

Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 15, No. 2, April, 2013, pp.1-13 ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2013 www.i-csrs.org Available free online at http://www.geman.in

Stability of Quadratic Functional

Equations in 2-Banach Space

B.M. $Patel^1$ and A.B. $Patel^2$

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Sardar Patel University Vallabh Vidyanagar-388120 Gujarat, India ¹E-mail: bhavinramani@yahoo.com ²E-mail: abp1908@gmail.com

(Received: 17-1-13 / Accepted: 23-2-13)

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation f(2x + y) - f(x + 2y) = 3f(x) - 3f(y) in 2-Banach space.

Keywords: *Hyers-Ulam stability,* 2-*Banach space, Quadratic functional equation.*

1 Introduction

Stability of for a function from a normed space to a Banach space has been studied by Hyers [4]. Skof [12] has proved Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$
(1)

He has proved that for a function $f: X \longrightarrow Y$, a function between normed space X to Banach space Y satisfying

$$||f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)|| \le \delta$$

for each $x, y \in X$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists a unique quadratic function $Q: X \longrightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| < \frac{\delta}{2}$$

The quadratic function $f(x) = cx^2$ satisfies the functional equation (1) and therefore Equation (1) is called the quadratic functional equation. Every solution of Equation (1) is said to be a quadratic mapping.

In fact several authors have studied the stability of different types of functional equations for functions from normed space to Banach space. (see [1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]).

Our aim is to study the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation

$$f(2x+y) - f(x+2y) = 3f(x) - 3f(y)$$
(2)

introduced by [15], for a function from 2-normed space (normed space) to 2-Banach space.

Theorem 1.1 [15] Let X and Y be real vector spaces, and let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a function satisfies (2) if and only if f(x) = B(x, x) + C, for some symmetric bi-additive function $B : X \times X \longrightarrow Y$, for some C in Y. Therefore every solution f of functional equation (2) with f(0) = 0 is also a quadratic function.

In the 1960s, S. Gähler [3] introduced the concept of 2-normed spaces. We first introduce 2-normed space and topology on it.

Definition 1.2 Let X be a linear space over \mathbb{R} with dim X > 1 and let $\|\cdot, \cdot\| : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying the following properties:

- 1. ||x, y|| = 0 if and only if x and y are linearly dependent,
- 2. ||x,y|| = ||y,x||,
- 3. ||ax, y|| = |a|||x, y||,
- 4. $||x, y + z|| \le ||x, y|| + ||x, z||$

for each $x, y, z \in X$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is called a 2-norm on X and $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called a 2-normed space.

We introduce a basic property of 2-normed spaces as follows. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space, $x \in X$ and $\|x, y\| = 0$ for each $y \in X$. Suppose $x \neq 0$, since dim X > 1, choose $y \in X$ such that $\{x, y\}$ is linearly independent so we have $\|x, y\| \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3 Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a 2-normed space. If $x \in X$ and $\|x, y\| = 0$, for each $y \in X$, then x = 0.

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a 2-normed space. For $x, z \in X$, let $p_z(x) = \|x, z\|$, $x \in X$. Then for each $z \in X$, p_z is a real-valued function on X such that $p_z(x) = \|x, z\| \ge 0$, $p_z(\alpha x) = |\alpha| \|x, z\| = |\alpha| p_z(x)$ and $p_z(x+y) = \|x+y, z\| = \|z, x+y\| \le \|z, x\| + \|z, y\| = \|x, z\| + \|y, z\| = p_z(x) + p_z(y)$, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $x, y \in X$. Thus p_z is a semi-norm for each $z \in X$.

For $x \in X$, let ||x, z|| = 0, for each $z \in X$. By Lemma 1.3, x = 0. Thus for $0 \neq x \in X$, there is $z \in X$ such that $p_z(x) = ||x, z|| \neq 0$. Hence the family $\{p_z(x) : z \in X\}$ is a separating family of semi-norms.

Let $x_0 \in X$, for $\varepsilon > 0$, $z \in X$, let $U_{z,\varepsilon}(x_0) := \{x \in X : p_z(x-x_0) < \varepsilon\} = \{x \in X : ||x-x_0, z|| < \varepsilon\}$. Let $S(x_0) := \{U_{z,\varepsilon}(x_0) : \varepsilon > 0, z \in X\}$ and $\beta(x_0) := \{\cap \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \text{ is a finite subcollection of } S(x_0)\}$. Define a topology τ on X by saying that a set U is open if for every $x \in U$, there is some $N \in \beta(x)$ such that $N \subset U$. That is, τ is the topology on X that has subbase $\{U_{z,\varepsilon}(x_0) : \varepsilon > 0, x_0 \in X, z \in X\}$. The topology τ on X makes X a topological vector space. Since for $x \in X$ collection $\beta(x)$ is a local base whose members are convex, X is locally convex.

In the 1960s, S. Gähler and A. White [14] introduced the concept of 2-Banach spaces.

Definition 1.4 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a 2-normed space X is called a 2-Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \|x_n - x_m, x\| = 0$$

for each $x \in X$.

Definition 1.5 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a 2-normed space X is called a 2-convergent sequence if there is an $x \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x, y\| = 0$$

for each $y \in X$. If $\{x_n\}$ converges to x, we write $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 1.6 We say that a 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a 2-Banach space if every 2-Cauchy sequence in X is 2-convergent in X.

By using (2) and (4) of Definition 1.2 one can see that $\|\cdot,\cdot\|$ is continuous in each component. More precisely for a convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a 2-normed space X,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n, y\| = \left\| \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n, y \right\|$$

for each $y \in X$.

2 Stability of a Functional Equation for Functions $f: (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$

Throughout this section, consider X a real normed linear space. We also consider that there is a 2-norm on X which makes $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ a 2-Banach space. For a function $f: (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, define $D_f: X \times X \longrightarrow X$ by

$$D_f(x,y) = f(2x+y) - f(x+2y) - 3f(x) + 3f(y)$$

for each $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\varepsilon \ge 0, 0 < p, q < 2, r > 0$. If $f : X \longrightarrow X$ is a function such that

$$||D_f(x,y),z|| \le \varepsilon (||x||^p + ||y||^q) ||z||^r$$
(3)

for each $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q: X \longrightarrow X$ satisfying (2) and

$$||f(x) - Q(x) - f(0), z|| \le \frac{\varepsilon ||x||^p ||z||^r}{4 - 2^p}$$
(4)

for each $x, z \in X$.

Proof 2.1 Let $g: X \longrightarrow X$ be a function defined by g(x) = f(x) - f(0), for each $x \in X$. Then g(0) = 0. Also

$$\|D_g(x,y),z\| = \|g(2x+y) - g(x+2y) - 3g(x) + 3g(y),z\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^q)\|z\|^r$$
(5)

for each $x, z \in X$. Putting y = 0 in (5), we get

$$||g(2x) - 4g(x), z|| \le \varepsilon ||x||^p ||z||^r$$
(6)

for each $x, z \in X$. Therefore

$$\left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{4}g(2x), z \right\| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|x\|^p \|z\|^r$$
 (7)

for each $x, z \in X$. Replacing x by 2x in (7), we get

$$\left\| g(2x) - \frac{1}{4}g(4x), z \right\| \le \frac{\varepsilon 2^p}{4} \|x\|^p \|z\|^r$$
(8)

for each $x, z \in X$. By (7) and (8), we get

$$\begin{split} \left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{16} g(4x), z \right\| &\leq \left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{4} g(2x), z \right\| + \left\| \frac{1}{4} g(2x) - \frac{1}{16} g(4x), z \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|x\|^p \|z\|^r + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \frac{2^p}{4} \|x\|^p \|z\|^r \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon \|x\|^p \|z\|^r}{4} \Big[1 + \frac{2^p}{4} \Big] \end{split}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. By using induction on n, we get

$$\left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{4^n} g(2^n x), z \right\| \le \frac{\varepsilon \|x\|^p \|z\|^r}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{2^{pj}}{4^j} = \frac{\varepsilon \|x\|^p \|z\|^r}{4} \left[\frac{1 - 2^{(p-2)n}}{1 - 2^{p-2}} \right]$$
(9)

for each $x, z \in X$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, for $x \in X$

$$\begin{split} \left\| \frac{1}{4^m} g(2^m x) - \frac{1}{4^n} g(2^n x), z \right\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{4^{m+n-n}} g(2^{m+n-n} x) - \frac{1}{4^n} g(2^n x), z \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{4^n} \left\| \frac{1}{4^{m-n}} g(2^{m-n} \cdot 2^n x) - g(2^n x), z \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon \|2^n x\|^p \|z\|^r}{4 \cdot 4^n} \sum_{j=0}^{m-n-1} 2^{(p-2)j} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon \|x\|^p \|z\|^r}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{m-n-1} 2^{(p-2)(n+j)} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon \|x\|^p \|z\|^r}{4} \frac{2^{(p-2)n} \left(1 - 2^{(p-2)(m-n)}\right)}{1 - 2^{p-2}} \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty \end{split}$$

for each $z \in X$. Therefore, $\{\frac{1}{4^n}g(2^nx)\}$ is a 2-Cauchy sequence in X, for each $x \in X$. Since X is a 2-Banach space, $\{\frac{1}{4^n}g(2^nx)\}$ 2-converges, for each $x \in X$. Define the function $Q: X \longrightarrow X$ as

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} g(2^n x)$$

for each $x \in X$. Now, from (9)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{4^n} g(2^n x), z \right\| \le \frac{\varepsilon \|x\|^p \|z\|^r}{4} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{p-2}}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. Therefore

$$||f(x) - Q(x) - f(0), z|| \le \frac{\varepsilon ||x||^p ||z||^r}{4 - 2^p}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. Next we show that Q satisfies (2). For $x \in X$

$$\|D_Q(x,y),z\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|D_g(2^n x, 2^n y), z\|$$

= $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{4^n} (\|2^n x\|^p + \|2^n y\|^q) \|z\|^r$
= $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon [2^{(p-2)n} \|x\|^p + 2^{(q-2)n} \|y\|^q] \|z\|^r$
= 0

for each $z \in X$. Therefore $||D_Q(x,y), z|| = 0$, for each $z \in X$. So we get $D_Q(x,y) = 0$. Next we prove the uniqueness of Q. Let Q' be another quadratic function satisfying (2) and (4). Since Q and Q' are quadratic, $Q(2^nx) = 4^nQ(x), Q'(2^nx) = 4^nQ'(x)$, for each $x \in X$. Now for $x \in X$

$$\begin{split} \|Q(x) - Q'(x), z\| &= \frac{1}{4^n} \|Q(2^n x) - Q'(2^n x), z\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^n} [\|Q(2^n x) - g(2^n x), z\| + \|g(2^n x) - Q'(2^n x), z\|] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^n} \frac{2\varepsilon \|2^n x\|^p \|z\|^r}{4 - 2^p} \\ &= 2^{(p-2)n} \frac{2\varepsilon}{4 - 2^p} \|x\|^p \|z\|^r \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \ as \ n \to \infty \end{split}$$

for each $z \in X$. Therefore ||Q(x) - Q'(x), z|| = 0, for each $z \in X$. Therefore Q(x) = Q'(x), for each $x \in X$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $\varepsilon \ge 0, p, q > 2, r > 0$. If $f : X \longrightarrow X$ is a function such that

$$||D_f(x,y),z|| \le \varepsilon (||x||^p + ||y||^q) ||z||^r$$
(10)

for each $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q: X \longrightarrow X$ satisfying (2) and

$$||f(x) - Q(x) - f(0), z|| \le \frac{\varepsilon ||x||^p ||z||^r}{2^p - 4}$$
(11)

for each $x, z \in X$.

Proof 2.2 By (6) of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$||g(2x) - 4g(x), z|| \le \varepsilon ||x||^p ||z||^r$$
(12)

for each $x, z \in X$. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (12), we get

$$\left\|g(x) - 4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z\right\| \le \varepsilon 2^{-p} \|x\|^p \|z\|^r \tag{13}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (13), we get

$$\left\|g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 4g\left(\frac{x}{4}\right), z\right\| \le \varepsilon 2^{-2p} \|x\|^p \|z\|^r \tag{14}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. Combining (13) and (14), we get

$$\begin{split} \|g(x) - 16g(\frac{x}{4}), z\| &\leq \left\|g(x) - 4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z\right\| + \left\|4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 16g\left(\frac{x}{4}\right), z\right\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon 2^{-p} \|x\|^p \|z\|^r + 4\varepsilon 2^{-2p} \|x\|^p \|z\|^r \\ &= \varepsilon \|x\|^p \|z\|^r [2^{-p} + 2^{-p} \cdot 4] \end{split}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. By using induction on n, we have

$$\left\| g(x) - 4^{n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), z \right\| \leq \varepsilon \|x\|^{p} \|z\|^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 4^{j} 2^{p(-j-1)}$$
$$= \varepsilon \|x\|^{p} \|z\|^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{(-p+2)j-p}$$
$$= \varepsilon \|x\|^{p} \|z\|^{r} \left(\frac{2^{-p} (1-2^{(2-p)n})}{1-2^{2-p}}\right)$$
(15)

for each $x, z \in X$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $x \in X$

$$\begin{split} \left\| 4^{m} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{m}}\right) - 4^{n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), z \right\| &= \left\| 4^{m+n-n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{m+n-n}}\right) - 4^{n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), z \right\| \\ &= 4^{n} \left\| 4^{m-n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{m-n} \cdot 2^{n}}\right) - g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), z \right\| \\ &\leq 4^{n} \cdot \varepsilon \left\| \frac{x}{2^{n}} \right\|^{p} \|z\|^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{m-n-1} 2^{(-p+2)j-p} \\ &= \varepsilon \|x\|^{p} \|z\|^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{m-n-1} 2^{(2-p)(n+j)-p} \\ &= \varepsilon \|x\|^{p} \|z\|^{r} \left[\frac{2^{(-p+2)n-p} (1-2^{(-p+2)n})}{1-2^{-p+2}} \right] \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \end{split}$$

for each $z \in X$. Therefore $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a 2-Cauchy sequence in X, for each $x \in X$. Since X is a 2-Banach space, the sequence $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ 2-converges, for each $x \in X$. Define $Q: X \longrightarrow X$ as

$$Q(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for each $x \in X$. Now from (15),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| g(x) - 4^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), z \right\| \le \varepsilon \|x\|^p \|z\|^r \frac{2^{-p}}{1 - 2^{2-p}}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. Therefore

$$||f(x) - Q(x) - f(0), z|| \le \frac{\varepsilon ||x||^p ||z||^r}{2^p - 4}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. The further part of the proof is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3 Stability of a Functional Equation for Function $f: (X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \longrightarrow (X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$

In this section we study similar problems which we have studied in section 2 for functions $f: X \longrightarrow X$, where $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a 2-Banach space.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\varepsilon \ge 0, 0 < p, q < 2$. If $f : X \longrightarrow X$ is a function such that

$$||D_f(x,y),z|| \le \varepsilon(||x,z||^p + ||y,z||^q)$$
(16)

for each $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q: X \longrightarrow X$ satisfying (2) and

$$||f(x) - Q(x) - f(0), z|| \le \frac{\varepsilon ||x, z||^p}{4 - 2^p}$$
(17)

for each $x, z \in X$.

Proof 3.1 Let $g: X \longrightarrow X$ be a function defined by g(x) = f(x) - f(0), for each $x \in X$. Then g(0) = 0. Also

$$||D_g(x,y),z|| = ||g(2x+y) - g(x+2y) - 3g(x) + 3g(y),z||$$

$$\leq \varepsilon(||x,z||^p + ||y,z||^q)$$
(18)

for each $x, z \in X$. Putting y = 0 in (18), we get

$$||g(2x) - 4g(x), z|| \le \varepsilon ||x, z||^p$$
 (19)

for each $x, z \in X$. Therefore

$$\left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{4}g(2x), z \right\| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|x, z\|^p$$
 (20)

for each $x, z \in X$. Replacing x by 2x in (20), we get

$$\left\| g(2x) - \frac{1}{4}g(4x), z \right\| \le \frac{\varepsilon 2^p}{4} \|x, z\|^p$$
 (21)

for each $x, z \in X$. By (20) and (21), we get

$$\begin{split} \left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{16}g(4x), z \right\| &\leq \left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{4}g(2x), z \right\| + \left\| \frac{1}{4}g(2x) - \frac{1}{16}g(4x), z \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|x\|^p \|z\|^r + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\frac{2^p}{4} \|x, z\|^p \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon \|x, z\|^p}{4} \Big[1 + \frac{2^p}{4} \Big] \end{split}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. By using induction on n, we get

$$\left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{4^n} g(2^n x), z \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon \|x, z\|^p}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{2^{pj}}{4^j}$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon \|x, z\|^p}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{(p-2)j}$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon \|x, z\|^p}{4} \left[\frac{1 - 2^{(p-2)n}}{1 - 2^{p-2}} \right]$$
(22)

for each $x, z \in X$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ for $x \in X$

$$\begin{split} \left\| \frac{1}{4^m} g(2^m x) - \frac{1}{4^n} g(2^n x), z \right\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{4^{m+n-n}} g(2^{m+n-n} x) - \frac{1}{4^n} g(2^n x), z \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{4^n} \left\| \frac{1}{4^{m-n}} g(2^{m-n} \cdot 2^n x) - g(2^n x), z \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon \|2^n x, z\|^p}{4 \cdot 4^n} \sum_{j=0}^{m-n-1} 2^{(p-2)j} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon \|x, z\|^p}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{m-n-1} 2^{(p-2)(n+j)} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon \|x, z\|^p}{4} \frac{2^{(p-2)n} \left(1 - 2^{(p-2)(m-n)}\right)}{1 - 2^{p-2}} \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty \end{split}$$

for each $z \in X$. Therefore, $\{\frac{1}{4^n}g(2^nx)\}$ is a 2-Cauchy sequence in X, for each $x \in X$. Since X is a 2-Banach space, $\{\frac{1}{4^n}g(2^nx)\}$ 2-converges, for each $x \in X$. Define the function $Q: X \longrightarrow X$ as

$$Q(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} g(2^n x)$$

for each $x \in X$. Now, by (22)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| g(x) - \frac{1}{4^n} g(2^n x), z \right\| \le \frac{\varepsilon \|x, z\|^p}{4} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{p-2}}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. Therefore

$$||f(x) - Q(x) - f(0), z|| \le \frac{\varepsilon ||x, z||^p}{4 - 2^p}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. Next we show that Q satisfies (2). For $x \in X$

$$\|D_Q(x,y),z\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|D_g(2^n x, 2^n y), z\|$$

= $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{4^n} (\|2^n x, z\|^p + \|2^n y, z\|^q)$
= $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon [2^{(p-2)n} \|x, z\|^p + 2^{(q-2)n} \|y, z\|^q]$
= 0

for each $z \in X$. Therefore $||D_Q(x,y), z|| = 0$, for each $z \in X$. So we get $D_Q(x,y) = 0$. Next we prove the uniqueness of Q. Let Q' be another quadratic function satisfying (2) and (17). Since Q and Q' are quadratic, $Q(2^n x) = 4^n Q(x), Q'(2^n x) = 4^n Q'(x)$, for each $x \in X$. Now for $x \in X$

$$\begin{split} \|Q(x) - Q'(x), z\| &= \frac{1}{4^n} \|Q(2^n x) - Q'(2^n x), z\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^n} [\|Q(2^n x) - g(2^n x), z\| + \|g(2^n x) - Q'(2^n x), z\|] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^n} \frac{2\varepsilon \|2^n x, z\|^p}{4 - 2^p} \\ &= 2^{(p-2)n} \frac{2\varepsilon \|x, z\|^p}{4 - 2^p} \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \end{split}$$

for each $z \in X$. Therefore ||Q(x) - Q'(x), z|| = 0, for each $z \in X$. Therefore Q(x) = Q'(x), for each $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\varepsilon \ge 0, p, q > 2, r > 0$. If $f : X \longrightarrow X$ is a function such that

$$||D_f(x,y),z|| \le \varepsilon(||x,z||^p + ||y,z||^q)$$
(23)

for each $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q: X \longrightarrow X$ satisfying (2) and

$$||f(x) - Q(x) - f(0), z|| \le \frac{\varepsilon ||x, z||^p}{2^p - 4}$$
(24)

for each $x, z \in X$.

Proof 3.2 By (19) of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$||g(2x) - 4g(x), z|| \le \varepsilon ||x, z||^p$$
 (25)

for each $x, z \in X$. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (25), we get

$$\left\|g(x) - 4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z\right\| \le \varepsilon 2^{-p} \|x, z\|^p \tag{26}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (26), we get

$$\left\|g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 4g\left(\frac{x}{4}\right), z\right\| \le \varepsilon 2^{-2p} \|x, z\|^p \tag{27}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. Combining (26) and (27), we get

$$\begin{split} \|g(x) - 16g\left(\frac{x}{4}\right), z\| &\leq \left\|g(x) - 4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), z\right\| + \left\|4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 16g\left(\frac{x}{4}\right), z\right\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon 2^{-p} \|x, z\|^p + 4\varepsilon 2^{-2p} \|x, z\|^p \\ &= \varepsilon \|x, z\|^p [2^{-p} + 2^{-p} \cdot 4] \end{split}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. By using induction on n, we have

$$\left\| g(x) - 4^{n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), z \right\| \leq \varepsilon \|x, z\|^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 4^{j} 2^{p(-j-1)}$$
$$= \varepsilon \|x, z\|^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{(-p+2)j-p}$$
$$= \varepsilon \|x, z\|^{p} \left(\frac{2^{-p} (1 - 2^{(2-p)n})}{1 - 2^{2-p}}\right)$$
(28)

for each $x, z \in X$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, For $x \in X$

$$\begin{split} \left\| 4^{m} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{m}}\right) - 4^{n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), z \right\| &= \left\| 4^{m+n-n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{m+n-n}}\right) - 4^{n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), z \right\| \\ &= 4^{n} \left\| 4^{m-n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{m-n} \cdot 2^{n}}\right) - g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), z \right\| \\ &\leq 4^{n} \cdot \varepsilon \left\| \frac{x}{2^{n}} \right\|^{p} \|z\|^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{m-n-1} 2^{(-p+2)j-p} \\ &= \varepsilon \|x, z\|^{p} \sum_{j=0}^{m-n-1} 2^{(2-p)(n+j)-p} \\ &= \varepsilon \|x, z\|^{p} \left[\frac{2^{(-p+2)n-p} (1 - 2^{(-p+2)n})}{1 - 2^{-p+2}} \right] \\ &\to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \end{split}$$

for each $z \in X$. Therefore $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a 2-Cauchy sequence in X, for each $x \in X$. Since X is a 2-Banach space, the sequence $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ 2-converges, for each $x \in X$. Define $Q: X \longrightarrow X$ as

$$Q(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for each $x \in X$. Now, by (28)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| g(x) - 4^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), z \right\| \le \varepsilon \|x, z\|^p \frac{2^{-p}}{1 - 2^{2-p}}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. Therefore

$$||f(x) - Q(x) - f(0), z|| \le \frac{\varepsilon ||x, z||^p}{2^p - 4}$$

for each $x, z \in X$. The further part of the proof is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 3.1.

References

- J. Baker, The stability of the cosine equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 80(1980), 411-416.
- [2] J.H. Bae and K.W. Jun, On the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of an *n*-dimensional quadratic functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 258(2001), 183-193.
- [3] S. Gähler, Lineare 2-normierte Räume, Math. Nachr., 26(1963), 115-148.

- [4] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 27(1941), 222-224.
- [5] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables, Birkhäuser, Basel, (1998).
- [6] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, On the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 126(1998), 425-430.
- [7] D.H. Hyers and Th.M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphism, Aequationes Math., 44(1992), 125-153.
- [8] K.W. Jun and H.M. Kim, Remarks on the stability of additive functional equation, Bull. Korean Math. soc., 38(2001), 679-687.
- [9] K.W. Jun and Y.H. Lee, On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a generalized quadratic equation, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 38(2001), 261-272.
- [10] J.M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, Bull. Sci. Math., 108(1984), 445-446.
- [11] F.W. Raymond and C.Y. Je, Geometry of Linear 2-Normed Spaces, Nova Science Publishers, New York, (2001).
- [12] F. Skof, Propriet à locali e approssimazione di operatori, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, 53(1983), 113-129.
- [13] S.M. Ulam, Problem in Modern Mathematics (Science Editions), John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, (1964).
- [14] A. White, 2-Banach spaces, Math. Nachr., 42(1969), 43-60.
- [15] K.W. Jun, H.M. Kim and D.O. Lee, On stability of quadratic functional equation, J. of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society, 15(2) (2002), 73-84.