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#### Abstract

For any graph $G=(V, E)$, a subset $S$ of $V$ is a dominating set if every vertex in $V-S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in $S$. A dominating set $S$ is said to be a complementary connected dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle V-S\rangle$ is connected. The minimum cardinality of a complementary connected dominating set is called the complementary connected domination number and is denoted by $\gamma_{c c}(G)$. The connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a connected graph $G$ is the minimum number of vertices whose removal results in a disconnected or trivial graph. In this paper we find an upper bound for the sum of the complementary connected domination number and connectivity of a graph and characterize the corresponding extremal graphs.
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## 1 Introduction

The graph $G=(V, E)$ we mean a finite, undirected and connected graph with neither loops nor multiple edges. The order and size of $G$ are denoted by $n$ and $m$ respectively. The degree of any vertex $u$ in $G$ is the number of edges incident with $u$ and is denoted by $d(u)$. The minimum and maximum degree
of a graph $G$ is denoted by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ respectively. For graph theoretic terminology we refer to Chartrand and Lesniak [1] and Haynes et.al [2, 3].

In a graph $G$, a subset $S \subseteq V$ is a dominating set if every vertex in $V-S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in $S$. The minimum cardinality of a dominating set is called the domination number of $G$ and is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. T. Tamizh Chelvam and B. Jayaprasad [6] introduced the concept of complementary connected domination in graphs. Also V.R. Kulli and B. Janakiram [4] studied the same concept in the name of the nonsplit domination number of a graph. A dominating set $S$ is said to be a complementary connected dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle V-S\rangle$ is connected. The minimum cardinality of a complementary connected dominating set is called the complementary connected domination number of $G$ and is denoted by $\gamma_{c c}(G)$ and such a set $S$ is called a $\gamma_{c c}$ - set. The connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a connected graph $G$ is the minimum number of vertices whose removal results in a disconnected or trivial graph.

Several authors have studied the problem of obtaining an upper bound for the sum of a domination parameter and a graph theoretic parameter and characterized the corresponding extremal graphs. J. Paulraj Joseph and S. Arumugam [5] proved that $\gamma(G)+\kappa(G) \leq n$ and characterized the corresponding extremal graphs. In this paper, we obtain an upper bound for the sum of the complementary connected domination number and connectivity of a graph and characterize the corresponding extremal graphs. We use the following theorems and notations.

Theorem 1.1 [6] For any graph $G, \gamma_{c c}(G) \leq n-1$ and equality holds if and only if $G$ is a star.

Theorem 1.2 [1] For a graph $G, \kappa(G) \leq \delta(G)$.
Notation 1.3 $H\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$ denotes the graph obtained from the graph $H$ by attaching $m_{i}$ pendant edges to the vertex $v_{i} \in V(H), 1 \leq i \leq n$. The graph $K_{2}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ is called a bistar and it is also denoted by $B\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$.

Notation $1.4 H\left(P_{m_{1}}, P_{m_{2}}, \ldots, P_{m_{n}}\right)$ is the graph obtained from the graph $H$ by attaching an end vertex of $P_{m_{i}}$ to the vertex $v_{i}$ in $H, 1 \leq i \leq n$.

Notation 1.5 Let $G$ be a regular graph. The graph $G(r)$ is obtained from the graph $G \cup K_{1}$ by adding $r$ number of edges between the vertex of $K_{1}$ and any $r$ vertices of $G$.

## 2 Main Results

Observation 2.1 Suppose $n \geq 3, Y$ is a matching of $K_{n}$ and $G=K_{n}-Y$ then $\gamma_{c c}(G) \leq 2$.

Theorem 2.2 For any connected graph $G, \gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G) \leq 2 n-2$ and equality holds if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{2}$.

Proof: $\gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G) \leq n-1+\delta \leq n-1+n-1=2 n-2$.
Let $\gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G)=2 n-2$. Then $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-1$ and $\kappa(G)=n-1$ which gives $G$ is a complete graph as well as a star graph. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{2}$. The converse is obvious.

Theorem 2.3 For any connected graph $G, \gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G)=2 n-3$ if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,2}$ or $K_{3}$.

Proof: Let $\gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G)=2 n-3$. Then there are two cases to consider (i) $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-1$ and $\kappa(G)=n-2($ ii $) \gamma_{c c}(G)=n-2$ and $\kappa(G)=n-1$.

Case 1. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-1$ and $\kappa(G)=n-2$
Then $G$ is a star graph and hence $\kappa(G)=1$ which gives $n=3$. Thus $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,2}$.
Case 2. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-2$ and $\kappa(G)=n-1$
Since $\kappa(G)=n-1$, we have $G$ is a complete graph. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(K_{n}\right)=1$ which gives $n=3$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{3}$. The converse is obvious.

Theorem 2.4 For any connected graph $G$, $\gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G)=2 n-4$ if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$ or $K_{4}$ or $C_{4}$.

Proof: Let $\gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G)=2 n-4$. Then there are three cases to consider (i) $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-1$ and $\kappa(G)=n-3$, (ii) $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-2$ and $\kappa(G)=n-2$, (iii) $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-3$ and $\kappa(G)=n-1$.

Case 1. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-1$ and $\kappa(G)=n-3$
Then $G$ is a star graph and hence $\kappa(G)=1$ which gives $n=4$. Thus $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$.

Case 2. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-2$ and $\kappa(G)=n-2$
Then $n-2 \leq \delta$. If $\delta=n-1$ then $G$ is a complete graph which is a contradiction. Hence $\delta=n-2$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}-Y$ where $Y$ is any matching in $K_{n}$. Then $\gamma_{c c} \leq 2$. If $\gamma_{c c}=1$ then $n=3$ and hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,2}$ which is a contradiction. If $\gamma_{c c}=2$ then $n=4$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{4}$ or $K_{4}-e$. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(K_{4}-e\right)=1 \neq n-2$ which gives $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{4}$.

Case 3. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-3$ and $\kappa(G)=n-1$
Since $\kappa(G)=n-1$, we have $G$ is a complete graph. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(K_{n}\right)=1$ which gives $n=4$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$. The converse is obvious.

Theorem 2.5 For any connected graph $G, \gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G)=2 n-5$ if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to any one of the following graphs (i) $K_{1,4}$ (ii) $K_{5}$ (iii) $K_{4}-e(i v) C_{5}(v) P_{4}(v i) K_{3}(1,0,0)$.

Proof: Let $\gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G)=2 n-5$. Then there are four cases to consider (i) $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-1$ and $\kappa(G)=n-4$, (ii) $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-2$ and $\kappa(G)=n-3$, (iii) $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-3$ and $\kappa(G)=n-2$, (iv) $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-4$ and $\kappa(G)=n-1$.

Case 1. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-1$ and $\kappa(G)=n-4$
Then $G$ is a star graph and hence $\kappa(G)=1$ which gives $n=5$. Thus $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,4}$.

Case 2. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-2$ and $\kappa(G)=n-3$
Then $n-3 \leq \delta$. If $\delta=n-1$ then $G$ is a complete graph which is a contradiction. If $\delta=n-2$ then $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}-Y$ where $Y$ is a matching in $K_{n}$. Then $\gamma_{c c} \leq 2$ and hence $n=4$ which gives $G$ is isomorphic to either $C_{4}$ or $K_{4}-e$ which is a contradiction to $\kappa(G)=n-3$. Hence $\delta=n-3$.

Let $X=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{n-3}\right\}$ be a minimum vertex cut of $G$ and let $V-X=$ $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$.

Sub Case 2.1. $\langle V-X\rangle=\overline{K_{3}}$
Then every vertex of $V-X$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $X$. Suppose $E(\langle X\rangle)=\emptyset$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$ or $K_{2,3}$ or $K_{3,3}$ which is a contradiction to $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-2$.

Suppose $E(\langle X\rangle) \neq \emptyset$. Let $v_{1} v_{2} \in E(G)$. Then $V-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, v_{1}\right\}$ is a complementary connected dominating set of $G$ which is a contradiction.

Sub Case 2.2. $\langle V-X\rangle=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$
Let $x_{1} x_{2} \in E(G)$. Then $x_{3}$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $X$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are not adjacent to at most one vertex in $X$. If $|X| \geq 3$ then there exists an vertex $v_{1} \in X$ such that $v_{1} x_{1}, v_{1} x_{2} \in E(G)$. Then $V-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, v_{1}\right\}$ is a complementary connected dominating set of $G$ which is a contradiction. If $|X|=1$ then $G$ is either $P_{4}$ or $K_{3}(1,0,0)$. Suppose $|X|=2$ and let $X=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. If $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are adjacent to all the vertices in $X$. Then $G$ is a graph obtained from $\left(K_{4}-e\right) \cup K_{1}$ by joining a vertex of $K_{1}$ to two vertices of $K_{4}-e$ of degree 2 or $K_{4}(2)$. But for these graphs $\gamma_{c c} \neq n-2$. If $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are adjacent to $v_{1}$ and not adjacent to $v_{2}$ then also $\gamma_{c c} \neq n-2$. If $x_{1}$ is not adjacent to $v_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ is not adjacent to $v_{2}$ then $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{5}$ or $C_{4}(2)$. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(C_{4}(2)\right)=2 \neq n-2$.

Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{5}$.
Case 3. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-3$ and $\kappa(G)=n-2$

Then $n-2 \leq \delta$. If $\delta=n-1$ then $G$ is a complete graph which is a contradiction. Hence $\delta=n-2$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}-Y$ where $Y$ is any matching in $K_{n}$. Then $\gamma_{c c} \leq 2$. If $\gamma_{c c}=1$ then $n=4$ and hence $G$ is isomorphic to either $C_{4}$ or $K_{4}-e$. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(C_{4}\right)=2 \neq n-3$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}-e$.

Case 4. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-4$ and $\kappa(G)=n-1$
Since $\kappa(G)=n-1$ we have $G$ is a complete graph. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(K_{n}\right)=1$ which gives $n=5$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{5}$. The converse is obvious.

Theorem 2.6 For any connected graph $G, \gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G)=2 n-6$ if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to any one of the following graphs (i) $K_{1,5}$ (ii) $K_{6}$ (iii) $C_{6}(i v) P_{5}(v) B(2,1)(v i) C_{3}(1,1,0)(v i i) K_{3}(2,0,0)(v i i i) K_{2,3}(i x) C_{4}(2)$ $(x) C_{4}(3)(x i) K_{5}-M$ where $M$ is a matching in $K_{5}$ (xii) $K_{6}-Y$ where $Y$ is a perfect matching in $K_{6}$.

Proof: Let $\gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G)=2 n-6$. Then there are five cases to consider (i) $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-1$ and $\kappa(G)=n-5(i i) \gamma_{c c}(G)=n-2$ and $\kappa(G)=n-4$ (iii) $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-3$ and $\kappa(G)=n-3(i v) \gamma_{c c}(G)=n-4$ and $\kappa(G)=n-2$ (v) $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-5$ and $\kappa(G)=n-1$

Case 1. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-1$ and $\kappa(G)=n-5$
Then $G$ is a star graph and hence $\kappa(G)=1$ which gives $n=6$. Thus $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,5}$.

Case 2. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-2$ and $\kappa(G)=n-4$

Then $n-4 \leq \delta(G)$. If $\delta(G)=n-1$ then $G$ is a complete graph which is a contradiction to $\kappa(G)=n-4$. If $\delta(G)=n-2$ then $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}-Y$ where $Y$ is a matching in $K_{n}$. Hence $\gamma_{c c}(G) \leq 2$. Then $n \leq 4$ which is a contradiction to $\kappa(G)=n-4$. Suppose $\delta(G)=n-3$. Let $X=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{n-4}\right\}$ be a minimum vertex cut of $G$ and let $V-X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$. If $\langle V-X\rangle$ contains at least one isolated vertex then $\delta(G) \leq n-4$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\langle V-X\rangle$ is isomorphic to $K_{2} \cup K_{2}$. Let us assume $x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{4} \in E(G)$. Also every vertex of $V-X$ is adjacent to all the vertices of $X$. If $|X| \geq 2$ then $\left(X-\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ is a complementary connected dominating set of
$G$ which is a contradiction. If $|X|=1$ then $\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a complementary connected dominating set of $G$ which is a contradiction. Thus $\delta(G)=n-4$.

Sub Case 2.1. $\langle V-X\rangle=\overline{K_{4}}$
Then every vertex of $V-X$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $X$. Suppose $E(\langle X\rangle)=\phi$. Then $|X| \leq 4$ and hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{s, 4}, 1 \leq s \leq 4$. But $\gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G) \neq 2 n-6$.

Suppose $E(\langle X\rangle) \neq \phi$. If any one of the vertex in $X$ say $v_{1}$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $X$ and hence $\gamma_{c c}(G)=1$. Then $n=3$ which is impossible. Hence every vertex in $X$ is not adjacent to at least one vertex in $X$. Hence $\gamma_{c c}(G)=2$. Then $n=4$ which is also impossible.

Sub Case 2.2. $\langle V-X\rangle=P_{3} \cup K_{1}$
Let $x_{1}$ be the isolated vertex in $\langle V-X\rangle$ and let $\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ be the path in $\langle V-X\rangle$. Then $x_{1}$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $X$ and $x_{2}, x_{4}$ are not adjacent to at most one vertex in $X$ and $x_{3}$ is not adjacent to at most two vertices in $X$. If $|X| \geq 3$ then $X \cup\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ is a complementary connected dominating set of cardinality $n-3$ which is a contradiction. If $|X|=2$ then $\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}, v_{2}\right\}$ is a complementary connected dominating set of $G$ or $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{6}$. Thus $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{6}$. If $|X|=1$ then $G$ is isomorphic to $P_{5}$ or $B(2,1)$ or $C_{3}(1,1,0)$ or $C_{4}(1,0,0)$ or the graph $G_{1}$ which is obtained from $\left(K_{4}-e\right) \cup K_{1}$ by adding an edge between a vertex of $K_{1}$ and a vertex of degree three in $K_{4}-e$. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(C_{4}(1,0,0)\right)=\gamma_{c c}\left(G_{1}\right)=2 \neq n-2$. Hence G is isomorphic to $P_{5}$ or $B(2,1)$ or $C_{3}(1,1,0)$.

Sub Case 2.3. $\langle V-X\rangle=K_{3} \cup K_{1}$
Let $x_{1}$ be the isolated vertex in $\langle V-X\rangle$ and let $\left\langle\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}\right\rangle$ be the complete graph. Then $x_{1}$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $X$ and $x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ are not adjacent to at most two vertices in $X$. If $|X| \geq 3$ then $X \cup\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ is a complementary connected dominating set of cardinality $n-3$ which is a contradiction. If $|X|=2$ then $\left\{v_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ or $\left\{v_{1}, x_{1}, x_{3}\right\}$ or $\left\{v_{1}, x_{1}, x_{4}\right\}$ is a complementary connected dominating set of $G$. Hence $\gamma_{c c}(G) \leq 3$. Then $n \leq 5$ which is a contradiction. If $|X|=1$ then $\gamma_{c c}(G) \leq 2$ and hence $n \leq 4$ which is a contradiction.

Sub Case 2.4. $\langle V-X\rangle=K_{2} \cup K_{2}$
Let $x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{4} \in E(G)$. Since $\delta(G)=n-4$ each $x_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ is non-
adjacent to at most one vertex in $X$. If $|X| \geq 3$ then $N\left(x_{1}\right) \cap N\left(x_{3}\right) \cap X \neq$ $\phi$. Let $v_{1} \in N\left(x_{1}\right) \cap N\left(x_{3}\right) \cap X$. Then $V-\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, v_{1}\right\}$ is a complementary connected dominating set of $G$ which is a contradiction. Let $|X|=2$. If $\left\{N\left(x_{1}\right) \cup N\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} \cap\left\{N\left(x_{3}\right) \cup N\left(x_{4}\right)\right\}=\phi$ then $\kappa(G)=1 \neq n-4$ which is a contradiction. Hence we assume with out loss of generality $x_{1}$ and $x_{3}$ are adjacent to $v_{1}$. Then $\left\{v_{2}, x_{2}, x_{4}\right\}$ is a complementary connected dominating set of $G$ which is a contradiction. Hence $|X|=1$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $P_{5}$ or $C_{3}\left(P_{3}, P_{1}, P_{1}\right)$ or the graph $G_{2}$ which is obtained from $C_{3}(2,0,0)$ by joining the pendant vertices by an edge. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(C_{3}\left(P_{3}, P_{1}, P_{1}\right)\right)=\gamma_{c c}\left(G_{2}\right)=2 \neq n-2$ which is a contradiction. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $P_{5}$.

Sub Case 2.5. $\langle V-X\rangle=K_{2} \cup \overline{K_{2}}$
Let $x_{1} x_{2} \in E(G)$ and $x_{3} x_{4} \in E(\bar{G})$. Then each $x_{i}, i=1$ or 2 is non adjacent to at most one vertex in $X$ and each $x_{j}, j=3$ or 4 is adjacent to all the vertices in $X$. For this graph $\gamma_{c c}(G) \leq 3$ and hence $n \leq 5$. Thus $n=5$. Then $|X|=1$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $B(2,1)$ or $K_{3}(2,0,0)$.

Case 3. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-3$ and $\kappa(G)=n-3$

Then $n-3 \leq \delta(G)$. If $\delta=n-1$ then $G$ is a complete graph which is a contradiction to $\kappa(G)=n-3$. If $\delta=n-2$ then $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}-Y$ where $Y$ is a matching in $K_{n}$. Then $\gamma_{c c}(G) \leq 2$. If $\gamma_{c c}(G)=1$ then $n=4$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}-e$. But $\kappa\left(K_{4}-e\right)=2 \neq n-3$ which is a contradiction. If $\gamma_{c c}(G)=2$ then $n=5$. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(K_{5}-Y\right)=1$. Hence there is no graph satisfy the given conditions. Hence $\delta(G)=n-3$. Let $X=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{n-3}\right\}$ be a minimum vertex cut of $G$ and let $V-X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$.

Sub Case 3.1. $\langle V-X\rangle=\overline{K_{3}}$
Then every vertex of $V-X$ is adjacent to all the vertices in $X$. Suppose $E(\langle X\rangle)=\phi$. Then $|X| \leq 3$ and hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{2,3}$ or $K_{3,3}$. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(K_{3,3}\right)=2 \neq n-3$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{2,3}$. Suppose $E(\langle X\rangle) \neq \phi$. If $v_{i} \in X$ for some $i$, is adjacent to all the vertices in $X$ and hence $\gamma_{c c}(G)=1$. Then $n=4$ which is a contradiction. Hence every vertex in $X$ is not adjacent to at least one vertex in $X$. Hence $\gamma_{c c}(G)=2$. Then $n=5$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{2,3}$.

Sub Case 3.2. $\langle V-X\rangle=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$
Let $x_{1} x_{2} \in E(G)$. Since $\delta=n-3$ we have $x_{3}$ is adjacent to all the vertices of $X$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are non adjacent to at most one vertex in $X$. Suppose $x_{1}$ is adja-
cent to all the vertices of $X$. Then $\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a complementary connected dominating set of $G$ and hence $\gamma_{c c}(G) \leq 2$. If $\gamma_{c c}(G)=1$ then $n=4$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to either $P_{4}$ or $K_{3}(1,0,0)$. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(P_{4}\right)=\gamma_{c c}\left(K_{3}(1,0,0)\right)=2 \neq n-3$ which is a contradiction. If $\gamma_{c c}(G)=2$ then $n=5$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{4}(2)$ or $C_{4}(3)$. Suppose $d\left(x_{i}\right)=n-3,1 \leq i \leq 2$.. Then $\gamma_{c c}(G)=2$ or 3. If $\gamma_{c c}(G)=3$ then $n=6$. Then we get the graphs with $\gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G) \neq 2 n-6$. If $\gamma_{c c}(G)=2$ then $n=5$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{5}$ or $C_{4}(2)$ or $C_{3}\left(P_{3}, P_{1}, P_{1}\right)$ or the graph $G_{3}$ which is obtained from $C_{3}(2,0,0)$ by joining the pendant vertices by an edge. If $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{5}$ or $C_{3}\left(P_{3}, P_{1}, P_{1}\right)$ or $G_{3}$ then $\gamma_{c c}(G)+\kappa(G) \neq 2 n-6$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{4}(2)$.

Case 4. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-4$ and $\kappa(G)=n-2$
Then $\delta(G) \geq n-2$. If $\delta(G)=n-1$ then $G$ is a complete graph which is a contradiction. Hence $\delta(G)=n-2$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}-M$ where $M$ is a matching in $K_{n}$. Thus $\gamma_{c c}(G) \leq 2$. If $\gamma_{c c}(G)=1$ then $n=5$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{5}-M$ where $M$ is a matching in $K_{5}$. If $\gamma_{c c}(G)=2$ then $n=6$ and hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{6}-Y$ where $Y$ is a perfect matching in $K_{6}$.

Case 5. $\gamma_{c c}(G)=n-5$ and $\kappa(G)=n-1$
Since $\kappa(G)=n-1$ we have $G$ is a complete graph. But $\gamma_{c c}\left(K_{n}\right)=1$ which gives $n=6$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{6}$. The converse is obvious.

## 3 Conclusion

In this paper we found an upper bound for the sum of complementary connected domination number and connectivity of graphs and characterized the corresponding extremal graphs. Similarly complementary connected domination number with other graph theoretical parameters can be considered.
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