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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed sets and some weak separation axioms. Also we show that some basic properties of $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}, \beta-\gamma-$ $T_{i}, \beta-\gamma-D_{i}$ for $i=0,1,2$ spaces and we ofer a new class of functions called $\beta-\gamma$-irresolute, $\beta$ - $\gamma$-continuous functions and a new notion of the graph of a function called a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed graph and investigate some of their fundamental properties.
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## 1 Introduction

Ogata [3] introduced the notion of $\gamma$-open sets which are weaker than open sets. The concept of $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open sets and $\beta-\gamma D$-sets in topological spaces are introduced by Hariwan Z. Ibrahim [1].

In this paper, we introduce the notion of $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed sets and some weak separation axioms. Also we show that some basic properties of $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}, \beta-\gamma-$ $T_{i}, \beta-\gamma-D_{i}$ for $i=0,1,2$ spaces and we ofer a new class of functions called $\beta-\gamma$-irresolute, $\beta$ - $\gamma$-continuous functions and a new notion of the graph of a function called a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed graph and investigate some of their fundamental properties.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space and $A$ a subset of $X$. The closure of $A$ and the interior of $A$ are denoted by $C l(A)$ and $\operatorname{Int}(A)$, respectively. An operation $\gamma$ [3] on a topology $\tau$ is a mapping from $\tau$ in to power set $P(X)$ of $X$ such that $V \subseteq \gamma(V)$ for each $V \in \tau$, where $\gamma(V)$ denotes the value of $\gamma$ at $V$. A subset $A$ of $X$ with an operation $\gamma$ on $\tau$ is called $\gamma$-open [3] if for each $x \in A$, there exists an open set $U$ such that $x \in U$ and $\gamma(U) \subseteq A$. Then, $\tau_{\gamma}$ denotes the set of all $\gamma$-open set in $X$. Clearly $\tau_{\gamma} \subseteq \tau$. Complements of $\gamma$-open sets are called $\gamma$-closed. The $\tau_{\gamma}$-interior [2] of $A$ is denoted by $\tau_{\gamma}$ - $\operatorname{Int}(A)$ and defined to be the union of all $\gamma$-open sets of $X$ contained in $A$. A subset $A$ of a space $X$ is said to be $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open [1] if $A \subseteq C l\left(\tau_{\gamma}-\operatorname{Int}(C l(A))\right)$.

## $3 \beta-\gamma-\mathrm{g}$ Closed Sets, $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ Spaces and $\beta-\gamma-$ Irresolute

Definition 3.1 $A$ subset $A$ of $X$ is called $\beta-\gamma$-closed if and only if its complement is $\beta-\gamma$-open.

Moreover, $\beta-\gamma O(X)$ denotes the collection of all $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open sets of $(X, \tau)$ and $\beta-\gamma C(X)$ denotes the collection of all $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed sets of $(X, \tau)$.

Definition 3.2 Let $A$ be a subset of a topological space $(X, \tau)$. The intersection of all $\beta-\gamma$-closed sets containing $A$ is called the $\beta-\gamma$-closure of $A$ and is denoted by $\beta-\gamma \operatorname{Cl}(A)$.

Definition 3.3 Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space. A subset $U$ of $X$ is called a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-neighbourhood of a point $x \in X$ if there exists a $\beta-\gamma$-open set $V$ such that $x \in V \subseteq U$.

Theorem 3.4 For the $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closure of subsets $A, B$ in a topological space $(X, \tau)$, the following properties hold:

1. $A$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed in $(X, \tau)$ if and only if $A=\beta-\gamma C l(A)$.
2. If $A \subseteq B$ then $\beta-\gamma C l(A) \subseteq \beta-\gamma C l(B)$.
3. $\beta-\gamma C l(A)$ is $\beta-\gamma$-closed, that is $\beta-\gamma C l(A)=\beta-\gamma C l(\beta-\gamma C l(A))$.
4. $x \in \beta-\gamma C l(A)$ if and only if $A \cap V \neq \phi$ for every $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $V$ of $X$ containing $x$.

Proof. It is obvious.

Definition 3.5 $A$ subset $A$ of the space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed if $\beta-\gamma C l(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U$ is a $\beta-\gamma$-open set in $(X, \tau)$.

It is clear that every $\beta-\gamma$-closed subset of $X$ is also a $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed set. The following example shows that a $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed set need not be $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed.

Example 3.6 let $X=\{a, b, c\}, \tau=\{\phi, X,\{a\},\{b\},\{c\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\}\}$, define an operation $\gamma: \tau \rightarrow P(X)$ such that $\gamma(A)=X$. Then $\{b\}$ is $\beta-\gamma-$ g.closed but it is not $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed.

Proposition 3.7 $A$ subset $A$ of $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-g$.closed if and only if $\beta$ $\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi$ holds for every $x \in \beta-\gamma C l(A)$.

Proof. Let $U$ be a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set such that $A \subseteq U$. Let $x \in \beta-\gamma C l(A)$. By assumption there exists a $z \in \beta-\gamma C l(\{x\})$ and $z \in A \subseteq U$. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that $U \cap\{x\} \neq \phi$. Hence $x \in U$. This implies $\beta-\gamma C l(A) \subseteq U$. Therefore $A$ is $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed set in $(X, \tau)$.
Conversely, let $A$ be a $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed subset of $X$ and $x \in \beta-\gamma C l(A)$ such that $\beta-$ $\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap A=\phi$. Since, $\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\})$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed set in $(X, \tau)$. Therefore by Definition 3.1, $X-(\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}))$ is a $\beta-\gamma$-open set. Since $A \subseteq X-(\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}))$ and $A$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-g.closed implies that $\beta-\gamma C l(A) \subseteq X-(\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}))$ holds, and hence $x \notin \beta-\gamma C l(A)$. This is a contradiction. Hence $\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi$.

Theorem 3.8 If $\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi$ holds for every $x \in \beta-\gamma C l(A)$, then $\beta-\gamma C l(A)-A$ does not contain a non empty $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed set.

Proof. Suppose there exists a non empty $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed set $F$ such that $F \subseteq \beta$ $\gamma C l(A)-A$. Let $x \in F, x \in \beta-\gamma C l(A)$ holds. It follows that $F \cap A=\beta-$ $\gamma C l(F) \cap A \supseteq \beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi$. Hence $F \cap A \neq \phi$. This is a contradiction.

Corollary 3.9 $A$ is $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed if and only if $A=F-N$, where $F$ is $\beta-\gamma$-closed and $N$ contains no non-empty $\beta-\gamma$-closed subsets.

Proof. Necessity follows from Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 3.8 with $F=$ $\beta-\gamma C l(A)$ and $N=\beta-\gamma C l(A)-A$.
Conversely, if $A=F-N$ and $A \subseteq O$ with $O$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open, then $F \cap(X-O)$ is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed subset of $N$ and thus is empty. Hence $\beta-\gamma C l(A) \subseteq F \subseteq O$.

Theorem 3.10 If a subset $A$ of $X$ is $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed and $A \subseteq B \subseteq \beta-\gamma C l(A)$, then $B$ is a $\beta-\gamma-g$.closed set in $X$.

Proof. Let $A$ be a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-g.closed set such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq \beta-\gamma C l(A)$. Let $U$ be a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set of $X$ such that $B \subseteq U$. Since A is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-g.closed, we have $\beta-\gamma C l(A) \subseteq U$. Now $\beta-\gamma C l(A) \subseteq \beta-\gamma C l(B) \subseteq \beta-\gamma C l[\beta-\gamma C l(A)]=\beta-$ $\gamma C l(A) \subseteq U$. That is $\beta-\gamma C l(B) \subseteq U, \mathrm{U}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open. Therefore $B$ is a $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed set in $X$.

Theorem 3.11 Let $\gamma: \tau \rightarrow P(X)$ be an operation. Then for each $x \in X$, either $\{x\}$ is $\beta-\gamma$-closed or $X-\{x\}$ is $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed set in $(X, \tau)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{x\}$ is not $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed, then by Definition 3.1, $X-\{x\}$ is not $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open. Let $U$ be any $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set such that $X-\{x\} \subseteq U$, so $U=X$. Hence $\beta-\gamma C l(X-\{x\}) \subseteq U$. Therefore $X-\{x\}$ is $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed.

Definition 3.12 $A$ space $X$ is said to be $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space if every $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed set in $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed.

Theorem 3.13 $A$ space $X$ is a $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space if and only if $\{x\}$ is $\beta-\gamma$-closed or $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open in $(X, \tau)$.

Proof. Suppose $\{x\}$ is not $\beta-\gamma$-closed. Then it follows from assumption and Theorem 3.11 that $\{x\}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open.
Conversely, Let $F$ be $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed set in $(X, \tau)$. Let $x$ be any point in $\beta$ $\gamma C l(F)$, then $\{x\}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open or $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed.

1. Suppose $\{x\}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open. Then by Theorem 3.4, we have $\{x\} \cap F \neq \phi$, hence $x \in F$. This implies $\beta-\gamma C l(F) \subseteq F$, therefore $F$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed.
2. Suppose $\{x\}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed. Assume $x \notin F$, then $x \in \beta-\gamma C l(F)-F$. This is not possible by Theorem 3.8. Thus we have $x \in F$. Therefore $\beta-\gamma C l(F)=F$ and hence $F$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed.

Definition 3.14 [1] A topological space $(X, \tau)$ with an operation $\gamma$ on $\tau$ is said to be

1. $\beta-\gamma-T_{0}$ if for each pair of distinct points $x, y$ in $X$, there exists a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $U$ such that either $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$ or $x \notin U$ and $y \in U$.
2. $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$ if for each pair of distinct points $x, y$ in $X$, there exist two $\beta-\gamma$ open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $x \in U$ but $y \notin U$ and $y \in V$ but $x \notin V$.
3. $\beta-\gamma-T_{2}$ if for each distinct points $x, y$ in $X$, there exist two disjoint $\beta-\gamma$ open sets $U$ and $V$ containing $x$ and $y$ respectively.

Definition 3.15 [1] A subset $A$ of a topological space $X$ is called a $\beta-\gamma D$ set if there are two $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $U \neq X$ and $A=U-V$.

Definition 3.16 [1] A topological space $(X, \tau)$ with an operation $\gamma$ on $\tau$ is said to be

1. $\beta-\gamma-D_{0}$ if for any pair of distinct points $x$ and $y$ of $X$ there exists a $\beta$ $\gamma D$-set of $X$ containing $x$ but not $y$ or a $\beta-\gamma D$-set of $X$ containing $y$ but not $x$.
2. $\beta-\gamma-D_{1}$ if for any pair of distinct points $x$ and $y$ of $X$ there exist two $\beta-\gamma D$-sets $U$ and $V$ such that $x \in U$ but $y \notin U$ and $y \in V$ but $x \notin V$.
3. $\beta-\gamma-D_{2}$ if for any pair of distinct points $x$ and $y$ of $X$ there exist disjoint $\beta-\gamma D$-sets $G$ and $E$ of $X$ containing $x$ and $y$, respectively.

Definition 3.17 A topological space $(X, \tau)$ with an operation $\gamma$ on $\tau$, is said to be $\beta$ - $\gamma$-symmetric if for $x$ and $y$ in $X, x \in \beta-\gamma C l(\{y\})$ implies $y \in \beta$ $\gamma C l(\{x\})$.

Proposition 3.18 If $(X, \tau)$ is a topological space with an operation $\gamma$ on $\tau$, then the following are equivalent:

1. $(X, \tau)$ is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-symmetric space.
2. $\{x\}$ is $\beta-\gamma-$ g.closed, for each $x \in X$.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. Assume that $\{x\} \subseteq U \in \beta-\gamma O(X)$, but $\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}) \nsubseteq$ $U$. Then $\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap X-U \neq \phi$. Now, we take $y \in \beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap X-U$, then by hypothesis $x \in \beta-\gamma C l(\{y\}) \subseteq X-U$ and $x \notin U$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\{x\}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-g.closed, for each $x \in X$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. Assume that $x \in \beta-\gamma C l(\{y\})$, but $y \notin \beta-\gamma C l(\{x\})$. Then $\{y\} \subseteq$ $X-\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\})$ and hence $\beta-\gamma C l(\{y\}) \subseteq X-\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\})$. Therefore $x \in$ $X-\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\})$, which is a contradiction and hence $y \in \beta-\gamma C l(\{x\})$.

Proposition 3.19 A topological space $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$ if and only if the singletons are $\beta-\gamma$-closed sets.

Proof. Let $(X, \tau)$ be $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$ and $x$ any point of $X$. Suppose $y \in X-\{x\}$, then $x \neq y$ and so there exists a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $U$ such that $y \in U$ but $x \notin U$. Consequently $y \in U \subseteq X-\{x\}$, that is $X-\{x\}=\cup\{U: y \in X-\{x\}\}$ which is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open.
Conversely, suppose $\{p\}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed for every $p \in X$. Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. Now $x \neq y$ implies $y \in X-\{x\}$. Hence $X-\{x\}$ is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set contains $y$ but not $x$. Similarly $X-\{y\}$ is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set contains $x$ but not $y$. Accordingly $X$ is a $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$ space.

Corollary 3.20 If a topological space $(X, \tau)$ with an operation $\gamma$ on $\tau$ is a $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$ space, then it is $\beta-\gamma$-symmetric.

Proof. In a $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$ space, every singleton is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed (Proposition 3.19) and therefore is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-g.closed. Then by Proposition 3.18, $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-symmetric.

Corollary 3.21 For a topological space $(X, \tau)$ with an operation $\gamma$ on $\tau$, the following statements are equivalent:

1. $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma$-symmetric and $\beta-\gamma-T_{0}$.
2. $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.20 and Remark 3.8 [1], it suffices to prove only (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$.

Let $x \neq y$ and as $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{0}$, we may assume that $x \in U \subseteq X-\{y\}$ for some $U \in \beta-\gamma O(X)$. Then $x \notin \beta-\gamma C l(\{y\})$ and hence $y \notin \beta-\gamma C l(\{x\})$. There exists a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $V$ such that $y \in V \subseteq X-\{x\}$ and thus $(X, \tau)$ is a $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$ space.

Remark 3.22 Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space and $\gamma$ be an operation on $\tau$, then the following statements are hold:

1. Every $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$ space is $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$.
2. Every $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space is $\beta-\gamma-T_{0}$.

Proposition 3.23 If $(X, \tau)$ is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-symmetric space with an operation $\gamma$ on $\tau$, then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $(X, \tau)$ is a $\beta-\gamma-T_{0}$ space.
2. $(X, \tau)$ is a $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space.
3. $(X, \tau)$ is a $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$ space.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). Obvious from Corollary 3.21.
$(3) \Rightarrow(2)$ and $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. Directly from Remark 3.22.
Corollary 3.24 For a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-symmetric space $(X, \tau)$, the following are equivalent:

1. $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{0}$.
2. $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-D_{1}$.
3. $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow(3)$. Follows from Corollary 3.21.
$(3) \Rightarrow(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. Follows from Remark 3.8 [1] and Corollary 3.11 [1].
Definition 3.25 Let $A$ be a subset of a topological space $(X, \tau)$ and $\gamma$ be an operation on $\tau$. The $\beta-\gamma$-kernel of $A$, denoted by $\beta-\gamma k e r(A)$ is defined to be the set

$$
\beta-\gamma \operatorname{ker}(A)=\cap\{U \in \beta-\gamma O(X): A \subseteq U\}
$$

Proposition 3.26 Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space with an operation $\gamma$ on $\tau$ and $x \in X$. Then $y \in \beta-\gamma \operatorname{ker}(\{x\})$ if and only if $x \in \beta-\gamma C l(\{y\})$.

Proof. Suppose that $y \notin \beta$ - $\gamma \operatorname{ker}(\{x\})$. Then there exists a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $V$ containing $x$ such that $y \notin V$. Therefore, we have $x \notin \beta-\gamma C l(\{y\})$. The proof of the converse case can be done similarly.

Proposition 3.27 Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space with an operation $\gamma$ on $\tau$ and $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, $\beta-\gamma k e r(A)=\{x \in X: \beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi\}$.

Proof. Let $x \in \beta-\gamma \operatorname{ker}(A)$ and suppose $\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap A=\phi$. Hence $x \notin X-\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\})$ which is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set containing $A$. This is impossible, since $x \in \beta-\gamma \operatorname{ker}(A)$. Consequently, $\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi$. Next, let $x \in X$ such that $\beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi$ and suppose that $x \notin \beta-\gamma k e r(A)$. Then, there exists a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $V$ containing $A$ and $x \notin V$. Let $y \in \beta-\gamma C l(\{x\}) \cap A$. Hence, $V$ is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-neighbourhood of $y$ which does not contain $x$. By this contradiction $x \in \beta-\gamma \operatorname{ker}(A)$ and the claim.

Proposition 3.28 If a singleton $\{x\}$ is a $\beta-\gamma D$-set of $(X, \tau)$, then $\beta-\gamma \operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \neq$ $X$.

Proof. Since $\{x\}$ is a $\beta$ - $\gamma D$-set of $(X, \tau)$, then there exist two subsets $U_{1}, U_{2} \in$ $\beta-\gamma O(X, \tau)$ such that $\{x\}=U_{1}-U_{2},\{x\} \subseteq U_{1}$ and $U_{1} \neq X$. Thus, we have that $\beta-\gamma \operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq U_{1} \neq X$ and so $\beta-\gamma \operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \neq X$.

Proposition 3.29 For a $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ topological space $(X, \tau)$ with at least two points, $(X, \tau)$ is a $\beta-\gamma-D_{1}$ space if and only if $\beta-\gamma k e r(\{x\}) \neq X$ holds for every point $x \in X$.

Proof. Necessity. Let $x \in X$. For a point $y \neq x$, there exists a $\beta$ - $\gamma D$-set $U$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$. Say $U=U_{1}-U_{2}$, where $U_{i} \in \beta-\gamma O(X, \tau)$ for each $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $U_{1} \neq X$. Thus, for the point $x$, we have a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $U_{1}$ such that $\{x\} \subseteq U_{1}$ and $U_{1} \neq X$. Hence, $\beta-\gamma \operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \neq X$.
Sufficiency. Let $x$ and $y$ be a pair of distinct points of $X$. We prove that there exist $\beta-\gamma D$-sets $A$ and $B$ containing $x$ and $y$, respectively, such that $y \notin A$ and $x \notin B$. Using Theorem 3.13, we can take the subsets $A$ and $B$ for the following four cases for two points $x$ and $y$.
Case1. $\{x\}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open and $\{y\}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed in $(X, \tau)$. Since $\beta$ - $\gamma k e r(\{y\}) \neq$ $X$, then there exists a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $V$ such that $y \in V$ and $V \neq X$. Put $A=\{x\}$ and $B=\{y\}$. Since $B=V-(X-\{y\})$, then $V$ is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set with $V \neq X$ and $X-\{y\}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open, and $B$ is a required $\beta$ - $\gamma D$-set containing $y$ such that $x \notin B$. Obviously, $A$ is a required $\beta-\gamma D$-set containing $x$ such that $y \notin A$.

Case 2. $\{x\}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed and $\{y\}$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open in $(X, \tau)$. The proof is similar to Case 1.
Case 3. $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ are $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open in $(X, \tau)$. Put $A=\{x\}$ and $B=\{y\}$.
Case 4. $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ are $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed in $(X, \tau)$. Put $A=X-\{y\}$ and $B=$ $X-\{x\}$.
For each case of the above, the subsets $A$ and $B$ are the required $\beta$ - $\gamma D$-sets. Therefore, $(X, \tau)$ is a $\beta-\gamma-D_{1}$ space.

Definition 3.30 Let $(X, \tau)$ and $(Y, \sigma)$ be two topological spaces and $\gamma, \beta$ operations on $\tau$, $\sigma$, respectively. A function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is said to be $\beta$ - $\gamma$-irresolute if for each $x \in X$ and each $\beta$ - $\beta$-open set $V$ containing $f(x)$, there is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $U$ in $X$ containing $x$ such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.

Theorem 3.31 Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ be a mapping, then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $f$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-irresolute.
2. $f(\beta-\gamma C l(A)) \subseteq \beta-\beta C l(f(A))$ holds for every subset $A$ of $(X, \tau)$.
3. $f^{-1}(B)$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed in $(X, \tau)$, for every $\beta-\beta$-closed set $B$ of $(Y, \sigma)$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$. Let $y \in f(\beta-\gamma C l(A))$ and $V$ be any $\beta$ - $\beta$-open set containing $y$. Then there exists a point $x \in X$ and a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $U$ such that $f(\mathrm{x})=y$ and $x \in U$ and $f(\mathrm{U}) \subseteq V$. Since $x \in \beta-\gamma C l(A)$, we have $U \cap A \neq \phi$ and hence $\phi \neq f(U \cap A) \subseteq f(U) \cap f(A) \subseteq V \cap f(A)$. This implies $y \in \beta-\beta C l(f(A))$. Therefore we have $f(\beta-\gamma C l(A)) \subseteq \beta-\beta C l(f(A))$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$. Let $B$ be a $\beta$ - $\beta$-closed set in $(Y, \sigma)$. Therefore $\beta-\beta C l(B)=B$. By using (2) we have $f\left(\beta-\gamma C l\left(f^{-1}(B)\right) \subseteq \beta-\beta C l(B)=B\right.$. Therefore we have $\beta-\gamma C l\left(f^{-1}(B)\right) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$. Hence $f^{-1}(B)$ is $\beta-\gamma$-closed. $(3) \Rightarrow(1)$. Obvious.

Definition 3.32 A mapping $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is said to be $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed if for any $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed set $A$ of $(X, \tau), f(A)$ is a $\beta-\beta$-closed in $(Y, \sigma)$.

Theorem 3.33 Suppose that $f$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-irresolute mapping and $f$ is $\beta-\gamma$ closed. Then:

1. For every $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed set $A$ of $(X, \tau)$ the image $f(A)$ is $\beta-\beta$-g.closed.
2. For every $\beta-\beta-g$.closed set $B$ of $(Y, \sigma)$ the inverse set $f^{-1}(B)$ is $\beta-\gamma$ g.closed.

## Proof.

1. Let $V$ be any $\beta$ - $\beta$-open set in $(Y, \sigma)$ such that $f(\mathrm{~A}) \subseteq V$. By using Theorem $3.31 f^{-1}(V)$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open. Since $A$ is $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed and $A \subseteq$ $f^{-1}(V)$, we have $\beta-\gamma C l(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$, and hence $f(\beta-\gamma C l(A)) \subseteq V$. By assumption $f(\beta-\gamma C l(A))$ is a $\beta$ - $\beta$-closed set. Therefore $\beta-\beta C l(f(A)) \subseteq$ $\beta-\beta C l(f(\beta-\gamma C l(A)))=f(\beta-\gamma C l(A)) \subseteq V$. This implies $f(\mathrm{~A})$ is $\beta-\beta-$ g.closed.
2. Let $U$ be $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set of $(X, \tau)$ such that $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq U$. Let $F=\beta$ $\gamma C l\left(f^{-1}(B)\right) \cap(X-U)$, then $F$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed set in $(X, \tau)$. Since $f$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed this implies $f(\mathrm{~F})$ is $\beta$ - $\beta$-closed in $(Y, \sigma)$. Since $f(F) \subseteq$ $f\left(\beta-\gamma C l\left(f^{-1}(B)\right)\right) \cap f(X-U) \subseteq \beta-\beta C l\left(f\left(f^{-1}(B)\right)\right) \cap f(X-U) \subseteq \beta-$ $\beta C l(B) \cap(Y-B)$. This implies $f(F)=\phi$, and hence $F=\phi$. Therefore $\beta-\gamma C l\left(f^{-1}(B)\right) \subseteq U$. Hence $f^{-1}(B)$ is $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed in $(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 3.34 Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-irresolute and $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed. Then:

1. If $f$ is injective and $(Y, \sigma)$ is $\beta-\beta-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, then $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$.
2. If $f$ is surjective and $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, then $(Y, \sigma)$ is $\beta-\beta-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

## Proof.

1. Let $A$ be a $\beta-\gamma$-g.closed set of $(X, \tau)$. By Theorem 3.33, $f(A)$ is $\beta-\beta$ g.closed. Since $(Y, \sigma)$ is $\beta-\beta-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, this implies that $f(A)$ is $\beta$ - $\beta$-closed. Since $f$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-irresolute, then by Theorem 3.31, we have $A=f^{-1}(f(A))$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed. Hence $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$.
2. Let $B$ be a $\beta$ - $\beta$-g.closed set of $(Y, \sigma)$. By Theorem 3.33, $f^{-1}(B)$ is $\beta-\gamma$ g.closed in $X$. Since $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, so $f^{-1}(B)$ is $\beta-\gamma$-closed. Since $f$ is surjective and $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed, so $f\left(f^{-1}(B)\right)=B$ is $\beta$ - $\beta$-closed.

Theorem 3.35 If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-irresolute surjective function and $E$ is a $\beta-\beta D$-set in $Y$, then the inverse image of $E$ is a $\beta-\gamma D$-set in $X$.

Proof. Let $E$ be a $\beta$ - $\beta D$-set in $Y$. Then there are $\beta$ - $\beta$-open sets $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ in $Y$ such that $E=U_{1}-U_{2}$ and $U_{1} \neq Y$. By the $\beta$ - $\gamma$-irresolute of $f, f^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right)$ and $f^{-1}\left(U_{2}\right)$ are $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open in $X$. Since $U_{1} \neq Y$ and $f$ is surjective, we have $f^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right) \neq X$. Hence, $f^{-1}(E)=f^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right)-f^{-1}\left(U_{2}\right)$ is a $\beta-\gamma D$-set.

Theorem 3.36 If $(Y, \sigma)$ is $\beta-\beta-D_{1}$ and $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-irresolute bijective, then $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-D_{1}$.

Proof. Suppose that $Y$ is a $\beta-\beta-D_{1}$ space. Let $x$ and $y$ be any pair of distinct points in $X$. Since $f$ is injective and $Y$ is $\beta-\beta-D_{1}$, there exist $\beta$ - $\beta D$-set $G_{x}$ and $G_{y}$ of $Y$ containing $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ respectively, such that $f(x) \notin G_{y}$ and $f(y) \notin G_{x}$. By Theorem 3.35, $f^{-1}\left(G_{x}\right)$ and $f^{-1}\left(G_{y}\right)$ are $\beta$ - $\gamma D$-set in $X$ containing $x$ and $y$, respectively, such that $x \notin f^{-1}\left(G_{y}\right)$ and $y \notin f^{-1}\left(G_{x}\right)$. This implies that $X$ is a $\beta-\gamma-D_{1}$ space.

Theorem 3.37 A topological space $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta-\gamma-D_{1}$ if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exists a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-irresolute surjective function $f$ : $(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$, where $Y$ is a $\beta-\beta-D_{1}$ space such that $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ are distinct.

Proof. Let $x$ and $y$ be any pair of distinct points in $X$. By hypothesis, there exists a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-irresolute, surjective function $f$ of a space $X$ onto a $\beta-\beta$ - $D_{1}$ space $Y$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Then, there exist disjoint $\beta$ - $\beta D$-set $G_{x}$ and $G_{y}$ in $Y$ such that $f(x) \in G_{x}$ and $f(y) \in G_{y}$. Since $f$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-irresolute and surjective, by Theorem 3.35, $f^{-1}\left(G_{x}\right)$ and $f^{-1}\left(G_{y}\right)$ are disjoint $\beta$ - $\gamma D$-sets in $X$ containing $x$ and $y$, respectively. Hence, $X$ is $\beta-\gamma-D_{1}$ space.

## $4 \beta$ - $\gamma$-Continuous and $\beta$ - $\gamma$-Closed Graphs

Definition 4.1 A function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is said to be $\beta$ - $\gamma$-continuous if for every open set $V$ of $Y, f^{-1}(V)$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open in $X$.

Theorem 4.2 The following are equivalent for a function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow$ $(Y, \sigma)$ :

1. $f$ is $\beta-\gamma$-continuous.
2. The inverse image of every closed set in $Y$ is $\beta-\gamma$-closed in $X$.
3. For each subset $A$ of $X, f(\beta-\gamma C l(A)) \subseteq C l(f(A))$.
4. For each subset $B$ of $Y, \beta-\gamma C l\left(f^{-1}(B)\right) \subseteq f^{-1}(C l(B))$.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow(2)$. Obvious.
$(3) \Leftrightarrow(4)$. Let $B$ be any subset of $Y$. Then by (3), we have $f\left(\beta-\gamma C l\left(f^{-1}(B)\right)\right) \subseteq$ $C l\left(f\left(f^{-1}(B)\right)\right) \subseteq C l(B)$. This implies $\beta-\gamma C l\left(f^{-1}(B)\right) \subseteq f^{-1}(C l(B))$.

Conversely, let $B=f(A)$ where $A$ is a subset of $X$. Then, by (4), we have, $\beta-\gamma C l\left(f^{-1}(f(A))\right) \subseteq f^{-1}(C l(f(A)))$. Thus, $f(\beta-\gamma C l(A)) \subseteq C l(f(A))$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(4)$. Let $B \subseteq Y$. Since $f^{-1}(C l(B))$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed and $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq$ $f^{-1}(C l(B))$, then $\beta-\gamma C l\left(f^{-1}(B)\right) \subseteq f^{-1}(C l(B))$.
$(4) \Rightarrow(2)$. Let $K \subseteq Y$ be a closed set. By $(4), \beta-\gamma C l\left(f^{-1}(K)\right) \subseteq f^{-1}(C l(K))=$ $f^{-1}(K)$. Thus, $f^{-1}(K)$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed.

Theorem 4.3 If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $\beta-\gamma$-continuous injective function and $Y$ is $T_{2}$, then $X$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{2}$.

Proof. Let $x$ and $y$ in $X$ be any pair of distinct points, then there exist disjoint open sets $A$ and $B$ in $Y$ such that $f(x) \in A$ and $f(y) \in B$. Since $f$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-continuous, $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open in $X$ containing $x$ and $y$ respectively, we have $f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B)=\phi$. Thus, $X$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{2}$.

Definition 4.4 For a function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$, the graph $G(f)=$ $\{(x, f(x)): x \in X\}$ is said to be $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed if for each $(x, y) \notin G(f)$, there exist a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $U$ containing $x$ and an open set $V$ containing $y$ such that $(U \times V) \cap G(f)=\phi$.

Lemma 4.5 The function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ has an $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed graph if and only if for each $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ such that $y \neq f(x)$, there exist a $\beta-\gamma$-open set $U$ and an open set $V$ containing $x$ and $y$ respectively, such that $f(U) \cap V=\phi$.

Proof. It follows readily from the above definition.
Theorem 4.6 If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is an injective function with the $\beta$ - $\gamma$ closed graph, then $X$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$.

Proof. Let $x$ and $y$ be two distinct points of $X$. Then $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Thus there exist a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $U$ and an open set $V$ containing $x$ and $f(y)$, respectively, such that $f(U) \cap V=\phi$. Therefore $y \notin U$ and it follows that $X$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{1}$.

Theorem 4.7 If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is an injective $\beta-\gamma$-continuous with a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed graph $G(f)$, then $X$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{2}$.

Proof. Let $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ be any distinct points of $X$. Then $f\left(x_{1}\right) \neq f\left(x_{2}\right)$, so $\left(x_{1}, f\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \in(X \times Y)-G(f)$. Since the graph $G(f)$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed, there exist a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $U$ containing $x_{1}$ and open set $V$ containing $f\left(x_{2}\right)$ such that $f(U) \cap V=\phi$. Since $f$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-continuous, $f^{-1}(V)$ is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set containing $x_{2}$ such that $U \cap f^{-1}(V)=\phi$. Hence $X$ is $\beta-\gamma-T_{2}$.

Recall that a space $X$ is said to be $T_{1}$ if for each pair of distinct points $x$ and $y$ of $X$, there exist an open set $U$ containing $x$ but not $y$ and an open set $V$ containing $y$ but not $x$.

Theorem 4.8 If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is an surjective function with the $\beta-\gamma$-closed graph, then $Y$ is $T_{1}$.

Proof. Let $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ be two distinct points of $Y$. Since $f$ is surjective, there exists $x$ in $X$ such that $f(x)=y_{2}$. Therefore $\left(x, y_{1}\right) \notin G(f)$. By Lemma 4.5, there exist $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $U$ and an open set $V$ containing $x$ and $y_{1}$ respectively, such that $f(U) \cap V=\phi$. We obtain an open set $V$ containing $y_{1}$ which does not contain $y_{2}$. It follows that $y_{2} \notin V$. Hence, $Y$ is $T_{1}$.

Definition 4.9 A function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is said to be $\beta-\gamma$ - $W$-continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each open set $V$ of $Y$ containing $f(x)$, there exists a $\beta-\gamma$-open set $U$ in $X$ containing $x$ such that $f(U) \subseteq C l(V)$.

Theorem 4.10 If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is $\beta-\gamma$ - $W$-continuous and $Y$ is Hausdorff, then $G(f)$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed.

Proof. Suppose that $(x, y) \notin G(f)$, then $f(x) \neq y$. By the fact that $Y$ is Hausdorff, there exist open sets $W$ and $V$ such that $f(x) \in W, y \in V$ and $V \cap W=\phi$. It follows that $C l(W) \cap V=\phi$. Since $f$ is $\beta-\gamma$-W-continuous, there exists a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $U$ containing $x$ such that $f(U) \subseteq C l(W)$. Hence, we have $f(U) \cap V=\phi$. This means that $G(f)$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed.

Definition 4.11 $A$ subset $A$ of a space $X$ is said to be $\beta$ - $\gamma$-compact relative to $X$ if every cover of $A$ by $\beta-\gamma$-open sets of $X$ has a finite subcover.

Theorem 4.12 Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ have a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed graph. If $K$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-compact relative to $X$, then $f(K)$ is closed in $Y$.

Proof. Suppose that $y \notin f(K)$. For each $x \in K, f(x) \neq y$. By lemma 4.5, there exists a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $U_{x}$ containing $x$ and an open neighbourhood $V_{x}$ of $y$ such that $f\left(U_{x}\right) \cap V_{x}=\phi$. The family $\left\{U_{x}: x \in K\right\}$ is a cover of $K$ by $\beta-\gamma$-open sets of $X$ and there exists a fnite subset $K_{0}$ of $K$ such that $K \subseteq \cup\left\{U_{x}: x \in K_{0}\right\}$. Put $V=\cap\left\{V_{x}: x \in K_{0}\right\}$. Then $V$ is an open neighbourhood of $y$ and $f(K) \cap V=\phi$. This means that $f(K)$ is closed in $Y$.

Theorem 4.13 If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ has a $\beta-\gamma$-closed graph $G(f)$, then for each $x \in X .\{f(x)\}=\cap\{C l(f(A): A$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set containing $x\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $y \neq f(x)$ and $y \in \cap\{C l(f(A)): A$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set containing $x\}$. Then $y \in C l(f(A))$ for each $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $A$ containing $x$. This implies that for each open set $B$ containing $y, B \cap f(A) \neq \phi$. Since $(x, y) \notin G(f)$ and $G(f)$ is a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed graph, this is a contradiction.

Definition 4.14 A function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is called $a \beta$ - $\gamma$-open if the image of every $\beta-\gamma$-open set in $X$ is open in $Y$.

Theorem 4.15 If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ is a surjective $\beta-\gamma$-open function with a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-closed graph $G(f)$, then $Y$ is $T_{2}$.

Proof. Let $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ be any two distinct points of $Y$. Since $f$ is surjective $f(x)=y_{1}$ for some $x \in X$ and $\left(x, y_{2}\right) \in(X \times Y)-G(f)$. This implies that there exist a $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open set $A$ of $X$ and an open set $B$ of $Y$ such that $\left(x, y_{2}\right) \in(A \times B)$ and $(A \times B) \cap G(f)=\phi$. We have $f(A) \cap B=\phi$. Since $f$ is $\beta$ - $\gamma$-open, then $f(A)$ is open such that $f(x)=y_{1} \in f(A)$. Thus, $Y$ is $T_{2}$.
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