



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 5, No. 1, July 2011, pp.1-6
ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2011
www.i-csrs.org
Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

Dependance of Solution of Difference Equation on Initial Conditions and Parameters

K.L. Bondar

PG Department of Mathematics, NES Science College, Nanded(MS)India
E-mail:klbondar-75@rediffmail.com

(Received:26-5-11/Accepted:20-6-11)

Abstract

In this paper we consider the problem of continuity of solutions $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ of system

$$\Delta x(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t_0 \geq 0,$$

with respect to the initial values (t_0, x_0) .

Keywords: *Difference Equation, Existence of solution, Fixed Point Theorem.*

1 Introduction

Let $J = \{t_0, t_0 + 1, \dots, t_0 + a\}$, $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and E be an open subset of \mathbb{R} . Consider the difference equations with an initial condition,

$$\Delta u(t) = g(t, u(t)), \quad u(t_0) = u_0. \quad (1)$$

where $u_0 \in E$, $u : J \rightarrow E$, $g : J \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The function $\phi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a solution of initial value problem (1), if it satisfies

$$\Delta \phi(t) = g(t, \phi(t)); \quad \phi(t_0) = u_0.$$

The initial value problem (1) is equivalent to the problem

$$u(t) = u_0 + \sum_{s=t_0}^{t-1} g(s, u(s)).$$

By summation convention $\sum_{s=t_0}^{t_0-1} g(s, u(s)) = 0$ and so $u(t)$ given above is the solution of (1).

Now we define the maximal and minimal solution of (1).

Definition 1.1 *Let $r(t)$ be any solution of (1) on J . Then $r(t)$ is said to be maximal solution of (1), if every solution $u(t)$ of (1) existing on J , the inequality $u(t) \leq r(t)$ holds for $t \in J$.*

A solution $\rho(t)$ of (1) is said to be minimal solution of (1), if $\rho(t) \leq u(t)$ for $t \in J$.

Theorem 1.2 [4] *Suppose $g : R_0 \rightarrow R$, where $R_0 = \{(t, u) \in J \times E \text{ with } |u - u_0| \leq b\}$; $|g(t, u)| \leq M$ on R_0 and $g(t, u)$ is nondecreasing in u for all $t \in J$. Let $m : J \rightarrow R$ such that*

(i) $(t, m(t)) \in R$,

(ii) $m(t_0) \leq u_0$,

(iii) $\Delta m(t) \leq g(t, m(t))$

for $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \alpha]$, $\alpha = \min\{a, b/2M + b\}$. If $r(t)$ is maximal solution of (1) on $[t_0, t_0 + \alpha]$, then $m(t) \leq r(t)$ on $[t_0, t_0 + \alpha]$.

Theorem 1.3 [2] *Assume that*

(i) *the function $g(t, u)$ is continuous and nonnegative for $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + a$, $0 \leq u \leq 2b$, and, for every t^* , $t_0 < t^* < t_0 + a$, $u(t) \equiv 0$ is the only function on $t_0 \leq t < t^*$, which satisfies*

$$\Delta u(t) = g(t, u(t)), \quad u(t_0) = 0$$

for $t_0 \leq t < t^$;*

(ii) $f : R_0 \rightarrow R$, where $R_0 = \{t \in [t_0, t_0 + a] : |x - x_0| \leq b\}$, and for $(t, x), (t, y) \in R_0$,

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq g(t, |x - y|).$$

Then the difference equation

$$\Delta x(t) = f(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0$$

has at most one solution on $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + a$.

Theorem 1.4 [3] *Let $g : J \times E \rightarrow R$ and let J be the largest interval of the existence of the maximal solution $r(t)$ of (1). Suppose $[t_0, t_1]$ is a compact subinterval of J . Then there is an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, the maximal solution $r(t, \epsilon)$ of*

$$\Delta u(t) = g(t, u) + \epsilon, \quad u(t_0) = u_0 + \epsilon$$

exists over $[t_0, t_1]$, and $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} r(t, \epsilon) = r(t)$ uniformly on $[t_0, t_1]$.

2 Main Results

Lemma 2.1 *Let $f : J \times R \rightarrow R$ be continuous and let*

$$G(t, r) = \max_{|x-x_0| \leq r} |f(t, x)|.$$

Assume that $r^(t, t_0, 0)$ is the maximal solution of*

$$\Delta u(t) = G(t, u(t)),$$

through $(t_0, 0)$. Let $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ be any solution of

$$\Delta x(t) = f(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t_0 \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

Then

$$|x(t, t_0, x_0) - x_0| \leq r^*(t, t_0, 0), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Proof: Define $m(t) = |x(t, t_0, x_0) - x_0|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta m(t) &\leq |\Delta x(t, t_0, x_0)| \\ &= |f(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))| \\ &\leq \max_{|x-x_0| \leq m(t)} |f(t, x)| \\ &= G(t, m(t)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies by Theorem 1.2 , that

$$m(t) = |x(t, t_0, x_0) - x_0| \leq r^*(t, t_0, 0), \quad t \geq t_0,$$

and this proves lemma.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $f : J \times R \rightarrow R$ be continuous and for $(t, x), (t, y) \in J \times R$,*

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq g(t, |x - y|), \quad (3)$$

where $g : J \times R \rightarrow$ is continuous mapping. Assume that $u(t) \equiv 0$ is the unique solution of difference equation

$$\Delta u(t) = g(t, u(t)) \quad (4)$$

such that $u(t) = 0$. Then if the solutions $u(t, t_0, u_0)$ of (4) through every point (t_0, u_0) are continuous with respect to initial conditions (t_0, u_0) , the solutions $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ of (2) are unique and continuous with respect to the initial values (t_0, x_0) .

Proof: Since the uniqueness of the solutions follows from the Theorem 1.3, we have to prove the continuity part only. To that end, let $x(t, t_0, u_0)$ and $(y(t, t_0, u_0))$ be the solutions of (2) through (t_0, x_0) and (t_0, y_0) respectively. Defining $m(t) = |x(t, t_0, x_0) - y(t, t_0, y_0)|$, the condition (3) implies the inequality

$$\Delta m(t) \leq g(t, m(t)),$$

and by Theorem 1.2, we obtain

$$m(t) \leq r(t, t_0, |x_0 - y_0|), \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where $r(t, t_0, |x_0 - y_0|)$ is the maximal solution of (4) such that $u(t_0) = |x_0 - y_0|$. Since the solutions $u(t, t_0, u_0)$ of (4) are assumed to be continuous with respect to the initial values, it follows that

$$\lim_{x_0 \rightarrow y_0} r(t, t_0, |x_0 - y_0|) = r(t, t_0, 0),$$

and, by hypothesis, $r(t, t_0, 0) \equiv 0$. This is in view of the definition of $m(t)$, yields that

$$\lim_{x_0 \rightarrow y_0} x(t, t_0, x_0) = y(t, t_0, y_0),$$

which shows the continuity of $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ with respect to x_0 .

We shall next prove the continuity with respect to initial time t_0 .

If $x(t, t_0, x_0)$, $y(t, t^*, x_0)$, $t^* > t_0$, are the solutions of (2) through (t_0, x_0) , (t^*, x_0) , respectively, then, as before we obtain the inequality

$$\Delta m(t) \leq g(t, m(t)),$$

where $m(t) = |x(t, t_0, x_0) - y(t, t^*, x_0)|$. Also, $m(t^*) = |x(t^*, t_0, x_0) - x_0|$. Hence by Lema (2.1), $m(t^*) \leq r^*(t^*, t_0, 0)$, and consequently, $m(t) \leq \bar{r}(t)$, $t > t^*$, where $\bar{r}(t) = \bar{r}(t, t^*, r^*(t^*, t_0, 0))$ is the maximal solution of (4) through $(t^*, r^*(t^*, t_0, 0))$. Since $r^*(t_0, t_0, 0) = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{t^* \rightarrow t_0} \bar{r}(t, t^*, r^*(t^*, t_0, 0)) = \bar{r}(t, t_0, 0),$$

and, by hypothesis, $\bar{r}(t, t_0, 0)$ is identically zero, thus proving the continuity of $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ with respect to t_0 .

Theorem 2.3 *Let $f : E \rightarrow R$, where E is an open (t, x, μ) -set in $R \times R \times R$, and for $\mu = \mu_0$, let $x_0(t) = x(t, t_0, x_0, \mu_0)$ be a solution of*

$$\Delta x(t) = f(t, x, \mu_0), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad (5)$$

existing for $t \geq t_0$. Assume further that

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \mu_0} f(t, x, \mu) = f(t, x, \mu_0), \quad (6)$$

uniformly in (t, x) , and for $(t, x_1, \mu), (t, x_2, \mu) \in E$,

$$|f(t, x_1, \mu) - f(t, x_2, \mu)| \leq g(t, |x_1 - x_2|) \quad (7)$$

where $g : J \times R_+ \rightarrow R_+$. Suppose that $u(t) \equiv 0$ is the unique solution of (4) such that $u(t_0) = 0$. Then given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that, for every μ , $|\mu - \mu_0| < \delta(\epsilon)$, the system

$$\Delta x(t) = f(t, x, \mu), \quad x(t_0) = x_0 \quad (8)$$

admits a unique solution $x(t) = x(t, t_0, x_0, \mu)$ satisfying

$$|x(t) - x_0(t)| < \epsilon, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Proof: The uniqueness of solutions is obvious from Theorem 1.3. From the assumption that $u(t) = 0$ is the unique solution of (4), it follows, by Theorem 1.4, that, given any compact interval $[t_0, t_0 + a]$ contained in J and any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a positive number $\eta = \eta(\epsilon)$ such that the maximal solution $r(t, t_0, 0, \eta)$ of

$$\Delta u(t) = g(t, u) + \eta$$

exists on $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + a$ and satisfies

$$r(t, t_0, 0, \eta) < \epsilon, \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + a].$$

Furthermore, because of the condition (6), given $\eta > 0$, there exists a $\delta = \delta(\eta) > 0$ such that $|f(t, x, \mu) - f(t, x, \mu_0)| < \eta$ provided $|\mu - \mu_0| < \delta$.

Now, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and define $m(t) = x(t) - x_0(t)$, where $x(t), x_0(t)$ are the solutions of (8) and (5) respectively. Then using the assumption (7), we get

$$\Delta m(t) \leq g(t, m(t)) + |f(t, x_0(t), \mu) - f(t, x_0(t), \mu_0)|.$$

From this it turns out that whenever $|\mu - \mu_0| < \delta$,

$$\Delta m(t) \leq g(t, m(t)) + \eta.$$

By Theorem 1.2, we have

$$m(t) \leq r(t, t_0, 0, \eta), \quad t \geq t_0$$

and hence

$$|x(t) - x_0(t)| < \epsilon, \quad t \geq t_0$$

provided that $|\mu - \mu_0| < \delta$.

Clearly δ depends on ϵ since η does. The proof is complete.

References

- [1] R. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, Markel Dekkar, New York, (1991).
- [2] K.L. Bondar, Existence and uniqueness results for first order difference equation, *Journal of Modern Methods in Numerical Mathematics*, 2(1,2) (2011),16-20.
- [3] K.L. Bondar, On uniform convergence of maximal solution for difference equation, *Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 30(1) (2011).
- [4] K.L. Bondar, V.C. Borkar and S.T. Patil, Comparison theorems for non-linear difference equations, *Mathematics Education*, XLIV(4) (2010).
- [5] K.L. Bondar, V.C. Borkar and S.T. Patil, Existence and uniqueness results for difference phi Laplacian, boundary value problems, *ITB Journal of Science*, 43(A)(1) (2011), 51-58.
- [6] K.L. Bondar, V.C. Borkar and S.T. Patil, Some existence and uniqueness results for difference boundary value problems, *Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 29(F)(2) (2010), 295-301.
- [7] H. Dang and S.F. Oppenheimer, Existence and uniqueness results for some nonlinear boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* , 198(1996), 35-48.
- [8] Kelley and Peterson, *Difference Equations*, Academic Press, (2001).
- [9] V. Laxmikantham and S. Leela, *Differential and Integral Inequalities-Theory and Applications*, Academic Press, (1969).