## ON IDEALS WITH PROJECTIVE BASES

## J. CICHOŃ AND A. KHARAZISHVILI

**Abstract**. A theorem concerning some descriptive properties of  $\sigma$ -ideals and generalizing the main result of [1] is proved. Various applications of this theorem are also presented.

**2000** Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E15, 28A05, 28B20. **Key words and phrases:** Ideal of sets, projective base, the perfect subset property, nonmeasurable set.

The article is concerned with those descriptive properties of a  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets, which are implied by the existence of a projective base for this ideal and are closely connected with the existence of nonmeasurable sets. The main result of the article (Theorem 1) generalizes the result of [1] and can successfully be applied to some questions of measure theory and set-theoretic topology (in this connection, cf. the references below and, especially, [2]).

The notation used throughout the paper is fairly standard.

As usual, we denote by  $\omega$  the set of all natural numbers.

If X is any set, then  $[X]^{\leq \omega}$  is the family of all countable subsets of X.

The cardinality of a set X is denoted by card(X).

The set of all real numbers is denoted by R.

Recall that if X is an arbitrary set, then P(X) is the family of all subsets of X.

If X is an arbitrary topological space, then B(X) is the family of all Borel subsets of X. Respectively, the well-known classes of projective subsets of X are denoted by

$$\Sigma_1(X), \ \Pi_1(X), \ \Sigma_2(X), \ \Pi_2(X), \ldots$$

It is also convenient to put  $\Sigma_0(X) = \Pi_0(X) = B(X)$ . As usual, we define

$$\Delta_n(X) = \Sigma_n(X) \cap \Pi_n(X).$$

A  $\Sigma_n$ -space is any  $\Sigma_n$ -subset of a Polish space X, equipped with the topology induced by the topology of X. In particular, according to this definition, a Suslin space is any  $\Sigma_1$ -space.

If S and T are any two families of subsets of a given set X, then we denote by  $S \triangle T$  the family of sets

$$\{Y \triangle Z : Y \in \mathcal{S} \& Z \in \mathcal{T}\},\$$

where, as usual,

$$Y \triangle Z = (Y \setminus Z) \cup (Z \setminus Y).$$

It is clear that if S is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets, then  $S \triangle \mathcal{I}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets, too.

If X is a metric space and  $x \in X$ , then  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  denotes the open ball with center x and radius  $\varepsilon$ .

Let X be a topological space and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal of subsets of X. We recall that  $\mathcal{I}$  has a Borel base if, for every set  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ , there exists a set  $Z \in \mathcal{I} \cap B(X)$  such that  $Y \subset Z$ . In an analogous manner, we say that  $\mathcal{I}$  has a  $\Pi_n$ -base if, for every set  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ , there exists a set  $Z \in \mathcal{I} \cap \Pi_n(X)$  such that  $Y \subset Z$ .

In this article we investigate those  $\sigma$ -ideals of subsets of  $\Sigma_n$ -spaces, which have  $\Pi_n$ -bases. We are especially interested in situations where such  $\sigma$ -ideals produce nonmeasurable (e.g., in the Lebesgue sense) subsets of an original space.

Notice first that if A is a subset of a Polish space X and

$$A \in \Pi_n(X) \setminus \Sigma_n(X),$$

then the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the family of sets  $P(A) \cup [X \setminus A]^{\leq \omega}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal with some  $\Pi_n$ -base and, obviously, this ideal has no  $\Sigma_n$ -base. We now give a slightly more elaborated example.

**Example 1.** Let P be a nonempty perfect subset of the real line  $\mathbf{R}$ , consisting of linearly independent elements (over the field  $\mathbf{Q}$  of all rational numbers). It is well known that such a set P exists (in this connection, see, e.g., [3]). Let us fix a natural number n > 0 and consider an arbitrary subset A of P belonging to the class  $\Pi_n(R) \setminus \Sigma_n(R)$ . It is also well known (see, for instance, [4]) that, for any two different real numbers t and q, we have

$$\operatorname{card}\left((P+t)\cap(P+q)\right)\leq 1.$$

Now, let us consider the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of subsets of the real line, generated by the family of all translates of the set A. Then  $\mathcal{J}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal invariant under the group of all translations of the real line. Evidently,  $\mathcal{J}$  has a  $\Pi_n$ -base. On the other hand,  $\mathcal{J}$  does not possess a  $\Sigma_n$ -base. To see this, suppose to the contrary that  $\mathcal{J}$  has a  $\Sigma_n$ -base. Then there exist a set  $B \in \Sigma_n(R)$  and a sequence  $\{t_n : n \in \omega\}$  of reals, such that

$$A \subset B \subset \cup \{A + t_n : n \in \omega\}.$$

From these inclusions we get

$$\operatorname{card}\left((B\cap P)\setminus A\right)\leq\omega$$

and therefore we obtain  $A \in \Sigma_n(R)$ , which is impossible.

Moreover, let us remark that if a  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  has a projective base, then, for some  $n \in \omega$ , it has also a  $\Pi_n$ -base. This fact is an immediate consequence of the following simple set-theoretical proposition:

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that  $(X, \leq)$  is an upward  $\sigma$ -centered partially ordered set, B is a cofinal subset of X and suppose that  $B = \bigcup \{B_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Then, for some  $n \in \omega$ , the set  $B_n$  is also cofinal in X.

We omit an easy proof of this proposition.

We shall say that a class of subsets of a Polish space has the perfect subset property if every uncountable set from this class contains a nonempty perfect subset. Let us recall that, in the theory **ZFC**, the classes  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_1$  have the perfect subset property. Recall also that the statement

the class  $\Pi_1$  has the perfect subset property

is independent of the theory **ZFC**. Moreover, it is known that, for each natural number n > 0, the theory

**ZFC** &  $\Sigma_n$  has the perfect subset property &  $\Pi_n$  has not the perfect subset property

is relatively consistent.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  be any two families of sets. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -summable if, for every  $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\cup \mathcal{A}' \in \mathcal{S}$  (cf. [2]).

A family  $\mathcal{A}$  of sets is called point-finite if  $\{A \in \mathcal{A} : a \in A\}$  is finite for each point  $a \in \cup \mathcal{A}$ .

The following result can be regarded as a stronger version of the main theorem from [1].

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that the class  $\Sigma_n$  has the perfect subset property. Let X be an arbitrary  $\Sigma_n$ -space and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of X with a  $\Pi_n$ -base. Suppose also that:

- 1) A is a point-finite family of sets;
- 2)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $(\Sigma_n(X) \triangle \mathcal{I})$ -summable family.

Then there exists a family  $\mathcal{B} \in [\mathcal{A}]^{\leq \omega}$  such that  $(\cup \mathcal{A} \setminus \cup \mathcal{B}) \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that X is an uncountable  $\Sigma_n$ -space and the cardinality of the given family  $\mathcal{A}$  is less than or equal to the cardinality continuum. Let T be a subset of X which contains no perfect subset and satisfies the equality  $\operatorname{card}(T) = \operatorname{card}(\mathcal{A})$  (note that the set T can be realized as a subset of some Bernstein set in the space X). Obviously, we may identify the set T with the set of indices of the given family  $\mathcal{A}$ . In other words, we can write  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t : t \in T\}$ . Furthermore, we put

$$\Gamma = \{(x,t) \in X \times T : x \in A_t\}.$$

Let D be a countable dense subset of X. It is easy to check that

$$\Gamma = \bigcap_{k \in \omega} \bigcup_{d \in D} \left( \Gamma^{-1} \left( B\left(d, \frac{1}{k+1}\right) \right) \times B\left(d, \frac{1}{k+1}\right) \right).$$

For any  $k \in \omega$  and for any  $d \in D$ , let  $S_{k,d} \in \Sigma_n(X)$  and  $A_{k,d} \in \mathcal{I}$  be subsets of X such that

$$\Gamma^{-1}\left(B\left(d, \frac{1}{k+1}\right)\right) = S_{k,d} \triangle A_{k,d}.$$

Define

$$A = \bigcup_{k \in \omega} \bigcup_{d \in D} A_{k,d}$$

and, taking into account that  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ , fix some  $\Pi_n$ -set  $A' \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $A \subset A'$ . Then the set

$$\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap ((X \setminus A') \times X)$$

is a  $\Sigma_n$ -subset of the product space  $X \times X$ . Hence, the set

$$T_1 = \left\{ t \in T : (\exists x)((x, t) \in \Gamma') \right\}$$

is a  $\Sigma_n$ -subset of the set T, too. Consequently,  $T_1$  must be countable. Thus we obtain

$$\bigcup_{t \in T_1} A_t \supset \bigcup_{t \in T} A_t \setminus A'.$$

In virtue of the relation  $A' \in \mathcal{I}$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$ 

Remark 1. Suppose that  $\Gamma \subset A \times B$  is a relation with finite vertical sections, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{card}\left(\left\{y:\left(x,y\right)\in\Gamma\right\}\right)<\omega$$

for each  $x \in A$ . Let  $\{V_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a countable family of subsets of B, which separates the points in B, i.e., for any two distinct points  $a, b \in B$ , there exists  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\operatorname{card}(V_n \cap \{a, b\}) = 1$ . Then we have

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{f \in 2^{\omega}} \bigcap_{n} \Gamma^{-1} \Big( V_0^{f(0)} \cap \ldots \cap V_n^{f(n)} \Big) \times \Big( V_0^{f(0)} \cap \ldots \cap V_n^{f(n)} \Big),$$

where  $V^0 = V$  and  $V^1 = B \setminus V$  for each set  $V \subset B$ . This fact enables us to develop some analogues of the above theorem for a wider class of topological spaces. In this connection, recall that a typical example of a non-separable Banach space with a countable family of Borel sets, separating the points, is the classical space  $l^{\infty}$  consisting of all bounded real-valued sequences.

It is possible to apply directly Theorem 1 to the family of all analytic subsets of a Polish space X (put  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ ), to the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of X with the Baire property, to the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of the real line, and so on. We shall give now some other applications of this theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that the class  $\Sigma_n$  has the perfect subset property. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an uncountable family of nonempty pairwise disjoint  $\Sigma_n$ -sets. Then there exists a subfamily  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\cup \mathcal{C}$  is not a  $\Sigma_n$ -set.

*Proof.* Indeed, let us put  $X = \cup \mathcal{A}$ . If X is not a  $\Sigma_n$ -space, then there is nothing to prove. Assume now that X is a  $\Sigma_n$ -space. Then we can consider the given family  $\mathcal{A}$  with the  $\sigma$ -ideal

$$\mathcal{I} = [X]^{\leq \omega}$$
.

Applying Theorem 1 to  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$ , we easily get the required result.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that X is a Polish space and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of X with a Borel base. Suppose also that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{I}$  is a point-finite family of sets, such that  $\cup \mathcal{A} = X$ . Then there exists a subfamily  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  for which

$$\bigcup \mathcal{C} \not\in B(X) \triangle \mathcal{I}.$$

*Proof.* Indeed, since the class  $B(X) = \Sigma_0(X) = \Pi_0(X)$  has the perfect subset property, we may directly apply Theorem 1 to the family  $\mathcal{A}$  and to the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ .  $\square$ 

Let us consider two immediate consequences of Theorem 3.

**Example 2.** Let X be a Polish space and let  $\mathcal{I}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -ideal of all first category subsets of X. Suppose also that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{I}$  is a point-finite covering of X. Then, according to Theorem 3, there exists a family  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that the set  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  does not have the Baire property.

**Example 3.** Let X be a Polish space and let  $\mu$  be a nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on X. Denote by  $\mu'$  the completion of  $\mu$  and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the  $\sigma$ -ideal of all  $\mu'$ -measure zero subsets of X. Suppose also that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{I}$  is a point-finite covering of X. Then, in view of Theorem 3, there exists a family  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that the set  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is not measurable with respect to  $\mu'$ .

Now, for a given family S of sets, we define

$$\mathcal{S}^{-} = \big\{ Z : (\forall Z' \subset Z)(Z' \in \mathcal{S}) \big\}.$$

Using this notation, we can formulate the following result.

**Theorem 4.** If X is a Polish space and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of X covering X and possessing a Borel base, then

$$(B(X)\triangle \mathcal{I})^- = \mathcal{I}.$$

This result easily follows from Theorem 3 and seems to be natural.

In order to present some other consequences of Theorem 1, we need to recall the concept of a discrete family of sets (in a topological space). Since we deal here only with metrizable topological spaces, this concept will be introduced for metric spaces (see, e.g., [5]).

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of a metric space E is called discrete if there exists a nonzero  $m \in \omega$  such that

$$(\forall F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}) \Big( F_1 \neq F_2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{dist}(F_1, F_2) > \frac{1}{m} \Big).$$

Notice that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a discrete family of closed sets and  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\cup \mathcal{S}$  is a closed set, too. Moreover, if  $Z \subset E$  is compact, then the family

$$\{Y \in \mathcal{F} : Y \cap Z \neq \varnothing\}$$

is finite.

In our further considerations we need the following corollary from the well-known Montgomery Lemma (see [6]).

**Lemma 2.** Let E be an arbitrary metric space and let S be any family of open sets in E, such that  $\cup S = E$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \omega\}$  of discrete families of closed subsets of E, satisfying the relations:

- 1)  $(\forall n \in \omega)(\forall F \in \mathcal{F}_n)(\exists U \in \mathcal{S})(F \subset U)$ ;
- 2)  $\bigcup_{n\in\omega}(\bigcup\mathcal{F}_n)=E$ .

The proof of this lemma is presented, e.g., in [6] and [7].

Remark 2. For any infinite cardinal number  $\tau$ , let us consider the topological sum of the family of spaces  $\{[0,1] \times \{\xi\} : \xi < \tau\}$ . Let us identify in this sum all points  $(0,\xi)$ , where  $\xi < \tau$ , and denote the obtained space by  $E_{\tau}$ . It is well known that any metric space E with weight  $\tau$  can be embedded into the countable product of copies of  $E_{\tau}$  (see, e.g., [5]). From this fact, the previous auxiliary proposition (i.e., Lemma 2) can be deduced without using the Montgomery Lemma.

Let E be a metric space. As usual, we denote by  $\operatorname{Comp}(E)$  the family of all nonempty compact subsets of E and we equip this family with the Vietoris topology (see, e.g., [5]). Further, let S be a family of subsets of a given set X, closed under countable unions and countable intersections.

We shall say that a mapping

$$\Phi: X \to \operatorname{Comp}(E)$$

is upper S-measurable if, for every open set  $Y \subset E$ , the relation

$$\{x \in X : \Phi(x) \cap Y \neq \varnothing\} \in \mathcal{S}$$

is fulfilled. It can easily be checked that, in our case, a mapping  $\Phi$  is upper S-measurable if and only if it is lower S-measurable, i.e., for every closed set  $Z \subset E$ , we have

$$\{x \in X : \Phi(x) \cap Z \neq \varnothing\} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Of course, it is essential here that, for each point  $x \in X$ , the set  $\Phi(x)$  is compact and nonempty.

**Lemma 3.** Let the class  $\Sigma_n$  have the perfect subset property, let X be an arbitrary  $\Sigma_n$ -space and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of X with a  $\Pi_n$ -base. Suppose also that E is a metric space and

$$\Phi: X \to \operatorname{Comp}(E)$$

is a lower  $(\Sigma_n(X) \triangle \mathcal{I})$ -measurable mapping. Finally, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a discrete family of closed subsets of E. Then there exist a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{F}'$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ , such that

$$(\forall x \in X \setminus A)(\Phi(x) \cap (\cup \mathcal{F}) \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow \Phi(x) \cap (\cup \mathcal{F}') \neq \varnothing).$$

*Proof.* For each set  $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ , we put

$$A_Z = \left\{ x \in X : \Phi(x) \cap Z \neq \varnothing \right\}.$$

The compactness of all values of the mapping  $\Phi$  implies that  $\{A_Z : Z \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a point-finite family. Furthermore, the discreteness of the family  $\mathcal{F}$  implies that  $\{A_Z: Z \in \mathcal{F}\}\$  is a  $(\Sigma_n(X) \triangle \mathcal{I})$ -summable family. Hence, by Theorem 1, there exist a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{F}'$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ , such that

$$\cup \{A_Z : Z \in \mathcal{F}'\} \cup A = \cup \{A_Z : Z \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Suppose now that  $x \in X \setminus A$  and that  $\Phi(x) \cap (\cup \mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then we have

$$x \in \bigcup \{A_Z : Z \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Consequently,  $x \in \bigcup \{A_Z : Z \in \mathcal{F}'\}$  and, therefore,

$$\Phi(x) \cap (\cup \mathcal{F}') \neq \varnothing.$$

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 4.** Let the class  $\Sigma_n$  have the perfect subset property, let X be an arbitrary  $\Sigma_n$ -space and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of X with a  $\Pi_n$ -base. Suppose also that E is a metric space and

$$\Phi: X \to \operatorname{Comp}(E)$$

is a lower  $(\Sigma_n(X) \triangle \mathcal{I})$ -measurable mapping. Finally, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a discrete family of closed subsets of E. Then there exist a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{F}'$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ , such that

$$(\forall x \in X \setminus A)(\forall Z \in \mathcal{F}) \Big( \Phi(x) \cap Z \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow Z \in \mathcal{F}' \Big).$$

*Proof.* We use Lemma 3 and define three sequences

$$(A_n)_{n\in\omega}, (B_n)_{n\in\omega}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n\in\omega}$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $A_0 = \{x \in X : \Phi(x) \cap (\cup \mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset\};$
- $(2) A_0 \supset B_0 \supset A_1 \supset B_1 \supset \dots;$
- (3)  $\mathcal{F}_n \cap \mathcal{F}_m = \emptyset$  for all distinct  $n, m \in \omega$ ; (4)  $\mathcal{F}_n \in [\mathcal{F}]^{\leq \omega}$  for each  $n \in \omega$ ;
- (5)  $A_n \setminus B_n \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  $n \in \omega$ ;
- (6) if  $x \in B_n$ , then  $\Phi(x) \cap (\cup \mathcal{F}_n) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (7) for any  $n \in \omega$ , we have

$$A_{n+1} = \left\{ x \in B_n : \Phi(x) \cap (\cup (\mathcal{F} \setminus (\mathcal{F}_0 \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{F}_n))) \neq \varnothing \right\}.$$

Observe that

$$\cap_{n\in\omega}A_n=\cap_{n\in\omega}B_n=\varnothing.$$

Indeed, if  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} A_n = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} B_n$ , then the set

$$\{Z \in \mathcal{F} : \Phi(x) \cap Z \neq \varnothing\}$$

must be infinite. But this is impossible, since  $\Phi(x)$  is a compact set. Hence,  $\bigcap_{n\in\omega}A_n=\varnothing$ . Let us put

$$A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (A_n \setminus B_n),$$
  
$$\mathcal{F}' = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Notice that  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ . If  $x \in X \setminus A$ ,  $Z \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\Phi(x) \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ , then we have

$$x \in (B_0 \setminus A_1) \cup (B_1 \setminus A_2) \cup \dots$$

This yields  $x \in B_n \setminus A_{n+1}$  for some  $n \in \omega$ . Therefore,  $x \in B_n$  and  $x \notin A_{n+1}$ . The last two relations imply at once that if  $Z \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\Phi(x) \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ , then  $Z \in \mathcal{F}'$ . Thus, the lemma is proved.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 5.** Let the class  $\Sigma_n$  have the perfect subset property, let X be an arbitrary  $\Sigma_n$ -space and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of X with a  $\Pi_n$ -base. Suppose also that E is a metric space and

$$\Phi: X \to \operatorname{Comp}(E)$$

is a lower  $(\Sigma_n(X)\Delta \mathcal{I})$ -measurable mapping. Then there exist a set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and a closed separable subset F of E, such that

$$(\forall x \in X \setminus A)(\Phi(x) \subset F).$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 2, we can find a double sequence

$$(\mathcal{F}_{n,m})_{n\in\omega,m\in\omega}$$

of families of closed subsets of the space E, such that:

- 1)  $(\forall n \in \omega)(\forall m \in \omega)(\forall Z \in \mathcal{F}_{n,m})(\operatorname{diam}(Z) < \frac{1}{1+m});$
- 2)  $(\forall m \in \omega)(\cup_{n \in \omega}(\cup \mathcal{F}_{n,m}) = E);$
- 3)  $(\forall n \in \omega)(\forall m \in \omega)(\mathcal{F}_{n,m} \text{ is discrete}).$

Next, we use Lemma 4 and, for any  $n, m \in \omega$ , we can find a set  $A_{n,m}$  and a family  $\mathcal{H}_{n,m}$ , such that:

- a)  $\mathcal{H}_{n,m} \in [\mathcal{F}_{n,m}]^{\leq \omega}$ ;
- b)  $A_{n,m} \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- c)  $(\forall x \in X \setminus A_{n,m})(\forall Z \in \mathcal{F}_{n,m})(\Phi(x) \cap Z \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow Z \in \mathcal{H}_{n,m}).$

Let us consider the subspace

$$F = \operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcap_{m \in \omega} \left(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \left(\bigcup \mathcal{H}_{n,m}\right)\right)\right)$$

of the space E and let us put

$$A = \bigcup_{n,m \in \omega} A_{n,m}.$$

Then it is easy to check that F and A are the required sets, which completes the proof.  $\square$ 

Now, we are able to formulate and prove several consequences of the preceding results, concerning some kinds of measurable functions and selectors.

**Theorem 5.** Suppose again that the class  $\Sigma_n$  has the perfect subset property. Let X be an arbitrary  $\Sigma_n$ -space and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of X with a  $\Pi_n$ -base. If E is a metric space and

$$f: X \to E$$

is a  $(\Sigma_n(X) \triangle \mathcal{I})$ -measurable mapping, then there exists a set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $f(X \setminus A)$  is a separable subspace of E.

*Proof.* Let us consider the mapping

$$\Phi(x) = \{ f(x) \} \quad (x \in X).$$

Then we obviously have

$$\Phi: X \to \operatorname{Comp}(E)$$

and it is easy to see that  $\Phi$  is lower  $(\Sigma_n(X)\Delta\mathcal{I})$ -measurable. Hence, we may utilize Lemma 5 to the mapping  $\Phi$ . In this way we get the desired result.  $\square$ 

Evidently, we can also apply Theorem 5 to the class  $\Sigma_1$  and to the ideal  $\mathcal{I} = \{\varnothing\}$ . Then we obtain the following theorem due to Frolik (see [8]).

**Theorem 6.** Suppose that X is a Suslin space, E is a metric space and

$$f: X \to E$$

is a Borel mapping. Then the range of f is a separable subspace of E.

Let us notice that this result can also be easily deduced from Theorem 2.

Remark 3. Let us consider the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on the real line R generated by the family

$$\Big\{U\setminus T: U \ \text{ is open } \& \ T \ \text{ is countable}\Big\}.$$

Then the function  $f: R \to R$  given by the formula f(x) = x and treated as a mapping from the real line equipped with the standard topology into the real line equipped with the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ , is a Borel isomorphism, but the range of f is nonseparable. This simple example shows us that, in Theorem 6, the assumption of metrizability of E is rather essential.

**Theorem 7.** Suppose that X is a Polish space, E is a metric space and

$$f: E \to X$$

is a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- a)  $(\forall x \in X)(f^{-1}(\{x\}) \in \text{Comp}(E) \cup \{\varnothing\});$
- b) for each closed set  $Z \subset E$ , we have  $f(Z) \in \Sigma_1(X)$ .

Then E is a separable space.

Proof. Indeed, let us define

$$\Phi(x) = f^{-1}(x) \quad (x \in f(E))$$

and put  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ . Then a straightforward application of Lemma 5 to  $\Phi$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  yields the desired result.  $\square$ 

Let S be a family of subsets of a given set X, let E be another set and let  $f: X \to E$ . We shall say that f is an S-step function (with the values in the set E) if there exist a partition  $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$  of X into sets from S and a sequence  $\{e_n : n \in \omega\}$  of elements from E, such that

$$f = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (A_n \times \{e_n\}).$$

Of course, here the function f is identified with its graph.

Suppose that the class  $\Sigma_n$  has the perfect subset property. Let X be a  $\Sigma_n$ -space,  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of X with a  $\Pi_n$ -base, E be an arbitrary metric space and let  $f: X \to E$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- a) f is a  $(\Delta_n(X)\Delta\mathcal{I})$ -measurable function;
- b) f is  $\mathcal{I}$ -almost equal to a pointwise limit of a sequence of  $(\Delta_n(X)\Delta\mathcal{I})$ -step functions.

This equivalence directly follows from Theorem 5. The next statement can be obtained analogously.

**Theorem 8.** Suppose again that the class  $\Sigma_n$  has the perfect subset property. Let X be a  $\Sigma_n$ -space,  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of X with a  $\Pi_n$ -base, E be any metrizable topological group and let

$$f: X \to E, \ q: X \to E$$

be any two  $(\Delta_n(X)\Delta \mathcal{I})$ -measurable functions. Then the sum f+g is a  $(\Delta_n(X)\Delta \mathcal{I})$ -measurable function, too.

*Proof.* It suffices to reduce Theorem 8 to the case where E is a separable metrizable topological group. But this can easily be done with the aid of Theorem 5 (we can also directly apply the equivalence of the conditions a) and b) above).  $\square$ 

**Theorem 9.** Suppose again that the class  $\Sigma_n$  has the perfect subset property. Let X be a  $\Sigma_n$ -space and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of X with a  $\Pi_n$ -base. Suppose also that E is a metric space and let

$$\Phi: X \to \operatorname{Comp}(E)$$

be a lower  $(\Delta_n(X)\triangle\mathcal{I})$ -measurable mapping. Then there exists a  $(\Delta_n(X)\triangle\mathcal{I})$ -measurable selector of  $\Phi$ .

*Proof.* According to Lemma 5, there are a  $\Pi_n$ -set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and a closed separable subspace F of E, such that

$$(\forall x \in X \setminus A)(\Phi(x) \subset F).$$

Let F' denote the completion of the metric space F. Then F' is a Polish space and  $\Phi(x)$  is a nonempty compact subset of F' for each  $x \in X \setminus A$ . Hence, we may apply the classical theorem on measurable selectors (due to Kuratowski and Ryll–Nardzewski [9]) to the restriction  $\Phi|(X \setminus A)$  and to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $(\Delta_n(X)\Delta\mathcal{I}) \cap P(X \setminus A)$ . In view of this theorem, there exists a  $((\Delta_n(X)\Delta\mathcal{I}) \cap P(X \setminus A))$ -measurable selector of  $\Phi|(X \setminus A)$ . Then it is not hard to see that a suitable extension of this selector gives us a  $(\Delta_n(X)\Delta\mathcal{I})$ -measurable selector of the original mapping  $\Phi$ .  $\square$ 

Remark 4. A connection between the notion of summability and the existence of measurable selectors is thoroughly investigated in the well-known monograph [2]. In this monograph the paracompactness of any metric space is utilized instead of the Montgomery Lemma, and the further argument is essentially based on the assumption that a given  $\sigma$ -ideal satisfies the countable chain condition. In this respect, it is reasonable to recall here that there are many natural examples of  $\sigma$ -ideals with a Borel base which do not satisfy the countable chain condition.

Note also that some related questions concerning the existence of measurable (or nonmeasurable) selectors for a given partition of the real line are discussed in [10].

## References

- 1. J. Brzuchowski, J. Cichoń, E. Grzegorek, and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, On the existence of nonmeasurable unions. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math.* **27**(1979), No. 6, 447–448.
- 2. D. Fremlin, Measure-additive coverings and measurable selectors. *Dissertationes Math.* (*Rozprawy Mat.*) **260**(1987), 1–116.
- 3. J. C. Morgan II, Point Set Theory. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1990.
- 4. S. Ruziewicz and W. Sierpiński, Sur une ensemble parfait qui a avec toute sa translation au plus un point commun. Fund. Math. 19(1932), 17–21.
- 5. R. Engelking, General topology. PWN, Warszawa, 1985.
- 6. M. D. Montgomery, Non-separable metric spaces. Fund. Math. 25(1935), 527–533.
- 7. J. C. Oxtoby, Measure and category. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971.
- 8. Z. Frolik, A measurable map with analytic domain and metrizable range is quotient. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76**(1970), 1112–1117.
- 9. K. Kuratowski and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, A general theorem on selectors. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Swr. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **13**(1965), 397–403.
- 10. J. Cichoń, A. Kharazishvili, and B. Weglorz, On sets of Vitali's type. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 118(1993), No. 4, 1243–1250.

(Received 17.09.2001)

Author's addresses:

J. Cichoń

Institute of Mathematics

University of Wroclaw

pl. Grunwaldzki, 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław

Poland

E-mail: jci@promat.com.pl

A. Kharazishvili

I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics

I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

2, University St., Tbilisi 380043

Georgia

E-mail: kharaz@saba.edu.ge