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#### Abstract

In this paper, we propose to investigate the coefficient estimates for certain subclasses bi-Mocanu-convex functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. The results presented in this paper would generalize and improve some recent works.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z|<1\}$. Further, by $\mathcal{S}$ we shall denote the class of all functions in $\mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

For two functions $f$ and $g$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and write

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, with

$$
w(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad|w(z)|<1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

such that

$$
f(z)=g(w(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, the above subordination is equivalent to

$$
f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})
$$

Some of the important and well-investigated subclasses of the univalent function class $\mathcal{S}$ includes (for example) the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\beta)$ of starlike functions of order $\beta(0 \leqq \beta<1)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ of strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha(0<\alpha \leqq 1)$ in $\mathbb{U}$. For every $f \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists an inverse function $f^{-1}$ which is defined in some neighborhood of the origin. According to the Koebe one-quarter theorem $f^{-1}$ is defined in some disk containing the disk $|w|<1 / 4$. In some cases this inverse function can be extended to whole $\mathbb{U}$. Clearly, $f^{-1}$ is also univalent.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. We denote by $\Sigma$ the class of all bi-univalent functions in $\mathbb{U}$. We observe that for $f \in \Sigma$ of the form (1) the inverse function $f^{-1}$ has the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogous to the function class $\mathcal{S}$, the bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ includes (for example) the class $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\beta)$ of bi-starlike functions of order $\beta(0 \leqq \beta<1)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and the class $\mathcal{S S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha)$ of bi-strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha(0<\alpha \leqq 1)$ in $\mathbb{U}$. For a brief history, interesting examples and other fascinating subclasses of the bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ see [1, 6, 12] and the related references therein.

In fact, the study of the coefficient problems involving bi-univalent functions was revived recently by Srivastava et al. [12]. Various subclasses of the bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ were introduced and non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ of functions in these subclasses were found in several recent investigations (see, for example, [1, 2, [4] - [9] and [11] - [13]). The aforecited all these papers on the subject were motivated by the pioneering work of Srivastava et al. [12]. But the coefficient problem for each of the following Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{n}\right|(n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1,2\}$; $\mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \cdots\})$ is still an open problem.

Motivated by the aforecited works (especially [4, 13]), we introduce the following subclass $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{\varphi, \psi}(\gamma ; \lambda)$ of the analytic function class $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 1.1 Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and the functions $\varphi, \psi: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be convex univalent functions such that

$$
\min \{\Re(\varphi(z)), \Re(\psi(z))\}>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(0)=\psi(0)=1
$$

Assume that $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, 0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1$. We say that $f \in \Sigma$ is in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{\varphi, \psi}(\gamma ; \lambda)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right) \in \varphi(\mathbb{U}) \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $g=f^{-1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)-1\right) \in \psi(\mathbb{U}) \text { for all } w \in \mathbb{U} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that, for the different choices of the functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$, we get interesting known or new subclasses of the analytic function class $\Sigma$. For example, if we set

$$
\varphi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi(z)=\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^{\alpha} \quad(0<\alpha \leqq 1 ; z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

then the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{\varphi, \psi}(\gamma ; \lambda)$ becomes the class $\mathcal{S S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha, \gamma ; \lambda)$ of bi-strongly Mocanuconvex functions of complex order $\gamma(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\})$. Also, $f \in \mathcal{S S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha, \gamma ; \lambda)$ if the following conditions are satisfied :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \in \Sigma, \quad & \left|\arg \left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right)\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \\
& (0<\alpha \leqq 1 ; 0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1 ; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\} ; z \in \mathbb{U})
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $g=f^{-1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\arg \left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)-1\right)\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \\
& \quad(0<\alpha \leqq 1 ; 0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1 ; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\} ; w \in \mathbb{U}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if we let
$\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z} \quad$ and $\quad \psi(z)=\frac{1-(1-2 \beta) z}{1+z} \quad(0 \leqq \beta<1 ; z \in \mathbb{U})$,
in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{\varphi, \psi}(\gamma ; \lambda)$ then we get $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\beta, \gamma ; \lambda)$ (which are now referred to as bi-Mocanu-convex functions of complex order $\gamma(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}))$. Further, we say that $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\beta, \gamma ; \lambda)$ if the following conditions are satisfied :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f \in \Sigma, \quad & \Re\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right)\right)>\beta \\
& (0 \leqq \beta<1 ; 0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1 ; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\} ; z \in \mathbb{U})
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Re\left(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)-1\right)\right)>\beta \\
& (0 \leqq \beta<1 ; 0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1 ; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\} ; w \in \mathbb{U})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g$ is the extension of $f^{-1}$ to $\mathbb{U}$.
In addition, we observe that,
$\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{\varphi, \psi}(1 ; 0)=: \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\varphi, \psi}, \quad($ see Bulut [4] $)$, and
$\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha, 1 ; \lambda)=: \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha ; \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\beta, 1 ; \lambda)=: \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\beta ; \lambda)$, (see Li and Wang [8]).

In order to derive our main result, we have to recall here the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2 [10] Let the function $\varphi(z)$ given by

$$
\varphi(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

be convex univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Suppose also that the function $h(z)$ given by

$$
h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

is holomorphic in $\mathbb{U}$. If

$$
h(z) \prec \varphi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}),
$$

then

$$
\left|h_{n}\right| \leqq\left|\varphi_{1}\right| \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

In our investigation of the estimates for the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions in the above-defined general bi-univalent function class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{\varphi, \psi}(\gamma ; \lambda)$, which indeed provides a bridge between the classes of biconvex functions in $\mathbb{U}$ and bi-starlike functions in $\mathbb{U}$. Several related classes are also considered, and connection to earlier known results are made.

## 2 Main Result

In this section we state and prove our general results involving the bi-univalent function class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{\varphi, \psi}(\gamma ; \lambda)$ given by Definition 1.1.

Theorem 2.1 Let $f(z)$ be of the form (1). If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{\varphi, \psi}(\gamma ; \lambda)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \min \left\{\frac{|\gamma|}{1+\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}}{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{|\gamma|\left[\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right]}{2(1+\lambda)}}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \min \left\{\frac{|\gamma|^{2}\left[\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}\right]}{2(1+\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{|\gamma|\left[\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right]}{4(1+2 \lambda)}\right. \\
\left.\frac{|\gamma|\left[(3+5 \lambda)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|+(1+3 \lambda)\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right]}{4(1+2 \lambda)(1+\lambda)}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

Proof: From Definition 1.1, we thus have

$$
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right) \in \varphi(\mathbb{U}) \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{U}
$$

and for $g=f^{-1}$ we have

$$
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)-1\right) \in \psi(\mathbb{U}) \text { for all } w \in \mathbb{U}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(w)=1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)-1\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce so that

$$
p(0)=\varphi(0)=1, \quad p(z) \in \varphi(\mathbb{U}) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

and

$$
q(0)=\psi(0)=1, \quad q(w) \in \psi(\mathbb{U}) \quad(w \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Therefore, from Definition 1.1, we have

$$
p(z) \prec \varphi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

and

$$
q(w) \prec \psi(z) \quad(w \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

According to Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$
\left|p_{m}\right|=\left|\frac{p^{(m)}(0)}{m!}\right| \leqq\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right| \quad(m \in \mathbb{N})
$$

and

$$
\left|q_{m}\right|=\left|\frac{q^{(m)}(0)}{m!}\right| \leqq\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right| \quad(m \in \mathbb{N})
$$

On the other hand, we find from (7) and (8) that

$$
(1-\lambda) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)=1+\gamma(p(z)-1) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

and

$$
(1-\lambda) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\lambda\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)=1+\gamma(q(w)-1) \quad(w \in \mathbb{U})
$$

respectively.
Next, we suppose that

$$
p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\ldots
$$

and

$$
q(w)=1+q_{1} w+q_{2} w^{2}+\ldots .
$$

Now, upon equating the coefficients of $(1-\lambda) z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)+\lambda\left(1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right)$ with those of $1+\gamma(p(z)-1)$ and the coefficients of $(1-\lambda) w g^{\prime}(w) / g(w)+$ $\lambda\left(1+w g^{\prime \prime}(w) / g^{\prime}(w)\right)$ with those of $1+\gamma(q(w)-1)$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\gamma}(\lambda+1) a_{2}=p_{1}  \tag{9}\\
\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[(2+4 \lambda) a_{3}-(1+3 \lambda) a_{2}^{2}\right]=p_{2}  \tag{10}\\
-\frac{1}{\gamma}(\lambda+1) a_{2}=q_{1} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[(3+5 \lambda) a_{2}^{2}-(2+4 \lambda) a_{3}\right]=q_{2} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9) and (11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=-q_{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2(1+\lambda)^{2}}{\gamma^{2}} a_{2}^{2}=p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10) and (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2(1+\lambda)}{\gamma} a_{2}^{2}=p_{2}+q_{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we find from (14) and (15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\gamma^{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)}{2(1+\lambda)^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\gamma\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right)}{2(1+\lambda)} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (16) and (17) we have

$$
\left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \leqq \frac{|\gamma|^{2}\left[\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}\right]}{2(1+\lambda)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \leqq \frac{|\gamma|\left[\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right]}{2(1+\lambda)}
$$

respectively. So we get the desired estimate on $\left|a_{2}\right|$ as asserted in (5).
Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|a_{3}\right|$, by subtracting (12) from (10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\gamma}(4+8 \lambda) a_{3}-\frac{1}{\gamma}(4+8 \lambda) a_{2}^{2}=p_{2}-q_{2} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upon substituting the values of $a_{2}^{2}$ from (16) and (17) into (18), we have

$$
a_{3}=\frac{\gamma^{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)}{2(1+\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{\gamma\left(p_{2}-q_{2}\right)}{4(1+2 \lambda)}
$$

and

$$
a_{3}=\frac{\gamma\left[(3+5 \lambda) p_{2}+(1+3 \lambda) q_{2}\right]}{(4+8 \lambda)(1+\lambda)}
$$

respectively. We thus find that

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \frac{|\gamma|^{2}\left[\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}\right]}{2(1+\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{|\gamma|\left[\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right]}{4(1+2 \lambda)}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \frac{|\gamma|\left[(3+5 \lambda)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|+(1+3 \lambda)\left|\psi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right]}{4(1+2 \lambda)(1+\lambda)}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Remark 2.2 For $\gamma=1$ and $\lambda=0$ Theorem 2.1 becomes the results obtained in [4, Theorem 2.1].

If we choose

$$
\varphi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi(z)=\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^{\alpha} \quad(0<\alpha \leqq 1, z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.3 Let $f(z)$ be of the form (1) and in the class $\mathcal{S S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha, \gamma ; \lambda)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, 0<\alpha \leqq 1$ and $0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \sqrt{\frac{2 \alpha|\gamma|}{1+\lambda}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \frac{2 \alpha|\gamma|}{1+\lambda}
$$

Taking $\gamma=1$ in Corollary 2.3, we get the following corollary for the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha, 1 ; \lambda)=: \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha ; \lambda)$ of bi-strongly Mocanu-convex functions.

Corollary 2.4 Let $f(z)$ be of the form (1) and in the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha ; \lambda), 0<$ $\alpha \leqq 1$ and $0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \sqrt{\frac{2 \alpha}{1+\lambda}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \frac{2 \alpha}{1+\lambda}
$$

Remark 2.5 Corollary 2.4 is an improvement of [8, Theorem 2.2]. Further, for $\lambda=0$ (bi-strongly starlike function) Corollary 2.4, would obviously yields an improvement of [3, Theorem 2.1].

If we set
$\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z} \quad$ and $\quad \psi(z)=\frac{1-(1-2 \beta) z}{1+z} \quad(0 \leqq \beta<1, z \in \mathbb{U})$
in Theorem 2.1, we readily have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6 Let $f(z)$ be of the form (11) and in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\beta, \gamma ; \lambda)$, $0 \leqq \beta<1, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and $0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \sqrt{\frac{2|\gamma|(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \frac{2|\gamma|(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda}
$$

Taking $\gamma=1$ in Corollary 2.6, we get the following corollary for the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\beta, 1 ; \lambda)=: \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\beta ; \lambda)$ of bi-Mocanu-convex functions.

Corollary 2.7 Let $f(z)$ be of the form (1) and in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\beta ; \lambda), 0 \leqq$ $\beta<1$ and $0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda}
$$

Remark 2.8 Corollary 2.7 is an improvement of [8, Theorem 3.2]. Further, for $\lambda=0$ (bi-starlike function) Corollary 2.7, would obviously yields an improvement of [3, Theorem 4.1]. Similarly, various other interesting corollaries and consequences of our main result can be derived by choosing different $\varphi$ and $\psi$. The details involved may be left to the reader.
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