

Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 21, No. 2, April 2014, pp.125-134 ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2014 www.i-csrs.org Available free online at http://www.geman.in

Spatial and Descriptive Isometries

in Proximity Spaces

J.F. Peters¹, E. İnan² and M.A. Öztürk³

^{1,2}Computational Intelligence Laboratory
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Manitoba, Winnipeg Manitoba R3T 5V6, Canada
¹E-mail: james.peters3@ad.umanitoba.ca
^{1,2,3}Department of Mathematics Faculty of Arts and Sciences
Adıyaman University, Adıyaman, Turkey
²E-mail: einan@adiyaman.edu.tr
³E-mail: maozturk@adiyaman.edu.tr

(Received: 6-1-14 / Accepted: 11-2-14)

Abstract

The focus of this article is on two forms of isometries and homomorphisms in proximal relator spaces. A practical outcome of this study is the detection of descriptively near, disjoint sets in proximity spaces with application in the study of proximal algebraic structures.

Keywords: Spatial, Descriptive, Isometry, Homomorphism, Proximal Algebraic Structure, Proximity space, Relator.

1 Introduction

An algebraic structure is a set equipped with one or more binary operations. A proximal algebraic structure is an algebraic structure in a proximity space. This article introduces two forms of isometries and homomorphisms in proximity spaces. Proximity spaces were explored by Efremovič during the first part of 1930s and later formally introduced [2] and elaborated by Smirnov [13, 14]. The introduction of descriptive forms of isometry and homomorphism stems

from recent work on near sets [11, 6, 12, 9], near groups [4] and proximal relator spaces [10].

2 Preliminaries

X denotes a metric topological space endowed with 1 or more proximity relations. 2^X denotes the collection of all subsets of a nonempty set X. Subsets $A, B \in 2^X$ are near (denoted by $A \ \delta B$), provided $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. That is, nonempty sets are near, provided the sets have at least one point in common. The *closure* of a subset $A \in 2^X$ (denoted by cl(A)) is the usual Kuratowski closure of a set defined by

$$cl(A) = \{x \in X : D(x, A) = 0\}, \text{ where}$$

 $D(x, A) = inf \{d(x, a) : a \in A\}.$

i.e., cl(A) is the set of all points x in X that are close to A (D(x, A) is the Hausdorff distance [3, §22, p. 128] between x and the set A and d(x, a) = |x - a| (standard distance)). A *discrete* proximity relation is defined by

$$\delta = \{ (A, B) \in 2^X \times 2^X : \operatorname{cl}(A) \cap \operatorname{cl}(B) \neq \emptyset \}$$

The following proximity space axioms are given by Ju.M. Smirnov [13] based on what V. Efremovič introduced during the first half of the 1930s [2]. Let $A, B \in 2^X$.

- **EF**.1 If the set A is close to B, then B is close to A.
- **EF**.2 $A \cup B$ is close to C, if and only if, at least one of the sets A or B is close to C.
- EF.3 Two points are close, if and only if, they are the same point.
- **EF**.4 All sets are far from the empty set \emptyset .
- **EF**.5 For any two sets A and B which are far from each other, there exists C and $D, C \cup D = X$, such that A is far from C and B is far from D (*Efremovič axiom*).

In a proximity space X, the closure of A in X coincides with the intersection of all closed sets that contain A.

Theorem 1. [13] The closure of any set A in the proximity space X is the set of points $x \in X$ that are close to A.

2.1 Descriptive EF-Proximity Space

Descriptively near sets were introduced as a means of solving classification and pattern recognition problems arising from disjoint sets that resemble each other [8, 7]. Recently, the connections between near sets in EF-spaces and near sets in descriptive EF-proximity spaces have been explored in [12, 9].

Let X be a metric topological space containing non-abstract points and let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ a set of probe functions that represent features of each $x \in X$. In a discrete space, a non-abstract point has a location and features that can be measured [5, §3]. A probe function $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ represents a feature of a sample point in X. Let $\Phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \ldots, \phi_n(x))$ denote a feature vector for x, which provides a description of each $x \in X$. To obtain a descriptive proximity relation (denoted by δ_{Φ}), one first chooses a set of probe functions. Let $A, B \in 2^X$ and $\mathcal{Q}(A), \mathcal{Q}(B)$ denote sets of descriptions of points in A, B, respectively. That is,

$$\mathcal{Q}(A) = \{\Phi(a) : a \in A\},\$$
$$\mathcal{Q}(B) = \{\Phi(b) : b \in B\}.$$

The expression $A \ \delta_{\Phi} B$ reads A is descriptively near B. Similarly, $A \ \underline{\delta}_{\Phi} B$ reads A is descriptively far from B. The descriptive proximity of A and B is defined by

$$A \ \delta_{\Phi} \ B \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A) \cap \mathcal{Q}(B) \neq \emptyset.$$

The descriptive intersection \bigcap_{Φ} of A and B is defined by

 $A_{\Phi} \cap B = \{ x \in A \cup B : \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{Q}(A) \text{ and } \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{Q}(B) \}.$

That is, $x \in A \cup B$ is in $A \bigcap_{\Phi} B$, provided $\Phi(x) = \Phi(a) = \Phi(b)$ for some $a \in A, b \in B$. Observe that A and B can be disjoint and yet $A \bigcap_{\Phi} B$ can be nonempty. The descriptive proximity relation δ_{Φ} is defined by

$$\delta_{\Phi} = \left\{ (A, B) \in 2^X \times 2^X : \operatorname{cl}(A) \ \bigcap_{\Phi} \ \operatorname{cl}(B) \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

Whenever sets A and B have no points with matching descriptions, the sets are *descriptively far* from each other (denoted by $A \ \underline{\delta}_{\Phi} B$), where

$$\underline{\delta}_{\Phi} = 2^X \times 2^X \setminus \delta_{\Phi}.$$

The binary relation δ_{Φ} is a *descriptive EF-proximity*, provided the following axioms are satisfied for $A, B, C, D \in 2^X$.

- **dEF.1** If the set A is descriptively close to B, then B is descriptively close to A.
- **dEF**.2 $A \cup B$ is descriptively close to C, if and only if, at least one of the sets A or B is descriptively close to C.
- **dEF**.3 Two points $x, y \in X$ are descriptively close, if and only if, the description of x matches the description of y.

dEF.4 All nonempty sets are descriptively far from the empty set \emptyset .

dEF.5 For any two sets A and B which are descriptively far from each other, there exists C and D, $C \cup D = X$, such that A is descriptively far from C and B is descriptively far from D (Descriptive Efremovič axiom).

A relator is a nonvoid family of relations \mathcal{R} on a nonempty set X. The pair (X, \mathcal{R}) (also denoted $X(\mathcal{R})$) is called a relator space [16]. Relator spaces are natural generalisations of ordered sets and uniform spaces [15]. With the introduction of a family of proximity relations \mathcal{R}_{δ} on X, we obtain a proximal relator space $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta})$. For simplicity, we consider only two proximity relations, namely, the Efremovič proximity δ [2] and the descriptive proximity δ_{Φ} in defining the proximal relator $\mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}}$ on a metric topological space. The pair $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$ is called a descriptive proximal relator space (briefly, proximal relator space) [10]. With the introduction of $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$, the traditional closure of a subset provides a basis the descriptive closure of a subset.

In a proximal relator space X, the descriptive closure of a set A (denoted by $cl_{\Phi}(A)$) is defined by

$$\operatorname{cl}_{\Phi}(A) = \{x \in X : \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{Q}(\operatorname{cl}(A))\}$$

Theorem 2. [11] The descriptive closure of any set A in the proximal relator space $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$ is the set of points $x \in X$ that are descriptively close to A.



Figure 1: Descriptive isometry

3 Spatial and Descriptive Isometries and Homomorphisms in Proximity Spaces

Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}}), (Y, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$ be proximal relator spaces and $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$. A mapping $g_{\Phi} : \mathcal{Q}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A)$ is a *descriptive isometry*, provided $g_{\Phi}(\Phi(a)) =$

 $g_{\Phi}(\Phi(a'))$ when $\Phi(a) = \Phi(a'), a, a' \in A$. For a pseudometric *d* defined on *X* and *Y*, $g_{\Phi} : \mathcal{Q}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A)$ is a *descriptive isometry*, provided

 $d(g_{\Phi}(\Phi(a)), g_{\Phi}(\Phi(a'))) = 0$ when $d(\Phi(a), \Phi(a')) = 0$,

for $a, a' \in A$ [11]. Since a descriptive isometry is defined relative to matching descriptions, such an isometry can be defined without reference to a pseudo-metric.

Example 1. In Fig. 1, let M_1, M_2 be manifolds endowed with a descriptive proximity relation δ_{Φ} , where Φ contains a probe function that represents the angles between two curves on manifolds and let $T_p(M_1), T_{\psi(p)}(M_2)$ be tangent spaces. Let $\psi : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$ be a conformal map that for all $p \in M_1$ and all $v_1, v_2 \in T_p(M_1)$, we have $\langle d\psi_p(v_1), d\psi_p(v_2) \rangle = \lambda^2(p) \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$. The geometric meaning of this map is that the angles (but not necessarily the lengths) are preserved by conformal maps.

In Fig. 1, let we consider the pairs of curves $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in M_1$ and $(\gamma_1 = \psi \circ \alpha_1, \gamma_2 = \psi \circ \alpha_2), (\eta_1 = \psi \circ \beta_1, \eta_2 = \psi \circ \beta_2) \in M_2$. Then

$$\cos \theta_1 = \frac{\langle \alpha'_1, \alpha'_2 \rangle}{|\alpha'_1| |\alpha'_2|}, \quad \cos \theta_2 = \frac{\langle \beta'_1, \beta'_2 \rangle}{|\beta'_1| |\beta'_2|}, \quad 0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < \pi.$$

Observe that

$$\cos \bar{\theta}_1 = \frac{\langle \gamma_1', \gamma_2' \rangle}{|\gamma_1'| |\gamma_2'|} = \frac{\langle d\psi \left(\alpha_1'\right), d\psi \left(\alpha_2'\right) \rangle}{|d\psi \left(\alpha_1'\right)| |d\psi \left(\alpha_2'\right)|} = \frac{\lambda^2 \langle \alpha_1', \alpha_2' \rangle}{\lambda^2 |\alpha_1'| |\alpha_2'|} = \cos \theta_1$$

and

$$\cos\bar{\theta}_{2} = \frac{\langle \eta_{1}', \eta_{2}' \rangle}{|\eta_{1}'| |\eta_{2}'|} = \frac{\langle d\psi\left(\beta_{1}'\right), d\psi\left(\beta_{2}'\right) \rangle}{|d\psi\left(\beta_{1}'\right)| |d\psi\left(\beta_{2}'\right)|} = \frac{\lambda^{2}\left\langle\beta_{1}', \beta_{2}'\right\rangle}{\lambda^{2}\left|\beta_{1}'\right| |\beta_{2}'|} = \cos\theta_{2}.$$

Hence, conformal map ψ is provided such that

 $\Phi\left(\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}\right),\psi\left(\alpha_{2}\right)\right)\right)=\Phi\left(\left(\psi\left(\beta_{1}\right),\psi\left(\beta_{2}\right)\right)\right),$

when $\Phi((\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) = \Phi((\beta_1, \beta_2))$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in M_1$. Hence ψ is a descriptive isometry, but ψ is not an ordinary isometry.

Lemma 1. Kuratowski closure of a set A is a subset of the descriptive closure of A in a pseudometric proximal relator space.

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$ be a proximal relator space. Assume $A \subset X$ and that Φ is a set of probe functions the represent features of points in X. Let $a \in A$. Consequently, $\Phi(a) \in \mathcal{Q}(A)$, since $a \in cl(A)$. Assume $x \in X$ and $x \notin cl(A)$ such that $\Phi(x) = \Phi(a)$ for some $a \in A$. Hence, $cl(A) \subseteq cl_{\Phi}(A)$.

Theorem 3. Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}}, d_X)$, $(Y, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}}, d_Y)$ be pseudometric proximal relator spaces, $A \subseteq X$ and $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an isometry. Then $cl(f(A)) \subseteq cl_{\Phi}(f(A))$.

Proof. Let $y \in cl(f(A)), y = f(x), x \in A$. Then $d_X(x, A) = 0$. Since f is an isometry $d_X(x, A) = d_Y(f(x), f(A)) = 0$, then $d(\Phi(f(x)), \Phi(f(A))) =$ 0. Consequently $\Phi(f(x)) \in \mathcal{Q}(f(A))$. Hence $y = f(x) \in cl_{\Phi}(f(A))$ and $cl(f(A)) \subseteq cl_{\Phi}(f(A))$.

The following result for a descriptive isometry on a proximal relator space X into a proximal relator space Y, is obtained without using a metric.

Theorem 4. Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$, $(Y, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$ be proximal relator spaces, $A \subseteq X$, $B \subseteq Y$, $g_{\Phi} : \mathcal{Q}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B)$ be a descriptively isometry. Then $cl(g_{\Phi}(\mathcal{Q}(A))) \subseteq cl_{\Phi}(g_{\Phi}(\mathcal{Q}(A)))$.

Proof. Let $y \in cl(g_{\Phi}(\mathcal{Q}(A)))$, then $y = g_{\Phi}(x), x \in A, \Phi(y) \in \mathcal{Q}(B)$, $\Phi(x) \in \mathcal{Q}(A)$. Then $\Phi(g_{\Phi}(\Phi(x))) \in \mathcal{Q}(g_{\Phi}(\mathcal{Q}(A))), \Phi(x) \in \mathcal{Q}(A)$. Consequently, $y = g_{\Phi}(\Phi(x)) \in cl_{\Phi}(g_{\Phi}(\mathcal{Q}(A)))$. Hence, $cl(g_{\Phi}(\mathcal{Q}(A))) \subseteq cl_{\Phi}(g_{\Phi}(\mathcal{Q}(A)))$.

Theorem 5. Let $(X, \delta), (Y, \delta)$ be EF-proximity spaces, $A_1, A_2 \subseteq X$ and $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an isometry, then

$$\delta\left(A_{1},A_{2}\right)=0 \Rightarrow \delta\left(f\left(A_{1}\right),f\left(A_{2}\right)\right)=0.$$

Theorem 6. Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$, $(Y, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$ be proximal relator spaces, $A_1, A_2 \subseteq X$ and $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be an isometry, then

$$\delta_{\Phi}(A_1, A_2) = 0 \Rightarrow \delta_{\Phi}(f(A_1), f(A_2)) = 0.$$

Theorem 7. Let (X, δ_{Φ}) , (Y, δ_{Φ}) be proximal relator spaces, $A_1, A_2 \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y, g_{\Phi} : \mathcal{Q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(Y)$ be a descriptive isometry. Then $\delta_{\Phi}(A_1, A_2) = 0 \Rightarrow \delta_{\Phi}(g_{\Phi}(\mathcal{Q}(A_1)), g_{\Phi}(\mathcal{Q}(A_2))) = 0.$

Proof. Let $\delta_{\Phi}(A_1, A_2) = 0$. Then $\mathcal{Q}(A_1) \cap \mathcal{Q}(A_2) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., $\Phi(a_1) = \Phi(a_2)$, $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2$. Since g_{Φ} is a descriptive isometry $\Phi(g_{\Phi}(\Phi(a_1)) = \Phi(g_{\Phi}(\Phi(a_2))))$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{Q}(g_{\Phi}(\mathcal{Q}(A_1))) \cap \mathcal{Q}(g_{\Phi}(\mathcal{Q}(A_2))) \neq \emptyset,$$

i.e., $\delta_{\Phi}\left(g_{\Phi}\left(\mathcal{Q}(A_1)\right), g_{\Phi}\left(\mathcal{Q}(A_2)\right)\right) = 0.$

4 Descriptive Homomorphism

A binary operation on a set S is a mapping of $S \times S$ into S, where $S \times S$ is the set of all ordered pairs of elements of S. A groupoid (denoted $S(\circ)$) is a non-empty set S equipped with a binary operation \circ on S. Let $A(\circ)$ and $B(\bullet)$ be groupoids. A mapping h from A into B is a homomorphism,

if $h(x \circ y) = h(x) \bullet y(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$ [1, §1.3, p. 9]. A one-to-one homomorphism h from A into B is called an *isomorphism* on A to B.

Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}}), (Y, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$ be proximal relator spaces and consider the groupoids $\mathcal{Q}(A)(\circ_1), \mathcal{Q}(B)(\circ_2)$, where $A \subset X, B \subset Y$.

A mapping

 $h_{\Phi}: \mathcal{Q}(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A)$

is called a *descriptive homomorphism*, provided $h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_1) \circ_2 \Phi_B(b_2)) = h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_1)) \circ_1 h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_2))$ for all $\Phi_B(b_1), \Phi_B(b_2) \in \mathcal{Q}(B)$.

A one-to-one descriptive homomorphism h_{Φ} is called a *descriptive mono*morphism, a descriptive homomorphism h_{Φ} of $\mathcal{Q}(B)$ onto $\mathcal{Q}(A)$ is called a *de*scriptive epimorphism and one-to-one descriptive homomorphism h_{Φ} of $\mathcal{Q}(B)$ onto $\mathcal{Q}(A)$ is called a *descriptive isomorphism*.

Example 2. Let $M_1 = M_2 = \mathbb{R}^2$ be manifolds, $(M_1, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$, $(M_2, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$ be proximal relator spaces, $A \subset M_1, B \subset M_2$ be sets of all 2-dimensional shapes and $\Phi = \{\varphi : \varphi \text{ is a area of shapes}\}$. Let us consider the rotation

$$h: B \longrightarrow A, (x, y) \longmapsto (x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta, -x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta)$$

Observe that if area of b_1 matches area of b_2 , then area of $h(b_1)$ matches area of $h(b_2)$. That is, rotation h is provided $\Phi(h(b_1)) = \Phi(h(b_2))$ when $\Phi(b_1) = \Phi(b_2)$, $b_1, b_2 \in B$. Hence h is a descriptive isometry.

Example 3. Again, let $M_1 = M_2 = \mathbb{R}^2$ be manifolds, $(M_1, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$, $(M_2, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$ be proximal relator spaces, $A \subset M_1, B \subset M_2$ be sets of all 2-dimensional shapes and $\Phi = \{\varphi : \varphi \text{ is a area of shapes}\}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}(A)(\circ_1)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(B)(\circ_2)$ be groupoids, where

$$\circ_{1} : \mathcal{Q}(A) \times \mathcal{Q}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A) :$$

$$(\Phi(a_{1}), \Phi(a_{2})) \longmapsto \min \{\Phi(a_{1}), \Phi(a_{2})\},$$

$$\circ_{2} : \mathcal{Q}(B) \times \mathcal{Q}(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B) :$$

$$(\Phi(b_{1}), \Phi(b_{2})) \longmapsto \min \{\Phi(b_{1}), \Phi(b_{2})\}.$$

Let $h_{\Phi} : \mathcal{Q}(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A)$ be a map such that $h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b)) = \Phi_A(h(b))$, for all $b \in B$ and $\Phi_B(b) \in \mathcal{Q}(B)$.

Observe that $h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_1) \circ_2 \Phi_B(b_2)) = h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_1)) \circ_1 h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_2))$, for all $b_1, b_2 \in B$. Hence, h_{Φ} is a descriptive homomorphism.

5 Descriptive Epimorphism

Theorem 8. A descriptive isomorphism is a descriptive epimorphism.

Proof. Immediate from the definition of the definition of a descriptive epimorphism. \Box

Theorem 9. The descriptive homomorphism in Example 3 is a descriptive epimorphism.

Theorem 10. Let X, Y be proximal relator spaces and let $A \subset X, B \subset Y$ be proximal groupoids $A(\circ), B(\bullet)$. If $h : \mathcal{Q}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B)$ is a descriptive homomorphism such that every $\Phi(b) \in \mathcal{Q}(B)$ has a corresponding $\Phi(x) \circ \Phi(y) \in \mathcal{Q}(A)$ such that

$$h(\Phi(x) \circ \Phi(y)) = h(\Phi(x)) \bullet h(\Phi(y)) = \Phi(b) \in \mathcal{Q}(B),$$

then h is a descriptive epimorphism.

Proof. Immediate from the definition of a descriptive epimorphism from an algebraic structure onto another algebraic structure. \Box

6 Object Description

Let $A(\bullet)$, $\mathcal{Q}(A)(\circ)$ be ordinary groupoid and descriptive groupoid, respectively. Let $a \in A$. An object description Φ_A is defined by a mapping

$$A \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A) : a \longmapsto \Phi(a)$$

The object description Φ_A of A into $\mathcal{Q}(A)$ is an object description homomorphism, provided

$$\Phi_A(x \bullet y) = \Phi_A(x) \circ \Phi_A(y)$$
 for all $x, y \in A$.



Figure 2: Object Description Homomorphism Diagram

Let $h: B \longrightarrow A$ be a homomorphism and let $h_{\Phi}: \mathcal{Q}(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A)$ be a descriptive homomorphism such that

$$h_{\Phi}\left(\Phi_{B}\left(b\right)\right) = \Phi_{A}\left(h(b)\right).$$

See the arrow diagram in Fig. 2 for the object description homomorphism and descriptive homomorphism mappings gathered together. For all $b \in B$,

$$(h_{\Phi} \circ \Phi_B)(b) = h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b)) = \Phi_A(h(b)) = (\Phi_A \circ h)(b)$$

This leads to the following result.

Lemma 2. $h_{\Phi} \circ \Phi_B = \Phi_A \circ h$.

Theorem 11. Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$, $(Y, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$ be proximal relator spaces, $B(\cdot_2)$, $A(\cdot_1)$, $\mathcal{Q}(B)(\circ_2)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(A)(\circ_1)$ be groupoids and h be a homomorphism from $B(\cdot_2)$ to $A(\cdot_1)$. If there are a descriptive monomorphism h_{Φ} of $\mathcal{Q}(B)$ to $\mathcal{Q}(A)$ and an object description homomorphism Φ_A of A to $\mathcal{Q}(A)$, then there is an object description homomorphism Φ_B of B to $\mathcal{Q}(B)$.

Proof. For all
$$b_1, b_2 \in B$$
 and $\Phi(b_1), \Phi(b_2) \in \mathcal{Q}(B),$
 $h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_1 \cdot b_2)) = \Phi_A(h(b_1 \cdot b_2)) = \Phi_A(h(b_1) \cdot h(b_2))$
 $= \Phi_A(h(b_1)) \circ_1 \Phi_A(h(b_2))$
 $= h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_1)) \circ_1 h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_2))$
 $= h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_1) \circ_2 \Phi_B(b_2))$

Consequently $\Phi_B(b_1 \cdot b_2) = \Phi_B(b_1) \circ_2 \Phi_B(b_2)$. Hence Φ_B is an object description homomorphism from B into $\mathcal{Q}(B)$.

Theorem 12. Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$, $(Y, \mathcal{R}_{\delta_{\Phi}})$ be proximal relator spaces, $A \subset X$, $B \subset Y$ and let h_{Φ} be a descriptive homomorphism. Then h is a descriptive isometry from $\mathcal{Q}(B)$ to $\mathcal{Q}(A)$.

Proof. Let $\Phi_B(b_1) = \Phi_B(b_2), b_1, b_2 \in B$. Then $\Phi_A(h(\Phi(b_1))) = h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_1)) = h_{\Phi}(\Phi_B(b_2))) = \Phi_A(h(\Phi(b_2)))$. Hence h is a descriptive isometry. \Box

Acknowledgements

This research has been supported by the The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) Scientific Human Resources Development (BIDEB) under grant no: 2221-1059B211301223 and Natural Sciences & Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) discovery grant 185986.

References

- [1] A.H. Clifford and G.B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1964, xv+224pp.
- [2] V.A. Efremovič, The geometry of proximity I (in Russian), Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 31(73) (1952), no. 1, 189–200.

- [3] F. Hausdorff, *Grundzüge der mengenlehre*, Veit and Company, Leipzig, 1914, viii + 476 pp.
- [4] E. Inan and M.A. Oztürk, Near groups on nearness approximation spaces, Hacettepe J. of Math. and Statistics 41 (2012), no. 4, 545–558.
- [5] M.M. Kovár, A new causal topology and why the universe is co-compact, arXive:1112.0817[math-ph] (2011), 1–15.
- [6] S.A. Naimpally and J.F. Peters, *Topology with applications. Topological spaces via near and far*, World Scientific, Sinapore, 2013.
- [7] J.F. Peters, Near sets. General theory about nearness of objects, Applied Mathematical Sciences 1 (2007), no. 53, 2609–2029.
- [8] _____, Near sets. Special theory about nearness of objects, Fundam. Inf. **75** (2007), no. 1-4, 407–433.
- [9] _____, Near sets: An introduction, Math. in Comp. Sci. 7 (2013), no. 1, 3–9, DOI 10.1007/s11786-013-0149-6.
- [10] _____, Proximal relator spaces, FILOMAT (2014), 1–5, in press.
- [11] _____, Topology of Digital Images. Visual Pattern Discovery in Proximity Spaces, Intelligent Systems Reference Library, vol. 63, Springer, 2014, ISBN 978-3-642-53844-5, pp. 1-342.
- [12] J.F. Peters and S.A. Naimpally, Applications of near sets, Notices of the Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (2012), no. 4, 536–542, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/noti817.
- [13] Ju. M. Smirnov, On proximity spaces, Math. Sb. (N.S.) **31** (1952), no. 73, 543–574, English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. Ser. 2, 38, 1964, 5-35.
- [14] _____, On proximity spaces in the sense of V.A. Efremovič, Math. Sb. (N.S.) 84 (1952), 895–898, English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. Ser. 2, 38, 1964, 1-4.
- [15] A Száz, Basic tools and mild continuities in relator spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 50 (1987), 177–201.
- [16] _____, An extension of Kelley's closed relation theorem to relator spaces, FILOMAT **14** (2000), 49–71.