

Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 12, No. 2, October 2012, pp. 24-31 ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright © ICSRS Publication, 2012 www.i-csrs.org Available free online at http://www.geman.in

# Some Strong Forms of Semiseparated Sets and Semidisconnected Space

#### Abdullah M. Abdul-Jabbar

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Salahaddin-Erbil / Kurdistan Region Iraq E-mail: m1abdullah@yahoo.co.uk

(Received: 27-5-12/ Accepted: 7-11-12)

#### Abstract

The concept of semi-open sets in topological spaces was first introduced by Levine. Also the concept of  $\theta$ -semi-open sets in topological spaces was introduced by Noiri, which is stronger than semi-open sets. Now, we introduce a new type of separated sets called  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets, which is stronger than semiseparated sets due to Dube and Panwar, and we give some properties of it, furthermore we introduce a new type of disconnectedness interms of  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets called  $\theta$ -semidisconnected space, which is stronger than semi-disconnectedness due to Dorsett. Moreover, we give some characterizations and properties of it. It is shown that, a space X is  $\theta$ -semiconnected if and only if every  $\theta$ s-continuous function from X to the discrete space  $\{0, 1\}$  is constant.

**Keywords**:  $\theta$ -semi-open sets,  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets and  $\theta$ -semidisconnected.

# **1** Introduction

The symbols X and Y represent topological spaces with no separation axioms assumed unless explicitly stated. Let S be a subset of X, the interior and closure of S are denoted by Int(S) and Cl(S), respectively. A subset S of X is said to be semi-open [8] if and only if  $S \subset Cl(Int((S)))$ . A subset S of X is said to be  $\theta$ -semi-open set [10] if for each  $x \in S$ , there exists a semi-open set G in X such that  $x \in G \subset$ 

Cl(G) ⊂ S. The complement of each semi-open (resp.  $\theta$ -semi-open) sets is called semi-closed (resp.  $\theta$ -semi-closed). A point x is said to be in the  $\theta$ -semi-closure of a set S [5], denoted by sCl<sub> $\theta$ </sub>(S), if S ∩ Cl(G) ≠  $\phi$ , for each G∈SO (X) containing x. If S = sCl<sub> $\theta$ </sub>(S), then S is called  $\theta$ -semi-closed. For each G∈SO (X), Cl(G) is  $\theta$ -semi-open and hence every regular closed set is  $\theta$ -semi-open. Therefore, x∈sCl<sub> $\theta$ </sub>(S) if and only if S ∩ E ≠  $\phi$ , for each  $\theta$ -semi-open set E containing x. A space X is said to be semi-disconnected [2] if there exist two semi-open sets A and B such that X = A ∪ B and A ∩ B =  $\phi$ , otherwise it is called semiconnected. Two non-empty subsets A and B of a topological space X are said to be semiseparated [3] if and only if A ∩ sCl(B) = sCl(A) ∩ B =  $\phi$ . In a topological space X, a set which can be expressed as the union of two semiseparated sets is called a semi-disconnected space [3]. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\theta$ s-continuous [7] if for each x ∈ X and each open set B of Y containing f (x), there exists a semi-open set U of X containing x such that f(Cl(U)) ⊂ B.

# **2** θ-Semiseparated Sets

In this section we introduce a new type of separated sets called  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets, and some characterizations and properties of it will be given. We start this section with the following definition.

**Definition 2.1** *Two non-empty subsets A and B of a topological space X are said to be \theta-semiseparated if A \cap sCl\_{\theta}(B) = sCl\_{\theta}(A) \cap B = \phi.* 

**Lemma 2.2** Every  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets is semiseparated.

**Lemma 2.3** Every two  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets in topological spaces are disjoint.

**Proof** Assume that A and B are two  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets. Then,  $A \cap sCl_{\theta}(B) = sCl_{\theta}(A) \cap B = \phi$  and hence  $(A \cap sCl_{\theta}(B)) \cup (B \cap sCl_{\theta}(A)) = \phi$ . By Theorem 1.2.2 of [1],  $sCl_{\theta}(C) = C \cup \theta sd(C)$ . Therefore,  $(A \cap (B \cup \theta sd(B))) \cup (B \cap (A \cup \theta sd(A))) = \phi$ . Then,  $((A \cap B) \cup (A \cap \theta sd(B)) \cup ((B \cap A) \cup (B \cap \theta sd(A))) = \phi$ . Thus,  $A \cap B = \phi$ .

The converse of the above two lemmas are not true ingeneral as it is shown in the following examples.

**Example 2.4** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . Let  $A = \{a\}$  and  $B = \{c, d\}$  be two subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then, SO(X,  $\tau) = \{\phi, X, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$  and  $\theta$ SO(X,  $\tau) = \{\phi, X, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ . Therefore,  $\{a\}$  and  $\{c, d\}$  are two semiseparated sets, but they are not  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets since  $\{a\} \cap SCl_{\theta}(\{c, d\}) = \{a\} \cap X \neq \phi$ .

**Example 2.5** If we use the same topology  $(X, \tau)$  in Example 2.4 and we take  $Y = \{a, b\}$  and  $W = \{c, d\}$  are two subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then, Y and W are disjoint, but they are not  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets.

**Proposition 2.6** If A and B are two  $\theta$ -semiseparated subsets of a topological space X,  $C \subset A$  and  $D \subset B$ , then C and D are also  $\theta$ -semiseparated.

**Proof** It is obvious.

**Theorem 2.7** Two  $\theta$ -semi-closed subsets A and B of a topological space X are  $\theta$ -semiseparated if and only if they are disjoint.

**Proof** The first direction follows from Lemma 2.3 and the second direction it is obvious.

**Theorem 2.8** Two  $\theta$ -semi-open subsets A and B of a topological space X are  $\theta$ -semiseparated if and only if they are disjoint.

**Proof** The first direction follows from Lemma 2.3.

Conversely, assume that A and B are disjoint. Since A and B are two  $\theta$ -semi-open sets, then  $(X \setminus A)$  and  $(X \setminus B)$  are  $\theta$ -semi-closed. Therefore,  $sCl_{\theta}(X \setminus A) = (X \setminus A)$  and  $sCl_{\theta}(X \setminus B) = (X \setminus B)$ . Since A and B are disjoint, then  $A \subset (X \setminus B)$  and  $B \subset (X \setminus A)$ . Therefore,  $sCl_{\theta}(A) \subset sCl_{\theta}(X \setminus B)$  and  $sCl_{\theta}(B) \subset sCl_{\theta}(X \setminus A)$ . This implies that,  $sCl_{\theta}(A) \subset (X \setminus B)$  and  $sCl_{\theta}(B) \subset (X \setminus A)$ . So,  $(sCl_{\theta}(A) \cap B) \subset ((X \setminus B) \cap B) = \phi$  and  $(A \cap sCl_{\theta}(B)) \subset (A \cap (X \setminus A)) = \phi$ . Therefore,  $sCl_{\theta}(A) \cap B = A \cap sCl_{\theta}(B) = \phi$ . Hence A and B are  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets.

#### **3** θ-Semidisconnectedness and θ-Semiconnectedness

In this section we introduce two new types of disconnected and connected spaces interms of  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets called  $\theta$ -semidisconnected and  $\theta$ -semiconnected spaces, some characterizations and properties of them will be given. We start this section with the following definition.

**Definition 3.1** Let X be a topological space, a subset A of X is said to be  $\theta$ -semidisconnected if it is the union of non empty  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets, that is there exist two non empty sets B and C such that  $B \cap sCl_{\theta}(C) = \phi$ ,  $sCl_{\theta}(B) \cap C = \phi$  and  $A = B \cup C$ . Also, we say that A is  $\theta$ -semiconnected if it is not  $\theta$ -semidisconnected.

It is obvious that every  $\theta$ -semidisconnected space is semidisconnected. But the converse is not true ingeneral, as it is shown in the following example.

**Example 3.2** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . Then, SO(X,  $\tau$ ) = { $\phi$ , X, {c}, {a, b}, {c, d}, {a, b, c}, {a, b, d}} and  $\theta$ SO(X,  $\tau$ ) = { $\phi$ , X, {c, d}, {a, b, d}}. Therefore, X is semidisconnected, but it is not  $\theta$ -semidisconnected.

We give some characterizations of  $\theta$ -semidisconnected space.

**Theorem 3.3** A topological space X is  $\theta$ -semidisconnected if and only if there exists a non empty proper subset of X which is both  $\theta$ -semi-open and  $\theta$ -semi-closed in X.

**Proof** Let X be a  $\theta$ -semidisconnected, so there exist two non empty subsets A and B of X such that  $A \cap sCl_{\theta}(B) = \phi$ ,  $sCl_{\theta}(A) \cap B = \phi$  and  $X = A \cup B$ . Since  $B \subset$  $sCl_{\theta}(B)$ . Then,  $(A \cap B) \subset (A \cap sCl_{\theta}(B)) = \phi$ . Therefore,  $A \cap B = \phi$  and  $A \cup B =$ X, so  $A = (X \setminus B)$  (B is a non empty and A is a proper subset of X) because if A =X, then  $(X \setminus B) = X$ , which implies that  $B = \phi$ , this is contradiction. Now,  $A \cup B =$ X and  $B \subset sCl_{\theta}(B)$ , then  $X = (A \cup B) \subset (A \cup sCl_{\theta}(B))$ . But, always  $(A \cup$  $sCl_{\theta}(B)) \subset X$ . So,  $A \cup sCl_{\theta}(B) = X$ . Since  $A \cap sCl_{\theta}(B) = \phi$ . Therefore,  $A = (X \setminus$  $sCl_{\theta}(B))$ . Likewise, we can show  $B = (X \setminus sCl_{\theta}(A))$ . Since  $sCl_{\theta}(A)$  and  $sCl_{\theta}(B)$ are  $\theta$ -semi-closed sets. Also,  $A = (X \setminus sCl_{\theta}(B))$ . Thus, A is  $\theta$ -semi-open. Also,  $B = (X \setminus sCl_{\theta}(A))$ . Thus, B is also  $\theta$ -semi-open, and since  $A = (X \setminus B)$ , then A is  $\theta$ -semi-closed. So, A is the required non empty subset of X which is both  $\theta$ -semiopen and  $\theta$ -semi-closed (infact B is also a non empty proper subset of X, which is both  $\theta$ -semi-open and  $\theta$ -semi-closed).

Conversely, let A be a non empty proper subset of X, which is both  $\theta$ -semi-open and  $\theta$ -semi-closed and B = (X \ A). Now, A  $\cup$  B = (A  $\cup$  (X \ A)) = X. Also, A  $\cap$ B = A  $\cap$  (X \ A) =  $\phi$ . Since A is  $\theta$ -semi-closed. Therefore, sCl<sub> $\theta$ </sub> (A) = A. Also, A is  $\theta$ -semi-open. Then, (X \ A) is  $\theta$ -semi-closed. This implies that B is  $\theta$ -semiclosed. Therefore, sCl<sub> $\theta$ </sub> (B) = B. Hence, A  $\cap$  B = A  $\cap$  sCl<sub> $\theta$ </sub> (B) =  $\phi$  and sCl<sub> $\theta$ </sub> (A)  $\cap$  B =  $\phi$ . So, X is  $\theta$ -semidisconnected.

Recall that, a space X is said to be  $\theta$ s-disconnected [1] if there exist two  $\theta$ -semi-open sets A and B such that  $X = A \cup B$  and  $A \cap B = \phi$ . In this case, we call  $A \cup B$  is called a  $\theta$ s-disconnection of X, otherwise X is called  $\theta$ s-connection. The above definition is equivalent to the Definition 3.1 as it is shown in the following result.

**Theorem 3.4** A topological space X is  $\theta$ -semidisconnected if and only if one of the following statements hold:

(i) X is the union of two non empty disjoint  $\theta$ -semi-open sets. (ii) X is the union of two non empty disjoint  $\theta$ -semi-closed sets.

**Proof** (i) Let X be a  $\theta$ -semidisconnected, so by Theorem 3.3, there exists a nonempty proper subset A of X which is both  $\theta$ -semi-open and  $\theta$ -semi-closed. So,  $(X \setminus A)$  is also both  $\theta$ -semi-open and  $\theta$ -semi-closed. Thus, A and  $(X \setminus A)$  are two  $\theta$ -semi-open sets such that  $A \cap (X \setminus A) = \phi$  and  $A \cup (X \setminus A) = X$ . So, X is the union of two non empty disjoint  $\theta$ -semi-open sets A and  $X \setminus A$  of X.

Conversely, let  $X = A \cup B$  and  $A \cap B = \phi$ , where A and B are two non empty  $\theta$ -semi-open subsets of X. We want to show that X is  $\theta$ -semidisconnected. Since  $A \cap B = \phi$  and  $X = A \cup B$ . Therefore,  $A = (X \setminus B)$ , so A is  $\theta$ -semi-closed.

Thus, A is a non empty proper subset of X (if A is not proper, then A = X and hence  $B = \phi$ , this is contradiction). Hence, A is a non empty proper subset of X, which is both  $\theta$ -semi-open and  $\theta$ -semi-closed, so by Theorem 3.3, X is  $\theta$ -semidisconnected.

(ii) We can show the equivalence between  $\theta$ -semidisconnectedness of X and the condition gives in (ii) by the same way.

**Theorem 3.5** Let X be a topological space. If A and B are two non empty  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets, then  $A \cup B$  is  $\theta$ -semidisconnected.

**Proof** Since A and B are  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets, then  $A \cap sCl_{\theta}(B) = \phi$  and  $sCl_{\theta}(A) \cap B = \phi$ . Let  $G = (X \setminus sCl_{\theta}(B))$  and  $H = (X \setminus sCl_{\theta}(A))$ . Then, G and H are  $\theta$ -semi-open and  $(A \cup B) \cap G = A$  and  $(A \cup B) \cap H = B$  are non empty disjoint set whose union is  $A \cup B$ . Thus, G and H form a  $\theta$ -semidisconnection of  $A \cup B$  and so  $A \cup B$  is  $\theta$ -semidisconnected.

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $G \cup H$  be a  $\theta$ -semidisconnection of A. Then,  $A \cap G$  and  $A \cap H$  are  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets.

**Proof** Now,  $A \cap G$  and  $A \cap H$  are disjoint; hence we need only show that each set contains no  $\theta$ s-limit point of the other. Let p be a  $\theta$ s-limit point of  $A \cap G$  and suppose  $p \in (A \cap H)$ . Then, H is a  $\theta$ -semi-open set containing p and so H contains a point of  $A \cap G$  distinct from p, that is,  $(A \cap G) \cap H \neq \phi$ . But  $(A \cap G) \cap (A \cap H) = \phi = (A \cap G) \cap H$ . Then,  $p \notin (A \cap H)$ . Likewise, if p is a  $\theta$ s-limit point of A  $\cap H$ , then  $p \notin (A \cap G)$ . Thus,  $A \cap G$  and  $A \cap H$  are  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets.

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $G \cup H$  be a  $\theta$ -semidisconnection of A and let B be a  $\theta$ -semiconnected subset of A. Then, either  $B \cap H = \phi$  or  $B \cap G = \phi$ , and so either  $B \subset G$  or  $B \subset H$ .

**Proof** Now,  $B \subset A$ , and so  $A \subset (G \cup H)$ . Then,  $B \subset (G \cup H)$  and  $(G \cap H) \subset (X \setminus A)$ . Therefore,  $(G \cap H) \subset (X \setminus B)$ . Thus, if both  $B \cap G$  and  $B \cap H$  are non empty, then  $G \cup H$  forms a  $\theta$ -semidisconnection of B. But B is  $\theta$ -semiconnected, hence the conclusion follows.

**Theorem 3.8** Let X be a topological space. If A and B are  $\theta$ -semiconnected sets which are not  $\theta$ -semiseparated, then  $A \cup B$  is  $\theta$ -semiconnected.

**Proof** Let  $A \cup B$  be  $\theta$ -semidisconnected and  $G \cup H$  be a  $\theta$ -semidisconnection of  $A \cup B$ . Since A is a  $\theta$ -semiconnected subset of  $A \cup B$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.7, either  $A \subset G$  or  $A \subset H$ . Likewise, either  $B \subset G$  or  $B \subset H$ . Now, if  $A \subset G$  and  $B \subset H$  (or  $B \subset G$  and  $A \subset H$ ), then by Theorem 3.6,  $(A \cup B) \cap G = A$  and  $(A \cup B) \cap H = B$  are  $\theta$ -semiseparated sets. This contradicts the hypothesis; hence  $(A \cup B) \subset G$  or  $(A \cup B) \subset H$ , and so  $G \cup H$  is not a  $\theta$ -semidisconnection of  $A \cup B$ . In other words,  $A \cup B$  is  $\theta$ -semiconnected.

**Theorem 3.9** Let X be a topological space. If  $A = \{A_i\}$  is a class of  $\theta$ -semiconnected subsets of X such that no two members of A are  $\theta$ -semiseparated. Then,  $B = \bigcup_i A_i$  is  $\theta$ -semiconnected.

**Proof** Assume that B is not  $\theta$ -semiconnected and  $G \cup H$  is a  $\theta$ -semidisconnection of B. Now, each  $A_i \in \mathbf{A}$  is  $\theta$ -semiconnected and so by Theorem 3.7, is contained in either G or H and disjoint from the other. Futhermore, any two members  $A_{i1}$ ,  $A_{i2} \in \mathbf{A}$  are not  $\theta$ -semiseparated and so by Theorem 3.8,  $A_{i1} \cup A_{i2}$  is  $\theta$ -semiconnected; then  $A_{i1} \cup A_{i2}$  is contained in G or H and disjoint from the other. Therefore, all the members of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and hence  $B = \bigcup_i A_i$ , must be contained in either G or H and disjoint from the other. This is contradictions the fact that  $G \cup H$  is a  $\theta$ -semidisconnection of B; hence B is  $\theta$ -semiconnected.

**Theorem 3.10** Let  $A = \{A_i\}$  be a class of  $\theta$ -semiconnected subsets of X with a non empty intersection. Then,  $B = \bigcup_i A_i$  is  $\theta$ -semiconnected.

**Proof** Since  $\cap_i A_i \neq \phi$ , any two members of A are not disjoint and so are not  $\theta$ -semiseparated; hence by Theorem 3.9,  $B = \bigcup_i A_i$  is  $\theta$ -semiconnected.

**Theorem 3.11** Let X be a topological space. If A is  $\theta$ -semiconnected subset of X and  $A \subset B \subset sCl_{\theta}(A)$ , then B is  $\theta$ -semiconnected and hence, inparticular,  $sCl_{\theta}(A)$  is  $\theta$ -semiconnected.

**Proof** Suppose that B is  $\theta$ -semidisconnected and suppose  $G \cup H$  is a  $\theta$ -semidisconnected of B. Now, A is a  $\theta$ -semiconnected subset of B and so, by Theorem 3.7, either  $A \cap H = \phi$  or  $A \cap G = \phi$ ; say,  $A \cap H = \phi$ . Then,  $(X \setminus H)$  is a  $\theta$ -semi-closed superset of A and therefore,  $A \subset B \subset sCl_{\theta}$  (A)  $\subset$  (X \ H). Consequently,  $B \cap H = \phi$ . This is contradicts the fact that  $G \cup H$  is a  $\theta$ -semidisconnection of B; hence B is  $\theta$ -semiconnected.

**Theorem 3.12** A topological space X is  $\theta$ -semidisconnected if and only if there exists a  $\theta$ s-continuous function f from X onto the discrete space  $\{0, 1\}$ .

**Proof** Suppose that X is  $\theta$ -semidisconnected. Then, there exist two non empty disjoint  $\theta$ -semi-open subsets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of X such that  $X = G_1 \cup G_2$ . Define a function  $f: X \to \{0, 1\}$  as follows

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{G}_1 \\ & & \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{G}_2 \end{cases}$$

Now, the only open sets in  $\{0, 1\}$  are  $\phi$ ,  $\{0\}$ ,  $\{1\}$  and  $\{0, 1\}$ . So,  $f^{-1}(\phi) = \phi$ ,  $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = G_1, f^{-1}(\{1\}) = G_2$  and  $f^{-1}(\{0, 1\}) = X$ , which are  $\theta$ -semi-open sets in X. Thus, f is  $\theta$ -continuous surjection from X to the discrete space  $\{0, 1\}$ .

Conversely, let the hypothesis holds and if possible suppose (a, 1).  $\theta$ -semiconnected. Therefore, by [6, Corollary 17], f(X) is connected. Thus, {0, 1} is connected, which is contradiction since {0, 1} is discrete space and every discrete space which contain more than one point is disconnected. So, X must be  $\theta$ -semidisconnected.

Finally, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.13** A topological space X is  $\theta$ -semiconnected if and only if every  $\theta$ s-continuous function from X to the discrete space  $\{0, 1\}$  is constant.

**Proof** Let X be  $\theta$ -semiconnected and  $f: X \to \{0, 1\}$  any  $\theta$ s-continuous function. Let  $y \in f(X) \subset \{0, 1\}$ , then  $\{y\} \subset \{0, 1\}$  and since  $\{0, 1\}$  is discrete, so  $\{y\}$  is both open and closed in  $\{0, 1\}$ . Since f is  $\theta$ s-continuous. Therefore, by [7, Theorem 2.3],  $f^{-1}(\{y\})$  is both  $\theta$ -semi-open and  $\theta$ -semi-closed in X. Now, since  $y \in f(X)$ . Therefore, there exists  $x \in X$  such that y = f(x). Thus,  $f(x) \in \{y\}$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})$ . Thus, we obtain  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) \neq \phi$ . If  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) \neq X$ , then  $f^{-1}(\{y\})$  is a non empty subset of X which is both  $\theta$ -semi-open and  $\theta$ -semi-closed, which implies that X is  $\theta$ -semidisconnected, this is a contradiction, so  $f^{-1}(\{y\}) = X$ . Thus,  $f(X) = \{y\}$ , it means that f(x) = y, for each  $x \in X$ , so f is constant. Conversely, let the hypothesis be holds; if possible suppose that X is

Conversely, let the hypothesis be holds; if possible suppose that X is a  $\theta$ -semidisconnected. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, X has a non-empty proper subset of X which is both  $\theta$ -semi-open and  $\theta$ -semi-closed. So, (X \ A) is also a non empty proper subset of X which is both  $\theta$ -semi-open and  $\theta$ -semi-closed. Now, consider the characteristic function  $\psi_A$  of A defined as

$$\psi_A \left( x \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \text{if } x \in A \\ \\ & & \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in (X \setminus A) \end{array} \right\}$$

 $\psi_A^{-1}(\phi) = \phi, \psi_A^{-1}(\{0\}) = (X \setminus A), \psi_A^{-1}(\{1\}) = A \text{ and } \psi_A^{-1}(\{0, 1\}) = X$ , which are all  $\theta$ -semi-open sets in X. So,  $\psi_A$  is  $\theta$ s-continuous function from X to the discrete space  $\{0, 1\}$ . By hypothesis,  $\psi_A$  must be constant, this is contradiction since  $\psi_A$  is not constant function. So, X is  $\theta$ -semiconnected, which completes the proof.

# References

- [1] A.M. Abdul-Jabbar, θs-continuity, openness and closed graphs in topological spaces, *M. Sc. Thesis*, College of Science, Salahaddin Univ-Erbil, (2000).
- [2] C. Dorsett, Semi-connected spaces, *Indian J. Mech. Math.*, 17(1) (1979), 57-61.
- [3] K.K. Dube and O.S. Panwar, Some properties of s-connectedness between sets and set s-connected mappings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 15(4) (1984), 343-354.
- [4] D.S. Jangkovic and I.J. Reilly, On semiseparation properties, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 16(9) (1985), 957-964.
- [5] J.E. Joseph and M.H. Kwack, On S-closed spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 80(1980), 341-348.
- [6] A.B. Khalaf and A.M. Abdul-Jabbar, On θs-continuous functions, J. *Dohuk Univ.*, 7(1) (2004), 35-38.
- [7] A.B. Khalaf and F.H. Easif, θs-continuous functions, J. Dohuk Univ., (Special issue) (1999), 1-4.
- [8] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthy*, 70(1963), 36-41.
- [9] A.H. Naser, On separation properties, *M. Sc. Thesis*, University of Baghdad, (1989).
- [10] T. Noiri, On S-closed and S-perfect functions, *Atti della Acad. Delle Sci. Torino*, (1986), 71-79.