

Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 21, No. 2, April 2014, pp.42-58 ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2014 www.i-csrs.org Available free online at http://www.geman.in

Minimality and Maximality of Ordered Quasi-Ideals in Ordered Ternary Semigroups

Pachara Jailoka¹ and Aiyared Iampan²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, School of Science University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand ²E-mail: aiyared.ia@up.ac.th

(Received: 18-1-14 / Accepted: 23-2-14)

Abstract

The notion of ternary semigroups was introduced by Lehmer in 1932. Any semigroup can be reduced to a ternary semigroup but a ternary semigroup does not necessarily reduce to a semigroup. Our aim in this paper is to develop a body of results on the minimality and maximality of ordered quasi-ideals in ordered ternary semigroups, that can be used like the more classical results on unordered structures which studied by Choosuwan and Chinram in 2012.

Keywords: ordered ternary semigroup, ordered quasi-ideal, minimality and maximality.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The literature of ternary algebraic system was introduced by Lehmer [18] in 1932. He investigated certain ternary algebraic systems called triplexes which turn out to be ternary groups. The notion of ternary semigroups was known to Banach (cf. [20]). He showed by an example that a ternary semigroup does not necessarily reduce to an ordinary semigroup. We can see that any semigroup can be reduced to a ternary semigroup. The study of ordered ternary semigroups began about 2000 by several authors, for example, Iampan [15], Chinram [8], Yaqoob, Abdullah, Rehman and Naeem [26], and Akram and Yaqoob [1]. The theory of different types of ideals in (ordered) semigroups and in (ordered) ternary semigroups was studied by several researches such as: In 1965, Sioson [23] studied ideal theory in ternary semigroups. He also introduced the notion of regular ternary semigroups and characterized them by using the notion of quasi-ideals. In 1995, Dixit and Dewan [11] studied the properties of quasi-ideals and bi-ideals in ternary semigroups. In 1998, the concept and notion of ordered quasi-ideals in ordered semigroups was introduced by Kehayopulu [17] as follows: Let S be an ordered semigroup. A subsemigroup Q of S is called an *ordered quasi-ideal* of S if $(SQ] \cap (QS] \subseteq Q$, and $(Q) \subseteq Q$. In 2000, Cao and Xu [4] characterized minimal and maximal left ideals in ordered semigroups, and gave some characterizations of minimal and maximal left ideals in ordered semigroups. In 2002, Arslanov and Kehayopulu [2] gave some characterizations of minimal and maximal ideals in ordered semigroups. In 2004, Iampan and Siripitukdet [16] characterized (0-)minimal and maximal ordered left ideals in ordered Γ -semigroups, and gave some characterizations of (0-)minimal and maximal ordered left ideals in ordered Γ -semigroups. In 2007, Iampan [13] characterized (0-)minimal and maximal lateral ideals in ternary semigroups. In 2008, Iampan [14] characterized (0-)minimal and maximal ordered quasi-ideals in ordered semigroups, and gave some characterizations of (0-)minimal and maximal ordered quasiideals in ordered semigroups. Dutta, Kar and Maity [12] studied some interesting properties of regular ternary semigroups, completely regular ternary semigroups, intra-regular ternary semigroups and characterized them by using various ideals of ternary semigroups. In 2009, Bashir and Shabir [3] introduced the notions of pure ideals, weakly pure ideals in ternary semigroups. They also defined purely prime ideals of a ternary semigroup and studied some properties of these ideals. In 2010, Iampan [15] introduced the concept of ordered ideal extensions in ordered ternary semigroups. In 2011, Saelee and Chinram [21] studied rough, fuzzy and rough fuzzy bi-ideals in ternary semigroups. In 2012, Changphas [5] studied minimal quasi-ideals in ternary semigroups. Choosuwan and Chinram [9] gave some characterizations of minimal and maximal quasi-ideals in ternary semigroups. Chinram, Baupradist and Saelee [7] characterized minimal and maximal bi-ideals in ordered ternary semigroups. Daddi and Pawar introduced the concepts of ordered quasi-ideals, ordered bi-ideals in ordered ternary semigroups, and studied their properties. Lekkoksung and Lekkoksung [19] gave some characterizations of intra-regular ordered ternary semigroups in terms of bi-ideals and quasi-ideals, bi-ideals and left ideals, and bi-ideals and right ideals in ordered ternary semigroups. Changphas [6] studied the properties of quasi-ideals and bi-ideals in ordered ternary semigroups. In 2013, Sanborisoot and Changphas [22] introduced the concepts of pure ideals, weakly pure ideals and purely prime ideals in ordered ternary semigroups.

The notion of quasi-ideals in semigroups was first introduced by Steinfeld [24] in 1956, and it has been widely studied. In 1956, Steinfeld [25] gave some characterizations of 0-minimal quasi-ideals in semigroups. The concept of a

(0-)minimal and a maximal one-sided ideal or ideal is the really interested and important thing in the many algebraic structures. The main purpose of this paper is to develop a body of results on the minimality and maximality of ordered quasi-ideals in ordered ternary semigroups, that can be used like the more classical results on unordered structures which studied by Choosuwan and Chinram [9].

Before going to prove the main results we need the following definitions that we use later.

Definition 1.1. A nonempty set T is called a ternary semigroup if there exists a ternary operation $[]: T \times T \times T \to T$, written as $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto [x_1 x_2 x_3]$, satisfying the following identity for any $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in T$,

$$[x_1x_2[x_3x_4x_5]] = [x_1[x_2x_3x_4]x_5] = [[x_1x_2x_3]x_4x_5].$$

For nonempty subsets A, B and C of a ternary semigroup T, let

$$[ABC] := \{ [abc] \mid a \in A, b \in B, \text{ and } c \in C \}.$$

If $A = \{a\}$, then we write $[\{a\}BC]$ as [aBC] and similarly if $B = \{b\}$ or $C = \{c\}$, we write [AbC] and [ABc], respectively. For the sake of simplicity, we write $[x_1x_2x_3]$ as $x_1x_2x_3$ and [ABC] as ABC.

Definition 1.2. A nonempty subset S of a ternary semigroup T is called a ternary subsemigroup of T if $SSS \subseteq S$.

For any positive integers m and n with $m \leq n$ and any elements $x_1, x_2, ..., x_{2n}$ and x_{2n+1} of a ternary semigroup [23], we can write

$$[x_1 x_2 \dots x_{2n+1}] = [x_1 \dots x_m x_{m+1} x_{m+2} \dots x_{2n+1}]$$

= $[x_1 \dots [[x_m x_{m+1} x_{m+2}] x_{m+3} x_{m+4}] \dots x_{2n+1}].$

Example 1.3. [11] Let $T = \{-i, 0, i\}$. Then T is a ternary semigroup under the multiplication over complex number while T is not a semigroup under complex number multiplication.

Example 1.4. [11] Let $O = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $T = \{O, I, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ is a ternary semigroup under matrix multiplication.

Definition 1.5. A partially ordered ternary semigroup T is called an ordered ternary semigroup if for any $a, b, x, y \in T$,

$$a \leq b \Rightarrow axy \leq bxy, xay \leq xby, and xya \leq xyb.$$

For a subset H of an ordered ternary semigroup T, we denote

 $(H] := \{ t \in T \mid t \le h \text{ for some } h \in H \}.$

If $H = \{a\}$, we also write $(\{a\}]$ as (a].

Definition 1.6. An element z of an ordered ternary semigroup T is called a zero element if

- (1) zxy = xzy = xyz = z for all $x, y \in T$, and
- (2) $z \leq x$ for all $x \in T$.

If $z \in T$ is a zero element, it is denoted by 0.

Definition 1.7. A nonempty subset I of an ordered ternary semigroup T is called an ordered left (resp., ordered lateral, ordered right) ideal of T if

- (1) $TTI \subseteq I$ (resp., $TIT \subseteq I$, $ITT \subseteq I$), and
- (2) $(I] \subseteq I$.

A nonempty subset I of an ordered ternary semigroup T is called an *ordered ideal* of T if I is an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideal of T.

Definition 1.8. A nonempty subset Q of an ordered ternary semigroup T is called an ordered quasi-ideal of T if

- (1) $(TTQ] \cap (TQT] \cap (QTT] \subseteq Q$,
- (2) $(TTQ] \cap (TTQTT] \cap (QTT] \subseteq Q$, and
- (3) $(Q] \subseteq Q$.

We can easily prove that $\{0\}$ is the smallest ordered quasi-ideal of an ordered ternary semigroup T with a zero element and it is called a *zero ordered* quasi-ideal of T. Moreover, $0 \in Q$ for all ordered quasi-ideal Q of T.

Definition 1.9. A nonempty subset B of an ordered ternary semigroup T is called an ordered bi-ideal of T if

- (1) $BTBTB \subseteq B$, and
- (2) $(B] \subseteq B$.

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.10. [10] For subsets A, B and C of an ordered ternary semigroup T, the following statements hold.

- (1) $A \subseteq (A]$.
- (2) If $A \subseteq B$, then $(A] \subseteq (B]$.
- (3) ((A]] = (A].
- (4) $(A](B](C] \subseteq (ABC] \text{ and } ((A](B](C)] \subseteq (ABC).$
- (5) $(A \cup B] = (A] \cup (B].$
- (6) $(A \cap B] \subseteq (A] \cap (B]$.

Lemma 1.11. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) Every ordered left, ordered lateral and ordered right ideal of T is an ordered quasi-ideal of T.
- (2) The intersection of an ordered left, an ordered lateral and an ordered right ideal of T is an ordered quasi-ideal of T.
- (3) Every ordered quasi-ideal of T is an ordered bi-ideal of T.

Proof. Let L, R and M be an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideal of T, respectively.

(1) We see that (L] = L, (R] = R and (M] = M. Thus $(TTL] \cap (TLT \cup TTLTT] \cap (LTT] \subseteq (TTL] \subseteq (L] = L, (TTR] \cap (TRT \cup TTRTT] \cap (RTT] \subseteq (RTT] \subseteq (R] = R$, and $(TTM] \cap (TMT \cup TTMTT] \cap (TTM] \subseteq (TMT \cup T(TMT)T] \subseteq (M \cup TMT] \subseteq (M \cup TMT] \subseteq (M \cup M] = (M] = M$. Hence, L, R and M are ordered quasi-ideals of T.

(2) Suppose that $Q = L \cap M \cap R$ and let $l \in L, m \in M$ and $r \in R$. Then $rml \in RML \subseteq TTL \cap TMT \cap RTT \subseteq L \cap M \cap R = Q$, so $Q \neq \emptyset$. We see that $(Q] = (L \cap M \cap R] \subseteq (L] \cap (M] \cap (R] = L \cap M \cap R = Q$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (TTQ] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT] \cap (TTQ] &\subseteq (TTL] \cap (TMT \cup TTMTT] \cap (RTT) \\ &\subseteq (L] \cap (M] \cap (R] \\ &= L \cap M \cap R \\ &= Q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Q is an ordered quasi-ideal of T.

(3) Let B be an ordered quasi-ideal of T. Then $BTBTB \subseteq (TTT)TB \subseteq TTB$, $BTBTB \subseteq TTBTT \subseteq TBT \cup TTBTT$ and $BTBTB \subseteq BT(TTT) \subseteq BTT$. Since B is an ordered quasi-ideal of T, we have

$$BTBTB \subseteq TTB \cap (TBT \cup TTBTT) \cap BTT$$
$$\subseteq (TTB] \cap (TBT \cup TTBTT] \cap (BTT]$$
$$\subseteq B$$

and (B] = B. Hence, B is an ordered bi-ideal of T.

Theorem 1.12. Let A be a nonempty subset of an ordered ternary semigroup T. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) (TTA], (ATT] and $(TAT \cup TTATT]$ are an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideals of T, respectively.
- (2) $(TTA \cup A], (ATT \cup A]$ and $(TAT \cup TTATT \cup A]$ are an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideals of T containing A, respectively.

Proof. (1) Since $A \neq \emptyset$, we have $(TTA] \neq \emptyset$, $(ATT] \neq \emptyset$ and $(TAT \cup TTATT] \neq \emptyset$. We see that $((TTA]] = (TTA], ((ATT]] = (ATT] \text{ and } ((TAT \cup TTATT]] = (TAT \cup TTATT]$. Thus $TT(TTA] = (T](T](TTA] \subseteq ((TTT)TA] \subseteq (TTA], (ATT]TT = (ATT](T](T] \subseteq (AT(TTT)] \subseteq (ATTT)] \subseteq (ATTT)$ and

$$T(TAT \cup TTATT]T = (T](TAT \cup TTATT](T]$$

$$\subseteq (T(TAT \cup TTATT)T]$$

$$\subseteq (T(TAT)T \cup T(TTATT)T]$$

$$= ((TTT)A(TTT) \cup TTATT]$$

$$\subseteq (TAT \cup TTATT].$$

Hence, (TTA], (ATT] and $(TAT \cup TTATT]$ are an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideals of T, respectively. (2) The proof is almost similar to the proof of (1).

Theorem 1.13. If Q is an ordered quasi-ideal of an ordered ternary semigroup T, then it is the intersection of an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideal of T.

Proof. Assume that Q is an ordered quasi-ideal of T and let $L = (TTQ \cup Q], R = (QTT \cup Q]$ and $M = (TQT \cup TTQTT \cup Q]$. By Theorem 1.12 (2), we have L, R and M are an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideals of T containing Q, respectively. Thus $Q \subseteq L \cap M \cap R$. Since Q is an ordered quasi-ideal of T, we have

$$L \cap M \cap R = (TTQ \cup Q] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT \cup Q] \cap (QTT \cup Q]$$
$$= ((TTQ] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT] \cap (QTT]) \cup (Q]$$
$$\subseteq Q \cup (Q]$$
$$= Q.$$

Hence, $Q = L \cap M \cap R$, so Q is the intersection of an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideal of T.

Theorem 1.14. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup. Then the intersection of arbitrary nonempty family of ordered quasi-ideals of T is either empty or an ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Proof. Let $\{Q_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a nonempty family of ordered quasi-ideals of T and let $Q = \bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i \neq \emptyset$. We claim that Q is an ordered quasi-ideal of T. Since Q_i is an ordered quasi-ideal of T for all $i \in I$, we have $(TTQ] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT] \cap$ $(QTT] \subseteq (TTQ_i] \cap (TQ_iT \cup TTQ_iTT] \cap (Q_iTT] \subseteq Q_i$ for all $i \in I$. Thus

 $(TTQ] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT] \cap (QTT] \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i = Q$

and $(Q] = (\bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i] \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} (Q_i] = \bigcap_{i \in I} Q_i = Q$. Hence, Q is an ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Definition 1.15. Let A be a nonempty subset of an ordered ternary semigroup T. The intersection of all ordered quasi-ideals of T containing A is called the ordered quasi-ideal of T generated by A and is denoted by Q(A). Moreover, Q(A) is the smallest ordered quasi-ideal of T containing A. If $A = \{a\}$, we also write $Q(\{a\})$ as Q(a).

Theorem 1.16. Let A be a nonempty subset of an ordered ternary semigroup T. Then $Q(A) = (A] \cup ((TTA] \cap (TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (ATT]))$. In particular, $Q(a) = (a] \cup ((TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT]))$ for all $a \in T$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.12 (2), we have $(A \cup TTA]$, $(A \cup ATT]$ and $(A \cup TAT \cup TTATT]$ are an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideals of T containing A, respectively. By Lemma 1.11 (2), we have $(A \cup TTA] \cap (A \cup TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (A \cup ATT]$ is an ordered quasi-ideal of T containing A. Thus

$$Q(A) \subseteq (A \cup TTA] \cap (A \cup TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (A \cup ATT]$$

= (A] \cup ((TTA] \cap (TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (ATT]).

By the proof of Theorem 1.13, we have

$$\begin{split} (A] \cup ((TTA] \cap (TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (ATT]) \\ &= (A \cup TTA] \cap (A \cup TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (A \cup ATT] \\ &\subseteq (Q(A) \cup TT(Q(A))] \cap (Q(A) \cup T(Q(A))T \cup TT(Q(A))TT] \cap \\ &\quad (Q(A) \cup (Q(A))TT] \\ &\subseteq Q(A). \end{split}$$

Hence, $Q(A) = (A] \cup ((TTA] \cap (TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (ATT]).$

2 Minimality of Ordered Quasi-Ideals in Ordered Ternary Semigroups

In this section, we characterize the relationship between the minimality of ordered quasi-ideals and a quasi-simple and a 0-quasi-simple ordered ternary semigroups.

Definition 2.1. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup without a zero element. Then T is called quasi-simple if T has no proper ordered quasi-ideals.

Theorem 2.2. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup without a zero element. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) T is quasi-simple.
- (2) $(TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT] = T$ for all $a \in T$.
- (3) Q(a) = T for all $a \in T$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that *T* is quasi-simple and let $a \in T$. By Theorem 1.12 (1), we have (TTa], (aTT] and $(TaT \cup TTaTT]$ are an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideals of *T*, respectively. By Lemma 1.11 (2), we have $(TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT]$ is an ordered quasi-ideal of *T*. Since *T* is quasi-simple, we have

 $(TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT] = T.$

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Assume that $(TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT] = T$ for all $a \in T$. Let $a \in T$. Then $(TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT] = T$. By Theorem 1.16, we get

$$T = (TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT]$$

$$\subseteq (a] \cup ((TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT])$$

$$= Q(a).$$

Hence, T = Q(a).

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Assume that Q(a) = T for all $a \in T$. Let Q be an ordered quasi-ideal of T and let $a \in Q$. Then Q(a) = T, and so $Q(a) \subseteq Q \subseteq T$. Hence, T = Q. Therefore, T is quasi-simple.

Definition 2.3. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup with a zero element, $T^3 \neq \{0\}$ and |T| > 1. Then T is called 0-quasi-simple if T has no nonzero proper ordered quasi-ideals.

Theorem 2.4. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup with a zero element, $T^3 \neq \{0\}$ and |T| > 1. Then T is 0-quasi-simple if and only if Q(a) = T for all $a \in T \setminus \{0\}$. *Proof.* Assume that T is 0-quasi-simple and let $a \in T \setminus \{0\}$. Then $Q(a) \neq \{0\}$. Since T is 0-quasi-simple, we have Q(a) = T.

Conversely, assume that Q(a) = T for all $a \in T \setminus \{0\}$. Let Q be a nonzero ordered quasi-ideal of T and $a \in Q \setminus \{0\}$. Then Q(a) = T and $Q(a) \subseteq Q \subseteq T$. This implies that T = Q. Hence, T is 0-quasi-simple.

Definition 2.5. An ordered quasi-ideal Q of an ordered ternary semigroup T without a zero element is called a minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T if there is no an ordered quasi-ideal A of T such that $A \subset Q$. Equivalently, if for any ordered quasi-ideal A of T such that $A \subseteq Q$, we have A = Q.

We also define a minimal ordered left, a minimal ordered lateral and a minimal ordered right ideal of an ordered ternary semigroup without a zero element in the same way of a minimal ordered quasi-ideal.

Theorem 2.6. Let Q be an ordered quasi-ideal of an ordered ternary semigroup T without a zero element. Then Q is a minimal ordered quasi-ideal of Tif and only if it is the intersection of a minimal ordered left, a minimal ordered right and a minimal ordered lateral ideal of T.

Proof. Assume that Q is a minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T. Then

 $(TTQ] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT] \cap (QTT] \subseteq Q.$

By Theorem 1.12 (1), (TTQ], (QTT] and $(TQT \cup TTQTT]$ are an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideal of T, respectively, By Lemma 1.11 (2), $(TTQ] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT] \cap (QTT]$ is an ordered quasi-ideal of T. Since Q is a minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T, we have

 $(TTQ] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT] \cap (QTT] = Q.$

We claim that (TTQ] is a minimal ordered left ideal of T. Let L be an ordered left ideal of T such that $L \subseteq (TTQ]$. Then $(TTL] \subseteq (L] = L \subseteq (TTQ]$. Thus $(TTL] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT] \cap (QTT] \subseteq (TTQ] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT] \cap (QTT] = Q$. Since $(TTL] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT] \cap (QTT]$ is an ordered quasi-ideal of T and Q is a minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T, we have $(TTL] \cap (TQT \cup TTQTT] \cap (QTT] =$ Q. Thus $Q \subseteq (TTL]$ and so $(TTQ] \subseteq (TT(TTL]] \subseteq (TT(L)] = (TTL] \subseteq L$. Hence, L = (TTQ]. Therefore, (TTQ] is a minimal ordered left ideal of T. A similar proof holds for the other two case, (QTT] and $(TQT \cup TTQTT]$ are minimal ordered right and minimal ordered lateral ideal of T, respectively.

Conversely, let $Q = L \cap M \cap R$ where L, R and M are a minimal ordered left, a minimal ordered right and a minimal ordered lateral ideal of T, respectively. By Lemma 1.11 (2), we have Q is an ordered quasi-ideal of T. Let A be an ordered quasi-ideal of T such that $A \subseteq Q$. By Theorem 1.12 (1), we have (TTA], (ATT] and $(TAT \cup TTATT]$ are an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideal of T, respectively. Now,

$$(TTA] \subseteq (TTQ] \subseteq (TTL) \subseteq (L] = L.$$

Since L is a minimal ordered left ideal of T, we have (TTA] = L. Similarly, (ATT] = R and $(TAT \cup TTATT] = M$. Since A is an ordered quasi-ideal of T, we have

$$Q = L \cap M \cap R = (TTA] \cap (TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (ATT] \subseteq A.$$

This implies that A = Q. Hence, Q is a minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T. \Box

Definition 2.7. A nonzero ordered quasi-ideal Q of an ordered ternary semigroup T with a zero element is called a 0-minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T if there is no a nonzero ordered quasi-ideal A of T such that $A \subset Q$. Equivalently, if for any nonzero ordered quasi-ideal A of T such that $A \subseteq Q$, we have A = Q.

We also define a 0-minimal ordered left, a 0-minimal ordered lateral and a 0-minimal ordered right ideal of an ordered ternary semigroup with a zero element in the same way of a 0-minimal ordered quasi-ideal.

Theorem 2.8. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup with a zero element. Then the intersection of a 0-minimal ordered left, a 0-minimal ordered right and a 0-minimal ordered lateral ideal of T is either $\{0\}$ or a 0-minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Proof. Let $Q = L \cap M \cap R \neq \{0\}$ where L, R and M are a 0-minimal ordered left, a 0-minimal ordered right and a 0-minimal ordered lateral ideal of T, respectively. By Lemma 1.11 (2), we have Q is an ordered quasi-ideal of T. Let A be a nonzero ordered quasi-ideal of T such that $A \subseteq Q$. By Theorem 1.12 (1), we have (TTA], (ATT] and $(TAT \cup TTATT]$ are an ordered left, an ordered right and an ordered lateral ideal of T, respectively. Thus we have the following two cases:

Case 1: $(TTA] = \{0\}, (ATT] = \{0\}, \text{ or } (TAT \cup TTATT] = \{0\}.$

If $(TTA] = \{0\}$, then $(TTA] = \{0\} \subseteq A$. Thus A is a nonzero ordered left ideal of T. Since $A \subseteq Q \subseteq L$ and L is a 0-minimal ordered left ideal of T, we have A = L. This implies that A = Q. Similarly, if $(ATT] = \{0\}$ or $(TAT \cup TTATT] = \{0\}$, then A = Q.

Case 2: $(TTA] \neq \{0\}, (ATT] \neq \{0\}, \text{ and } (TAT \cup TTATT] \neq \{0\}.$ Now,

$$(TTA] \subseteq (TTQ] \subseteq (TTL) \subseteq (L] = L.$$

Since L is a 0-minimal ordered left ideal of T, we have (TTA] = L. Similarly, (ATT] = R and $(TAT \cup TTATT] = M$. Since A is an ordered quasi-ideal of T, we have

$$Q = L \cap M \cap R = (TTA] \cap (TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (ATT] \subseteq A.$$

This implies that A = Q. Hence, Q is a 0-minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Theorem 2.9. Let Q be an ordered quasi-ideal of an ordered ternary semigroup T without a zero element. If Q is quasi-simple, then Q is a minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Proof. Assume that Q is quasi-simple and let A be an ordered quasi-ideal of T such that $A \subseteq Q$. Now,

 $(QQA] \cap (QAQ \cup QQAQQ] \cap (AQQ] \subseteq (TTA] \cap (TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (ATT] \subseteq A$

and $(A] \cap Q \subseteq (A] = A$. Thus A is an ordered quasi-ideal of Q. Since Q is quasi-simple, we have A = Q. Hence, Q is a minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Theorem 2.10. Let Q be a nonzero ordered quasi-ideal of an ordered ternary semigroup T with a zero element. If Q is 0-quasi-simple, then Q is a 0-minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Proof. Assume that Q is 0-quasi-simple and let A be a nonzero ordered quasiideal of T such that $A \subseteq Q$. Now,

 $(QQA] \cap (QAQ \cup QQAQQ] \cap (AQQ] \subseteq (TTA] \cap (TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (ATT] \subseteq A$

and $(A] \cap Q \subseteq (A] = A$. Thus A is a nonzero ordered quasi-ideal of Q. Since Q is 0-quasi-simple, we have A = Q. Hence, Q is a 0-minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Theorem 2.11. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup without a zero element having proper ordered quasi-ideals. Then every proper ordered quasi-ideal of T is minimal if and only if the intersection of any two distinct proper ordered quasi-ideals is empty.

Proof. Let Q_1 and Q_2 be two distinct proper ordered quasi-ideals of T. By assumption, we have that Q_1 and Q_2 are minimal. If $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset$, then by Theorem 1.14, $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is an ordered quasi-ideal of T. Since $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subseteq Q_1$ and Q_1 is minimal, we have $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_1$. Since $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subseteq Q_2$ and Q_2 is minimal, we have $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_2$. That is a contradiction. Hence, $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \emptyset$.

Conversely, let Q be a proper ordered quasi-ideal of T and let A be an ordered quasi-ideal of T such that $A \subseteq Q$. Then A is a proper ordered quasi-ideal of T. If $A \neq Q$, then by assumption, $A = A \cap Q = \emptyset$. That is a contradiction. Hence, A = Q. Therefore, Q is a minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Theorem 2.12. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup with a zero element having nonzero proper ordered quasi-ideals. Then every nonzero proper ordered quasi-ideal of T is 0-minimal if and only if the intersection of any two distinct nonzero proper ordered quasi-ideals is $\{0\}$.

Proof. Let Q_1 and Q_2 be two distinct nonzero proper ordered quasi-ideals of T. By assumption, we have that Q_1 and Q_2 are 0-minimal. If $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \neq \{0\}$, then by Theorem 1.14, $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is a nonzero ordered quasi-ideal of T. Since $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subseteq Q_1$ and Q_1 is 0-minimal, we have $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_1$. Since $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subseteq Q_2$ and Q_2 is 0-minimal, we have $Q_1 = Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_2$. That is a contradiction. Hence, $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \{0\}$.

Conversely, let Q be a nonzero proper ordered quasi-ideal of T and let A be a nonzero ordered quasi-ideal of T such that $A \subseteq Q$. Then A is a nonzero proper ordered quasi-ideal of T. If $A \neq Q$, then by assumption, $A = A \cap Q = \{0\}$. That is a contradiction. Hence, A = Q. Therefore, Q is a 0-minimal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

3 Maximality of Ordered Quasi-Ideals in Ordered Ternary Semigroups

In this section, we characterize the relationship between the maximality of ordered quasi-ideals and the union \mathcal{U} of all proper ordered quasi-ideals in ordered ternary semigroups without a zero element and the union \mathcal{U}_0 of all nonzero proper ordered quasi-ideals in ordered ternary semigroups with a zero element.

Definition 3.1. A proper ordered quasi-ideal Q of an ordered ternary semigroup T is called a maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T if there is no a proper ordered quasi-ideal A of T such that $Q \subset A$. Equivalently, if for any proper ordered quasi-ideal A of T such that $Q \subseteq A$, we have A = Q. Equivalently, if for any ordered quasi-ideal A of T such that $Q \subset A$, we have A = T.

Theorem 3.2. Let Q be a proper ordered quasi-ideal of an ordered ternary semigroup T. If either

(1) $T \setminus Q = \{a\}$ for some $a \in T$ or

(2)
$$T \setminus Q \subseteq (TTb] \cap (TbT \cup TTbTT] \cap (bTT]$$
 for all $b \in T \setminus Q$,

then Q is a maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Proof. Let A be an ordered quasi-ideal of T such that $Q \subset A$. Case 1: $T \setminus Q = \{a\}$ for some $a \in T$.

Since $Q \subset A$, we have $\emptyset \neq A \setminus Q \subseteq T \setminus Q = \{a\}$. Thus $A \setminus Q = \{a\}$. Hence, $A = Q \cup (A \setminus Q) = Q \cup \{a\} = Q \cup (T \setminus Q) = T$. **Case 2:** $T \setminus Q \subseteq (TTb] \cap (TbT \cup TTbTT] \cap (bTT]$ for all $b \in T \setminus Q$. Let $b \in A \setminus Q \subseteq T \setminus Q$ because $A \setminus Q \neq \emptyset$. Thus

$$T \setminus Q \subseteq (TTb] \cap (TbT \cup TTbTT] \cap (bTT]$$
$$\subseteq (TTA] \cap (TAT \cup TTATT] \cap (ATT]$$
$$\subseteq A.$$

Hence, $T = Q \cup (T \setminus Q) \subseteq Q \cup A = A$. This implies that A = T. Therefore, Q is a maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Theorem 3.3. If Q is a maximal ordered quasi-ideal of an ordered ternary semigroup T and $Q \cup Q(a)$ is an ordered quasi-ideal of T for all $a \in T \setminus Q$, then either

(1) $T \setminus Q \subseteq (a]$ and $a^3 \in Q$ for some $a \in T \setminus Q$, and $(TTb] \cap (TbT \cup TTbTT] \cap (bTT] \subseteq Q$ for all $b \in T \setminus Q$ or

(2)
$$T \setminus Q \subseteq Q(a)$$
 for all $a \in T \setminus Q$.

Proof. Assume that Q is a maximal ordered quasi-ideal of an ordered ternary semigroup T and $Q \cup Q(a)$ is an ordered quasi-ideal of T for all $a \in T \setminus Q$. Then we consider the following two cases:

Case 1: $(TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT] \subseteq Q$ for some $a \in T \setminus Q$. Then $a^3 \in (TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT] \subseteq Q$, so $a^3 \in Q$. By Theorem 1.16, we have

$$Q \cup (a] = (Q \cup ((TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT])) \cup (a]$$

= $Q \cup (((TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT]) \cup (a])$
= $Q \cup Q(a).$

Thus $Q \cup (a]$ is an ordered quasi-ideal of T. Since $a \in T \setminus Q$, we have $Q \subset Q \cup (a]$. Since Q is a maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T, we have $Q \cup (a] = T$. Thus $T \setminus Q \subseteq (a]$. Next, we let $b \in T \setminus Q$. Then $b \leq a$. Thus

$$(TTb] \cap (TbT \cup TTbTT] \cap (bTT] \subseteq (TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT] \subseteq Q.$$

Case 2: $(TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT] \notin Q$ for all $a \in T \setminus Q$. Let $a \in T \setminus Q$. Then $Q \subset Q \cup Q(a)$. Since $Q \cup Q(a)$ is an ordered quasi-ideal of T and Q is maximal, we have $Q \cup Q(a) = T$. Hence, $T \setminus Q \subseteq Q(a)$. \Box

For an ordered ternary semigroup T without a zero element, the union of all proper ordered quasi-ideals of T is denoted by \mathcal{U} .

Lemma 3.4. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup without a zero element. Then $T = \mathcal{U}$ if and only if $Q(a) \neq T$ for all $a \in T$.

Proof. Assume that $T = \mathcal{U}$ and let $a \in T$. Then $a \in \mathcal{U}$, so $a \in Q$ for some proper ordered quasi-ideal Q of T. Hence, $Q(a) \subseteq Q \neq T$, that is $Q(a) \neq T$.

Conversely, assume that $Q(a) \neq T$ for all $a \in T$. Then $Q(a) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ for all $a \in T$, so $a \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $a \in T$. Hence, $T = \mathcal{U}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup without a zero element. Then one and only one of the following four conditions is satisfied:

- (1) \mathcal{U} is not an ordered quasi-ideal of T.
- (2) $Q(a) \neq T$ for all $a \in T$.
- (3) There exists $a \in T$ such that Q(a) = T, $(a] \nsubseteq (TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT]$, and $a^3 \in \mathcal{U}$, T is not quasi-simple, $T \setminus \mathcal{U} = \{x \in T \mid Q(x) = T\}$, and \mathcal{U} is the unique maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T.
- (4) $T \setminus \mathcal{U} \subseteq Q(a)$ for all $a \in T \setminus \mathcal{U}$, T is not quasi-simple, $T \setminus \mathcal{U} = \{x \in T \mid Q(x) = T\}$, and \mathcal{U} is the unique maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{U} is an ordered quasi-ideal of T. We consider the following two cases:

Case 1: $\mathcal{U} = T$.

By Lemma 3.4, the condition (2) holds.

Case 2: $\mathcal{U} \neq T$.

Then T is not quasi-simple. We claim that \mathcal{U} is the unique maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T. Let Q be an ordered quasi-ideal of T such that $\mathcal{U} \subset Q$. If $Q \neq T$, then $Q \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. That is a contradiction. Thus Q = T, so \mathcal{U} is a maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T. Next, assume that A is a maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T. Then $A \neq T$, so $A \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subset T$. Since A is maximal, we have $A = \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, \mathcal{U} is the unique maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T. Since $\mathcal{U} \neq T$, we have Q(a) = T for all $a \in T \setminus \mathcal{U}$ and $Q(a) \neq T$ for all $a \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus $T \setminus \mathcal{U} = \{x \in T \mid Q(x) = T\}$ and so $\mathcal{U} \cup Q(x) = T$ is an ordered quasi-ideal of T for all $x \in T \setminus \mathcal{U}$. By Theorem 3.3, we have the following two cases:

- (i) $T \setminus \mathcal{U} \subseteq (a]$ and $a^3 \in \mathcal{U}$ for some $a \in T \setminus \mathcal{U}$, and $(TTb] \cap (TbT \cup TTbTT] \cap (bTT] \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ for all $b \in T \setminus \mathcal{U}$ or
- (ii) $T \setminus \mathcal{U} \subseteq Q(a)$ for all $a \in T \setminus \mathcal{U}$.

Assume (i) holds. Then T = Q(a). If $(a] \subseteq (TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT]$, then by Theorem 1.16, we have

$$T = Q(a)$$

= $(a] \cup ((TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT])$
= $(TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT]$
 $\subseteq \mathcal{U}.$

Thus $\mathcal{U} = T$. That is a contradiction. Hence, $(a] \notin (TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT])$, so the condition (3) holds.

Assume (ii) holds. Then the condition (4) holds.

For an ordered ternary semigroup T with a zero element, the union of all nonzero proper ordered quasi-ideals of T is denoted by \mathcal{U}_0 .

Lemma 3.6. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup with a zero element. Then $T = \mathcal{U}_0$ if and only if $Q(a) \neq T$ for all $a \in T$.

Proof. The proof is almost similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Theorem 3.7. Let T be an ordered ternary semigroup with a zero element. Then one and only one of the following four conditions is satisfied:

- (1) \mathcal{U}_0 is not an ordered quasi-ideal of T.
- (2) $Q(a) \neq T$ for all $a \in T$.
- (3) There exists $a \in T$ such that Q(a) = T, $(a] \nsubseteq (TTa] \cap (TaT \cup TTaTT] \cap (aTT]$, and $a^3 \in \mathcal{U}_0$, T is not 0-quasi-simple, $T \setminus \mathcal{U}_0 = \{x \in T \mid Q(x) = T\}$, and \mathcal{U}_0 is the unique maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T.
- (4) $T \setminus \mathcal{U}_0 \subseteq Q(a)$ for all $a \in T \setminus \mathcal{U}_0$, T is not 0-quasi-simple, $T \setminus \mathcal{U}_0 = \{x \in T \mid Q(x) = T\}$, and \mathcal{U}_0 is the unique maximal ordered quasi-ideal of T.

Proof. The proof is almost similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Acknowledgements: This research is supported by the Group for Young Algebraists in University of Phayao (GYA), Thailand. The authors also wish to express their sincere thanks to the referees for the valuable suggestions which lead to an improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] M. Akram and N. Yaqoob, Intuitionistic fuzzy soft ordered ternary semigroups, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 84(2013), 93-107.
- [2] M. Arslanov and N. Kehayopulu, A note on minimal and maximal ideals of ordered semigroups, *Lobachevskii J. Math.*, 11(2002), 3-6.
- [3] S. Bashir and M. Shabir, Pure ideals in ternary semigroups, *Quasigroups Relat. Syst.*, 17(2009), 149-160.
- [4] Y. Cao and X. Xu, On minimal and maximal left ideals in ordered semigroups, Semigroup Forum, 60(2000), 202-207.
- [5] T. Changphas, A note on minimal quasi-ideals in ternary semigroups, Int. Math. Forum, 7(2012), 539-544.
- [6] T. Changphas, A note on quasi and bi-ideals in ordered ternary semigroups, Int. J. Math. Anal., 6(2012), 527-532.
- [7] R. Chinram, S. Baupradist and S. Saelee, Minimal and maximal bi-ideals in ordered ternary semigroups, *Int. J. Phys. Sci.*, 7(2012), 2674-2681.
- [8] R. Chinram and S. Saelee, Fuzzy ideals and fuzzy filters of ordered ternary semigroups, *J. Math. Res.*, 2(2010), 93-97.
- [9] P. Choosuwan and R. Chinram, A study on quasi-ideals in ternary semigroups, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 77(2012), 639-647.
- [10] V.R. Daddi and Y.S. Pawar, On ordered ternary semigroups, Kyungpook Math. J., 52(2012), 375-381.
- [11] V.N. Dixit and S. Dewan, A note on quasi and bi-ideals in ternary semigroups, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 18(1995), 501-508.
- [12] T.K. Dutta, S. Kar and B.K. Maity, On ideals in regular ternary semigroups, Discuss. Math., Gen. Algebra Appl., 28(2008), 147-159.
- [13] A. Iampan, Lateral ideals of ternary semigroups, Ukr. Math. Bull., 4(2007), 525-534.
- [14] A. Iampan, Minimality and maximality of ordered quasi-ideals in ordered semigroups, Asian-Eur. J. Math., 1(2008), 85-92.
- [15] A. Iampan, On ordered ideal extensions of ordered ternary semigroups, Lobachevskii J. Math., 31(2010), 13-17.

- [16] A. Iampan and M. Siripitukdet, On minimal and maximal ordered left ideals in po-Γ-semigroups, *Thai J. Math.*, 2(2004), 275-282.
- [17] N. Kehayopulu, On completely regular ordered semigroups, Sci. Math., 1(1998), 27-32.
- [18] D.H. Lehmer, A ternary analoue of abelian groups, Am. J. Math., 59(1932), 329-338.
- [19] S. Lekkoksung and N. Lekkoksung, On intra-regular ordered ternary semigroups, Int. J. Math. Anal., 6(2012), 69-73.
- [20] J. Los, On the extending of model I, Fundam. Math., 42(1955), 38-54.
- [21] S. Saelee and R. Chinram, A study on rough, fuzzy and rough fuzzy biideals of ternary semigroups, *IAENG*, *Int. J. Appl. Math.*, 41(2011).
- [22] J. Sanborisoot and T. Changphas, On pure ideals in ordered ternary semigroups, *Thai J. Math.*, (In Press).
- [23] F.M. Sioson, Ideal theory in ternary semigroups, Math. Jap., 10(1965), 63-84.
- [24] O. Steinfeld, Uber die quasiideale von halbgruppen, Publ. Math., 4(1956), 262-275.
- [25] O. Steinfeld, Uber die quasiideale von ringen, Acta Sci. Math., 17(1956), 170-180.
- [26] N. Yaqoob, S. Abdullah, N. Rehman and M. Naeem, Roughness and fuzziness in ordered ternary semigroups, World Appl. Sci. J., 17(2012), 1683-1693.