

## TENSOR PRODUCTS OF NON-ARCHIMEDEAN WEIGHTED SPACES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

A. K. KATSARAS AND A. BELOYIANNIS

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the completion of the tensor product of two non-Archimedean weighted spaces of continuous functions is topologically isomorphic to another weighted space. Several applications of this result are given.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Weighted spaces of continuous functions were introduced in the complex case by L. Nachbin in [1], and in the vector case by J. Prolla in [2]. Many other authors have continued the investigation of such spaces. W. H. Summers has shown in [3] that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are locally compact topological spaces and  $U, V$  Nachbin families on  $X, Y$ , respectively, then  $CU_0(X) \otimes CV_0(Y)$  is topologically isomorphic to a dense subspace of  $CW_0(X \times Y)$ , where  $W = U \times V = \{u \times v : u \in U, v \in V\}$  and  $(u \times v)(x, y) = u(x)v(y)$ .

The  $p$ -adic weighted spaces of continuous functions were introduced by J. P. Q. Carneiro in [4]. Several of the properties of these spaces were studied by the authors in [5] and [6]. In this paper we show that if  $X, Y$  are Hausdorff topological spaces, not necessarily locally compact,  $U, V$  Nachbin families on  $X, Y$  respectively and  $E$  a non-Archimedean polar locally convex space, then  $CU_0(X) \otimes CV_0(Y, E)$  is topologically isomorphic to a dense subspace of  $CW_0(X \times Y, E)$ , where  $W = U \times V$ . We give several applications of this result. We also show that on the space  $C_b(X, E)$  of all bounded continuous  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$ , the strict topology defined in [7] is the weighted topology which corresponds to a certain Nachbin family on  $X$ .

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbf{K}$  will stand for a complete non-Archimedean valued field whose valuation is nontrivial. By a seminorm, on a vector

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46S10.

*Key words and phrases.* Nachbin families, weighted spaces, non-Archimedean seminorms, tensor product, strict topology.

space  $E$  over  $\mathbf{K}$ , we mean a non-Archimedean seminorm. Let  $E$  be a locally convex space over  $\mathbf{K}$ . The collection of all continuous seminorms on  $E$  will be denoted by  $cs(E)$ . The algebraic and the topological duals of  $E$  will be denoted by  $E^*$  and  $E'$ , respectively. For a subset  $B$  of  $E$ ,  $B^0$  denotes its polar subset of  $E'$ . A seminorm  $p$  on  $E$  is called polar if

$$p = \sup\{|f| : f \in E^*, |f| \leq p\},$$

where  $|f|$  is defined by  $|f|(x) = |f(x)|$ . The space  $E$  is called polar if its topology is generated by a family of polar seminorms. If  $E, F$  are locally convex spaces over  $\mathbf{K}$ , then  $E \otimes F$  denotes the projective tensor product of these spaces. By  $E \widehat{\otimes} F$  we denote the completion of  $E \otimes F$ . Also, by  $p \otimes q$  we denote the tensor product of the seminorms  $p$  and  $q$ . For all unexplained terms concerning non-Archimedean spaces we refer to [8].

Next we recall the definition of non-Archimedean weighted spaces. Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space and  $E$  a locally convex space. The space of all continuous  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$  is denoted by  $C(X, E)$ . By  $C_b(X, E)$  and  $C_0(X, E)$  we denote the spaces of all members of  $C(X, E)$  which are bounded on  $X$  or vanish at infinity on  $X$ , respectively. In case  $E = \mathbf{K}$ , we write  $C(X), C_b(X)$  and  $C_0(X)$  instead of  $C(X, \mathbf{K}), C_b(X, \mathbf{K})$  and  $C_0(X, \mathbf{K})$ .

A Nachbin family on  $X$  is a family  $V$  of non-negative upper-semicontinuous functions on  $X$  such that:

(1) For all  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  and any  $a > 0$  there exists  $v \in V$  with  $v \geq av_1, av_2$  (pointwise) on  $X$ .

(2) For every  $x \in X$  there exists  $v \in V$  with  $v(x) > 0$ .

Let now  $p \in cs(E)$  and  $v \in V$ . For an  $E$ -valued function  $f$  on  $X$ , we define

$$q_{v,p}(f) = \|f\|_{v,p} = \sup\{v(x)p(f(x)) : x \in X\}.$$

In case  $f$  is  $\mathbf{K}$ -valued, we define

$$q_v(f) = \|f\|_v = \sup\{v(x)|f(x)| : x \in X\}.$$

Also, for an  $\mathbf{R}$ -valued or  $\mathbf{K}$ -valued function  $f$  on  $X$ , we define

$$\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}.$$

The weighted space  $CV(X, E)$  is defined to be the space of all  $f$  in  $C(X, E)$  such that  $q_{v,p}(f) < \infty$  for all  $v \in V$  and all  $p \in cs(E)$ . Note that  $q_{v,p}$  is a non-Archimedean seminorm on  $CV(X, E)$ . We will denote by  $CV_0(X, E)$  the subspace of  $CV(X, E)$  consisting of all  $f$  such that the function  $x \mapsto v(x)p(f(x))$  vanishes at infinity on  $X$  for each  $v \in V$  and each  $p \in cs(E)$ . On  $CV(X, E)$  and on  $CV_0(X, E)$  we will consider the weighted topology  $\tau_\nu$  generated by the seminorms  $q_{v,p}, v \in V, p \in cs(E)$ . When  $E = \mathbf{K}$ , we will simply write  $CV(X)$  and  $CV_0(X)$  instead of  $CV(X, \mathbf{K})$  and  $CV_0(X, \mathbf{K})$ .

## 3. ON THE STRICT TOPOLOGY

For a locally compact zero dimensional topological space  $X$  and a non-Archimedean normed space  $E$ , J. Prolla has defined, in [9], the strict topology  $\beta$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  as the topology defined by the seminorms

$$f \mapsto \|\phi f\| = \sup\{\|\phi(x)f(x)\| : x \in X\},$$

where  $\phi \in C_0(X)$ . For an arbitrary topological space  $X$  and a locally convex space  $E$ , the strict topology  $\beta_0$  on  $C_b(X, E)$  was defined in [7]. This is the topology generated by the seminorms

$$f \mapsto \|\phi f\|_p = \sup\{|\phi(x)|p(f(x)) : x \in X\}$$

where  $p \in cs(E)$  and  $\phi$  belongs to the family  $B_0(X)$  of all bounded  $\mathbf{K}$ -valued functions  $f$  on  $X$  which vanish at infinity. As shown in [7],  $\beta_0 = \beta$  when  $X$  is locally compact zero-dimensional. In this section we will show that  $\beta_0$  is a weighted topology.

Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space and let  $B_{0u}(X)$  denote the family of all  $\phi \in B_0(X)$  for which  $|\phi|$  is upper-semicontinuous.

**Lemma 3.1.**

(1) *If  $V = |B_{0u}(X)| = \{|\phi| : \phi \in B_{0u}(X)\}$ , then  $V$  is a Nachbin family on  $X$ .*

(2) *For each  $\phi \in B_0(X)$  there exists  $\psi \in B_{0u}(X)$  such that  $|\phi| \leq |\psi|$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in B_{0u}(X)$  and if  $\phi$  is defined on  $X$  by

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_1(x) + \phi_2(x) & \text{if } |\phi_1(x)| \neq |\phi_2(x)| \\ \phi_1(x) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then  $|\phi| = \max\{|\phi_1|, |\phi_2|\}$  and  $\phi \in B_{0u}(X)$ . It follows now easily that  $V$  is a Nachbin family on  $X$ .

(2) Let  $\phi \in B_0(X)$  and choose  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\|\phi\| < |\lambda|$ . There exists an increasing sequence  $(D_n)$  of compact subsets of  $X$  such that  $\{x \in X : |\phi(x)| > |\lambda|^n\} \subseteq D_n$ . Let  $\phi_n$  denote the  $\mathbf{K}$ -characteristic function of  $D_n$ . For each  $x \in X$ , the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \phi_n(x)$  converges in  $\mathbf{K}$ . Define  $\psi$  on  $X$  by

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \phi_n(x).$$

If  $x \in D_n \setminus D_{n-1}$ , then  $|\psi(x)| = |\lambda|^n$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $n$  such that  $|\lambda|^n < \epsilon$ . Now  $\{x \in X : |\psi(x)| > \epsilon\} \subseteq D_n$  and so  $\psi \in B_0(X)$ . Also, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $A = \{x : |\psi(x)| < \epsilon\}$  is open. Indeed, if  $|\lambda| < \epsilon$ , then  $A = X$ . Assume  $\epsilon \leq |\lambda|$  and let  $\kappa$  be such that  $|\lambda|^{\kappa+1} < \epsilon \leq |\lambda|^\kappa$ . If  $x_0 \in A$ , then  $x_0 \notin D_\kappa$ . Also, for  $x \notin D_\kappa$ , we have  $|\psi(x)| \leq |\lambda|^{\kappa+1} < \epsilon$  and so  $x \in A$ . Thus  $A = X \setminus D_\kappa$ , which shows that  $A$  is open. Finally,  $|\lambda\phi| \leq |\psi|$ . Indeed,

let  $\phi(x) \neq 0$ . If  $x \in D_1$ , then  $|\psi(x)| = |\lambda| \geq |\lambda\phi(x)|$ . If  $x \in D_{n+1} \setminus D_n$ , then  $|\phi(x)| \leq |\lambda|^n$  and so  $|\psi(x)| = |\lambda|^{n+1} \geq |\lambda\phi(x)|$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $V$  is as in the preceding Lemma, then*

$$CV(X, E) = CV_0(X, E) = C_b(X, E) \quad (\text{algebraically})$$

and the weighted topology on  $CV(X, E)$  coincides with the strict topology  $\beta_0$  on  $C_b(X, E)$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $C_b(X, E) \subseteq CV_0(X, E)$ . On the other hand, assume that some  $f \in CV(X, E)$  is not bounded. Then, for  $|\lambda| > 1$ , there exist  $p \in cs(E)$  and a sequence  $(x_n)$  of distinct elements of  $X$  such that  $p(f(x_n)) > |\lambda|^{2n}$  for all  $n$ . Let  $\phi_n$  be the  $\mathbf{K}$ -characteristic function of the set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . As in the proof of the preceding Lemma, we get that the function  $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n} \phi_n$  is in  $B_{0u}(X)$  and  $|\phi(x_n)| = |\sum_{\kappa \geq n} \lambda^{-\kappa} \phi_{\kappa}(x_n)| = |\lambda|^{-n}$ . Thus  $\sup_n |\phi(x_n)|p(f(x_n)) = \infty$  contradicts the fact that  $f \in CV(X, E)$ . This proves the first part. The second part follows from (2) of the preceding Lemma.  $\square$

#### 4. TENSOR PRODUCTS OF WEIGHTED SPACES

Let  $X, Y$  be Hausdorff topological spaces and let  $U, V$  be Nachbin families on  $X, Y$  respectively. Set  $W = U \times V = \{u \times v : u \in U, v \in V\}$  where  $u \times v$  is defined on  $X \times Y$  by  $(u \times v)(x, y) = u(x)v(y)$ . It is easy to see that  $W$  is a Nachbin family on  $X \times Y$ . In the complex case, Summers has shown in [3] that, for locally compact  $X, Y$ ,  $CU_0(X) \otimes CV_0(Y)$  is topologically isomorphic to a dense subspace of  $CW_0(X \times Y)$ . The following is an analogous result in our case. Note that we do not assume that  $X, Y$  are locally compact.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $U, V, W$  be as above and let  $E$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space over  $\mathbf{K}$ . Then:*

(1)  $CU_0(X) \otimes CV_0(Y, E)$  is topologically isomorphic to a subspace  $G$  of  $CW_0(X \times Y, E)$ ;

(2) if  $X$  is zero-dimensional and  $E$  a polar space, then  $G$  is a dense subspace of  $CW_0(X \times Y, E)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let

$$\begin{aligned} B : CU_0(X) \times CV_0(Y, E) &\mapsto CW_0(X \times Y, E), \\ B(\phi, f) &= \phi \times f, \quad (\phi \times f)(x, y) = \phi(x)f(y). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $B$  is bilinear. Let

$$T = \tilde{B} : CU_0(X) \otimes CV_0(Y, E) \mapsto CW_0(X \times Y, E)$$

be the corresponding linear map. Then  $T$  is one-to-one. Indeed, assume that for some  $h = \sum_1^n \phi_\kappa \otimes f_\kappa$  we have  $T(h) = 0$ . We claim that  $h = 0$ . We prove it by induction on  $n$ . This is clearly true if  $n = 1$ . Assume that it is true for  $n - 1$ . If some  $\phi_\kappa \neq 0$ , say  $\phi_n \neq 0$ , then  $f_n$  is a linear combination of  $f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$ , i.e.,  $f_n = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n-1} \lambda_\kappa f_\kappa$ . Thus

$$0 = \sum_1^n \phi_\kappa \times f_\kappa = \sum_1^{n-1} \phi_\kappa \times f_\kappa + \sum_1^{n-1} \lambda_\kappa (\phi_n \times f_\kappa) = \sum_1^{n-1} (\phi_\kappa + \lambda_\kappa \phi_n) \times f_\kappa.$$

By our inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_1^{n-1} (\phi_\kappa + \lambda_\kappa \phi_n) \otimes f_\kappa = \sum_1^{n-1} \phi_\kappa \otimes f_\kappa + \sum_1^{n-1} \lambda_\kappa \phi_n \otimes f_\kappa = \\ &= \sum_1^{n-1} \phi_\kappa \otimes f_\kappa + \phi_n \otimes \left( \sum_1^{n-1} \lambda_\kappa f_\kappa \right) = \sum_1^n \phi_\kappa \otimes f_\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $T$  is one-to-one. Also, if  $M = CU_0(X) \otimes CV_0(Y, E)$  and  $G = T(M)$ , then  $T$  is a topological isomorphism from  $M$  onto  $G$ . Indeed, let  $h \in M, u \in U, v \in V, w = u \times v, p \in cs(E)$ . For any representation  $h = \sum_1^n \phi_\kappa \otimes f_\kappa$  of  $h$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Th\|_{w,p} &= \sup_{x,y} u(x)v(y) p \left( \sum_1^n \phi_\kappa(x) f_\kappa(y) \right) \leq \\ &\leq \max_\kappa \left[ \left( \sup_x u(x) |\phi_\kappa(x)| \right) \cdot \left( \sup_y v(y) p(f_\kappa(y)) \right) \right] = \max_\kappa \|\phi_\kappa\|_u \|f_\kappa\|_{v,p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|Th\|_{w,p} \leq (\|\cdot\|_u \otimes \|\cdot\|_{v,p})(h)$ . On the other hand, given  $0 < t < 1$ , there exists a representation  $h = \sum_{\kappa=1}^m \phi_\kappa \otimes f_\kappa$  of  $h$  such that  $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$  is  $t$ -orthogonal with respect to the seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_{v,p}$ . Now, for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{\kappa=1}^m \phi_\kappa(x) f_\kappa \right\|_{v,p} \geq t \max_\kappa |\phi_\kappa(x)| \|f_\kappa\|_{v,p}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|Th\|_{w,p} &= \sup_x \left[ \left\| \sum_1^m \phi_\kappa(x) f_\kappa \right\|_{v,p} \right] u(x) \geq t \max_\kappa \sup_x |\phi_\kappa(x)| \|f_\kappa\|_{v,p} u(x) = \\ &= t \max_\kappa \|\phi_\kappa\|_u \|f_\kappa\|_{v,p} \geq t (\|\cdot\|_u \otimes \|\cdot\|_{v,p})(h). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\|Th\|_{w,p} = (\|\cdot\|_u \otimes \|\cdot\|_{v,p})(h)$  and so  $T : M \mapsto G$  is a topological isomorphism.

(2) Assume that  $E$  is polar and  $X$  zero-dimensional.

Let  $f \in CW_0(X \times Y, E), u \in U, v \in V, w = u \times v, \epsilon > 0$  and  $p \in cs(E)$ , where  $p$  is polar. The set  $D = \{(x, y) : u(x)v(y)p(f(x, y)) \geq \epsilon\}$  is compact

in  $X \times Y$ . If  $D_1, D_2$  are the projections of  $D$  on  $X, Y$  respectively, then  $D \subseteq D_1 \times D_2$ . Let  $d > \sup_{x \in D_1} u(x), \sup_{y \in D_2} v(y)$ .

The set  $\Omega = \{x \in X : u(x) < d\}$  is open in  $X$  and contains  $D_1$ . Since  $X$  is zero-dimensional, there exists a clopen subset  $A$  of  $X$  with  $D_1 \subseteq A \subseteq \Omega$ . For each  $x \in D_1$  there exists  $y \in Y$  with  $(x, y) \in D$  and so  $u(x) > 0$ . Also, for  $x_0 \in X$ , the map  $y \mapsto f(x_0, y)$  is in  $CV_0(Y, E)$ . Indeed, there exists  $u_1 \in U$  with  $u_1(x_0) \neq 0$ . Let  $v_1 \in V, \epsilon_1 > 0$  and  $q \in cs(E)$ . We want to show that the set  $B = \{y \in Y : v_1(y)q(f(x_0, y)) \geq \epsilon_1\}$  is compact. The set  $B_1 = \{(x, y) : u_1(x)v_1(y)q(f(x, y)) \geq \epsilon_1 u_1(x_0)\}$  is compact. If  $y \in B$ , then  $(x_0, y) \in B_1$  and so  $B$  is contained in the projection of  $B_1$  in  $Y$ . Since  $B$  is closed, it follows that  $B$  is compact. This proves that the map  $y \mapsto f(x_0, y)$  is in  $CV_0(Y, E)$ .

Also, for each  $y_0 \in Y$  and each  $x' \in E'$ , the function  $x \mapsto x'(f(x, y_0))$  is in  $CU_0(X)$ . Indeed, the seminorm  $q(x) = |x'(x)|$  is continuous on  $E$ . Choose  $v_1 \in V$  with  $v_1(y_0) \neq 0$ . For  $u_1 \in U$ , let  $H = \{x : u_1(x)q(f(x, y_0)) \geq \epsilon_1\}$ . Then,  $H$  is contained in the projection on  $X$  of the compact set  $B_2 = \{(x, y) : u_1(x)v_1(y)q(f(x, y)) \geq \epsilon_1 v_1(y_0)\}$  and so  $H$  is compact, which proves that the function  $x \mapsto x'(f(x, y_0))$  is in  $CU_0(X)$ .

Let now  $x \in D_1$ . There exists  $y_0 \in Y$  with  $(x, y_0) \in D$ . Since  $p(f(x, y_0)) > 0$  and  $p$  is polar, there exists  $x' \in E'$  with  $x'(f(x, y_0)) \neq 0$ . Since the function  $z \mapsto x'(f(z, y_0))$  is in  $CU_0(X)$ , it is clear that there exists  $\phi_x \in CU_0(X)$  with  $\phi_x(x) = 1$ . By the compactness of  $D_2$ , there exists a clopen neighborhood  $A_x$  and  $0 < \epsilon_x < 1$ , with

$$d^2 \cdot \epsilon_x \cdot \sup_{y \in D_2} p(f(x, y)) < \epsilon,$$

such that

$$A_x \subseteq A \cap \{z : |\phi_x(z) - 1| < \epsilon_x\} \cap \{z : u(z) < 2u(x)\}$$

and  $p(f(z, y) - f(x, y)) < \epsilon/d^2$  for all  $z \in A_x$  and all  $y \in D_2$ . In view of the compactness of  $D_1$ , there are  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  in  $D_1$  such that  $D_1 \subseteq \bigcup_1^m A_{x_i}$ .

$$\text{Let } A_1 = A_{x_1}, \quad A_{\kappa+1} = A_{x_{\kappa+1}} \setminus \left( \bigcup_1^{\kappa} A_{x_i} \right) \quad \text{for } \kappa = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Set  $\phi_\kappa = \phi_{x_\kappa} \cdot \mathcal{X}_{A_\kappa}, f_\kappa = f(x_\kappa, \cdot) \in CV_0(Y, E)$ , where  $\mathcal{X}_{A_\kappa}$  is the  $\mathbf{K}$ -characteristic function of  $A_\kappa$ . Then  $h = \sum_1^m \phi_\kappa \times f_\kappa \in G$ . Moreover, for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , we have

$$u(x)v(y)p(f(x, y) - h(x, y)) \leq 2\epsilon. \quad (*)$$

To show  $(*)$  we consider three possible cases.

*Case I:*  $x \notin \bigcup_1^m A_\kappa$ .

In this case, we have  $h(x, y) = 0, (x, y) \notin D$  and  $u(x)v(y)p(f(x, y)) < \epsilon$ .

*Case II:*  $x \in A_\kappa$  and  $y \in D_2$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) - h(x, y) &= f(x, y) - \phi_\kappa(x)f_\kappa(y) = \\ &= [f(x, y) - f(x_\kappa, y)] + f(x_\kappa, y)(1 - \phi_\kappa(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$u(x)v(y)p(f(x, y) - f(x_\kappa, y)) < d^2 \cdot \epsilon/d^2 = \epsilon$$

and

$$u(x)v(y)|1 - \phi_\kappa(x)|p(f(x_\kappa, y)) \leq d^2 \cdot \epsilon_{x_\kappa} \cdot p(f(x_\kappa, y)) < \epsilon,$$

we have that (\*) holds.

*Case III:*  $x \in A_\kappa, y \notin D_2$ .

In this case we have that  $(x, y) \notin D$  and so  $u(x)v(y)p(f(x, y)) < \epsilon$ . Also, since  $x \in A_\kappa \subseteq A_{x_\kappa}$ , we have  $\phi_\kappa(x) = \phi_{x_\kappa}(x)$  and  $|\phi_{x_\kappa}(x) - 1| < 1$ , which implies that  $|\phi_{x_\kappa}(x)| = 1$ . Thus

$$u(x)v(y)|\phi_\kappa(x)|p(f(x_\kappa, y)) \leq 2u(x_\kappa)v(y)p(f(x_\kappa, y)) < 2\epsilon,$$

since  $(x_\kappa, y) \notin D$ . Thus (\*) holds in all cases and so  $\|f - h\|_{w,p} \leq 2\epsilon$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.* Looking at the proof of (2) in the preceding Theorem, we see that instead of the hypothesis that  $E$  is polar we may just assume that  $E'$  separates the points of  $E$ , i.e., for each  $s \neq 0$  in  $E$  there exists  $x' \in E'$  with  $x'(s) \neq 0$ . Of course polar spaces have this property.

Taking as  $V$  the family of all constant positive functions on  $X$ , we get that  $CV_0(X, E)$  coincides with  $C_0(X, E)$  with the topology  $\tau_u$  of uniform convergence.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Considering on both  $C_0(X, E)$  and  $C_0(X, \hat{E})$  the topology  $\tau_u$  of uniform convergence, we have that  $C_0(X, \hat{E})$  is the completion of  $C_0(X, E)$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $C_0(X, \hat{E})$  is complete. Let  $f \in C_0(X, \hat{E})$  and  $p \in cs(E)$ . We will denote also by  $p$  the unique continuous extension of  $p$  to all of  $\hat{E}$ .

The set  $Z = \{x \in X : p(f(x)) \geq 1\}$  is clopen and compact. There are  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $Z$  such that the sets

$$Z_\kappa = \{x \in X : p(f(x) - f(x_\kappa)) \leq 1\}, \quad \kappa = 1, \dots, n,$$

are pairwise disjoint and cover  $Z$ . For each  $\kappa$ , choose  $s_\kappa \in E$  with  $p(s_\kappa - f(x_\kappa)) < 1$ . Set

$$h = \sum_1^n \mathcal{X}_{A_\kappa} s_\kappa \in C_0(X, E),$$

where  $A_\kappa = Z_\kappa \cap Z$ . Note that the sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are clopen and compact and their union is  $Z$ . Since  $\|f - h\|_p \leq 1$ , the result follows.  $\square$

Combining Theorem 1 with Lemma 2, we get as a corollary the following

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Hausdorff topological spaces and  $E$  a Hausdorff locally convex space. Then:*

- (1)  $C_0(X) \otimes C_0(Y, E)$  is topologically isomorphic to a subspace of  $C_0(X \times Y, E)$ ;
- (2) if  $X$  is zero-dimensional and  $E'$  separates the points of  $E$  (e.g. if  $E$  is polar), then

$$C_0(X) \hat{\otimes} C_0(Y, E) \cong C_0(X \times Y, \hat{E}).$$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Hausdorff topological spaces,  $U = |B_{0u}(X)|$ ,  $V = |B_{0u}(Y)|$ ,  $W = U \times V$ ,  $W_1 = |B_{0u}(X \times Y)|$ . Then, the Nachbin families  $W$  and  $W_1$  are equivalent.*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $W \subseteq W_1$ . On the other hand, let  $\phi \in B_{0u}(X \times Y)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbf{K}$  with  $|\mu| > 1$ ,  $|\lambda| \geq |\mu|^2$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\|\phi\| < |\lambda|^{-1}$ . For each positive integer  $n$ , the set

$$D_n = \{(x, y) : |\phi(x, y)| \geq |\lambda|^{-n}\}$$

is compact. Let  $A_n, B_n$  be the projections of  $D_n$  on  $X, Y$ , respectively. Set

$$\phi_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^{-n} \mathcal{X}_{A_n}, \quad \phi_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^{-n} \mathcal{X}_{B_n}.$$

Since  $(A_n), (B_n)$  are increasing sequences of compact sets, we get (as in the proof of Lemma 1) that  $\phi_1 \in B_{0u}(X)$  and  $\phi_2 \in B_{0u}(Y)$ . Moreover,  $|\phi| \leq |\lambda|(|\phi_1| \times |\phi_2|)$ . Indeed, let  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$  with  $\phi(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ , and let  $n$  be the smallest of all integers  $\kappa$  with  $(x_0, y_0) \in D_\kappa$ . If  $m$  is the smallest integer  $\kappa$  with  $x_0 \in A_\kappa$ , then  $m \leq n$  and  $|\phi_1(x_0)| = |\mu|^{-m} \geq |\mu|^{-n}$ . Similarly,  $|\phi_2(y_0)| \geq |\mu|^{-n}$  and so

$$|\phi_1(x_0)\phi_2(y_0)| \geq |\mu|^{-2n} \geq |\lambda|^{-n}.$$

Since  $(x_0, y_0) \notin D_{n-1}$ , we have that

$$|\phi(x_0, y_0)| < |\lambda|^{-(n-1)} \leq |\lambda| |\phi_1(x_0)\phi_2(y_0)|.$$

This clearly completes the proof.  $\square$

Combining the preceding Lemma with Theorems 3.2 and 4.1, we get

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Hausdorff topological spaces and  $E$  a Hausdorff locally convex space. Then:*

- (1)  $(C_b(X), \beta_0) \otimes (C_b(Y, E), \beta_0)$  is topologically isomorphic to a subspace  $G$  of  $(C_b(X \times Y, E), \beta_0) = M$ .
- (2) If  $X$  is zero-dimensional and  $E'$  separates the points of  $E$ , then  $G$  is a dense subspace of  $M$ .



Let  $X, Y$  be Hausdorff topological spaces,  $U$  the Nachbin family of all positive multiples of the  $\mathbf{R}$ -characteristic functions of the compact subsets of  $X$ ,  $V = |B_{0u}(Y)|$  and  $W = U \times V$ . Let  $f \in E^{X \times Y}$  be such that the restriction  $f|_D$  to each compact subset  $D$  of  $X \times Y$  is continuous.

Consider the following properties of  $f$ :

(1) For each compact subset  $D_1$  of  $X$ , the restriction of  $f$  to  $D_1 \times Y$  is bounded.

(2) For any  $u \in U, v \in V, w = u \times v, p \in cs(E)$ , the function  $w \cdot (p \circ f)$  vanishes at infinity on  $X \times Y$ .

(3)  $\|f\|_{w,p} < \infty$  for any  $w = u \times v \in W$  and any  $p \in cs(E)$ .

Then (1), (2), (3) are equivalent. Indeed, it is easy to see that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). To prove that (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), assume that there exist a compact subset  $D_1$  of  $X$  and  $p \in cs(E)$  such that

$$\sup\{p(f(x, y)) : x \in D_1, y \in Y\} = \infty.$$

Let  $|\lambda| > 1$  and choose a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $D_1$  and a sequence  $(y_n)$  of distinct elements of  $Y$  such that  $p(f(x_n, y_n)) > |\lambda|^{2n}$ . Let  $w_n$  be the  $\mathbf{K}$ -characteristic function of  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  and consider the function  $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n} w_n$ . Then  $v = |\phi| \in V$ . If  $u$  is the  $\mathbf{R}$ -characteristic function of  $D_1$ , then  $w = u \times v \in W$  and

$$u(x_n)v(y_n)p(f(x_n, y_n)) = |\lambda|^{-n}p(f(x_n, y_n)) \geq |\lambda|^n$$

and so  $\|f\|_{w,p} = \infty$ , a contradiction. Thus (1),(2),(3) are equivalent.

Let now  $U, V, W$  be as above and denote by  $CW_{\kappa}(X \times Y, E)$  the vector space of all  $f \in E^{X \times Y}$  such that:

- (a)  $f|_{D \times Y}$  is continuous for each compact subset  $D$  of  $X$ .
- (b)  $\|f\|_{w,p} < \infty$  for each  $w \in W$  and each  $p \in cs(E)$ .

If we consider on  $CW_{\kappa}(X \times Y, E)$  the weighted topology  $\tau_w$  generated by the seminorms  $\|\cdot\|_{w,p}, w \in W, p \in cs(E)$ , we have

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $X, Y$  be zero-dimensional Hausdorff topological spaces and  $E$  a Hausdorff locally convex space. If  $\tau_c$  is the topology of compact convergence, then:*

- (1) *the map*

$$\omega : (C(X), \tau_c) \otimes (C_b(Y, E), \beta_0) \mapsto CW_{\kappa}(X \times Y, E), \quad f \otimes g \mapsto f \times g,$$

*is a topological isomorphism onto a dense subspace  $G$  of  $CW_{\kappa}(X \times Y, E)$ ;*

- (2) *if  $Y$  is locally compact, then*

$$(C(X), \tau_c) \hat{\otimes} (C_b(Y, E), \beta_0) \cong CW_{\kappa}(X \times Y, \hat{E}).$$

*Proof.* The mapping  $\omega$  is a topological isomorphism onto  $G$  by Theorem 4.1, since  $CW_0(X \times Y, E)$  is a topological subspace of  $CW_{\kappa}(X \times Y, E)$ . To prove that  $G$  is dense, let  $f \in CW_{\kappa}(X \times Y, E), w = u \times v \in W$  and  $p \in cs(E)$ .

We may assume that  $u$  is the  $\mathbf{R}$ -characteristic function of a compact subset  $D_1$  of  $X$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $D = \{(x, y) : x \in D_1, v(y)p(f(x, y)) \geq \epsilon\}$ . If  $D_2$  is the projection of  $D$  on  $Y$ , then  $D_2$  is compact, since  $D$  is compact, and  $D \subseteq D_1 \times D_2$ . The restriction  $h$  of  $f$  to  $D_1 \times D_2$  is continuous. Let  $\epsilon_2 > 0$  with  $\epsilon_2 \|v\| < \epsilon$ . There are  $(x_\kappa, y_\kappa) \in D_1 \times D_2$ ,  $\kappa = 1, \dots, n$ , such that the sets  $A_\kappa = \{s \in E : p(s - f(x_\kappa, y_\kappa)) \leq \epsilon_2\}$  are pairwise disjoint and cover  $h(D_1 \times D_2)$ . Set  $B_\kappa = h^{-1}(A_\kappa)$ . Clearly,  $B_\kappa$  is compact and  $D_1 \times D_2 = \bigcup_\kappa B_\kappa$ .

It is easy to see that if  $C, C_1, \dots, C_n$  are clopen in  $X$  and  $F, F_1, \dots, F_n$  clopen in  $Y$ , then the set

$$C \times F \setminus \left( \bigcup_{\kappa=1}^n C_\kappa \times F_\kappa \right)$$

is a finite disjoint union of sets of the form  $Z_1 \times Z_2$ , with  $Z_1$  clopen in  $X$  and  $Z_2$  clopen in  $Y$ .

There are pairwise disjoint sets  $O_1, \dots, O_n$  in  $X \times Y$  with  $B_\kappa \subseteq O_\kappa$ . For  $(x, y) \in B_\kappa$  there are clopen neighbourhoods  $M_x, D_y$  of  $x, y$  respectively such that  $M_x \times D_y \subseteq O_\kappa$  and  $p(f(x, y) - f(a, b)) \leq \epsilon_2$  for all  $a \in M_x \cap D_1$  and  $b \in D_y$ . In view of the compactness of  $B_\kappa$ , there are clopen sets  $A_{\kappa 1}, \dots, A_{\kappa m_\kappa}$  in  $X$  and clopen sets  $D_{\kappa 1}, \dots, D_{\kappa m_\kappa}$  in  $Y$  such that the sets  $A_{\kappa j} \times D_{\kappa j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m_\kappa$ , are pairwise disjoint, cover  $B_\kappa$ , are contained in  $O_\kappa$  and  $p(f(x, y) - f(a, b)) \leq \epsilon_2$  if  $(x, y)$  and  $(a, b)$  are in  $(A_{\kappa j} \cap D_1) \times D_{\kappa j}$ .

Choose  $(x_{\kappa j}, y_{\kappa j}) \in (A_{\kappa j} \cap D_1) \times D_{\kappa j}$  and set

$$g = \sum_{\kappa=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m_\kappa} \mathcal{X}_{C_{\kappa j}} \times \left( \mathcal{X}_{F_{\kappa j}} f(x_{\kappa j}, y_{\kappa j}) \right) \right)$$

is in  $G$ . Moreover,  $\|f - g\|_{w,p} \leq \epsilon$ . Indeed, let  $x \in D_1$ ,  $y \in Y$ .

*Case I:*  $(x, y) \in A_{\kappa j} \times B_{\kappa j}$ .

Then  $g(x, y) = f(x_\kappa, y_\kappa)$  and so  $p(f(x, y) - g(x, y)) \leq \epsilon_2$ , which implies that

$$v(y)p(f(x, y) - g(x, y)) \leq \|v\|\epsilon_2 < \epsilon.$$

*Case II:*  $(x, y) \notin \bigcup_{\kappa,j} A_{\kappa j} \times B_{\kappa j}$ .

Then  $g(x, y) = 0$  and  $(x, y) \notin D$  and so

$$w(x, y)p(f(x, y) - g(x, y)) \leq v(y)p(f(x, y)) < \epsilon.$$

This proves the first part of the theorem.

(2) To prove the second part, we show first that  $CW_\kappa(X \times Y, \hat{E})$  is complete. To this end, let  $(f_\alpha)$  be a Cauchy net in  $CW_\kappa(X \times Y, \hat{E})$ .

Given  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ , there exist  $u \in U$ ,  $v \in V$  with  $u(x_0) > 0$ ,  $v(y_0) > 0$ . Using this, we get that the net  $(f_\alpha(x_0, y_0))$  is Cauchy and hence

convergent in  $\hat{E}$ . Define

$$f : X \times Y \mapsto \hat{E}, \quad f(x, y) = \lim f_\alpha(x, y).$$

(i) For each compact subset  $D_1$  of  $X$ ,  $f|_{D_1 \times Y}$  is continuous. Indeed, let  $x_0 \in D_1$  and  $y_0 \in Y$ . There exists a compact clopen neighbourhood  $W$  of  $y_0 \in Y$ .

If  $u, v$  are the  $\mathbf{R}$ -characteristic functions of  $D_1, W$ , respectively, then  $w = u \times v \in W$  and

$$\|f_\alpha - f_\beta\|_{w,p} = \sup\{p(f_\alpha(x, y) - f_\beta(x, y)) : x \in D_1, y \in W\}.$$

It follows that  $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $D_1 \times W$ . Since  $D_1 \times W$  is open in  $D_1 \times Y$  and  $(x_0, y_0) \in D_1 \times W$  it follows that  $f$  is continuous at  $(x_0, y_0)$  on  $D_1 \times Y$ .

(ii) If  $w = u \times v \in W$ , then  $\|f\|_{w,p} < \infty$  for each  $p \in cs(E)$ . Indeed, there exists  $\alpha_0$  such that  $\|f_{\alpha_0} - f_\alpha\|_{w,p} \leq 1$ , for all  $\alpha \succeq \alpha_0$ , which implies that

$$\|f_{\alpha_0} - f\|_{w,p} \leq 1 \quad \text{and so} \quad \|f\|_{w,p} \leq \max\{1, \|f_{\alpha_0}\|_{w,p}\} < \infty.$$

It follows from the above that  $f \in CW_\kappa(X \times Y, \hat{E})$  and  $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$  in the topology  $\tau_w$ . To finish the proof, it suffices to show that  $CW_\kappa(X \times Y, E)$  is dense in  $CW_\kappa(X \times Y, \hat{E})$ . So, let  $f \in CW_\kappa(X \times Y, \hat{E})$ ,  $w = u \times v \in W$  and  $p \in cs(E)$ . As in the proof of the first part, there are clopen subsets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $X$ , clopen subsets  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  of  $Y$  and  $(x_\kappa, y_\kappa)$  in  $X \times Y$  such that the sets  $A_\kappa \times B_\kappa$ ,  $\kappa = 1, \dots, n$ , are pairwise disjoint and  $\|f - g\|_{w,p} \leq 1$ , where

$$g = \sum_{\kappa=1}^n \mathcal{X}_{A_\kappa} \times (\mathcal{X}_{B_\kappa} f(x_\kappa, y_\kappa)).$$

Since  $w$  is bounded, we have that  $\|w\| = d < \infty$ . For each  $\kappa$ , choose  $s_\kappa \in E$  such that  $p(s_\kappa - f(x_\kappa, y_\kappa)) < 1/d$ . Now

$$h = \sum_{\kappa=1}^n \mathcal{X}_{A_\kappa} \times (\mathcal{X}_{B_\kappa} s_\kappa) \in G.$$

If  $(x, y) \in A_\kappa \times B_\kappa$ , then  $g(x, y) = f(x_\kappa, y_\kappa)$ ,  $h(x, y) = s_\kappa$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, y)p(f(x, y) - h(x, y)) &\leq \\ &\leq \max\{w(x, y)p(f(x, y) - g(x, y)), w(x, y)p(f(x_\kappa, y_\kappa) - s_\kappa)\} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|f - h\|_{w,p} \leq 1$  and the result clearly follows.  $\square$

Let  $C_{\kappa,0}(X \times Y, E)$  denote the space of all  $E$ -valued functions  $f$  on  $X \times Y$  such that  $f|_{D_1 \times Y} \in C_0(D_1 \times Y, E)$  for each compact subset  $D_1$  of  $X$ . If we consider on  $C_{\kappa,0}(X \times Y, E)$  the locally convex topology generated by the seminorms  $\|f\|_{D_1,p} = \sup\{p(f(x, y)) : x \in D_1, y \in Y\}$ , where  $p \in cs(E)$  and  $D_1$  is a compact subset of  $X$ , then we have

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $X, Y$  be zero-dimensional Hausdorff topological spaces, where  $Y$  is locally compact, and let  $E$  be a Hausdorff complete locally convex space. Then*

$$(C(X), \tau_c) \hat{\otimes} (C_0(Y, E), \tau_u) \cong C_{\kappa,0}(X \times Y, E).$$

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the one of the preceding theorem, using an additional fact that the clopen compact subsets of  $Y$  form the base for the open subsets of  $Y$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Nachbin, Elements of approximation theory. *Van Nostrand Math. Studies*, 14, Princeton, Jersey, 1967; reprinted in 1976 by Kreiger, Melbourne; initial publications: *Notes de Matematica*, No. 33, Instituto de Matematica Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro, 1965.
2. J. B. Prolla, Weighted spaces of vector-valued continuous functions. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **89**(1971), No. 4, 145–158.
3. W. H. Summers, A representation theorem for biequicontinuous completed tensor products of weighted space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146**(1969), 121–131.
4. J. P. Q. Carneiro, Non-Archimedean weighted approximation. (Portuguese) *An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc.* **50**(1)(1978), 1–34.
5. A. K. Katsaras and A. Beloyiannis, Non-Archimedean weighted spaces of continuous functions. *Rendi. Mat. Appl.* **16**(1996), 545–562.
6. A. K. Katsaras and A. Beloyiannis, On non-Archimedean weighted spaces of continuous functions. *Proc. Fourth Inter. Conference on p-adic Analysis (Nijmegen, The Netherlands)*, 237–252, Marcel Dekker, 1997.
7. A. K. Katsaras, The Strict topology in non-Archimedean vector-valued function spaces. *Proc. Konink. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. A* **87**(2)(1984), 189–201.
8. W. H. Schikhoff, Locally convex spaces over non-spherically complete fields I,II. *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg., Ser B* **38**(1986), 187–224.
9. J. B. Prolla, Approximation of vector-valued functions. *North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, New York, Oxford*, 1977.

(Received 26.11.1996)

Authors' address:  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Ioannina  
 P.O. Box 1186, 451 10 Ioannina  
 Greece