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#### Abstract

In this work, we estimate normalize eigenfunctions to the T.Regge problem whenever the weight functions satisfies Lipschitz condition.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let's consider spectral problem ( $q(x) \in C_{[0 ; a]}$

$$
, \rho(x) \in \operatorname{Lip} 1 \text { and } m \leq \rho(x) \leq M)
$$

$$
-y^{\prime \prime}(x)+q(x) y(x)=\lambda^{2} \rho(x) y(x) \quad(0<x<a)
$$

$$
y(0)=0, \quad y^{\prime}(a)-i \lambda y(a)=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{a} \rho(x)|y(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=1, \text { where } \lambda-\text { is spectral parameter. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem (1) - (2) arises in different questions of mathematical physics. T.Regge [1], who studied it (in case of $\rho(x) \equiv 1$ ) in connection with the theory of dispersion has shown, that if $q(x)$ in left semi-neighborhood of point $a$ satisfies to condition $q(x) \sim C_{\mu}(a-x)^{\mu} x \rightarrow a-0 ; \mu \geq 0 C_{\mu} \neq 0$, the problem has discrete spectrum $\lambda_{n}$ and system of eigenfunctions of problem (1) - (2) is full of century $L_{[0, a]}^{2}$. In work [2] is studied asymptotes of proper values and received 2 multiple decomposition in uniform converging numbers on eigenfunctions from which 2 multiple completeness of eigenfunctions of century $L_{[0, a]}^{2}$. In case of equation of $2 n$ order and $\rho(x) \equiv 1$ similar problem is considered in works [3] [4]. And for $\rho(x) \not \equiv 1$ asymptotic of eigenvalues for more general problem is studied in works [5] - [6].

In work [7] is considered the case of weight functions close to Holder class where maximal growth rate of eigenfunctions of problem (1) - (3) is studied.

The purpose of the present work is reception of uniform estimations for normalized eigenfunctions of problem (1) - (3) in case of weight functions, satisfying to Lipschitz condition.

The following is true:
Lemma: For any $\rho(x) \in$ Lip 1 and $\varepsilon>0$ there is function $\rho_{\varepsilon}(x) \in C^{2}{ }_{[0, a]}$
such,
that $\quad \rho_{\varepsilon}(a)=\rho(a), \rho_{\varepsilon}(0)=\rho(0), \int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{\rho_{\varepsilon}(x)} d x=\int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{\rho(x)} d x$,
$\max _{x \in[0, a]}\left|\rho(x)-\rho_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \varepsilon, \max _{x \in[0, a]}\left|\rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2 N \quad$ and $\quad \max _{x \in[0, a]}\left|\rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon}$, where $C$ is constant independent from $\rho(x)$ and $\varepsilon$.

## Proof:

Let's divide interval $[0, a]$ on $m$ equal parts ( $m$-arbitrary) by points $0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{m-1}<x_{m}=a$, and middle of intervals $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right.$ ] we shall designate through $x_{i}^{\prime}$ (such points $m$, namely $x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{m}^{\prime}$ ). We shall consider broken line, that connected points $\left(x_{0}, \rho\left(x_{0}\right)\right),\left(x_{1}, \rho\left(x_{1}\right)\right), \ldots,\left(x_{m}, \rho\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$.

Obviously, this broken line is function graph $\rho_{0}(x)$, satisfying to inequalities $\max _{x \in[0, a]}\left|\rho(x)-\rho_{0}(x)\right| \leq \frac{N \cdot a}{m}$ and $\left|\rho_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq N$ there, where $\rho_{0}^{\prime}(x)$ exists.

Let's consider now other broken line connecting points $\left(x_{0}, \rho_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)\right),\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \rho_{0}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right),\left(x_{2}^{\prime}, \rho_{0}\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \ldots,\left(x_{m}^{\prime}, \rho_{0}\left(x_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right),\left(x_{m}, \rho_{0}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$.

Obviously, this broken line is the schedule of function $\rho_{1}(x)$, satisfying to parities $\left|\rho_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq N$ there, where $\rho_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ exists and $\max _{x \in[0, a]}\left|\rho(x)-\rho_{1}(x)\right| \leq \frac{N . a}{m}$.

On sites $\left[x_{i}^{\prime}, x_{i+1}^{\prime}\right]$ where $i=1,2, \ldots, m-1$ we shall construct curve $\overline{\rho_{\varepsilon}}(x)$ as polynomials parities $\quad \overline{\rho_{\varepsilon}}(x)=\rho_{1}(x)+\frac{p_{i}}{8 \Delta^{3}}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{4}-\frac{3 p_{i}}{4 \Delta}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}$ where $\Delta=x_{i}-x_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{a}{2 m}, \quad p_{i}=\frac{\rho_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)-p_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)}{2}$. On sites $\left[0, x_{1}^{\prime}\right] \quad$ and $\left[x_{m}^{\prime}, a\right]$ we shall get $\overline{\rho_{\varepsilon}}(x)=\rho_{1}(x)$ (in the same place $\rho_{1}(x)=\rho_{0}(x)$ ).

Let's put $m=2 .\left[\frac{N a}{\varepsilon}\right]+2$. Direct check shows, that all conditions of lemma except for equality $\int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{\overline{\rho_{\varepsilon}}(x)} d x=\int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{\rho(x)} d x$ are executed. In addition, inequality $\left|\overline{\rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}(x)\right| \leq N$ takes place ( $N$ and $2 N$ in condition of lemma) now let's
find number $\quad \delta \quad$ from $\quad$ condition $\int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{\overline{\rho_{\varepsilon}}(x)}\left(1+\delta \sin \frac{\pi}{a} x\right) d x=\int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{\rho(x)} d x$, hence $\delta=\frac{\int_{0}^{a}\left(\sqrt{\rho(x)}-\sqrt{\rho_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right) d x}{\int_{0}^{a} \sin \frac{\pi}{a} x \sqrt{\rho_{\varepsilon}(x)} d x}$. Obviously at small $\varepsilon$ number $\delta$ is also not enough, and function $\rho_{\varepsilon}(x)=\overline{\rho_{\varepsilon}}(x)\left(1+\delta \sin \frac{\pi}{a} x\right)^{2}$ satisfies to conditions of lemma.

Let's designate through $Q_{[0, a]}$ class of continuous on $[0, a]$ functions $q(x)$, satisfying to inequality $\left|\int_{a_{0}}^{a_{1}} q(x) d x\right|<C_{Q}$, where $C_{Q}=$ cont $\operatorname{and}\left[a_{0}, a_{1}\right] \subseteq[0, a]$.

Let's consider countable subset $\left\{q_{i}(x) \mid i \in N\right\} \equiv \bar{Q}_{[0, a]}$ of class $Q_{[0, a]}$ satisfying to condition $\operatorname{Lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{t} q_{i}(s) d s d t \equiv f_{o}(x)$, where $f_{o}(x)$ function satisfying to Lipschitz condition, and convergence is uniform on $[0, a]$.

Let $\rho \neq 1, \rho>0, \lambda \in C$ - is complex, $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)<$ const (that is $\rho$-is fixed and $\lambda$ - is arbitrary of strip $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)<$ const of complex plane).

Let's designate through $y(x, \lambda, q)$ solution of Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -y^{\prime \prime}(x)+q(x) y(x)=\lambda^{2} \rho y(x), x \in(0, a) \\
& y(0)=0, y^{\prime}(0)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the following is true:
Theorem: There is constant $C_{0} \equiv C_{0}\left(Q_{[0, a]}\right)$ (uniform for all class $\left.Q_{[0, a]}\right)$
such, that
$\max _{x \in[0, a]} \frac{|y(x, \lambda, q)|}{\left(\int_{0}^{a} \rho|y(x, \lambda, q)|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}<C_{0}$ for every value large enough by module $\lambda$.

From this theorem and previous lemma follows important consequence
Consequence: Let $q(x)$ - is continuous function, and $\rho(x) \in \operatorname{Lip} 1$. Then solution of Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -y^{\prime \prime}(x)+q(x) y(x)=\lambda^{2} \rho y(x), x \in(0, a), \rho(a) \neq 1 \\
& y(0)=0, y^{\prime}(0)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Satisfies to parity $\max _{x \in[0, a]} \frac{|y(x)|}{\left(\int_{0}^{a} \rho(x) \cdot|y(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}<$ const $<\infty$
For every value large enough $\lambda$ from strip $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)<$ const .

## Proof:

As solution of Cauchy problem continuously depends on weight function $\rho(x)$ and functional $\left(\int_{0}^{a} \rho(x)|y(x, \lambda)|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ also continuously depends on $\rho(x)$. Hence, functional $\frac{\max _{x \in[0, a]}^{a}|y(x, \lambda)|}{\left(\int_{0}^{a} \rho(x) \cdot|y(x, \lambda)|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ also continuously depends on weight function $\rho(x)$. Hence, there is number $\varepsilon(R)$ such, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{\max _{x \in[0, a]}|y(x, \lambda, \bar{\rho})|}{\left(\int_{0}^{a} \bar{\rho}(x) \cdot|y(x, \lambda, \bar{\rho})|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\max _{x \in[0, a]}|y(x, \lambda, \rho)|}{\left(\int_{0}^{a} \rho(x) \cdot|y(x, \lambda, \rho)|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \text {, if }|\lambda| \leq R \quad \text { and } \\
& |\rho(x)-\bar{\rho}(x)| \leq \varepsilon(R)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $R>0$ is arbitrary constant. Let's take some $R$ and by $\varepsilon(R)$ and lemma let's plot function $\rho_{\varepsilon}(x)$ approaching $\rho(x)(|\rho(x)-\bar{\rho}(x)| \leq \varepsilon(R))$ $\rho_{\varepsilon}(a)=\rho(a)$. Now let's consider Cauchy problem with weight function $\rho_{\varepsilon}(x)$ instead of $\rho(x)$. In this problem $\rho_{\varepsilon}(x) \in C^{2}{ }_{[0, a]}$ and consequently we can make
double replacement $\xi=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{d t}{A^{2}(t)}, y(x)=A(x) \cdot \eta(\xi(x))$, where $A(x)=\rho^{-\frac{1}{4}} \varepsilon(x) . \rho^{\frac{1}{4}}(a)$.

As a result of such replacement we shall obtain problem:

$$
-\eta^{\prime \prime}(\xi)+\left(q(x) A(x)-A^{\prime \prime}(x)\right) \cdot A^{3}(x) \eta(\xi)=\lambda^{2} \rho(a) \eta(\xi), \xi \in\left(0, \int_{0}^{a} \frac{d t}{A^{2}(t)}\right)
$$

$$
\eta(0)=0,
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta^{\prime}(0)=A(0), \\
\int_{0}^{a} \frac{d t}{A^{2}(t)}=\int_{0}^{a} \frac{d t}{\left(\frac{\sqrt{\rho(a)}}{\sqrt{\rho_{\varepsilon}(t)}}\right)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho(a)}} \int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{\rho_{\varepsilon}(t)} d t \\
=\frac{\int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{\rho(t)} d t}{\sqrt{\rho(a)}}=\bar{a}=\operatorname{const}(\text { Independent from } \varepsilon), \text { designating } \\
A^{-\frac{1}{4}}(0) \cdot \rho^{\frac{1}{4}}(a) \text { and } \\
-\eta^{\prime \prime}(x)\left[q(x)+\overline{q_{\varepsilon}}(\xi) \eta(x)-A^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] \equiv \overline{q_{\varepsilon}}(\xi) \text { we shall obtain problem: } \\
\eta(0)=0, \eta^{2}(0)=\sqrt[4]{\frac{\rho(a)}{\rho(0)}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Estimated in theorem functional does not depend on value $y^{\prime}(0)$ (as all solutions of our equation, satisfying to condition $y(0)=0$, can be obtained from solution of problem with conditions $y(0)=0, y^{\prime}(0)=1$, by multiplication to constant, which will be reduced in our functional) and consequently if to show, that $\left|\int_{0}^{t} q_{\varepsilon}(\xi) d \xi\right|$ in regular intervals on $\varepsilon$ and $t \in[0, \bar{a}]$ is limited for all small $\varepsilon>0$ under theorem there will be constant $C_{0}>0$ such, that

$$
\max \frac{|\eta(\xi)|}{\left(\left.\int_{0}^{\bar{a}} \rho(a) \cdot \eta(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_{0}
$$

From here and from parities $y(x)=A(x) \cdot \eta(\xi(x)), \xi(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{d t}{A^{2}(t)}$ obviously follows, that exists $\overline{C_{0}}>0$ such, that $\max _{x \in[0, a]} \frac{|y(x, \lambda, \rho)|}{\left(\int_{0}^{a} \rho(x) \cdot|y(x, \lambda, \rho)|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}<\overline{C_{0}}$

For every $|\lambda| \leq R$, and by arbitrariness $R$, and for all considered $\lambda$ (let's remind, that $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)<$ const $)$.

Let's estimate $\left|\int_{0}^{t} q_{\varepsilon}(\xi) d \xi\right|$. Passing to variable $x$ in integral we shall get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{t} q_{\varepsilon}(\xi) d \xi\right|= & \left|\int_{0}^{s}\left[q(x) A(x)-A^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] A^{3}(x) \cdot \xi^{\prime}(x) d x\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{s}\left[q(x) A(x)-A^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] A^{3}(x) \frac{d x}{A^{2}(x)}\right|= \\
& \left|\int_{0}^{s} \frac{q(x)}{A^{-2}(x)} d x-\int_{0}^{s} A(x) A^{\prime \prime}(x) d x\right| \leq\left|\leq \int_{0}^{s} \frac{q(x)}{A^{-2}(x)} d x\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{s} A(x) A^{\prime \prime}(x) d x\right|=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{0}^{s} \frac{q(x)}{A^{-2}(x)} d x\right|+\left|\left[A(x) A^{\prime}(x)\right]\right|_{0}^{s}-\int_{0}^{s}\left[A^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} d x\left|\leq\left|\int_{0}^{s} \frac{q(x)}{A^{-2}(x)} d x\right|+\left|A(s) A^{\prime}(s)\right|+\right. \\
\left|A(0) A^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{s}\left[A^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} d x\right|, \text { where } s \in[0, a] .
\end{gathered}
$$

From definition $A(x)=\sqrt[4]{\frac{\rho(a)}{\rho_{\varepsilon}(x)}}$ follows, that

$$
A(0)=\sqrt[4]{\frac{\rho(a)}{\rho_{\varepsilon}(0)}}=\sqrt[4]{\frac{\rho(a)}{\rho(0)}},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\prime}(x)= & -\frac{1}{4} \rho^{\frac{1}{4}}(a) \cdot \rho_{\varepsilon}^{-\frac{5}{4}}(x) \cdot \rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{\sqrt[4]{\rho(a)} \cdot \rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(x)}{4 \sqrt[4]{\rho^{5} \varepsilon(x)}} \\
& A^{\prime}(0)=-\frac{\sqrt[4]{\rho(a)} \cdot \rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)}{4 \sqrt[4]{\rho^{5}{ }_{\varepsilon}(0)}}=\frac{-\rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)}{4 \rho(0)} \cdot \sqrt[4]{\frac{\rho(a)}{\rho(0)}} \text { and consequently } \\
& \left|\int_{0}^{t} q_{\varepsilon}(\xi) d \xi\right| \leq \int_{0}^{a} \frac{|q(x)|}{\sqrt[4]{\rho(a)}} \cdot \sqrt[4]{\rho_{\varepsilon}(x)} d x+\left|\frac{\sqrt{\rho(a)} \cdot \rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)}{4 \cdot \sqrt{\rho_{\varepsilon}^{3}(s)}}\right|+\left|\frac{\rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)}{4 \cdot \rho(0)} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\rho(a)}{\rho(0)}}\right|+\int_{0}^{a} \frac{\sqrt{\rho(a)} \cdot\left[\rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right.}{16 \cdot \sqrt{\rho_{\varepsilon}^{5}(s)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

On lemma $\left|\rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2$.N and $\rho(x)-\varepsilon \leq \rho_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq \rho(x)+\varepsilon$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} q_{\varepsilon}(\xi) d \xi \mid \leq & \int_{0}^{a} \frac{|q(x)|}{\sqrt[4]{\rho(a)}} \cdot \sqrt[4]{\rho(x)+\varepsilon} d x+\frac{\sqrt{\rho(a)} \cdot N}{2 \cdot\left[\min _{x \in[0, a]} \rho(x)-\varepsilon\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}+\frac{N}{2 \rho(0)} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\rho(a)}{\rho(0)}} \\
& +\int_{0}^{a} \frac{\sqrt{\rho(a)} \cdot N^{2}}{4 \cdot \sqrt{[\rho(x)-\varepsilon]^{5}}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\varepsilon$ is not enough, consequence is proved.
Let's prove now theorem for what we shall evaluate $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda), \varphi(x, \lambda)$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda)(\varphi(x, \lambda)$ is solution of equation (1) satisfying to entry conditions $\varphi(0, \lambda)=0, \varphi^{\prime}(0, \lambda)=1$, and $\varphi_{0}(x, \lambda)$ is solution of such Cauchy problem with constant coefficient $\rho(x) \equiv \rho)$. As it has been established [8, p. 22]

$$
\varphi_{0}(x, \lambda)=\frac{-\sigma_{1}-i \delta_{1}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\delta_{1}^{2}}\left(-\sinh \sigma_{1} x \cdot \cos \delta_{1} x+i \cosh \sigma_{1} x \cdot \sin \delta_{1} x\right) \text { and }
$$

consequently $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)=\left(\cosh \sigma_{1} x \cdot \cos \delta_{1} x-i \sinh \sigma_{1} x \cdot \sin \delta_{1} x\right)($ simple transformations are lowered). As at greater $|\lambda|$ parities $\sigma_{\mathrm{1}} \approx \sqrt{\rho} \cdot \sigma$ and $\delta_{1} \approx \sqrt{\rho} \cdot \delta$ take place, then obviously $\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)\right|<$ const is regular on $x \in[0, a]$ and $\lambda$ from considered strip. Let's consider number

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x, \lambda)= & \varphi_{0}(x, \lambda)+\int_{0}^{x}\left[q\left(\tau_{1}\right)-q\right] . \varphi_{0}\left(x-\tau_{1}, \lambda\right) \varphi_{0}\left(\tau_{1}, \lambda\right) d \tau_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{x}\left[q\left(\tau_{1}\right)-q\right] . \varphi_{0}\left(x-\tau_{1}, \lambda\right) \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \ldots \\
& \int_{0}^{\tau_{i-1}}\left[q\left(\tau_{i}\right)-q\right] \varphi_{0}\left(\tau_{i-1}-\tau_{i}, \lambda\right) \varphi_{0}\left(\tau_{i}, \lambda\right) d \tau_{i} \ldots . d \tau_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's enter designations $f_{i}(x)$ for $i$ - member of series
$\left(f_{1}(x)=\int_{0}^{x}\left[q\left(\tau_{1}\right)-q\right] \cdot \varphi_{0}\left(x-\tau_{1}, \lambda\right) d \tau_{1}, \ldots\right)$, As result we shall get: $\varphi(x, \lambda)-\varphi_{0}(x, \lambda)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}(x)$
(4)

If $i \geq 1$, then obviously

$$
f_{i+1}(x)=\int_{0}^{x}\left[q\left(\tau_{1}\right)-q\right] \varphi_{0}\left(x-\tau_{1}, \lambda\right) \cdot f_{i}\left(\tau_{1}\right) d \tau_{1} \text { and consequently, integrating }
$$

in parts, we shall obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{i+1}(x)= & \left.\left\{\varphi_{0}\left(x-\tau_{1}, \lambda\right) \cdot f_{i}\left(\tau_{1}\right) \cdot \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}}[q(s)-q] d s\right\}\right|_{0} ^{x}-\int_{0}^{x}\left\{\left[\varphi_{0}\left(x-\tau_{1}, \lambda\right) \cdot f_{i}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{1}\right)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\left(x-\tau_{1}, \lambda\right) \cdot f_{i}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right] .\right. \\
& \left.\int_{0}^{x}[q(s)-q] d s\right\} d \tau_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i+1}(x)=\int_{0}^{x}\left[\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) f_{i}(\tau)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) f_{i}^{\prime}(\tau)\right] \cdot \int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s d \tau, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Absolutely similarly for $f_{1}(x)$ it is possible to get parity

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(x)=\int_{0}^{x}\left[\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) \varphi_{0}(\tau)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) \varphi_{0}^{\prime}(\tau)\right] \cdot \int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s d \tau \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating parities (5) and (6) on $x$ we shall get parities for derivatives

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{i+1}^{\prime}(x)=f_{i}(x) \cdot \int_{0}^{x}[q(\tau)-q] d \tau+\left[\int_{0}^{x}[q-\lambda \rho] \varphi_{0}(x-\tau, \lambda) f_{i}(\tau)-\right. \\
\left.\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) f_{i}^{\prime}(\tau)\right] \cdot \int_{0}^{\tau}[q(\tau)-q] d s d \tau
\end{gathered}
$$

(7)

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\varphi_{0}(x, \lambda) \cdot \int_{0}^{x}[q(\tau)-q] d \tau+\left[\int_{0}^{x}\left[q-\lambda^{2} \rho\right] \varphi_{0}(x-\tau, \lambda) \varphi_{0}(\tau, \lambda)-\right. \\
\left.\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) \varphi_{0}^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)\right] \cdot \int_{0}^{\tau}[q(\tau)-q] d s d \tau,
\end{gathered}
$$

(8)
(Here, it is considered, that $\left.\varphi_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x, \lambda) \equiv\left(q-\lambda^{2} \rho\right) \varphi_{0}(x, \lambda).\right)$
From choice of class $Q_{[0, a]}$ follows, that $\left|\int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s\right|<Q=$ const $<\infty$ and consequently from (5) and (8) follows, that

$$
\left|f_{1}(x)\right| \leq \frac{2 Q \cdot C_{0} \cdot C_{0}^{\prime}}{|\lambda|} x,\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{Q \cdot C_{0}}{|\lambda|}+Q\left[\left(\rho+\frac{q}{|\lambda|^{2}}\right) C_{0}^{2}+C_{0}^{\prime 2}\right], \text { where } C_{0}
$$

and $C_{0}^{\prime}$
Such constants for which inequalities $\left|\varphi_{0}(x, \lambda)\right| \leq \frac{C_{0}}{|\lambda|}$ and $\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)\right| \leq C_{0}^{\prime}$ are executed (as $\left|\varphi_{0}(x, \lambda)\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sinh ^{2} \sigma_{1} x+\sin ^{2} \delta_{1} x}{\delta_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{1}^{2}}}$ then, obviously $C_{0}$ and $C_{0}^{\prime}$ exist). Using recurrent parities (5) and (7) we shall obtain, that number (4) converges and moreover $\left|\varphi(x, \lambda)-\varphi_{0}(x, \lambda)\right| \leq \frac{\overline{C_{0}}}{|\lambda|}$ (evaluations $\left|f_{2}(x)\right|,\left|f_{3}(x)\right|, \ldots$, and $\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f_{3}^{\prime}(x)\right|, \ldots$ are made consistently for $\left.i=2,3, \ldots\right)$.

Then $\varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda)=\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)+\int_{0}^{x}[q(\tau)-q] \varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) d \tau$, or, integrating in parts, we shall get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)= & \left.\left\{\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) \varphi(\tau, \lambda) \cdot \int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s\right\}\right|_{0} ^{x}- \\
& -\int_{0}^{x}\left\{\left[\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) \varphi^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) \cdot \varphi(\tau, \lambda)\right] \int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s\right\} d \tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(0, \lambda) \equiv 1$ and $\varphi_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) \equiv\left(q-\lambda^{2} \rho\right) \varphi_{0}(x-\tau, \lambda)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)=\varphi(x, \lambda) \cdot \int_{0}^{x}[q(s)-q] d s-\int_{0}^{x}\left\{\left[\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) \varphi^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)-\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left(q-\lambda^{2} \rho\right) \varphi_{0}(x-\tau, \lambda) \varphi(\tau, \lambda)\right] \cdot \int_{0}^{a}[q(s)-q] d s\right\} d \tau= \\
& \varphi(x, \lambda) \cdot \int_{0}^{x}[q(s)-q] d s+\int_{0}^{x}\left\{\left(\lambda^{2} \rho-q\right) \varphi_{0}(x-\tau, \lambda) \varphi(\tau, \lambda) \cdot \int_{0}^{a}[q(s)-q] d s\right\} d \tau \\
&-\int_{0}^{x}\left\{\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda) \varphi(\tau, \lambda) \int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s\right\} d \tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting and adding in last integral $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)$ to $\varphi^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)$ and representing integral in the form of sum of two integrals we shall obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda) & -\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)=\varphi(x, \lambda) \cdot \int_{0}^{x}[q(s)-q] d s+\left(\lambda^{2} \rho-q\right) \int_{0}^{x}\left\{\varphi_{0}(x-\tau, \lambda) \varphi(\tau, \lambda)--\int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s\right\} d \tau \\
& -\int_{0}^{x}\left\{\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda)\left[\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda) \cdot \int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s\right\} d \tau-\int_{0}^{x}\left\{\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda)\left[\varphi^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)\right] \cdot \int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s\right\} d \tau\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

From this equality follows, that

## About uniform limitation of normalized...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)\right| \leq|\varphi(x, \lambda)| \cdot \int_{0}^{x}[q(s)-q] d s\left|+\left|\lambda^{2} \rho-q\right| \cdot \int_{0}^{x}\right| \varphi_{0}(x-\tau, \lambda)|\cdot| \varphi(\tau, \lambda) \mid \\
& \left|\int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s\right| d \tau+\int_{0}^{x}\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda)\right| \cdot\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)\right| \cdot\left|\int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s\right| d \tau+ \\
& \int_{0}^{x}\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x-\tau, \lambda)\right| \cdot\left|\int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s\right| \cdot\left|\varphi^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)\right| d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

And consequently, using estimations obtained before for $\left|\varphi_{0}(x, \lambda)\right|,|\varphi(x, \lambda)|, \quad\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)\right| \quad$ and considering, that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{0}^{\tau}[q(s)-q] d s\right| \leq\left|\int_{0}^{\tau} q(s) d s\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{\tau} q d s\right|=q \tau+\left|\int_{0}^{\tau} q(s) d s\right|<\text { const }<\infty, \text { we shall obtain } \\
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)\right|<R+\int_{0}^{x} B(\tau) \cdot\left|\varphi^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(\tau, \lambda)\right| d \tau
\end{gathered}
$$

where $R>0$ and $B(\tau)>0$ are limited.
Hence, by Gronwall's lemma $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda)-\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)\right| \leq R . e^{\int_{0}^{x} B(\tau) d \tau} \leq$ const $<\infty$.
As $\quad\left|\varphi_{0}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)\right| \leq$ const $<\infty, \quad$ from last inequality follows, that $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda)\right| \leq$ const $<\infty$ is regular on $x \in[0, a]$ and $\lambda$ from considered strip.

Let now $|\varphi(x, \lambda)|$ reaches maximum $\varphi_{m}$ in point $x_{0} \in[0, a]$,

Maximum $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda)\right|$ is equal $\varphi_{m}^{\prime}$.Then function graph $|\varphi(x, \lambda)|$ lies above triangle with top in point $\left(x_{0}, \varphi_{m}\right)$ and lateral faces with angular coefficients $\varphi_{m}^{\prime},-\varphi_{m}^{\prime}$ accordingly.


And consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{a} \rho(x)|\varphi(x, \lambda)|^{2} d x \geq \int_{0}^{a} m \cdot|\varphi(x, \lambda)|^{2} d x=m \cdot \int_{0}^{a}|\varphi(x, \lambda)|^{2} d x \geq m \int_{0}^{x_{0}}\left|\varphi_{m}+\varphi_{m}^{\prime}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& +m \cdot \int_{x_{0}}^{a}\left|\varphi_{m}-\varphi_{m}^{\prime}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right|^{2} d x=m \cdot \int_{0}^{x_{0}}\left[\varphi_{m}^{2}+2 \varphi_{m} \cdot \varphi_{m}^{\prime}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\varphi_{m}^{\prime 2}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}\right] d x+ \\
& m \cdot \int_{x_{0}}^{a}\left[\varphi_{m}^{2}-2 \varphi_{m} \cdot \varphi_{m}^{\prime}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\varphi_{m}^{\prime 2}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}\right] d x \\
& =m \cdot \varphi_{m}^{2}\left\{a+{\varphi_{m}^{\prime}}^{2}\left[\frac{\left(a-x_{0}\right)^{3}+x_{0}^{3}}{3 \varphi_{m}^{2}}\right]-\frac{\varphi_{m}^{\prime}}{\varphi_{m}}\left[x_{0}^{2}+\left(a-x_{0}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From inequality we got follows, that

$$
\left.\left.\frac{\max |\varphi(x, \lambda)|}{\left(\int_{0}^{a} \rho(x) \mid 0,0\right]} \right\rvert\, \varphi(x, \lambda)^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m} \cdot \sqrt{a+\frac{\left(a-x_{0}\right)^{3}+x_{0}^{3}}{3} \cdot\left(\frac{\varphi_{m}^{\prime}}{\varphi_{m}}\right)^{2}-\left[x_{0}^{2}+\left(a-x_{0}\right)^{2}\right] \frac{\varphi_{m}^{\prime}}{\varphi_{m}}}}
$$

If to enter designations $x_{0}=\varepsilon . a$ and $\frac{\varphi_{m}^{\prime}}{\varphi_{m}}=z$ we shall get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a+\frac{\left(a-x_{0}\right)^{3}+x_{0}^{3}}{3} \cdot\left(\frac{\varphi_{m}^{\prime}}{\varphi_{m}}\right)^{2}-\left[x_{0}^{2}+\left(a-x_{0}\right)^{2}\right] \frac{\varphi_{m}^{\prime}}{\varphi_{m}}= \\
& =a+\frac{a^{3}(1-\varepsilon)^{3}+\varepsilon^{3} a^{3}}{3} z^{3}-\left[a^{2} \varepsilon^{2}+a^{2}(1-\varepsilon)^{2}\right] z= \\
& =a\left[a z(a z-2)\left(\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{12}(a z-3)^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\varepsilon \in[0,1]$ and $z \in(0, \infty)$. Let's enter now designations

$$
f(\varepsilon, z)=a z(a z-2)\left(\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{12}(a z-3)^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \text { and estimate from below }
$$

$f(\varepsilon, z)$. It is obvious, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(0, z)=f(1, z) & =\frac{1}{4}\left(a^{2} z^{2}-2 a z\right)+\frac{1}{12}\left(a^{2} z^{2}-6 a z+9\right)+\frac{1}{4} \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(a z-\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\right] \geq \frac{1}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inside of interval $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ is unique critical point $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}$, in this point we have $f\left(\frac{1}{2}, z\right)=\frac{1}{12}(a z-3)^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \geq \frac{1}{4}$.. Hence, for any $\varepsilon \in[0,1]$ and $z \in(0, \infty)$ estimation $f(\varepsilon, z) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ is fair, therefore inequality is

$$
\frac{\max |\varphi(x, \lambda)|}{\left(\int_{0}^{a} \rho(x)|\varphi(x, \lambda]|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m} \cdot \sqrt{a \cdot \frac{1}{4}}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{m \cdot a}}
$$

So theorem is proved.
Thus we have proved, that normalized eigenfunctions of problem (1) - (3) in case of weight functions satisfying to Lipschitz condition are limited in regular intervals, the obtained result is proved by statement proved in [7] at $\alpha=1$, as

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|y_{n}(x)\right\|_{C_{0, a]}}}{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}}=C_{0}>0 .
$$
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