Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 18, No. 1, September, 2013, pp.24-36
ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright © ICSRS Publication, 2013
www.i-csrs.org
Available free online at http://www.geman.in

# The Category of Q-P Quantale Modules 

Shaohui Liang<br>Department of Mathematics<br>Xi'an University of Science and Technology<br>Xi'an 710054, P. R. China<br>E-mail: Liangshaohui1011@163.com

(Received: 7-6-13 / Accepted: 22-7-13)


#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of $Q-P$ quantale modules. A series of categorical properties of $Q-P$ quantale modules are studied, we prove that the category of $Q-P$ quantale modules is not only pointed and connected, but also completed.
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## 1 Introduction

The first lattice analogy of a ring module was introduced in[1]by A.Joyal and M.Tierney. The idea of quantale module appeared in work[2] of S.Abransky and S.Vickers. With the development of the theory of quantale, many people have stuied this structure. The paper[3]investigate the relations of quantale module with quantale matrix. Every prime give wise to a strong module, which be generalized for prime matrix. Every quantale module can be viewed as a matrix.Pedre Resende [4] defined a sup-lattice bimorphism which are equivalent to Galois connections, and study their relation to quantale modules. Jan paska [5] introduced concept of Girard bimodules and studied of properties of Girard bimodules. In the paper [6][7]discussed a series of properties of Hilbert modules, and gave some important resultes on Hilbert modules. So, the quantale theory has aroused great interests of many scholar and experts, a great deal of new ideas and applications of quantale have been proposed in twenty years ([6-17]).

In this paper, we introduced the concept of Q-P quantale modules, and study deeply and systemly the categorical properties of Q-P quantale modules, some interesting categorical properties of Q-P quantale modules are obtained.

For facts concerning category in general we refer to [18].
The paper is organized as follows. In section 1, we recall the notions of quantale modules and introduce the definition of Q-P quantale modules. In section 2, we prove that the category of the Q-P quantale modules is pointed and connected.The equalizer, the coequlizer, the product, the coproduct, the mutiplipullback in the category of Q-P quantale modules are studied.we prove that the each projection of the category of $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{P}$ quantale modules is retract, and the category of Q-P quantale modules has kernel and cokernel.

## 2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 (10) A quantale is a complete lattice $Q$ with an associative binary operation\&satisfying: a \& $\left(\sup _{\alpha} b_{\alpha}\right)=\sup _{\alpha}\left(a \& b_{\alpha}\right)$ and $\left(\sup _{\alpha} b_{\alpha}\right) \&$ $a=\sup _{\alpha}\left(b_{\alpha} \& a\right)$ for all $a \in Q$ and $b_{\alpha} \subseteq Q$.

Definition 2.2 (6) Let $Q$ be a quantale, a left module over $Q$ (briefly, a left $Q$-module)is a sup-lattice $M$, together with a module action $\cdot: Q \times M \longrightarrow M$ satisfying
(1) $\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i}\right) \cdot m=\bigvee_{i \in I}\left(a_{i} \cdot m\right)$;
(2) $a \cdot\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} m_{j}\right)=\bigvee_{j \in J}\left(a \cdot m_{j}\right)$;
(3) $(a \& b) \cdot m=a \cdot(b \cdot m)$. for all $a, b, a_{i} \in Q, m, m_{j} \in M$.

The right modules are defined analogously.
If $Q$ is untial and $e \cdot m=m$ for every $m \in M$, we say that $M$ is unital.
Definition 2.3 (10) Let $M$ and $N$ are $Q$-quantales. A mapping $f: M \longrightarrow N$ is said to be module homomorphism if $f\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} f\left(m_{i}\right)$, and $f(a \cdot m)=$ $a \cdot f(m)$ for all $a \in Q, m, m_{i} \in M$.

Definition 2.4 Let $Q, P$ be a quantale, a $Q$-P quantale module over $Q, P$ (briefly, a $Q$-P-module) is a complete lattice $M$, together with a mapping $T$ : $Q \times M \times P \longrightarrow M$ satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $T\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i}, m, \bigvee_{j \in J} b_{j}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J} T\left(a_{i}, m, b_{j}\right)$;
(2) $T\left(a,\left(\underset{k \in K}{ } m_{k}\right), b\right)=\bigvee_{k \in K} T\left(a, m_{k}, b\right)$;
(3) $T(a \& b, m, c \& d)=T(a, T(b, m, c), d)$.
for all $a_{i}, a, b \in Q, b_{j}, c, d \in P, m_{k}, m \in M$.
We shall denote the $Q$-P quantale module $M$ over $Q, P$ by $(M, T)$.

Definition 2.5 Let $\left(M_{1}, T_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, T_{2}\right)$ are $Q$ - $P$ quantale modules. $A$ mapping $f: M_{1} \longrightarrow M_{2}$ is saied to be $Q-P$ quantale module homomorphism if satisfying
(1) $f\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} f\left(m_{i}\right)$;
(2) $f\left(T_{1}(a, m, b)\right)=T_{2}(a, f(m), b)$ for all $a \in Q, b \in P, m_{i} \in M$.

Definition 2.6 Let ( $M, T_{M}$ ) be $Q$-P quantale module over $Q$ and $P, N$ is the subset of $M, N$ is said to be submodule of $M$ if $N$ is closed under arbitrary join and $T_{M}(a, n, b) \in N$ for all $a \in Q, b \in P, n \in N$.

## 3 Equalizer, Intersection, Product and Pull Back

Definition 3.1 Let $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ be the category whose objects are the $Q-P$ quantale modules, and morphisms are $f: M \longrightarrow N$ which is the $Q-P$ quantale module homomorphism,i.e.,
$\mathcal{O} b\left({ }_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}\right)=\{M: M$ is $Q-P$ quantale modules $\}$,
$\operatorname{Mor}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M o d}}^{\mathbf{P}}\right)=\{f: M \longrightarrow N$ is the $Q-P$ quantale modules homorphism $\}$ Hence, the category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M o d}}^{\mathbf{P}}$ is a concrete category.

Theorem 3.2 Every constant morphism of the category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ is exactly a zero morphism.

Proof: Let $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P}$ are quantales, M and N are double quantale modules, the mapping $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{N}$ is a morphism of Q-P quantale modules. Suppose $\operatorname{id}_{M}: \mathrm{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{N}$ is a identity morphism, $0_{M}: \mathrm{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}$ is a zero morphism. Since foid ${ }_{M}=\mathrm{fo} 0_{M}$, then foid ${ }_{M}(m)=\mathrm{fo} 0_{M}(m)$ for all $\mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{M}$. Thus $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{m})=0_{N}$ for all $\mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{M}$.

Conversely, If $f(m)=0_{N}$ for all $m \in M$, then for $=$ fos for all $r, s \in \operatorname{Hom}(M, N)$.
Theorem 3.3 Every coconstant morphism of the category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ is exactly a zero morphism.

Theorem 3.4 The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$ is a pointed.
Theorem 3.5 (1) The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$ has terminal objects.
(2) The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbf{P}}$ has initial objects.
(3) The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ is connected.

Proof: (1) Let Q,P are quantales, $\left(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{T}_{M}\right)$ is a Q-P quantale module. It is easy to prove that $\left(\{0\}, T_{\{0\}}\right)$ is a Q-P quantale module, define mapping f : $\mathrm{M} \longrightarrow\{0\}$ such that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{m})=0$ for all $\mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{M}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)=0=\bigvee_{i \in I} 0=\bigvee_{i \in I} f\left(m_{i}\right) \\
& f\left(T_{M}(a, m, b)=0=T_{\{0\}}(a, 0, b)=T_{\{0\}}(a, f(m), b) \text { for all } \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{~b} \in \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}_{i} \in \mathrm{M}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$ therefore the mapping f is a Q-P quantale module morphism.

(2) Let M is a $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{P}$ quantale module, $\mathrm{f}:\{0\} \longrightarrow M$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism, then $\mathrm{f}(0)=0_{M}$. We can see that f is only morphism in $\operatorname{Hom}(\{0\}, \mathrm{M})$, therefore the category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M o d}}^{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{~ h a s ~ i n i t i a l ~ o b j e c t s . ~}$
(3)It is clearly.

Theorem 3.6 The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ has equalizers.


Proof: Let $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P}$ are quantales, $\left(M, T_{M}\right)$ and $\left(N, T_{N}\right)$ are $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{P}$ quantale modules, f and $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{N}$ are Q-P quantale module morphisms. Suppose $\mathrm{E}=\{m \in M \mid f(m)=g(m)\}$, then $\mathrm{f}\left(0_{M}\right)=0_{N}=\mathrm{g}\left(0_{M}\right)$, implies $0_{M} \in E \neq \emptyset$.

For all $\left\{m_{i} \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq E, a \in Q, b \in P, \mathrm{~m} \in \mathrm{E}$,
$f\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} f\left(m_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} g\left(m_{i}\right)=g\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)$, i.e., $\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i} \in E$;
$f\left(T_{M}(a, m, b)\right)=T_{N}(a, f(m), b)=T_{N}(a, g(m), b)=g\left(T_{M}(a, m, b)\right)$, i.e.,
$T_{M}(a, m, b) \in E$, then E is a submodule of M , therefore the inclusion mapping $\mathrm{i}: \mathrm{E} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{M}$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism. We will show ( $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{i}$ ) is equalizer of $f$ and $g$,
(1) It is clear know that foi=goi;
(2) Let $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ is a $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{P}$ quantale module, mapping e : $E^{\prime} \longrightarrow M$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism, and satisfy fo e=goe. Define mapping $\bar{e}: E^{\prime} \longrightarrow E$ such that $\bar{e}(x)=e(x)$ for all $x \in E^{\prime}$. Since $f(e(x))=g(e(x))$ for all $x \in E^{\prime}$, then $\bar{e}$ is well defined.
$\operatorname{Let}\left\{x_{i} \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq E^{\prime}, a \in Q, b \in P, x \in E^{\prime}$, then $\bar{e}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i}\right)=e\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i}\right)=$ $\bigvee_{i \in I} e\left(x_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} \bar{e}\left(x_{i}\right) ;$
$\bar{e}\left(T_{M}(a, x, b)\right)=e\left(T_{M}(a, x, b)\right)=T_{M}(a, e(x), b)=T_{M}(a, \bar{e}(x), b)$, thus $\bar{e}$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism. For all $x \in E^{\prime}$, we have that $(i \circ \bar{e})(x)=$ $i(\bar{e}(x))=i(e(x))=e(x)$, then $e=i \circ \bar{e}$.

It's easy to prove that there is a only one Q-P quantale module morphism from $E^{\prime}$ to E with $e(x)=i \circ \bar{e}(x)$ for all $x \in E^{\prime}$,therefore(E, i) is the equalizer of $f$ and $g$.

Theorem 3.7 The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ has multiple equalizers.

Proof: Let $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P}$ are quantales, $\left(M, T_{M}\right)$ and $\left(N, T_{N}\right)$ are Q-P quantale modules, $\left\{h_{j} \mid M \longrightarrow N\right\}_{j \in J}$ are Q-P quantale module morphisms. Suppose $E=\left\{m \in M \mid \forall j_{1}, j_{2} \in J, h_{j_{1}}(m)=h_{j_{2}}(m)\right\}$. Since $h_{j_{1}}\left(0_{M}\right)=0_{N}=$ $h_{j_{2}}\left(0_{M}\right)$ for all $j_{1}, j_{2} \in J$, then $0_{M} \in E \neq \emptyset$.
$\operatorname{Let}\left\{m_{i} \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq E, a \in Q, b \in P, m \in E, j_{1}, j_{2} \in J$, we have
$h_{j_{1}}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} h_{j_{1}}\left(m_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} h_{j_{2}}\left(m_{i}\right)=h_{j_{2}}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)$, i.e., $\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i} \in E$;
$h_{j_{1}}\left(T_{M}(a, m, b)=T_{N}\left(a, h_{j_{1}}(m), b\right)=T_{N}\left(a, h_{j_{2}}(m), b\right)=h_{j_{2}}\left(T_{M}(a, m, b)\right), i . e .\right.$, $T_{M}(a, m, b) \in E$,
thus the set E is a submodule of M , therefore the mapping i : $\mathrm{E} \hookrightarrow M$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism,


We will prove that ( $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{i}$ ) is the multiple equalizer of $\left\{h_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$.
(1) It' is clearly that $h_{j_{1}} \circ i=h_{j_{2}} \circ i$ for all $j_{1}, j_{2} \in J$;
(2) Suppose ( $E^{\prime}, T_{E^{\prime}}$ is a Q-P quantale module, mapping $e: E^{\prime} \longrightarrow M$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism, and satisfy $h_{j_{1}} \circ e=h_{j_{2}} \circ e$ for all $j_{1}, j_{2} \in J$. Define $\bar{e}: E^{\prime} \longrightarrow E, \bar{e}(x)=e(x)$ forall $x \in E^{\prime}$. Because $h_{j_{1}}(e(x))=h_{j_{2}}(e(x))$ for all $x \in E^{\prime}, j_{1}, j_{2} \in J$,thus $\bar{e}(x) \in E$ for all $x \in E^{\prime}$, therefore $\bar{e}$ is well defined.

Let $\left\{x_{i} \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq E^{\prime}, a \in Q, b \in P, x \in E^{\prime}$, then
$\bar{e}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i}\right)=e\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} e\left(x_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} \bar{e}\left(x_{i}\right) ;$
$\bar{e}\left(T_{E^{\prime}}(a, x, b)\right)=e\left(T_{E^{\prime}}(a, x, b)\right)=T_{M}(a, e(x), b)=T_{M}(a, \bar{e}(x), b)$,
thus the mapping $\bar{e}$ is Q-P quantale module morphism. Since $(i \circ \bar{e})(x)=$ $i(\bar{e}(x))=i(e(x))=e(x)$, then $e=i \circ \bar{e} f o r a l l x \in E^{\prime}$.It's easy to prove that there is a only one Q-P quantale module morphism from E'to E with $e(x)=i \circ \bar{e}(x)$ for all $x \in E^{\prime}$, therefore ( $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{i}$ ) is the equalizer of $\left\{h_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$.

Theorem 3.8 The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ has intersection.


Proof: Let $\left(A_{i}, m_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is a family submodules of B,i.e.,there is a morphism $m_{i}: A_{i} \longrightarrow B$ for all $i \in I$. It's easy to prove that $m_{i}$ is a homomorphism for all $i \in I$, then $m_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$ is a submodule of B , and $m_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$ is isomorphic to $A_{i}$.

Let mapping $m_{i}^{o}$ is the corestrict of $m_{i}$ on $m_{i}(A),\left(m_{i}^{o}\right)^{-1}$ is the inverse mapping of $m_{i}^{o}, D=\bigcap_{i \in I} m_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$, It's evident that D is the submodule of B ,thus D is the submodule of $A_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Suppose $d: D \longrightarrow B$ is a inclusion map. We will prove that $(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{d})$ is the intersection of $\left(A_{i}, m_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in the category. In fact, we have that
(1) Let $d_{i}=\left.\left(m_{i}^{\circ}\right)^{-1}\right|_{D}: D \longrightarrow A_{i}$ is the restrict of $\left(m_{i}^{o}\right)^{-1}$ on D for all $i \in I$, then $d_{i}$ is the Q-P quantale module, and $d=m_{i} \circ d_{i}$ for all $i \in I$.
(2) Let $g: C \longrightarrow B$ and $g_{i}: C \longrightarrow A_{i}$ are the Q-P quantale modlue morphisms such that $g=m_{i} \circ g_{i}$ for all $i \in I$, then $g_{i}(C)$ is the submodule of D for all $i \in I$, thus $g(C)=m_{i}\left(g_{i}(C)\right)$ is the submodule of $m_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$, we know that $g(C)$ is the submodule of $D$. Suppose $f$ is the restrict of $g$ on $D$, then $f$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism, and $d \circ f=g$. It's easy to prove that there is a only one morphism such that $d \circ f=g$, therefore ( $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{d}$ ) is the intersection of $\left(A_{i}, m_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in the category.

Theorem 3.9 The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ has products.


Proof: Let $\left\{\left(M_{k}, T_{k}\right) \mid k \in K\right.$ is a family Q-P quantale modules, define $T: Q \times \prod_{k \in K} M_{k} \times Q \longrightarrow \prod_{k \in K} M_{k}$ such that $T(a, m, b)=\left(T_{k}\left(a, m_{k}, b\right)\right)_{k \in K}$ for all $a \in Q, b \in P, m=\left(m_{k}\right)_{k \in K}$, then
(1) $\prod_{k \in K} M_{k}$ is a complete lattice with pointwise.
(2) $\prod_{k \in K} M_{k}$ is a Q-P quantale module. In fact, for all $\left\{a_{i} \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq Q$, $\left\{b_{h} \mid h \in H\right\} \subseteq P,\left\{m^{(j)}=\left(m_{k}^{(j)}\right)_{k \in K} \mid j \in J\right\} \subseteq \prod_{k \in K} M_{k}, a, b \in Q, c, d \in$ $P, m=\left(m_{k}\right)_{k \in K} \in \prod_{k \in K} M_{k}, k \in K$, we have that

$$
\left(T\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i}, m, \bigvee_{h \in H} b_{h}\right)\right)_{k}=T_{k}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i}, m_{k}, \bigvee_{h \in H} b_{h}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{h \in H} T_{k}\left(a_{i}, m_{k}, b_{h}\right)
$$

$$
=\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{h \in H} T\left(a_{i}, m, b_{h}\right)_{k}
$$

$=\left(\underset{i \in I}{\bigvee} \underset{h \in H}{ } T\left(a_{i}, m, b_{h}\right)\right)_{k}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(T\left(a, \bigvee_{j \in J} m^{(j)}, c\right)\right)_{k}=T_{k}\left(a,\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} m^{(j)}\right)_{k}, c\right)=T_{k}\left(a, \bigvee_{j \in J} m_{k}^{(j)}, c\right)=\bigvee_{j \in J} T_{k}\left(a, m_{k}^{(j)}, c\right)= \\
& \bigvee_{j \in J}\left(T\left(a, m^{(j)}, c\right)\right)_{k} ; \\
&=(T(a \& b, m, c \& d))_{k}=T_{k}\left(a \& b, m_{k}, c \& d\right)=T_{k}\left(a, T_{k}\left(b, m_{k}, c\right), d\right)=T_{k}\left(a,\left(T(b, m, c)_{k}, d\right)\right) \\
& \quad\quad(3) \text { Let } k \in K, c), d)_{k} . \\
& \text { define } \pi_{k}: \prod_{k \in K} M_{k} \longrightarrow M_{k} \text { is a project,i.e., } \pi_{k}(m)=m_{k} \text { for }
\end{aligned}
$$ all $m=\left(m_{k}\right)_{k \in K} \in \prod_{k \in K} M_{k}$. Suppose $\left\{m^{(i)}=\left(m_{k}^{(i)}\right)_{k \in K} \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq \prod_{k \in K} M_{k}$, $a \in Q, b \in P, m=\left(m_{k}\right)_{k \in K} \in \prod_{k \in K} M_{k}$, then

$\pi_{k}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m^{(i)}\right)=\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m^{(i)}\right)_{k}=\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{k}^{(i)}=\bigvee_{i \in I} \pi_{k}\left(m^{(i)}\right) ;$
$\pi_{k}(T(a, m, b)) \stackrel{i \in I}{=}(T(a, m, b))_{k}=T_{k}\left(a, m_{k}, b\right)=T_{k}\left(a, \pi_{k}(m), b\right)$,
therefore $\pi_{k}: \prod_{k \in K} M_{k} \longrightarrow M_{k}$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism for all $k \in K$.
(4) we will prove that $\left(\prod_{k \in K} M_{k},\left\{\pi_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}\right)$ is the products of $\left\{M_{k} \mid k \in K\right\}$.

Let $\left(M, T_{M}\right)$ is the a Q-P quantale module, $f_{k}: M \longrightarrow M_{k}$ for all $k \in K$, define $\bar{f}: M \longrightarrow M_{k}$ such that $(\bar{f}(m))_{k}=f_{k}(m)$ for all $m \in M, k \in K$. For all $a \in Q, b \in Q, m \in M,\left\{m_{i} \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq M, k \in K$, we have
$\left(\bar{f}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)\right)_{k}=f_{k}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} f_{k}\left(m_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I}\left(\bar{f}\left(m_{i}\right)\right)_{k}=\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} \bar{f}\left(m_{i}\right)\right)_{k}$,
$\bar{f}\left(T_{\underline{M}}(a, m, b)\right)_{k}=f_{k}\left(T_{M}(a, m, b)\right)=T_{k}\left(a, f_{k}(m), b\right)=T_{k}\left(a,(\bar{f}(m))_{k}, b\right)=$ $\left(T_{M}(a, \bar{f}(m), b)\right)_{k}$,

Therefore $\bar{f}$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism,It's clear that $\pi_{k} \circ \bar{f}=f_{k}$ for all $k \in K$. It's easy to prove that there is a only one morphism satisfy the condition. Hence $\left(\prod_{k \in K} M_{k},\left\{\pi_{k}\right\}_{k \in K}\right)$ is the products of $\left\{M_{k} \mid k \in K\right\}$.

Theorem 3.10 The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}}$ Mod $_{\mathbf{P}}$ has coproducts.


Proof: Let $\left\{\left(M_{k}, T_{k}\right) \mid k \in K\right\}$ is a family Q-P quantale modules. By the theorem 2.7, we can see that $\left(\prod_{k \in K} M_{k}, T\right)$ is a Q-P quantale modules.

For all $k \in K$, we have that
(1) For all $\left\{m_{i} \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq M_{k}$, then $\left(\delta_{k}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)\right)_{k}=\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}=\bigvee_{i \in I}\left(\delta_{k}\left(m_{i}\right)\right)_{k}=$ $\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} \delta_{k}\left(m_{i}\right)\right)_{k}$,

For all $l \in K$, and $l \neq k,\left(\delta_{k}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)\right)_{l}=0_{M_{l}}=\bigvee_{i \in I} 0_{M_{l}}=\bigvee_{i \in I}\left(\delta_{k}\left(m_{i}\right)\right)_{l}=$ $\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} \delta_{k}\left(m_{i}\right)\right)_{l}$,
i.e., $\delta_{k}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} \delta_{k}\left(m_{i}\right)$;
(2)For all $a, b \in Q, b \in P, m \in M_{k}$, we have
$\left(\delta_{k}\left(T_{k}(a, m, b)\right)_{k}=T_{k}(a, m, b)=T_{k}\left(a,\left(\delta_{k}(m)\right)_{k}, b\right)=\left(T\left(a, \delta_{k}(m), b\right)\right)_{k}\right.$,
For all $l \in K$, and $l \neq k$, we have $\left(\delta_{k}\left(T_{k}(a, m, b)\right)\right)_{l}=0_{M_{l}}=T_{l}\left(a, 0_{M_{l}}, b\right)=$ $T_{l}\left(a,\left(\delta_{k}(m)\right)_{l}, b\right)=\left(T\left(a, \delta_{k}(m), b\right)\right)_{l}$, i.e., $\delta_{k}\left(T_{k}(a, m, b)\right)=T\left(a, \delta_{k}(m), b\right)$.

Therefore $\delta_{k}$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism for all $k \in K$.
Let M is a $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{P}$ quantale module, mapping $f_{k}: M_{k} \longrightarrow M$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism for all $k \in K$. Define $f: \prod_{k \in K} M_{k} \longrightarrow M$ such that $f(x)=$ $\underset{k \in K}{ } f_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)$ with $x \in \prod_{k \in K} M_{k}$, then for all $\left\{x^{(i)} \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq \prod_{k \in K} M_{k}, a \in Q, b \in$ $P, x \in \prod_{k \in K} M_{k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} x^{(i)}\right)=\bigvee_{k \in K} f_{k}\left(\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} x^{(i)}\right)_{k}\right)=\bigvee_{k \in K} f_{k}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{k}^{(i)}\right)=\bigvee_{k \in K}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} f_{k}\left(x_{k}^{(i)}\right)\right) \\
= & \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{k \in K} f_{k}\left(x_{k}^{(i)}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} f\left(x^{(i)}\right) ; \\
& f(T(a, x, b))=\bigvee_{k \in K} f_{k}\left(T(a, x, b)_{k}\right)=\bigvee_{k \in K} f_{k}\left(T_{k}\left(a, x_{k}, b\right)\right)=\bigvee_{k \in K}\left(T_{M}\left(a, f_{k}\left(x_{k}\right), b\right)\right) \\
= & T_{M}\left(a, \bigvee_{k \in K} f_{k}\left(x_{k}\right), b\right)=T_{M}(a, f(x), b),
\end{aligned}
$$

thus f is a Q-P quantale module morphism.
Since $\left(f \circ \delta_{k}\right)(x)=f\left(\delta_{k}(x)\right)=\bigvee_{l \in K} f_{l}\left(\delta_{k}(x)\right)_{l}=f_{k}(x)$ for all $k \in K, x \in M_{k}$, then $f \circ \delta_{k}=f_{k}$ for all $k \in K$.

It's easy to prove that there is a only one morphism satisfy the condition. Thus $\left(\prod_{k \in K} M_{k}, T\right)$ is the coproducts of $\left\{\left(M_{k}, T_{k}\right) \mid k \in K\right\}$.

Definition 3.11 Let $Q, P$ are quantales, $\left(M, T_{M}\right)$ is a $Q$ - $P$ quantale module, $R \subseteq M \times M$. The set $R$ is said to be a congruence of $Q-P$ quantale module on the M. If $R$ satisfy
(1) $R$ is an equivalence relation on $M$.
(2) If $\left(m_{i}, n_{i}\right) \in R$ for all $i \in I$, then $\left(\underset{i \in I}{ } m_{i}, \vee_{i \in I} n_{i}\right) \in R$;
(3) $\operatorname{If}(m, n) \in R$, then $\left(T_{M}(a, m, b), T_{M}(a, n, b)\right) \in R$ for all $a \in Q, b \in P$.

Let $Q, P$ is a quantale, $M$ is a $Q-P$ quantale module, $R$ is a congrence of $Q-P$ quantale module on $M$, define order on $M / R$ is that $[m] \leq[n]$ if and only if $[m \vee n]=[n]$ for all $[m],[n] \in M / R$.

Theorem 3.12 Let $Q, P$ are quantales, $M$ is a $Q-P$ quantale module, $R$ is a congruence of $Q$ - $P$ quantale module on $M$, define $T_{M / R}: Q \times M / R \times$ $P \longrightarrow M / R$ such that $T_{M / R}(a,[m], b)=\left[T_{M}(a, m, b)\right]$ for all $a \in Q, b \in P$, $[m] \in M / R$, then $\left(M / R, T_{M / R}\right)$ is a $Q-P$ quantale module, and $\pi: m \mapsto[m]:$ $M \longrightarrow M /$ Ris a $Q-P$ quantale module morphism.

Proof: We will prove that " $\leq$ "is a partial order on $M / R$, and $T_{M / R}$ is well defined. In fact, for all $[m],[n],[l] \in M / R$,
(i) It's clearly that $[m] \leq[m]$;
(ii) Let $[m] \leq[n],[n] \leq[m]$, then $[m \vee n]=[n]$ and $[n \vee m]=[m]$, thus $[m]=[n] ;$
(iii) $\operatorname{Let}[m] \leq[n],[n] \leq[l]$, then $[m \vee n]=[n]$ and $[n \vee l]=[l]$, therefore $[m \vee$ $l]=[m \vee(n \vee l)]=[(m \vee n) \vee(n \vee l)]=[n \vee l]=[l] ;$

If $\left[m_{1}\right]=\left[m_{2}\right]$, then $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in R,\left(T_{M}(a, m, b), T_{M}(a, n, b)\right) \in R$ for all $a \in Q, b \in P$,i.e., $\left[T_{M}(a, m, b)\right]=\left[T_{M}(a, n, b)\right]$, thus $T_{M / R}$ is well defined.
(2)We will prove that $(M / R, \leq)$ is a complete lattice. Let $\left\{\left[m_{i}\right] \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq$ $M / R$, we have
(i) Since $\left[m_{i} \vee\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)\right]=\left[\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right]$ for all $i \in I$, then $\left[m_{i}\right] \leq\left[\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right]$;
(ii) Let $[m] \in M / R$ and $\left[m_{i}\right] \leq[m]$ for all $i \in I$, then $\left[m_{i} \vee m\right]=[m]$ for all $i \in I$, therefore $\left[\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right) \vee m\right]=\left[\bigvee_{i \in I}\left(m_{i} \vee m\right)\right]=[m]$, i.e., $\left[\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right] \leq[m]$.

Thus $\bigvee_{i \in I}^{M / R}\left[m_{i}\right]=\left[\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right]$.
(3) For all $\left\{a_{i} \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq Q,\left\{b_{j} \mid j \in J\right\} \subseteq P,\left\{\left[m_{l}\right] \mid l \in H\right\} \subseteq M / R$, $a, b \in Q, c, d \in P,[m] \in M / R$, we have that
(i) $T_{M / R}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i},[m], \bigvee_{j \in J} b_{j}\right)=\left[T_{M}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i}, m, \bigvee_{j \in J} b_{j}\right)\right]=\left[\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J} T_{M}\left(a_{i}, m, b_{j}\right)\right]=$ $\bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in J} T_{M}\left[a_{i}, m, b_{j}\right]=\underset{i \in I}{\bigvee} \bigvee_{j \in J} T_{M / R}\left(a_{i},[m], b_{j}\right) ;$
(ii) $T_{M / R}\left(a,\left(\underset{j \in J}{\bigvee}\left[m_{j}\right]\right), c\right)=T_{M / R}\left(a,\left[\bigvee_{j \in J} m_{j}\right], c\right)=\left[T_{M}\left(a,\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} m_{j}\right), c\right)\right]$ $=\left[\bigvee_{j \in J} T_{M}\left(a, m_{j}, c\right)\right]=\bigvee_{j \in J}\left[T_{M}\left(a, m_{j}, c\right)\right]=\bigvee_{j \in J} T_{M / R}\left(a,\left[m_{j}\right], c\right) ;$
(iii) $T_{M / R}(a \& b,[m], c \& d)=\left[T_{M}(a \& b, m, c \& d)\right]=\left[T_{M}\left(a, T_{M}(b, m, c), d\right)\right]$ $=T_{M / R}\left(a,\left[T_{M}(b, m, c)\right], d\right)=T_{M / R}\left(a, T_{M / R}(b,[m], c), d\right)$.

Then is a Q-P quantale module.
(4) For all $\left\{\left[m_{i}\right] \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq M / R, a \in Q, b \in P, \quad[m] \in M / R$,
$\pi\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right)=\left[\bigvee_{i \in I} m_{i}\right]=\bigvee_{i \in I}\left[m_{i}\right]=\bigvee_{i \in I} \pi\left(m_{i}\right)$;
$\pi\left(T_{M}(a, m, b)\right)=\left[T_{M}(a, m, b)\right]=T_{M / R}(a,[m], b)=T_{M / R}(a, \pi(m), b)$.
So $\pi: m \mapsto[m]: M \longrightarrow M / R$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism.

Theorem 3.13 Let $Q, P$ are quantales, $M$ is a $Q$ - $P$ quantale module, then $\triangle=\{(x, x) \mid x \in M\}$ is a congrence of $Q-P$ quantale module on $M$.

Theorem 3.14 The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ has coequalizer.


Proof: Let Q,P are quantales, $\left(M, T_{M}\right)$ and $\left(N, T_{N}\right)$ are Q-P quantale modules, $f$ and $g$ are Q-P quantale module morphisms. Suppose R is the smallest congrence of the Q-P quantale modules on N , which contain $\{(f(x), g(x)) \mid$ $x \in M\}$. Let $E=N / R, \pi: N \longrightarrow N / R$ is the canonical epimorphsim, by the theorem 2.11 that $\left(N / R, T_{N / R}\right)$ is a Q-P quantale module, $\pi$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism. We will prove $(\pi, E)$ is the coequalier of $f$ and $g$. In fact,
(1) $\pi \circ f=\pi \circ g$ is clearly.
(2) $\left(E^{\prime}, T_{E^{\prime}}\right)$ is a Q-P quantale module, $h: N \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism, and $h \circ f=h \circ g$. Let $R_{1}=h^{-1}(\triangle), \triangle=\left\{(x, x) \mid x \in E^{\prime}\right\}$. By the theorem 2.12, we can see that $R_{1}$ is a congrence of Q-P quantale module on N. Since $h(f(x))=h(g(x))$ for all $x \in M$, then $(f(x), g(x)) \in R_{1}$, therefore R is the smallest congrence which contain $\{(f(x), g(x)) \mid x \in M\}$. Define $\bar{h}: N / R \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ such that $\bar{h}([n])=h(n)$ for all $[n] \in Q / R$. Let $n_{1}, n_{2} \in N$ and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in R$, then $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in R_{1}$, we have thath $\left(n_{1}\right)=h\left(n_{2}\right)$, thereore $\bar{h}$ is wll defined.

For all $\left\{\left[n_{i}\right] \mid i \in I\right\} \subseteq N / R, a \in Q, b \in P,[n] \in N / R$, we have that
$\bar{h}\left(\bigvee_{i \in I}\left[n_{i}\right]\right)=\bar{h}\left(\left[\bigvee_{i \in I} n_{i}\right]\right)=h\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} n_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} h\left(n_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I} \bar{h}\left(\left[n_{i}\right]\right)$,
$\bar{h}\left(T_{N / R}(a,[n], b)\right)=\bar{h}([T(a, n, b)])=h(T(a, n, b))=T_{E^{\prime}}(a, h(n), b)$ $=T_{E^{\prime}}(a, \bar{h}([n]), b)$,
thus $\bar{h}$ is a Q-P quantale module morphism. It's easy to prove that $\bar{h} \circ \pi=h$ and $\bar{h}$ is the only one morphism which satisfy the above condition. Therefore $(\pi, E)$ is the coequalizer of $f$ and $g$.

Theorem 3.15 The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ has mutiple pullback.


Proof: Let I is a set, $\left(B, T_{B}\right)$ and $\left(D_{i}, T_{D_{i}}\right)_{i \in I}$ are $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{P}$ quantale modules. $g_{i}: B \longrightarrow B_{i}, f_{i}: D_{i} \longrightarrow B_{i}$ are Q-P quantale modules morphisms for all $i \in I$.

Suppose $E=\left\{x \in B \times \prod_{i \in I} D_{i} \mid \forall i \in I, g_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)=f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right), x_{0} \in B\right\}$. We will prove that $E$ is the submodule of $B \times \prod_{i \in I} D_{i}$.
(1) For all $\left\{x_{j} \mid j \in J\right\} \subseteq B \times \prod_{i \in I} D_{i}$, we have $g_{i}\left(\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} x_{j}\right)_{0}\right)=g_{i}\left(\bigvee_{j \in J}\left(x_{j}\right)_{0}\right)=$ $\bigvee_{j \in J} g_{i}\left(\left(x_{j}\right)_{0}\right)=\bigvee_{j \in J} f_{i}\left(\left(x_{j}\right)_{i}\right)=f_{i}\left(\bigvee_{j \in J}\left(x_{j}\right)_{i}\right)=f_{i}\left(\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} x_{j}\right)_{i}\right)$;
(2) For all $x \in B \times \prod_{i \in I} D_{i}, a \in Q, b \in P$, we have $g_{i}\left(\left(T(a, x, b)_{0}\right)=\right.$ $g_{i}\left(T_{B}\left(a, x_{0}, b\right)\right)=T_{B_{i}}\left(a, g_{i}\left(x_{0}\right), b\right)=T_{B}\left(a, f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right), b\right)=f_{i}\left(T_{D_{i}}\left(a, x_{i}, b\right)\right) ;$
then E is a submodule of $B \times \prod_{i \in I} D_{i}$.
Let $p_{0}, p_{i}(i \in I)$ are projects from $B \times \prod_{i \in I} D_{i}(i \in I)$ to $B$ and $D_{i}$ restrict on E respectively, then $g_{i} \circ p_{0}=f_{i} \circ p_{i}$, for all $i \in I$, we have gained a family commutative squares.

Let M is a Q-P quantale module,suppose $\left(x_{q}\right)_{0}=f(q),\left(x_{q}\right)_{i}=e_{i}(q)$, for all $q \in M$, then $x_{q} \in B \times \prod_{i \in I} D_{i}$. Since $f_{i} \circ e_{i}=g_{i} \circ f$, for all $i \in I$, then $x_{q} \in E$.

Define $h: M \longrightarrow$ Esuch that $h(q)=x_{q}$ for all $q \in Q$, we will prove that h is a double quantale module morphism. For all $m \in M, a \in Q, b \in Q,\left\{a_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \subseteq$ $M, i \in I$, then
(1) since $\left(h\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} a_{j}\right)\right)_{0}=f\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} a_{j}\right)=\bigvee_{j \in J} f\left(a_{j}\right)=\bigvee_{j \in J}\left(h\left(a_{j}\right)\right)_{0}$,
$\left(h\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} a_{j}\right)\right)_{i}=e_{i}\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} a_{j}\right)=\bigvee_{j \in J} e_{i}\left(a_{j}\right)=\bigvee_{j \in J}\left(h\left(a_{j}\right)\right)_{i}$, thenh $\left(\bigvee_{j \in J} a_{j}\right)=\bigvee_{j \in J} h\left(a_{j}\right) ;$
$(2)\left(h\left(T_{M}(a, m, b)\right)_{0}=f\left(T_{M}(a, m, b)\right)=T_{B}(a, f(m), b)=T_{B}\left(a,(h(m))_{0}, b\right)\right.$, $\left(h\left(T_{M}(a, m, b)\right)_{i}=e_{i}\left(T_{M}(a, m, b)\right)=T_{D_{i}}\left(a, e_{i}(m), b\right)=T_{D_{i}}\left(a,(h(m))_{i}, b\right)\right.$;
hence h is a Q-P quantale module morphism, and $f=p_{0} \circ h, e_{i}=p_{i} \circ h$. It's easy to prove that $h$ is the only $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{P}$ quantale module morphism which satisfy the conditions, therefore the category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ has mutiple pullback.

Theorem 3.16 The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ has kernel.
Proof: Let $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P}$ are quantales, M and N are $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{P}$ quantale modules, $f$ : $M \longrightarrow N$ is a Q-P quantale modules morphism, $0_{M, N}: M \longrightarrow N$ such that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{m})=0$ for all $m \in M$. Suppose $E=\{x \in M \mid f(x)=0\}$, then $(E, i: E \hookrightarrow$ $M$ ) is a equalizer of f and $0_{M, N}$, then f has kernel.

Theorem 3.17 The category $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{M o d}_{\mathbf{P}}$ has cokernel.
Proof: Let $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P}$ are quantales, M and N are $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{P}$ quantale modules, $f$ : $M \longrightarrow N$ is a Q-P quantale modules morphism, $0_{M, N}: M \longrightarrow N$ such that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{m})=0$ for all $m \in M$. Let R is the smallest congrvence which contain $\{(f(m), 0) \mid m \in M\}$, by the theorem 3.14 we know that $(E=N / R, \pi$ : $N \hookrightarrow E)$ is the coequalizer of f and $0_{M, N}$, then f has cokernel.
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