents a timelike ruled surface of the type $N_{+}^{1}$ or $N_{-}^{1}$ generated by the vector $\vec{q}$. Then, the axes of trihedron intersect at striction point of $\vec{q}$-generator of $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS. The structural equations of this motion are

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \vec{q}=k_{1} \vec{h}, \quad d \vec{h}=-\varepsilon_{2} k_{1} \vec{q}+k_{2} \vec{a}, \quad d \vec{a}=\varepsilon_{2} k_{2} \vec{h} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \vec{b}}{d s}=\mu(\sigma) \vec{q}+\vartheta(\sigma) \vec{a} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{b}=\vec{b}(s)$ is the striction line of $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS and $\mu(\sigma)=\cosh \sigma$, $\vartheta(\sigma)=\sinh \sigma$, if both $\vec{q}$ and $\vec{b}(s)$ are timelike; and $\mu(\sigma)=\sinh \sigma$, $\vartheta(\sigma)=\cosh \sigma$, if both $\vec{q}$ and $\vec{b}(s)$ are spacelike. The differential forms $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $\sigma$ are the natural curvature, the natural torsion and the striction of $\varphi_{q}$ CTTRS, respectively. Here, $s$ is the arclength of the striction line [16].

The pole vector and the Steiner vector of the motion are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{p}=\frac{\vec{\psi}}{\|\vec{\psi}\|}, \quad \vec{d}=\oint \vec{\psi} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, where $\vec{\psi}=\varepsilon_{2} k_{2} \vec{q}-k_{1} \vec{a}$ is the instantaneous Pfaffian vector of the motion. The real invariants of $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{q}=\oint d \mu=-\varepsilon_{2} \oint\langle d \vec{k}, \vec{q}\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the pitch, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{q}=\oint d \theta=\varepsilon_{2} \oint\langle d \vec{h}, \vec{a}\rangle=\varepsilon_{2}\langle\vec{q}, \vec{d}\rangle=2 \pi-a_{q} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the angle of pitch of $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS, respectively, where $a_{q}$ is the measure of the spherical surface area bounded by the spherical image of $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS. The pitch and the angle of pitch are well-known real integral invariants of closed timelike trajectory ruled surfaces $[2,16]$.

The area vector of a $x$-closed space curve in $I R_{1}^{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{v}_{x}=\oint \vec{x} \times d \vec{x} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the area of projection of a $x$-closed space curve in direction of the generator of a $y$-CTRS is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f_{x, y}=\left\langle\vec{v}_{x}, \vec{y}\right\rangle \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See [25]).

## 3 Dual Lorentzian Vectors and E. Study Mapping

In this section, we give a brief summary of the theory of dual numbers and dual Lorentzian vectors.

A dual number has the form $\bar{\lambda}=\lambda+\varepsilon \lambda^{*}$, where $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{*}$ are real numbers and $\varepsilon$ stands for dual unit which is subject to the rules $\varepsilon \neq 0, \varepsilon^{2}=0$, $0 \varepsilon=\varepsilon 0=0,1 \varepsilon=\varepsilon 1=\varepsilon$. We denote set of all dual numbers by $D$ :

$$
D=\left\{\bar{\lambda}=\lambda+\varepsilon \lambda^{*}: \lambda, \lambda^{*} \in I R, \varepsilon^{2}=0\right\}
$$

Equality, addition and multiplication are defined in $D$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda+\varepsilon \lambda^{*}=\beta+\varepsilon \beta^{*} \text { if and only if } \lambda=\beta \text { and } \lambda^{*}=\beta^{*}, \\
\left(\lambda+\varepsilon \lambda^{*}\right)+\left(\beta+\varepsilon \beta^{*}\right)=(\lambda+\beta)+\varepsilon\left(\lambda^{*}+\beta^{*}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left(\lambda+\varepsilon \lambda^{*}\right)\left(\beta+\varepsilon \beta^{*}\right)=\lambda \beta+\varepsilon\left(\lambda \beta^{*}+\lambda^{*} \beta\right),
$$

respectively. Then it is easy to show that $(D,+, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with unity. The numbers $\varepsilon \lambda^{*}(\lambda \in I R)$ are divisors of zero [7].

Now let $f$ be a differentiable function with dual variable $\bar{x}=x+\varepsilon x^{*}$. Then the Maclaurin series generated by $f$ is

$$
f(\bar{x})=f\left(x+\varepsilon x^{*}\right)=f(x)+\varepsilon x^{*} f^{\prime}(x),
$$

where $f^{\prime}(x)$ is the derivative of $f$ with respect to $x$ [7]. Let $D^{3}$ be the set of all triples of dual numbers, i.e. $D^{3}=\left\{\tilde{a}=\left(\bar{a}_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}, \bar{a}_{3}\right) \mid \bar{a}_{i} \in D, 1 \leq i \leq 3\right\}$. The elements of $D^{3}$ are called dual vectors. A dual vector $\tilde{a}$ may be expressed in the form $\tilde{a}=\vec{a}+\varepsilon \vec{a}^{*}$, where $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{a}^{*}$ are the vectors of $I R^{3}$.

Now let $\tilde{a}=\vec{a}+\varepsilon \vec{a}^{*}, \tilde{b}=\vec{b}+\varepsilon \vec{b}^{*} \in D^{3}$ and $\bar{\lambda}=\lambda+\varepsilon \lambda^{*} \in D$. Then we define

$$
\tilde{a}+\tilde{b}=(\vec{a}+\vec{b})+\varepsilon\left(\vec{a}^{*}+\vec{b}^{*}\right), \quad \bar{\lambda} \tilde{a}=\lambda \vec{a}+\varepsilon\left(\lambda \vec{a}^{*}+\lambda^{*} \vec{a}\right)
$$

Then, $D^{3}$ becomes a unitary module with these operations. It is called $D$-module or dual space [7].

The Lorentzian inner product of two dual vectors $\tilde{a}=\vec{a}+\varepsilon \vec{a}^{*}, \tilde{b}=\vec{b}+\varepsilon \vec{b}^{*} \in D^{3}$ is defined by

$$
\langle\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\rangle=\langle\vec{a}, \vec{b}\rangle+\varepsilon\left(\left\langle\vec{a}, \vec{b}^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle\vec{a}^{*}, \vec{b}\right\rangle\right),
$$

where $\langle\vec{a}, \vec{b}\rangle$ is the Lorentzian inner product of the vectors $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{b}$ in the Minkowski 3-space $I R_{1}^{3}$. Then a dual vector $\tilde{a}=\vec{a}+\varepsilon \vec{a}^{*}$ is said to be timelike if $\vec{a}$ is timelike, spacelike if $\vec{a}$ is spacelike or $\vec{a}=0$ and lightlike (null) if $\vec{a}$ is lightlike (null) and $\vec{a} \neq 0$ [21, 24].

The set of all dual Lorentzian vectors is called dual Lorentzian space and it is denoted by $D_{1}^{3}=\left\{\tilde{a}=\vec{a}+\varepsilon \vec{a}^{*}: \vec{a}, \vec{a}^{*} \in I R_{1}^{3}\right\}$.
The Lorentzian cross product of dual vectors $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in D_{1}^{3}$ is defined by

$$
\tilde{a} \times \tilde{b}=\vec{a} \times \vec{b}+\varepsilon\left(\vec{a}^{*} \times \vec{b}+\vec{a} \times \vec{b}^{*}\right),
$$

where $\vec{a} \times \vec{b}$ is the Lorentzian cross product in $I R_{1}^{3}$.
Let $\tilde{a}=\vec{a}+\varepsilon \vec{a}^{*} \in D_{1}^{3}$. Then $\tilde{a}$ is said to be dual unit timelike (resp. spacelike) vector if the vectors $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{a}^{*}$ satisfy the following equations:

$$
\langle\vec{a}, \vec{a}\rangle=-1(\text { resp. }\langle\vec{a}, \vec{a}\rangle=1),\left\langle\vec{a}, \vec{a}^{*}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

The set of all dual unit timelike vectors is called the dual hyperbolic unit sphere, and is denoted by $\tilde{H}_{0}^{2}$. Similarly, the set of all dual unit spacelike vectors is called the dual Lorentzian unit sphere, and is denoted by $\tilde{S}_{1}^{2}[21,24]$.

Theorem 3.1 (E. Study Mapping) ([21]): The dual timelike (respectively spacelike) unit vectors of the dual hyperbolic (respectively Lorentzian) unit sphere $\tilde{H}_{0}^{2}$ (respectively $\tilde{S}_{1}^{2}$ ) are in on-to-one correspondence with the directed timelike (respectively spacelike) lines of the Minkowski 3-space $I R_{1}^{3}$.

## Definition 3.1 ([21, 24]):

i) Dual Hyperbolic Angle: Let $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$ be dual timelike vectors in $D_{1}^{3}$. Then the dual angle between $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$ is defined by $\langle\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}\rangle=-\|\tilde{x}\|\|\tilde{y}\| \cosh \bar{\theta}$. The dual number $\bar{\theta}=\theta+\varepsilon \theta^{*}$ is called the dual hyperbolic angle.
ii) Dual Lorentzian Timelike Angle: Let $\tilde{x}$ be a dual spacelike vector and $\tilde{y}$ be a dual timelike vector in $D_{1}^{3}$. Then the angle between $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$ is defined by $|\langle\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}\rangle|=\|\tilde{x}\|\|\tilde{y}\| \sinh \bar{\theta}$. The dual number $\bar{\theta}=\theta+\varepsilon \theta^{*}$ is called the dual Lorentzian timelike angle.

Let now $\tilde{K}$ be a moving dual unit hyperbolic or Lorentzian sphere generated by a dual orthonormal system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\tilde{q}, \tilde{h}=\frac{d \tilde{q}}{\|d \tilde{q}\|}(\text { spacelike }), \tilde{a}=\tilde{q} \times \tilde{h}\right\}, \tilde{q}=\vec{q}+\varepsilon \vec{q}^{*}, \tilde{h}=\vec{h}+\varepsilon \vec{h}^{*}, \tilde{a}=\vec{a}+\varepsilon \vec{a}^{*} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{K}^{\prime}$ be a fixed dual unit sphere with the same center. Then, the derivative equations of the dual spherical closed motion of $\tilde{K}$ with respect to $\tilde{K}^{\prime}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tilde{q}=\bar{k}_{1} \tilde{h}, \quad d \tilde{h}=-\varepsilon_{2} \bar{k}_{1} \tilde{q}+\bar{k}_{2} \tilde{a}, \quad d \tilde{a}=\varepsilon_{2} \bar{k}_{2} \tilde{h} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{k}_{1}(s)=k_{1}(s)+\varepsilon k_{1}^{*}(s), \bar{k}_{2}(s)=k_{2}(s)+\varepsilon k_{2}^{*}(s),(s \in I R)$ are dual curvature and dual torsion, respectively. From the E. Study mapping, during the spherical motion of $\tilde{K}$ with respect to $\tilde{K}^{\prime}$, the dual unit timelike (resp. spacelike) vector $\tilde{q}$ draws a dual curve on dual unit hyperbolic (resp. Lorentzian) sphere $K^{\prime}$ and this curve represents a timelike ruled surface with timelike (resp. spacelike) ruling $\vec{q}$ in line space $I R_{1}^{3}$ [15].

Dual vector $\tilde{\psi}=\vec{\psi}+\varepsilon \vec{\psi}^{*}=\varepsilon_{2} \bar{k}_{2} \tilde{q}-\bar{k}_{1} \tilde{a}$ is called the instantaneous Pfaffian vector of the motion and the vector $\tilde{P}$ given by $\tilde{\psi}=\|\tilde{\psi}\| \tilde{P}$ is called the dual pole vector of the motion. Then the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{d}=\oint \tilde{\psi} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the dual Steiner vector of the closed motion.
By considering the E. Study mapping, the dual equations (15) correspond to real equations (7) and (8) of a closed spatial motion in $I R_{1}^{3}$. So, the differentiable dual closed curve $\tilde{q}=\tilde{q}(s)$ corresponds to a closed trajectory timelike ruled surface in the line space $I R_{1}^{3}$ and denoted by $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS.

A dual integral invariant of a $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS can be given in terms of real integral invariants as follows and is called the dual angle of pitch of a $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\pi}_{q}=-\varepsilon_{2} \oint\langle d \tilde{h}, \tilde{a}\rangle=-\langle\tilde{q}, \tilde{d}\rangle=2 \pi-\bar{a}_{q}=\lambda_{q}+\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon \ell_{q} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{d}=\vec{d}+\varepsilon \vec{d}^{*}$ and $\bar{a}_{q}=a_{q}+\varepsilon a_{q}^{*}$ are the dual Steiner vector of the motion and the measure of dual spherical surface area of $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS, respectively. Here, $\varepsilon_{2}=\langle\vec{q}, \vec{q}\rangle$ and $\varepsilon$ is dual unit [17].

Analogue to the real area vector given in (12), the dual area vector of a $\tilde{q}$-closed dual curve is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}_{\tilde{q}}=\oint \tilde{q} \times d \tilde{q} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from ref. [10], the dual area of projection of a $\tilde{q}$-closed dual curve in direction of the generator of a $\tilde{q}_{1}$-CTRS is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \bar{f}_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}_{1}}=\left\langle\tilde{w}_{\tilde{q}}, \tilde{q}_{1}\right\rangle \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Mannheim Offsets of Timelike Trajectory Ruled Surfaces with Timelike Rulings

Let $\varphi_{q}$ be a timelike trajectory ruled surface of the type $N_{+}^{1}$ or $N_{-}^{1}$ generated by dual unit vector $\tilde{q}$ and let dual orthonormal frame of $\varphi_{q}$ be $\{\tilde{q}(s), \tilde{h}(s), \tilde{a}(s)\}$. The trajectory ruled surface $\varphi_{q_{1}}$, generated by dual unit vector $\tilde{q}_{1}$ with dual orthonormal frame $\left\{\tilde{q}_{1}\left(s_{1}\right), \tilde{h}_{1}\left(s_{1}\right), \tilde{a}_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right\}$ is said to be Mannheim offset of the timelike trajectory ruled surface $\varphi_{q}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{a}(s)=\tilde{h}_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $s$ and $s_{1}$ are arc-lengths of striction lines of $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$, respectively. By this definition and considering the classifications of the timelike ruled surfaces we have the following cases:

Case 1: If the trajectory ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ is of the type $N_{-}^{1}$, then by considering (20), the Mannheim offset $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ of $\varphi_{q}$ is a timelike trajectory ruled surface of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ or $N_{+}^{1}$. If $\varphi_{q}$ is of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ is of the type $N_{+}^{1}$, then we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{q}_{1}  \tag{21}\\
\tilde{h}_{1} \\
\tilde{a}_{1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sinh \bar{\theta} & \cosh \bar{\theta} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\cosh \bar{\theta} & \sinh \bar{\theta} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{q} \\
\tilde{h} \\
\tilde{a}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Case 2: Similarly, if both $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ are of the type $N_{-}^{1}$, we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{q}_{1}  \tag{22}\\
\tilde{h}_{1} \\
\tilde{a}_{1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cosh \bar{\theta} & \sinh \bar{\theta} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\sinh \bar{\theta} & \cosh \bar{\theta} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{q} \\
\tilde{h} \\
\tilde{a}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In (21) and (22), $\bar{\theta}=\theta+\varepsilon \theta^{*},\left(\theta, \theta^{*} \in I R\right)$ is dual angle between the generators $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{q}_{1}$ of Mannheim trajectory ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$. The angle $\theta$ is called offset angle and real number $\theta^{*}$ is called offset distance. Then, $\bar{\theta}=\theta+\varepsilon \theta^{*}$ is called dual offset angle of the Mannheim trajectory ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$. Thus, we can give the followings.

## $5 \quad N_{+}^{1}$-Type Mannheim Offsets of Timelike Ruled Surfaces with Timelike Rulings

Let the timelike trajectory ruled surface $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ of the type $N_{+}^{1}$ be a Mannheim offset of timelike trajectory ruled surface $\varphi_{q}$ with timelike rulings. Then we can give the followings.

Theorem 5.1: Let trajectory ruled surface $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ of the type $N_{+}^{1}$ be a Mannheim offset of timelike trajectory ruled surface $\varphi_{q}$ with timelike rulings. Then offset angle and offset distance are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=-\int k_{1} d s, \quad \theta^{*}=-\int k_{1}^{*} d s \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, where $\bar{k}_{1}=k_{1}+\varepsilon k_{1}^{*}$ is the dual curvature of $\varphi_{q}$.

Proof: Let $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ form a Mannheim offset where $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ are of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ and $N_{+}^{1}$, respectively. From (21) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{q}_{1}=\sinh \bar{\theta} \tilde{q}+\cosh \bar{\theta} \tilde{h} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (24) and by using (15) and (20), it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tilde{q}_{1}}{d s}=\left(\frac{d \bar{\theta}}{d s}+\bar{k}_{1}\right) \tilde{a}_{1}+\bar{k}_{2} \cosh \bar{\theta} \tilde{h}_{1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{d \tilde{q}_{1}}{d s}$ is orthogonal to $\tilde{a}_{1}$, from (25) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\theta}=-\int \bar{k}_{1} d s \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating the last equation into real and dual parts we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=-\int k_{1} d s, \quad \theta^{*}=-\int k_{1}^{*} d s \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.2: The closed timelike trajectory ruled surface $\varphi_{q}$ with timelike rulings and the closed timelike trajectory ruled surface $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ of the type $N_{+}^{1}$ form a Mannheim offset with a constant dual offset angle if and only if the following relationship holds

Proof: Let the closed timelike trajectory ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ (of the type $\left.N_{+}^{1}\right)$ form a Mannheim offset with a constant dual offset angle $\bar{\theta}$. Then, from (17) and (21), the dual angle of pitch of $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTTRS is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{\overline{\lambda_{q_{1}}}}=\oint\left\langle d \tilde{h}_{1}, \tilde{a}_{1}\right\rangle \\
&=\oint\langle d \tilde{a},(\cosh \bar{\theta}) \tilde{q}+(\sinh \bar{\theta}) \tilde{h}\rangle \\
&=\oint\langle d \tilde{a}, \tilde{q}\rangle \cosh \bar{\theta}+\oint\langle d \tilde{a}, \tilde{h}\rangle \sinh \bar{\theta} \\
&=\oint\langle d \tilde{a}, \tilde{q}\rangle \cosh \bar{\theta}-\oint\langle d \tilde{h}, \tilde{a}\rangle \sinh \bar{\theta} \\
&={\overline{\Lambda_{q}}}_{q} \sinh \bar{\theta}+\bar{\Lambda}_{h} \cosh \bar{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, if (28) holds, it is easily seen that $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTTRS form a Mannheim offsets with a constant dual offset angle.

Equality (28) is a dual characterization of Mannheim offsets of CTTRS with a constant dual offset angle in terms of their dual integral invariants. Separating (28) into real and dual parts, we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{q_{1}}=\lambda_{q} \sinh \theta+\lambda_{h} \cosh \theta  \tag{29}\\
\ell_{q_{1}}=\left(-\ell_{q}+\theta^{*} \lambda_{h}\right) \sinh \theta+\left(\ell_{h}+\theta^{*} \lambda_{q}\right) \cosh \theta
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, we may give following result:

Result 5.1: If $\theta^{*}=0$, i.e., the generators $\vec{q}$ and $\vec{q}_{1}$ of the Mannheim offset surfaces intersect, then we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{q_{1}}=\lambda_{q} \sinh \theta+\lambda_{h} \cosh \theta  \tag{30}\\
\ell_{q_{1}}=-\ell_{q} \sinh \theta+\ell_{h} \cosh \theta
\end{array}\right.
$$

In this case, $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTRS are intersect along their striction lines. It means that their striction lines are the same.

Let now consider that what the condition for the developable Mannheim offset of a CTTRS is. Let $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTTRS be the Mannheim offset surfaces and let $\vec{\alpha}(s)$ and $\vec{\beta}\left(s_{1}\right)$ be striction lines of $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTRS, respectively, where $\varphi_{q}$ is of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ is of the type $N_{+}^{1}$. Then, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\beta}(s)=\vec{\alpha}(s)+\theta^{*} \vec{a}(s) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is the arc-length of $\vec{\alpha}(s)$. Assume that $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS is developable. Then from (3) and (8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{q}=\frac{\left\langle\cosh \sigma \vec{q}+\sinh \sigma \vec{a}, \vec{q} \times k_{1} \vec{h}\right\rangle}{\left\langle k_{1} \vec{h}, k_{1} \vec{h}\right\rangle}=-\frac{\sinh \sigma}{k_{1}}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives that $\sigma=0$. Thus, from (8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \vec{\alpha}}{d s}=\vec{q} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, along the striction line $\vec{\alpha}(s)$, orthogonal frame $\{\vec{q}, \vec{h}, \vec{a}\}$ coincides with the Frenet frame $\{\vec{T}, \vec{N}, \vec{B}\}$ and differential forms $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ turn into curvature $\kappa_{\alpha}$ and torsion $\tau_{\alpha}$ of the striction line $\vec{\alpha}(s)$, respectively. Then, by the aid of (8), (31) and (33) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \vec{\beta}}{d s}=\vec{q}-\theta^{*} \tau_{\alpha} \vec{h} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand from (20) and (21) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \vec{q}_{1}}{d s}=\left(\frac{d \theta}{d s}+\kappa_{\alpha}\right) \cosh \theta \vec{q}+\left(\frac{d \theta}{d s}+\kappa_{\alpha}\right) \sinh \theta \vec{h}+\tau_{\alpha} \cosh \theta \vec{a} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (24) and the fact that $k_{1}=\kappa_{\alpha}$, from (35) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \vec{q}_{1}}{d s}=\tau_{\alpha} \cosh \theta \vec{a} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (34) and (36) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{q_{1}}=\frac{\left\langle d \vec{\beta}, \vec{q}_{1} \times d \vec{q}_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle d \vec{q}_{1}, d \vec{q}_{1}\right\rangle}=-\frac{\cosh \theta+\theta^{*} \tau_{\alpha} \sinh \theta}{\tau_{\alpha} \cosh \theta} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (33) and (37), it can be stated that if the Mannheim offsets $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ are developable then the following relationship holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh \theta+\theta^{*} \tau_{\alpha} \sinh \theta=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [14], equality (38) is also obtained with a different way. If (38) holds, along the striction line $\beta\left(s_{1}\right)$, orthogonal frame $\left\{\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{h}_{1}, \vec{a}_{1}\right\}$ coincides with the Frenet frame $\left\{\vec{T}_{1}, \vec{N}_{1}, \vec{B}_{1}\right\}$ of $\beta\left(s_{1}\right)$. Thus, the following theorem may be given:

Theorem 5.3: Let the trajectory timelike ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ be of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ and $N_{+}^{1}$, respectively. If $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ are developable Mannheim offsets, then their striction lines are Mannheim partner curves in the Minkowski 3-space.

In this case from (38) we have the following corollary:
Corollary 5.1: If $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ form a developable Mannheim offset, then the relationship between the torsion of $\alpha(s)$ and offset distance and offset angle is given by $\tau_{\alpha} \theta^{*}=-\operatorname{coth} \theta$.

If $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS is developable then from the equations (10), (21) and (34) the pitch $\ell_{q_{1}}$ of $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTTRS is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell_{q_{1}} & =-\oint\left\langle d \vec{\beta}, \vec{q}_{1}\right\rangle \\
& =-\oint\left\langle\vec{q}-\theta^{*} \tau_{\alpha} \vec{h},(\sinh \theta) \vec{q}+(\cosh \theta) \vec{h}\right\rangle d s \\
& =\oint\left(\sinh \theta+\theta^{*} \tau_{\alpha} \cosh \theta\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we can give the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2: If $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS is developable then the relation between the pitch $\ell_{q_{1}}$ of $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTTRS and the torsion of sitriction line $\alpha(s)$ of $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{q_{1}}=\oint\left(\sinh \theta+\theta^{*} \tau_{\alpha} \cosh \theta\right) d s \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now consider the area of projections of Mannheim offsets. From (18), the dual area vectors of the spherical images of $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ Mannheim offsets are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{w}_{q}=\tilde{d}+\bar{\Lambda}_{q} \tilde{q},  \tag{40}\\
\tilde{w}_{q_{1}}=-\tilde{d}-\bar{\Lambda}_{q_{1}} \tilde{q}_{1},
\end{array}\right.
$$

respectively. Then, from (19), dual area of projection of spherical image of $\varphi_{q_{1}}{ }^{-}$ CTTRS in the direction $\vec{q}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \bar{f}_{\tilde{q}_{1}, \tilde{q}} & =\left\langle\tilde{w}_{q_{1}}, \tilde{q}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\tilde{d}+{\overline{{ }_{q}^{1}}}^{q_{1}}\right. \\
\tilde{q}_{1} & \tilde{q}\rangle \\
& =-\langle\tilde{d}, \tilde{q}\rangle-\bar{\Lambda}_{q_{1}}\langle(\sinh \bar{\theta}) \tilde{q}+(\cosh \bar{\theta}) \tilde{h}, \tilde{q}\rangle \\
& =-\langle\tilde{d}, \tilde{q}\rangle-\bar{\Lambda}_{q_{1}} \sinh \bar{\theta}  \tag{41}\\
2 \bar{f}_{\tilde{q}_{1}, \tilde{q}} & =\bar{\Lambda}_{q}-\bar{\Lambda}_{q_{1}} \sinh \bar{\theta}
\end{align*}
$$

Separating (41) into real and dual parts we have the following theorem.
Theorem 5.4: Let the trajectory timelike ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ be of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ and $N_{+}^{1}$, respectively and let they form a Mannheim offset. The relationships between the area of projections of spherical images of the timelike Mannheim offsets $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ and their integral invariants are given as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f_{q_{1}, q}=\lambda_{q}-\lambda_{q_{1}} \sinh \theta, \quad 2 f_{q_{1}, q}^{*}=-\left(\ell_{q}+\ell_{q_{1}} \sinh \theta+\lambda_{q_{1}} \theta^{*} \cosh \theta\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the dual area of projection of spherical image of $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTTRS in the direction $\tilde{h}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \bar{f}_{\tilde{q}_{1}, \tilde{h}} & =\left\langle\tilde{w}_{q_{1}}, \tilde{h}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\tilde{d}+\bar{\Lambda}_{q_{1}} \tilde{q}_{1}, \tilde{h}\right\rangle \\
& =-\langle\tilde{d}, \tilde{h}\rangle-\bar{\wedge}_{q_{1}}\langle(\sinh \bar{\theta}) \tilde{q}+(\cosh \bar{\theta}) \tilde{h}, \tilde{h}\rangle \\
& =-\langle\tilde{d}, \tilde{h}\rangle-\bar{\wedge}_{q_{1}} \cosh \bar{\theta} \\
2 \bar{f}_{\tilde{q}_{1}, \tilde{h}} & =\left\langle\tilde{w}_{q_{1}} \tilde{h}\right\rangle=\bar{\pi}_{h}-\bar{\Lambda}_{q_{1}} \cosh \bar{\theta} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Separating (43) into real and dual parts we have the following:
Theorem 5.5: Let the trajectory timelike ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ be of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ and $N_{+}^{1}$, respectively and let they form a Mannheim offset. Then the relationships between the area of projections of spherical images of the surfaces $\varphi_{h}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ and their integral invariants are given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f_{q_{1}, h}=\lambda_{h}-\lambda_{q_{1}} \cosh \theta, \quad 2 f_{q_{1}, h}^{*}=\ell_{h}-\ell_{q_{1}} \cosh \theta-\lambda_{q_{1}} \theta^{*} \sinh \theta \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, dual area of projection of spherical image of $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTRS in the direction $\tilde{a}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \bar{f}_{\tilde{q}_{1}, \tilde{a}} & =\left\langle\tilde{w}_{q_{1}}, \tilde{a}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\tilde{d}+{\overline{\lambda_{1}}}_{q_{1}} \tilde{q}_{1}, \tilde{a}\right\rangle \\
& =-\langle\tilde{d}, \tilde{a}\rangle-\bar{\Lambda}_{q_{1}} \underbrace{\left\langle\tilde{q}_{1}, \tilde{h}_{1}\right\rangle}_{0} \\
& =-\langle\tilde{d}, \tilde{a}\rangle \\
& =\bar{\Lambda}_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tilde{a}=\tilde{h}_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \bar{f}_{\tilde{\tau}_{1}, \tilde{a}}=\bar{\Lambda}_{a}=\bar{\Lambda}_{h_{1}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating (45) into real and dual parts we have the followings:
Theorem 5.6: Let the trajectory timelike ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ be of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ and $N_{+}^{1}$, respectively and let they form a Mannheim offset. Then the relationships between the area of projections of spherical images of the surfaces $\varphi_{a}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ and their integral invariants are given as follows:

$$
f_{q_{1}, a}=\lambda_{k_{1}}=\lambda_{a}, \quad f_{q_{1}, a}^{*}=\ell_{k_{1}}=\ell_{a}
$$

## $6 N_{-}^{1}$-Type Mannheim Offsets of Timelike Ruled Surfaces with Timelike Rulings

Let the timelike trajectory ruled surface $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ be a Mannheim offset of the timelike trajectory ruled surface $\varphi_{q}$ with timelike rulings. Then we can give the followings. The proofs of this section can be given by the similar ways in Section 5.

Theorem 6.1: Let the timelike trajectory ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ with timelike rulings form a Mannheim offset. Then the offset angle and offset distance are given by

$$
\theta=-\int k_{1} d s, \quad \theta^{*}=-\int k_{1}^{*} d s
$$

respectively.
Theorem 6.2: The closed timelike trajectory ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ with timelike rulings form a Mannheim offsets with a constant dual offset angle if and only if following relationship holds,

$$
\bar{\Lambda}_{q_{1}}=\bar{\Lambda}_{q} \sinh \bar{\theta}+\bar{\Lambda}_{h} \cosh \bar{\theta} .
$$

Separating this equation into real and dual parts, we obtain,

$$
\lambda_{q_{1}}=\lambda_{q} \sinh \theta+\lambda_{h} \cosh \theta, \quad \ell_{q_{1}}=\left(-\ell_{q}+\theta^{*} \lambda_{h}\right) \sinh \theta+\left(\ell_{h}+\theta^{*} \lambda_{q}\right) \cosh \theta .
$$

Then we have the following results.
Result 6.1: If $\theta=0$, then the relationships between real integral invariants of $\varphi_{h}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTTRS are given by $\lambda_{q_{1}}=\lambda_{h}, \quad \ell_{q_{1}}=\ell_{h}+\theta^{*} \lambda_{q}$. Furthermore, the measure of spherical surface areas bounded by spherical images of $\varphi_{h}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTTRS Mannheim offsets are the same, i.e., $a_{q_{1}}=a_{h}$ and $a_{q_{1}}^{*}=a_{h}^{*}+\theta^{*}\left(2 \pi+a_{q}\right)$.

Result 6.2: If $\theta^{*}=0$, i.e., the generators $\vec{q}$ and $\vec{q}_{1}$ of the Mannheim offset surfaces intersect, then we have

$$
\lambda_{q_{1}}=\lambda_{q} \cosh \theta+\lambda_{h} \sinh \theta, \quad \ell_{q_{1}}=-\ell_{q} \cosh \theta+\ell_{h} \sinh \theta
$$

In this case, $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTRS are intersect along their striction lines. It means that their striction lines are the same.

Theorem 6.3: Let the trajectory timelike ruled surface $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ be the Mannheim offset of developable timelike ruled surface $\varphi_{q}$ with timelike ruling. Then $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ is developable if and only if $\cosh \theta+\theta^{*} \tau_{\alpha} \sinh \theta=0$ holds.

Theorem 6.4: Let the developable trajectory timelike ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ be of the type $N_{-}^{1}$. If $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ form a Mannheim offset then their striction lines are Mannheim partner curves in Minkowski 3-space $I R_{1}^{3}$.

Corollary 6.1: If $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS is developable then the relation between the pitch $\ell_{q_{1}}$ of $\varphi_{q_{1}}$-CTTRS and the torsion of sitriction line $\alpha(s)$ of $\varphi_{q}$-CTTRS is given by

$$
\ell_{q_{1}}=-\oint\left(\cosh \theta+\theta^{*} \tau_{\alpha} \sinh \theta\right) d s
$$

Theorem 6.5: Let the trajectory timelike ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ form a Mannheim offset. The relationships between area of projections of spherical images of the surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ and their integral invariants are given as follows,

$$
2 \bar{f}_{\tilde{q}_{1}, \tilde{q}}=\left\langle\tilde{w}_{q_{1}}, \tilde{q}\right\rangle=-\bar{\Lambda}_{q}+\bar{\Lambda}_{q_{1}} \cosh \bar{\theta}
$$

or

$$
2 f_{q_{1}, q}=\lambda_{q}-\lambda_{q_{1}} \cosh \theta, \quad 2 f_{q_{1}, q}^{*}=-\left(\ell_{q}-\ell_{q_{1}} \cosh \theta+\lambda_{q_{1}} \theta^{*} \sinh \theta\right) .
$$

Theorem 6.6: Let the trajectory timelike ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ form a Mannheim offset. Then the relationships between area of projections of spherical images of ruled surfaces $\varphi_{h}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ and their integral invariants are given as follows

$$
2 \bar{f}_{\tilde{q}_{1}, \tilde{h}}=\left\langle\tilde{w}_{q_{1}}, \tilde{h}\right\rangle=-\bar{\Lambda}_{h}+\bar{\Lambda}_{q_{1}} \sinh \bar{\theta},
$$

or

$$
2 f_{q_{1}, h}=\lambda_{h} \lambda_{q_{1}} \sinh \theta, \quad 2 f_{q_{1}, h}^{*}=\ell_{h}-\ell_{q_{1}} \sinh \theta+\lambda_{q_{1}} \theta^{*} \cosh \theta .
$$

Theorem 6.7: Let the trajectory timelike ruled surfaces $\varphi_{q}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ of the type $N_{-}^{1}$ form a Mannheim offset. Then the relationships between area of projections of spherical images of ruled surfaces $\varphi_{a}$ and $\varphi_{q_{1}}$ and their integral invariants are given as follows

$$
2 \bar{f}_{\tilde{q}_{1}, \tilde{a}}=\left\langle\tilde{w}_{q_{1}}, \tilde{a}\right\rangle=\bar{\Lambda}_{a}=\bar{\Lambda}_{h_{1}} \quad \text { or } \quad f_{q_{1}, a}=\lambda_{h_{1}}=\lambda_{a}, \quad f_{q_{1}, a}^{*}=\ell_{h_{1}}=\ell_{a} .
$$
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