

NON-ABELIAN COHOMOLOGY OF GROUPS

H. INASSARIDZE

ABSTRACT. Following Guin's approach to non-abelian cohomology [4] and, using the notion of a crossed bimodule, a second pointed set of cohomology is defined with coefficients in a crossed module, and Guin's six-term exact cohomology sequence is extended to a nine-term exact sequence of cohomology up to dimension 2.

INTRODUCTION

In this and forthcoming papers [1] we discuss the cohomology $H^*(G, A)$ of a group G with coefficients in a G -group A . When A is abelian this cohomology is the well-known classical cohomology of groups which can be defined as derived functors either of the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}[G]}(-, A)$ in the category of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -modules or of the functor $\text{Der}(-, A)$ in the category of groups acting on A . When A is non-abelian, a functorial pointed set of cohomology $H^1(G, A)$ not equipped with a group structure was defined in a natural way in [2]. Guin defined, in [3]–[4], a first cohomology group when the coefficient group is a crossed G -module and obtained a six-term exact sequence of cohomology for any short exact coefficient sequence of crossed G -modules.

Our approach to a non-abelian cohomology of groups follows Guin's cohomology theory of groups [3]–[4] which differs from the classical first non-abelian cohomology pointed set [2] and from the setting of various papers on non-abelian cohomology [5]–[7] extending the classical exact non-abelian cohomology sequence from lower dimensions [2] to higher dimensions.

Let G and R be groups and let (A, μ) be a crossed R -module. We introduce the notion of a crossed $G - R$ -bimodule signifying an action of G on the crossed R -module (A, μ) and generalizing the notion of a crossed G -module. The group of derivations $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ from G to (A, μ) is defined to obtain a pointed set of cohomology $H^2(G, A)$ when A is a crossed G -module. The group $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ and the pointed set $H^2(G, A)$ coincide

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 18G50, 18G55.

Key words and phrases. Crossed module, derivation, simplicial kernel, crossed bimodule.

respectively with the group $\text{Der}_G(G, A)$ of Guin [4] when (A, μ) is a crossed G -module and with the usual cohomology group when A is abelian. A coefficient short exact sequence of crossed G -modules gives rise to a nine-term exact sequence of cohomology which extends the six-term exact cohomology sequence of Guin [4]. In [1] these results are generalized when the coefficients are crossed bimodules; in that case $H^1(G, (A, \mu))$ is equipped with a partial product, and, finally, in [1] the definition of a pointed set of cohomology $H^n(G, (A, \mu))$ of a group G with coefficients in a crossed $G - R$ -bimodule (A, μ) for all $n \geq 1$ is given.

All considered groups will be arbitrary (not necessarily commutative). An action of a group G on a group A means an action on the left of G on A by automorphisms and will be denoted by ${}^g a, g \in G, a \in A$. We assume that G acts on itself by conjugation. The center of a group G will be denoted by $Z(G)$. If the groups G and R act on a group A then the notation ${}^{gr} a$ means ${}^g({}^r a), g \in G, r \in R, a \in A$.

1. CROSSED BIMODULES

A precrossed G -module (A, μ) consists of a group G acting on a group A and a homomorphism $\mu : A \rightarrow G$ such that

$$\mu({}^g a) = g\mu(a)g^{-1}, \quad g \in G, \quad a \in A.$$

If in addition we have

$$\mu({}^{(a)} a') = aa' a^{-1}$$

for $a, a' \in A$, then (A, μ) is a crossed G -module.

Definition 1. Let G, R , and A be groups. It will be said that (A, μ) is a precrossed $G - R$ -bimodule if

- (1) (A, μ) is a precrossed R -module,
- (2) G acts on R and A ,
- (3) the homomorphism $\mu : A \rightarrow R$ is a homomorphism of G -groups,
- (4) ${}^{(gr)} a = {}^{gr} g^{-1} a$ (compatibility condition) for $g \in G, r \in R, a \in A$.

If in addition (A, μ) is a crossed R -module then (A, μ) will be called a crossed $G - R$ -bimodule. If conditions (1)–(3) hold it will be said that the group G acts on the precrossed (resp. crossed) R -module (A, μ) .

It is easy to see that any precrossed (resp. crossed) G -module (A, μ) is in a natural way a precrossed (resp. crossed) $G - G$ -bimodule. It is also clear that if (A, μ) is a crossed $G - R$ -bimodule and $f : G' \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism of groups then (A, μ) is a crossed $G' - R$ -bimodule induced by f, G' acting on A and R via f .

A homomorphism $f : (A, \mu) \rightarrow (B, \lambda)$ of precrossed (crossed) $G - R$ -bimodules is a homomorphism of groups $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that

- (1) $f({}^r a) = {}^r f(a), r \in R, a \in A$,

- (2) $f({}^g a) = {}^g f(a)$, $g \in G$, $a \in A$,
 (3) $\mu = \lambda f$.

2. THE GROUP $\text{DER}(G, (A, \mu))$

Consider a crossed $G - R$ -bimodule (A, μ) .

Definition 2. Denote by $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ the set of pairs (α, r) where α is a crossed homomorphism from G to A , i.e.,

$$\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)^x \alpha(y), \quad x, y \in G,$$

and r is an element of R such that

$$\mu\alpha(x) = r {}^x r^{-1}, \quad x \in G.$$

This set will be called the set of derivations from G to (A, μ) .

We define in $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ a product by

$$(\alpha, r)(\beta, s) = (\alpha * \beta, rs),$$

where $(\alpha * \beta)(x) = {}^r \beta(x)\alpha(x)$, $x \in G$.

Proposition 3. *Under the aforementioned product $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ becomes a group which coincides with the group $\text{Der}_G(G, A)$ of Guin when (A, μ) is a crossed G -module viewed as a crossed $G - G$ -bimodule.*

Proof. We have to show that $(\alpha * \beta, rs) \in \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$. Put $\gamma = \alpha * \beta$. At first we prove that γ is a crossed homomorphism. In effect, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(xy) &= {}^r \beta(xy)\alpha(xy) = {}^r (\beta(x)^x \beta(y))\alpha(x)^x \alpha(y) = \\ &= {}^r \beta(x)^{rx} \beta(y)\alpha(x)^x \alpha(y). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(x)^x \gamma(y) &= {}^r \beta(x)\alpha(x)^x ({}^r \beta(y)\alpha(y)) = \\ &= {}^r \beta(x)\alpha(x)^{xr} \beta(y)^x \alpha(y). \end{aligned}$$

For any $a \in A$ and $(\alpha, r) \in \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ the equality

$$\alpha(x)^{xr} a = {}^{rx} a \alpha(x), \quad x \in G, \quad (1)$$

holds, since $\alpha(x)^{xr} a \alpha(x)^{-1} = \mu\alpha(x)^{xr} a = r {}^x r^{-1} ({}^{xr} a) = r {}^{xr^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot xr} a = {}^{rx} a$.

It follows that $\gamma(xy) = \gamma(x)^x \gamma(y)$. Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\gamma(x) &= \mu({}^r \beta(x)\alpha(x)) = {}^r \mu\beta(x)\mu\alpha(x) = \\ &= {}^r (s {}^x s^{-1}) r {}^x r^{-1} = {}^r s {}^r ({}^x s^{-1}) r {}^x r^{-1} = {}^r s r {}^x s^{-1} x r^{-1} = \\ &= r s {}^x (rs)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\alpha * \beta, rs) \in \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$.

It is evident that this product is associative. It is also obvious that $(\alpha_0, 1) \in \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$, where $\alpha_0(x) = 1$ for all $x \in G$, and $(\alpha_0, 1)$ is the unit of $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$.

Now we will show that for $(\alpha, r) \in \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ we have

$$r^{-1} \cdot x a r^{-1} \alpha(x)^{-1} = r^{-1} \alpha(x)^{-1} x r^{-1} a, \quad x \in G, \quad a \in A. \quad (2)$$

Since $\mu(r^{-1} \alpha(x)^{-1}) = r^{-1} \cdot \mu \alpha(x)^{-1} \cdot r = r^{-1} x r$, this implies

$$\mu(r^{-1} \alpha(x)^{-1})(x r^{-1} a) = r^{-1} x r (x r^{-1} a) = r^{-1} x r x^{-1} x r^{-1} a = r^{-1} x a.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mu(r^{-1} \alpha(x)^{-1})(x r^{-1} a) = r^{-1} \alpha(x) \cdot x r^{-1} a \cdot r^{-1} \alpha(x)$$

and equality (2) is proved.

For $(\alpha, r) \in \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ take the pair $(\bar{\alpha}, r^{-1})$ where $\bar{\alpha}(x) = r^{-1} \alpha(x)^{-1}$, $x \in G$. It will be shown that $(\bar{\alpha}, r^{-1}) \in \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}(xy) &= r^{-1} \alpha(xy)^{-1} = r^{-1} (x \alpha(y)^{-1} \cdot \alpha(x)^{-1}) = \\ &= r^{-1} x \alpha(y)^{-1} r^{-1} \alpha(x)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and $\bar{\alpha}(x) \cdot x \bar{\alpha}(y) = r^{-1} \alpha(x)^{-1} x r^{-1} \alpha(y)^{-1}$.

By (2) one gets $\bar{\alpha}(xy) = \bar{\alpha}(x) \cdot x \bar{\alpha}(y)$, i.e., $\bar{\alpha}$ is a crossed homomorphism.

We also have

$$\mu \bar{\alpha}(x) = \mu(r^{-1} \alpha(x)^{-1}) = r^{-1} \mu \alpha(x)^{-1} r = r^{-1} x r \cdot r^{-1} \cdot r = r^{-1} x r.$$

Therefore $(\bar{\alpha}, r^{-1}) \in \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$.

It is easy to check that

$$(\alpha, r)(\bar{\alpha}, r^{-1}) = (\bar{\alpha}, r^{-1})(\alpha, r) = (\alpha_0, 1).$$

We conclude that $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ is a group. If (A, μ) is a crossed G -module and $(\alpha, g) \in \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ then $\mu \alpha(x) = g^x g^{-1} = g x g^{-1} x^{-1}$.

In $\text{Der}_G(G, A)$ this product was defined by Guin [4] and it follows that the group $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ coincides with $\text{Der}_G(G, A)$ when (A, μ) is a crossed G -module. \square

If (A, μ) is a precrossed R -module and (B, λ) is a crossed R -module then (B, λ) is a crossed $A - R$ -bimodule induced by μ and the group $\text{Der}_G(A, B)$ of Guin [4] is the group $\text{Der}(A, (B, \lambda))$.

It is clear that a homomorphism of $G - R$ -bimodules $f : (A, \mu) \longrightarrow (B, \lambda)$ induces a homomorphism

$$f^* : \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu)) \longrightarrow \text{Der}(G, (B, \lambda))$$

given by $(\alpha, r) \mapsto (f\alpha, r)$.

There is an action of G on $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ defined by

$${}^g(\alpha, r) = (\tilde{\alpha}, {}^g r), \quad g \in G, \quad r \in R,$$

with $\tilde{\alpha}(x) = {}^g\alpha({}^{g^{-1}}x)$, $x \in G$.

In effect, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(xy) &= {}^g\alpha({}^{g^{-1}}(xy)) = {}^g\alpha({}^{g^{-1}}x {}^{g^{-1}}y) = {}^g\alpha({}^{g^{-1}}x) {}^{xg}\alpha({}^{g^{-1}}y) = \\ &= \tilde{\alpha}(x) {}^x\tilde{\alpha}(y) \end{aligned}$$

and $\mu\tilde{\alpha}(x) = \mu({}^g\alpha({}^{g^{-1}}x)) = {}^g\mu\alpha({}^{g^{-1}}x) = {}^g(r ({}^{g^{-1}}x)r^{-1}) = {}^g r {}^{xg} r^{-1}$, whence $(\tilde{\alpha}, {}^g r) \in \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$. It is easy to verify that one gets an action of G on the group $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$. In effect,

$${}^g((\alpha, r)(\beta, s)) = {}^g(\alpha * \beta, rs) = (\widetilde{\alpha * \beta}, {}^g(rs)),$$

where $(\widetilde{\alpha * \beta})(x) = {}^g(\alpha * \beta)({}^{g^{-1}}x) = {}^g(r\beta({}^{g^{-1}}x)) \cdot \alpha({}^{g^{-1}}x) = {}^{gr}\beta({}^{g^{-1}}x) \cdot {}^g\alpha({}^{g^{-1}}x)$ and ${}^g(\alpha, r) {}^g(\beta, s) = (\tilde{\alpha}, {}^g r)(\tilde{\beta}, {}^g s) = (\widetilde{\alpha * \beta}, {}^g(rs))$ where $(\tilde{\alpha} * \tilde{\beta})(x) = {}^{gr}(\beta\beta({}^{g^{-1}}x)) {}^g\alpha({}^{g^{-1}}x) = {}^{grg^{-1}}(\beta\beta({}^{g^{-1}}x)) {}^g\alpha({}^{g^{-1}}x) = {}^{gr}\beta({}^{g^{-1}}x) {}^g\alpha({}^{g^{-1}}x)$.

Thus, ${}^g((\alpha, r)(\beta, s)) = {}^g(\alpha, r) {}^g(\beta, s)$ and it is clear that ${}^{g'g'}(\alpha, r) = {}^{g'}({}^{g'}(\alpha, r))$. This action on the group $\text{Der}_G(A, B)$ is defined in [4].

Let (A, μ) be a crossed $G - R$ -bimodule. If R acts on G and the compatibility condition

$$({}^r g)a = rgr^{-1}a, \quad ({}^r g)r' = rgr^{-1}r' \quad \text{for } r, r' \in R, \quad g \in G, \quad a \in A, \quad (3)$$

holds, then there is also an action of R on $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ given by

$${}^r(\alpha, s) = (\tilde{\alpha}, {}^r s),$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}(x) = {}^r\alpha({}^{r^{-1}}x)$, $x \in G$.

A calculation similar to the case of the action of G on $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ shows that $(\tilde{\alpha}, {}^r s)$ is an element of $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$.

Let G and R be groups acting on each other and on themselves by conjugation. It is known [8] that these actions are said to be compatible if

$$({}^{g'r'})g' = grg^{-1}g', \quad ({}^{r'g'})r' = rgr^{-1}r'$$

for $g, g' \in G$ and $r, r' \in R$.

Definition 4. It will be said that the groups G and R act on a group A compatibly if

$$({}^{g'r'})a = grg^{-1}a, \quad ({}^{r'g'})a = rgr^{-1}a$$

for $g \in G$, $r \in R$, $a \in A$.

Proposition 5. *Let (A, μ) be a crossed $G - R$ -bimodule. Let the groups G and R act on each other and on A compatibly. Under the aforementioned actions of G and R on $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ and the homomorphism $\gamma : \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu)) \rightarrow R$ given by $(\alpha, r) \mapsto r$, the pair $(\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu)), \gamma)$ is a precrossed $G - R$ -bimodule.*

Proof. We have only to show that

$$({}^{gr})(\alpha, s) = {}^{grg^{-1}}(\alpha, s),$$

for $g \in G, r \in R$.

In effect,

$$({}^{gr})(\alpha, s) = (\beta, ({}^{gr})s),$$

where $\beta(x) = ({}^{gr})\alpha({}^{gr^{-1}}x) = {}^{grg^{-1}}\alpha({}^{gr^{-1}}g^{-1}x)$, $x \in G$.

On the other hand,

$${}^{grg^{-1}}(\alpha, s) = (\gamma, {}^{grg^{-1}}s),$$

where $\gamma(x) = {}^{grg^{-1}}\alpha({}^{gr^{-1}}g^{-1}x)$ and

$${}^{grg^{-1}}s = g(rg^{-1}sr^{-1}) = g_r s g_r^{-1} = ({}^{gr})s.$$

Therefore $({}^{gr})(\alpha, s) = {}^{grg^{-1}}(\alpha, s)$. \square

3. THE POINTED SET $H^2(G, A)$

We will use the group of derivations in a crossed bimodule to define $H^2(G, A)$ when A is a crossed G -module.

We start by the following characterization of $H^2(G, A)$ when A is a $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -module.

Consider the diagram

$$M \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{l_0} \\ \xrightarrow{l_1} \end{array} F \xrightarrow{\tau} G \quad (4)$$

where F is a free group, τ is a surjective homomorphism, M is the set of pairs (x, y) , $x, y \in F$, such that $\tau(x) = \tau(y)$ and l_0, l_1 are canonical projections, $l_0(x, y) = x$, $l_1(x, y) = y$. Thus, (M, l_0, l_1) is the simplicial kernel of τ . Put $\Delta = \{(x, x), x \in F\} \subset M$.

Let f be a map from an arbitrary group C to a group D . Then in what follows by $f^{-1} : C \rightarrow D$ will always be denoted a map with $f^{-1}(c) = f(c)^{-1}$, $c \in C$.

Let A be a $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -module. It is clear that A is a M -module via τl_0 and a F -module via τ . Denote by $Z^1(M, A)$ (resp. $Z^1(F, A)$) the abelian group of crossed homomorphisms from M to A (resp. from F to A). Let $\tilde{Z}^1(M, A)$

be a subgroup of $Z^1(M, A)$ consisting of all elements α such that $\alpha(\Delta) = 1$. There is a homomorphism

$$\kappa : Z^1(F, A) \longrightarrow \widetilde{Z}^1(M, A)$$

defined by $\beta \longmapsto \beta l_0 \beta l_1^{-1}$.

Proposition 6. $H^2(G, A)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Coker } \kappa$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\text{Coker } \kappa$ is isomorphic to $\text{Opext}(G, A, \varphi)$ where $\varphi : G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ denotes the action of G on A .

Let $\alpha \in \widetilde{Z}^1(M, A)$ and introduce in the semi-direct product $A \rtimes F$ the relation

$$(a, x) \sim (a', x') \iff \tau(x) = \tau(x')$$

and $a \cdot \alpha(x, x') = a'$.

It is easy to see that this relation is an equivalence; use the fact that if (x, x', x'') is a triple of elements of F such that $\tau(x) = \tau(x') = \tau(x'')$ then $\alpha(x, x'') = \alpha(x, x')\alpha(x', x'')$. Denote this equivalence by ρ and take the quotient set $(A \rtimes F)/\rho$. We will show that ρ is in fact a congruence and therefore $C = (A \rtimes F)/\rho$ is a group.

Let $(a, x) \sim (a', x')$ and $(b, y) \sim (b', y')$. Then $\tau(x) = \tau(x'), \tau(y) = \tau(y')$, $a\alpha(x, x) = a', b\alpha(y, y) = b'$.

Further, $(a, x)(b, y) = (a^x b, xy), (a', x')(b', y') = (a'^x b', x'y')$.

We have

$${}^x b^x \alpha(y, y') = {}^x b' = {}^{x'} b',$$

whence $a \cdot \alpha(x, x') {}^x b^x \alpha(y, y') = a'^x b'$. Since $\alpha(xy, x'y') = \alpha(x, x') {}^x \alpha(y, y')$, it follows that

$$a^x b \alpha(xy, x'y') = a'^x b'.$$

One gets a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{l_0} & F & \xrightarrow{\tau} & G \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \parallel \\ A & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & C & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G \end{array}$$

where $\sigma(a) = [(a, 1)], \psi[(a, x)] = \tau(x), \beta(x) = [(1, x)]$. Denote by E the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\sigma} C \xrightarrow{\psi} G \longrightarrow 1$$

which gives an element of $\text{Opext}(G, A, \varphi)$.

Define a map

$$\vartheta : \text{Coker } \kappa \longrightarrow \text{Opext}(g, A, \varphi)$$

given by $[\alpha] \longmapsto [E]$.

By standard calculations it can be easily proved that ϑ is a correctly defined homomorphism which is bijective. \square

Let (A, μ) be a crossed G -module. Then (A, μ) is a crossed $M - G$ -bimodule induced by τl_0 (or by τl_1) and a crossed $F - G$ -bimodule induced by τ (see diagram (4)).

Consider the group $\text{Der}(M, (A, \mu))$ and let $\widetilde{\text{Der}}(M, (A, \mu))$ be the subgroup of $\text{Der}(M, (A, \mu))$ consisting of elements (α, g) such that $\alpha(\Delta) = 1$. If $(\alpha, g) \in \widetilde{\text{Der}}(M, (A, \mu))$ this implies $g \in Z(G)$. Then we have $\mu\alpha(m) = 1$ for any $m \in M$ and $\alpha(M) \subset Z(A)$. Denote by $\widetilde{Z}^1(M, (A, \mu))$ a subset of $\widetilde{\text{Der}}(M, (A, \mu))$ consisting of all elements of the form $(\alpha, 1)$.

Define, on the set $\widetilde{Z}^1(M, (A, \mu))$, a relation

$$(\alpha', 1) \sim (\alpha, 1) \Leftrightarrow \exists(\beta, h) \in \text{Der}(F, (A, \mu))$$

such that

$$(\alpha', 1) = (\beta l_0, h)(\alpha, 1)(\beta l_1, h)^{-1}$$

in the group $\text{Der}(M, (A, \mu))$.

We see that if $(\alpha', 1) \sim (\alpha, 1)$ one has

$$\alpha'(x) = \beta l_1(x)^{-1} h \alpha(x) \beta l_0(x), \quad x \in M,$$

for some $(\beta, h) \in \text{Der}(F, (A, \mu))$.

Proposition 7. *The relation \sim defined on $\widetilde{Z}^1(M, (A, \mu))$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. The reflexivity is clear. If $(\alpha', 1) \sim (\alpha, 1)$, i.e., $(\alpha', 1) = (\beta l_0, h)(\alpha, 1)(\beta l_1, h)^{-1}$ where $(\beta, h) \in \text{Der}(F, (A, \mu))$, then $(\alpha, 1) = (\beta l_0, h)^{-1}(\alpha', 1)(\beta l_1, h)$ where $(\beta l_0, h)^{-1} = (\widetilde{\beta} l_0, h^{-1})$ and $(\beta l_1, h) = (\widetilde{\beta} l_1, h^{-1})^{-1}$ with $(\widetilde{\beta}, h^{-1}) = (\beta, h)^{-1} \in \text{Der}(F, (A, \mu))$. Thus the relation \sim is symmetric.

Let $(\alpha', 1) \sim (\alpha, 1)$ and $(\alpha'', 1) \sim (\alpha', 1)$; then one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha', 1) &= (\beta l_0, h)(\alpha, 1)(\beta l_1, h)^{-1}, \\ (\alpha'', 1) &= (\beta' l_0, h')(\alpha', 1)(\beta' l_1, h')^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\beta, h), (\beta', h') \in \text{Der}(F, (A, \mu))$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha'', 1) &= (\beta' l_0, h')(\beta l_0, h)(\alpha, 1)(\beta l_1, h)^{-1}(\beta' l_1, h')^{-1} = \\ &= ((\beta' * \beta) l_0, h' h)(\alpha, 1)((\beta' * \beta) l_1, h' h)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\beta' * \beta, h' h) = (\beta', h')(\beta, h) \in \text{Der}(F, (A, \mu))$. This means that $(\alpha'', 1) \sim (\alpha, 1)$ and the relation \sim is an equivalence. \square

Proposition 8. *Let (A, μ) be a crossed G -module. Then the quotient set $\widetilde{Z}^1(M, (A, \mu)) / \sim$ is independent of the diagram (4) and is unique up to bijection.*

We need the

Lemma 9. *Let A be a G -group and let $\alpha : M \rightarrow A$ be a crossed homomorphism such that $\alpha(\Delta) = 1$. Then there exists a map $q : F \rightarrow A$ such that*

$$\alpha(y) = ql_1(y)^{-1}ql_0(y), \quad y \in M.$$

Proof. Observe that if $(x, x''), (x', x'') \in M$, then $\alpha(x, x'') = \alpha(x', x'')$ $\alpha(x, x')$. In effect, the equality $(x, x'') = (1, x''x'^{-1})(x, x')$ implies $\alpha(x, x'') = \alpha(1, x''x'^{-1})\alpha(x, x')$. But $(x', x'') = (1, x''x'^{-1})(x', x')$. Thus $\alpha(x', x'') = \alpha(1, x''x'^{-1})\alpha(x', x') = \alpha(1, x''x'^{-1})$ and we get the desired equality.

In particular, applying this equality one gets $\alpha(x, x) = \alpha(x', x) \cdot \alpha(x, x')$ for $(x, x), (x', x) \in M$. Therefore $\alpha(x', x) = \alpha(x, x')^{-1}$ for any $(x, x') \in M$.

Take a section $\eta : G \rightarrow F$, $\tau\eta = 1_G$ and define a map $q : F \rightarrow A$ by

$$q(x) = \alpha(x, \eta\tau(x)), \quad x \in F.$$

For $(x, x') \in M$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} ql_1(x, x')^{-1}ql_0(x, x') &= q(x')^{-1}q(x) = (\alpha(x', \eta\tau(x')))^{-1}\alpha(x, \eta\tau(x)) = \\ &= \alpha(\eta\tau(x'), x')\alpha(x, \eta\tau(x)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\alpha(x, x') = \alpha(1, x'x^{-1})$ for all $(x, x') \in M$, one has $\alpha(\eta\tau(x'), x') = \alpha(1, x'\eta\tau(x')^{-1})$ and $\alpha(x, \eta\tau(x)) = \alpha(1, \eta\tau(x)x^{-1})$.

But $(1, x'\eta\tau(x')^{-1})(1, \eta\tau(x)x^{-1}) = (1, x'x^{-1})$. Therefore, $\alpha(x, x') = \alpha(1, x'\eta\tau(x')^{-1})\alpha(1, \eta\tau(x)x^{-1}) = ql_1(x, x')^{-1}ql_0(x, x')$. \square

Proof of Proposition 8. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M' & \xrightarrow{l'_0} & F' & \xrightarrow{\tau'} & G \\ & l'_1 & & & \\ \overline{\gamma}_1 \downarrow \overline{\gamma}_2 & & \gamma_1 \downarrow \gamma_2 & & \parallel \\ M & \xrightarrow[l_1]{l_0} & F & \xrightarrow{\tau} & G \end{array}$$

where (M, l_0, l_1) and (M', l'_0, l'_1) are the simplicial kernels of τ_1 and τ_2 respectively, $l_i\overline{\gamma}_1 = \gamma_1l'_i$, $l_i\overline{\gamma}_2 = \gamma_2l'_i$, $i = 0, 1$, $\tau\gamma_1 = \tau\gamma_2 = \tau'$.

The pair $(\gamma_i, \overline{\gamma}_i)$ induces a homomorphism

$$\text{Der}(M, (A, \mu)) \rightarrow \text{Der}(M', (A, \mu))$$

given by $(\alpha, g) \mapsto (\alpha\overline{\gamma}_i, g)$, $i = 1, 2$.

If $(\alpha', 1) \sim (\alpha, 1)$, i.e.,

$$(\alpha', 1) = (\beta l_0, h)(\alpha, 1)(\beta l_1, h)^{-1}$$

with $(\beta, h) \in \text{Der}(F, (A, \mu))$, then

$$\alpha' \bar{\gamma}_i(y) = \beta \gamma_i l'_1(y)^{-1h} \alpha \bar{\gamma}_i(y) \beta \gamma_i l'_0(y), \quad y \in M'.$$

Thus $(\alpha' \bar{\gamma}_i, 1) \sim (\alpha \bar{\gamma}_i, 1)$, $i = 1, 2$, and one gets a natural map

$$\epsilon_i : \widetilde{Z}^1(M, (A, \mu)) / \sim \longrightarrow \widetilde{Z}^1(M', (A, \mu)) / \sim$$

induced by the pair $(\gamma_i, \bar{\gamma}_i)$ and given by $[(\alpha, 1)] \mapsto [(\alpha \bar{\gamma}_i, 1)]$, $i = 1, 2$.

We will show that $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$. By Lemma 9 there is a map $q : F \longrightarrow A$ such that

$$\alpha(y) = q l_1(y)^{-1} q l_0(y), \quad y \in M.$$

Consider the homomorphism $s : F' \longrightarrow M$ given by

$$s(x') = (\gamma_1(x'), \gamma_2(x')), \quad x' \in F'.$$

It is clear that $(\alpha s, 1) \in \text{Der}(F', (A, \mu))$.

Further we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\alpha s l_1)^{-1} \alpha \bar{\gamma}_2 \alpha s l'_0)(x'_0, x'_1) = \alpha s(x'_1)^{-1} \alpha \bar{\gamma}_2(x'_0, x'_1) \alpha s(x'_0) = \\ & = \alpha(\gamma_1(x'_1), \gamma_2(x'_1))^{-1} \alpha \bar{\gamma}_2(x'_0, x'_1) \alpha(\gamma_1(x'_0), \gamma_2(x'_0)) = q \gamma_1(x'_1)^{-1} q \gamma_2(x'_1) = \\ & = q \gamma_2(x'_1)^{-1} q \gamma_2(x'_0) q \gamma_2(x'_0)^{-1} q \gamma_1(x'_0) = q \gamma_1(x'_1)^{-1} q \gamma_1(x'_0) = \alpha \bar{\gamma}_1(x'_0, x'_1) \end{aligned}$$

for $(x'_0, x'_1) \in M'$.

Therefore $(\alpha \bar{\gamma}_1, 1) \sim (\alpha \bar{\gamma}_2, 1)$ with $(\alpha s, 1) \in \text{Der}(F', (A, \mu))$ and one gets $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$.

The rest of the proof of the uniqueness is standard. \square

Let (A, μ) be a crossed $G - R$ -bimodule. Denote by $I \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ a subgroup of $\text{Der}(G, (A, \mu))$ consisting of elements of the form (α, r) with $r \in H^0(G, R)$. If (A, μ) is a crossed G -module viewed as a crossed $G - G$ -bimodule then

$$I \text{Der}(G, (A, \mu)) = \{(\alpha, r), g \in Z(G)\}.$$

Consider the diagram

$$M_G \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{l_0} \\ \xrightarrow{l_1} \end{array} F_G \xrightarrow{\tau_G} G \quad (5)$$

where F_G is the free group generated by G , τ_G is the canonical homomorphism and (M_G, l_0, l_1) is the simplicial kernel of τ_G .

Proposition 10. *Let (A, μ) be a crossed G -module. Then:*

(i) *there is a canonical surjective map*

$$\vartheta' : H^2(G, Ker \mu) \longrightarrow \widetilde{Z}^1(M_G, (A, \mu)) / \sim$$

given by the composite map $[E] \xrightarrow{\vartheta^{-1}} [\alpha] \longmapsto [(\alpha, 1)]$;

(ii) *if we assume $Der(F_G, (A, \mu)) = I Der(F_G, (A, \mu))$ (in particular, it is so if either μ is the trivial map or G is abelian) we can introduce, in the pointed set $\widetilde{Z}^1(M_G, (A, \mu)) / \sim$, an abelian group structure defined by*

$$[(\alpha, 1)][(\beta, 1)] = [(\alpha * \beta, 1)]$$

where (A, μ) is viewed as a crossed $F_G - G$ -bimodule induced by τ_G and $[(\alpha, 1)]$ denotes the equivalence class containing $(\alpha, 1)$. Under this product the map ϑ' becomes an isomorphism.

Proof. To prove (i) we have only to show the correctness of $[\alpha] \longmapsto [(\alpha, 1)]$ where $\alpha : M_G \longrightarrow A$ is a crossed homomorphism with $\alpha(\Delta) = 1$ and $\alpha(M_G) \subset Ker \mu$.

Let $\alpha' \in [\alpha]$, i.e.,

$$\alpha'(x) = \beta l_1^{-1}(x) \alpha(x) \beta l_0(x), x \in M_G,$$

where $\beta : F_G \longrightarrow Ker \mu$ is a crossed homomorphism. Then $(\beta, 1) \in Der(F_G, (A, \mu))$ and we have

$$(\alpha', 1) = (\beta l_0, 1)(\alpha, 1)(\beta l_1, 1)^{-1}.$$

The surjectivity of ϑ' is clear.

(ii) Let $(\alpha', 1) \sim (\alpha, 1)$. Then

$$\alpha'(x) = \eta l_1(x)^{-1g} \alpha(x) \eta l_0(x), \quad x \in M_G,$$

for some $(\eta, g) \in Der(F_G, (A, \mu))$. By assumption, $g \in Z(G)$. Thus $\mu \eta(x) = g x g^{-1} x^{-1} = 1, x \in M_G$. It follows that $[\alpha'] = [{}^g \alpha]$ with $g \in Z(G)$. But it is known that $Z(G)$ acts trivially on $H^2(G, Ker \mu)$. Therefore we have $[\alpha'] = [\alpha]$. Hence there is a crossed homomorphism $\gamma : F_G \longrightarrow Ker \mu$ such that

$$\alpha'(x) = \gamma l_1^{-1}(x) \alpha(x) \gamma l_0(x), \quad x \in M_G.$$

It follows that if $(\alpha, 1) \sim (\alpha', 1)$ and $(\beta, 1) \sim (\beta', 1)$ then $(\alpha * \beta, 1) \sim (\alpha' * \beta', 1)$. We conclude that the product is correctly defined and the map ϑ' is an isomorphism when $Der(F_G, (A, \mu)) = I Der(F_G, (A, \mu))$. \square

Proposition 10 motivates the following definition of the second cohomology of groups with coefficients in crossed modules.

Definition 11. Let (A, μ) be a crossed G -module. One denotes by $H^2(G, A)$ the quotient set $\widetilde{Z}^1(M, (A, \mu)) / \sim$ which will be called the second set of cohomology of G with coefficients in the crossed G -module (A, μ) .

Remark. Using diagram (4) it is possible to define the second cohomology of G with coefficients in a crossed G -module (A, μ) by a different “less abelian” way. Consider the set $\widetilde{Z}^1(M, A)$ of all crossed homomorphisms $\alpha : M \rightarrow A$ with $\alpha(\Delta) = 1$ (the equality $\mu\alpha = 1$ is not required and therefore $\alpha(M)$ is not necessarily contained in $Z(A)$). Introduce in $\widetilde{Z}^1(M, A)$ a relation \sim of equivalence as follows:

$\alpha' \sim \alpha$ if $\exists (\beta, h) \in \text{Der}(F, (A, \mu))$ such that $\alpha'(x) = \beta l_1(x)^{-1} h \alpha(x) \beta l_0(x)$, $x \in M$.

Define $\overline{H}^2(G, A) = \widetilde{Z}^1(M, A) / \sim$. It is obvious that $H^2(G, A) \subset \overline{H}^2(G, A)$. But it seems the exact cohomology sequence (Theorem 13) does not hold for $\overline{H}^2(G, A)$.

It is clear $H^2(G, A)$ is a pointed set with $[(\alpha_0, 1)]$ as a distinguished element where $\alpha_0(y) = 1$ for all $y \in M$.

A homomorphism of crossed G -modules $f : (A, \mu) \rightarrow (B, \lambda)$ induces a map of pointed sets

$$f^2 : H^2(G, A) \rightarrow H^2(G, B), \quad f^2([\alpha, 1]) = [f\alpha, 1].$$

There is an action of G on F_G (see diagram (5)) defined as follows:

$${}^g(|g_1|^\epsilon \cdots |g_n|^\epsilon) = |{}^g g_1|^\epsilon \cdots |{}^g g_n|^\epsilon, \quad g, g_1, \dots, g_n \in G,$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$.

This action induces an action of G on M_G by

$${}^g(x, x') = ({}^g x, {}^g x'), \quad g \in G, \quad (x, x') \in M_G.$$

Let (A, μ) be a crossed G -module. Then we have an action of G on $\text{Der}(M_G, (A, \mu))$ given by

$${}^g(\alpha, h) = (\tilde{\alpha}, {}^g h)$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}(m) = {}^g \alpha({}^{g^{-1}} m)$, $g \in G$, $m \in M_G$.

Proposition 12. *Let (A, μ) be a crossed G -module. There is an action of G on $H^2(G, A)$ induced by the above-defined action of G on $\text{Der}(M_G, (A, \mu))$ under which the center $Z(G)$ acts trivially.*

Proof. Obviously, the action of G on $\text{Der}(M_G, (A, \mu))$ induces an action of G on $\widetilde{\text{Der}}(M_G, (A, \mu))$. Thus one gets an action of G on $\widetilde{Z}^1(M_G, (A, \mu))$.

If $(\alpha', 1) \sim (\alpha, 1)$, where $(\alpha, 1), (\alpha', 1) \in \widetilde{Z}^1(M_G, (A, \mu))$, we have

$$\alpha'(y) = \beta l_1(y)^{-1} h \alpha(y) \beta l_0(y), \quad y \in M_G,$$

for some $(\beta, h) \in \text{Der}(F_G, (A, \mu))$.

This implies

$${}^g\alpha'(g^{-1}y) = {}^g\beta l_1(g^{-1}y)^{-1} {}^{gh}\alpha(g^{-1}y) {}^g\beta l_0(g^{-1}y), \quad y \in M_G.$$

Hence $\tilde{\alpha}'(y) = {}^g\beta(g^{-1}l_1(y))^{-1} {}^{gh}g^{-1}\tilde{\alpha}(g^{-1}y) {}^g\beta(g^{-1}l_0(y))$ with $(\tilde{\beta}, {}^g h) \in \text{Der}(F_G, (A, \mu))$. Therefore $(\tilde{\alpha}', 1) \sim (\tilde{\alpha}, 1)$. It is obvious that the above-defined map $\vartheta' : H^2(G, \ker \mu) \rightarrow H^2(G, A)$ is a G -map. Since ϑ' is surjective (see Proposition 11) and $Z(G)$ acts trivially on $H^2(G, \ker \mu)$, it follows that $Z(G)$ acts trivially on $H^2(G, A)$ too. \square

4. AN EXACT COHOMOLOGY SEQUENCE

For any G -group A denote by $H^0(G, A)$ a subgroup of A consisting of all invariant elements under the action of G on A .

Theorem 13. *Let*

$$1 \rightarrow (A, 1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (B, \mu) \xrightarrow{\psi} (C, \lambda) \rightarrow 1 \tag{6}$$

be an exact sequence of crossed G -modules. Then there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \rightarrow H^0(G, A) \xrightarrow{\varphi^0} H^0(G, B) \xrightarrow{\psi^0} H^0(G, C) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\varphi^1} \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi^1} H^1(G, B) \xrightarrow{\psi^1} H^1(G, C) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} H^2(G, A) \xrightarrow{\varphi^2} H^2(G, B) \xrightarrow{\psi^2} H^2(G, C) \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi^0, \psi^0, \delta^0, \varphi^1, \psi^1$ are group homomorphisms, δ^1 is a crossed homomorphism under the action of $H^1(G, C)$ on $H^2(G, A)$ induced by the action of G on A , and φ^2, ψ^2 are maps of pointed G -sets.

Proof. The exactness of

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \rightarrow H^0(G, A) \xrightarrow{\varphi^0} H^0(G, B) \xrightarrow{\psi^0} H^0(G, C) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\varphi^1} \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi^1} H^1(G, B) \xrightarrow{\psi^1} H^1(G, C) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} H^2(G, A) \end{aligned}$$

is proved in [4].

We have only to show the exactness of

$$H^1(G, C) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} H^2(G, A) \xrightarrow{\varphi^2} H^2(G, B) \xrightarrow{\psi^2} H^2(G, C).$$

Let $[(\alpha, g)] \in H^1(G, C)$ and $\delta^1[(\alpha, g)] = [\gamma]$. Then one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M & \xrightarrow{l_0} & F & \xrightarrow{\tau} & G \\ & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha \\ A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B & \xrightarrow{\psi} & C \end{array}$$

where $\varphi\gamma(y) = \beta l_1(y)^{-1} \beta l_0(y)$, $y \in M$, and β is a crossed homomorphism, B being an F -group via τ . The existence of such β follows from the following assertion: if we have a surjective homomorphism $\psi : B \rightarrow C$ of F -groups and $f : F \rightarrow C$ is a crossed homomorphism where F is a free group, then there is a crossed homomorphism $\beta : F \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi\beta = f$. In effect, take the semi-direct product $B \rtimes F$ and consider a subgroup Y of $B \rtimes F$ consisting of all elements (b, x) such that $\psi(b) = f(x)$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & F \\ \downarrow pr_1 & & \downarrow f \\ B & \xrightarrow{\psi} & C \end{array}$$

where pr_i is the projection, $i = 1, 2$, and pr_2 is surjective. Thus, since F is a free group, there is a homomorphism $f' : F \rightarrow Y$ such that $ff' = 1_F$. Then $pr_1 f'$ is the required crossed homomorphism.

It will be shown that $(\beta, g) \in \text{Der}(F, (B, \mu))$. One has

$$g\tau(x)g^{-1}\tau(x)^{-1} = \lambda\alpha\tau(x) = \lambda\psi\beta(x) = \mu\beta(x), \quad x \in F.$$

This means $(\beta, g) \in \text{Der}(F, (B, \mu))$. It is clear that $\varphi^2\delta^1([\alpha, g]) = \varphi^2([\gamma]) = [(\varphi\gamma, 1)]$ and $(\varphi\gamma, 1) \sim (\alpha_0, 1)$ (use $(\beta, g) \in \text{Der}(F, (B, \mu))$), where $\alpha_0(x) = 1$ for all $x \in F$. Therefore $\text{Im } \delta^1 \subset \ker \varphi^2$.

Let $[\gamma] \in H^2(G, A)$ such that $\varphi^2([\gamma]) = [(\varphi\gamma, 1)] = [(\alpha_0, 1)]$. Then there exists $(\beta, h) \in \text{Der}(F, (B, \mu))$ such that

$$\varphi\gamma(y) = \beta l_1(y)^{-1} \cdot \beta l_0(y), \quad y \in M,$$

whence $\psi\beta l_0(y) = \psi\beta l_1(y)$, $y \in M$. It follows that there is a crossed homomorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow C$ such that $\alpha\tau = \psi\beta$. We have to show $(\alpha, h) \in \text{Der}_G(G, C)$. In effect, $h\tau(x)h^{-1}\tau(x)^{-1} = \mu\beta(x) = \lambda\psi\beta(x) = \lambda\alpha\tau(x)$, $x \in F$. This implies $(\alpha, h) \in \text{Der}_G(G, C)$. It is clear that $\delta^1([\alpha, h]) = [\gamma]$. Therefore, $\ker \varphi^2 \subset \text{Im } \delta^1$.

It is obvious that $\text{Im } \varphi^2 \subset \ker \psi^2$.

Let $[(\alpha, 1)] \in H^2(G, B)$ such that $\psi^2([\alpha, 1]) = [(\psi\alpha, 1)] = [(\alpha_0, 1)]$. Then there exists $(\beta, h) \in \text{Der}(F, (C, \lambda))$ such that

$$\psi\alpha(y) = \beta l_1(y)^{-1} \beta l_0(y), \quad y \in M.$$

It follows that there is a crossed homomorphism $\beta' : F \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi\beta' = \beta$. One gets the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{l_0} & F & \xrightarrow{\tau} & G \\ & \searrow l_1 & & & \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & \downarrow \beta' & \downarrow \beta \\ A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B & \xrightarrow{\psi} & C \end{array} .$$

where $F_0 = F_G$, $F_i = F_{M_{i-1}}$, $i \geq 1$, τ_i is the canonical homomorphism, and $(M_i, l_0^i, \dots, l_{i+1}^i)$ is the simplicial kernel of $(l_0^{i-1}\tau_i, \dots, l_i^{i-1}\tau_i)$, $i \geq 0$ (see [10]).

There is an action of $\text{Der}(F_0, (C, \lambda))$ on $H^3(G, A)$ defined as follows.

Let $[f] \in H^3(G, A)$, where $f : F_2 \rightarrow A$ is a crossed homomorphism such that $\prod_{i=0}^3 (fl_i^1\tau_3)^\epsilon = 1$, where $\epsilon = (-1)^i$, and let $(\alpha, g) \in \text{Der}(F_0, (C, \lambda))$.

Define

$$^{(\alpha, g)}[f] = [{}^g f].$$

We have first to show that ${}^g f$ is a crossed homomorphism.

In the group $\text{Der}(F_2, (B, \mu))$ ((B, μ) being a crossed F_2 - G -bimodule induced by $\tau_0\partial_0^1\partial_0^2$ where $\partial_0^1 = l_0^0\tau_1$, $\partial_0^2 = l_0^1\tau_2$) take the product

$$(\beta\partial_0^1\partial_0^2, g)(\varphi f, 1)(\beta\partial_0^1\partial_0^2, g)^{-1} = (\tilde{f}, 1),$$

where \tilde{f} is a crossed homomorphism and $\beta : F_0 \rightarrow B$ is a crossed homomorphism too such that $\psi\beta = \alpha$ (such β exists, since F_0 is a free group and ψ is surjective).

One has

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \beta\partial_0^1\partial_0^2(x)^{-1g}\varphi f(x)\beta\partial_0^1\partial_0^2(x) = {}^g\varphi f(x), \quad x \in F_2.$$

We show now the correctness. If $f \sim f'$ then there is a crossed homomorphism $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow A$ such that

$$f'(x) = f(x) \prod_{i=0}^2 (\eta l_i^1\tau_2(x))^\epsilon, \quad x \in F_2,$$

where $\epsilon = (-1)^i$. It can be shown in the same manner as for f that ${}^g\eta$ is a crossed homomorphism if $(\alpha, g) \in \text{Der}(F_0, (C, \lambda))$.

Thus one gets

$${}^g f' = {}^g f \prod_{i=0}^2 {}^g(\eta l_i^1\tau_2)^\epsilon,$$

where $\epsilon = (-1)^i$. This implies

$$[{}^g f'] = [{}^g f].$$

Therefore the action of $\text{Der}(F_0, (C, \lambda))$ on $H^3(G, A)$ is correctly defined.

Let $(\alpha, 1) \in \widetilde{\text{Der}}(M_0, (C, \lambda))$ and let $\beta : F_1 \rightarrow B$ be a crossed homomorphism (F_1 acts on B via $\tau_0 l_0^0\tau_1 = \tau_0 l_0^1\tau_1$) such that $\psi\beta = \alpha\tau_1$. Then we have $\beta(F_1) \subset Z(B)$ and define a crossed homomorphism $\bar{\beta} = \prod_{i=0}^2 (\beta l_i^1)^\epsilon :$

$M_1 \longrightarrow B$, $\epsilon = (-1)^i$. Hence $\psi\bar{\beta}(y) = \prod_{i=0}^2 \psi\beta l_i^1(y)^\epsilon = \prod_{i=0}^2 \alpha\tau_1 l_i^1(y)^\epsilon$, $y \in M_1$,
 $\epsilon = (-1)^i$.

It is easy to see that

$$\tau_1 l_0^1(y)\tau_1 l_1^1(y)^{-1}\tau_1 l_2^1(y) \in \Delta, \quad y \in M_1.$$

Since $\alpha(\Delta) = 1$, there is a crossed homomorphism $\gamma : F_2 \longrightarrow A$ such that $\varphi\gamma = \bar{\beta}\tau_2$.

Theorem 15. *Let (6) be an exact sequence of crossed G -modules. If either the action of $\text{Der}(F_0, (C, \lambda))$ on $H^3(G, A)$ is trivial (in particular, if G acts trivially on A) or $\text{Der}(F_0, (C, \lambda)) = I\text{Der}(F_0, (C, \lambda))$ (in particular, if either $\lambda = 1$ or G is abelian) then the connecting map $\delta^2 : H^2(G, C) \longrightarrow H^3(G, A)$ is defined by*

$$\delta^2([\alpha, 1]) = [\gamma], (\alpha, 1) \in \widetilde{\text{Der}}(M_0, (C, \lambda)),$$

and the sequence

$$H^2(G, B) \xrightarrow{\psi^2} H^2(G, C) \xrightarrow{\delta^2} H^3(G, A)$$

is exact.

Proof. We have to show that δ^2 is correctly defined.

Let $\text{Der}(F_0, (C, \lambda))$ act trivially on $H^3(G, A)$. If $(\alpha', 1) \in [(\alpha, 1)] \in H^2(G, A)$, we have

$$\alpha'(x) = \eta l_1^0(x)^{-1g}\alpha(x)\eta l_0^0(x), \quad x \in F_0,$$

with $(\eta, g) \in \text{Der}(F_0, (C, \lambda))$.

Take a crossed homomorphism $\eta' : F_0 \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi\eta' = \eta$. Recall that $\psi\beta = \alpha\tau_1$. Consider the product

$$(\eta' l_0^0 \tau_1, g)(\beta, 1)(\eta' l_1^0 \tau_1, g)^{-1} = (\beta', 1)$$

in the group $\text{Der}(F_1, (B, \mu))$. Then

$$\beta'(x) = \eta' l_1^0 \tau_1(x)^{-1g}\beta(x)\eta' l_0^0 \tau_1(x), \quad x \in F_1.$$

Thus $\psi\beta' = \alpha'\tau_1$ and $\beta'(F_1) \subset Z(B)$. Note that this implies $\eta' l_1^0(x)^{-1}\eta' l_0^0(x) \in Z(B)$ for all $x \in M_0$.

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'(l_0^1(y))\beta'(l_1^1(y)^{-1})\beta'(l_2^1(y)) &= {}^g\beta(l_0^1(y))\eta' l_1^0(l_0^1(y))^{-1}\eta' l_0^0(l_0^1(y)) \\ & {}^g\beta(l_1^1(y))^{-1}\eta' l_1^0(l_1^1(y)^{-1})^{-1}\eta' l_0^0(l_1^1(y)^{-1g})\beta(l_2^1(y))\eta' l_1^0(l_2^1(y))^{-1} \\ & \eta' l_0^0(l_2^1(y)) = {}^g\bar{\beta}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\bar{\beta}'\tau_2 = {}^g(\bar{\beta}\tau_2)$ and $[\gamma'] = [{}^g\gamma] = [\gamma]$.

If $\text{Der}(F_0, (C, \lambda)) = I \text{Der}(F_0(C, \lambda))$ and $\alpha', 1) \sim (\alpha, 1)$ then there is an element $(\eta, g) \in \text{Der}(F_0, (C, \lambda))$ such that

$$\alpha'(x) = \eta l_1^0(x)^{-1} \alpha(x) \eta l_0^0(x), \quad x \in F_0,$$

(see the proof of Proposition 10 (ii)) and it is clear that in both cases δ^2 is correctly defined.

We will now prove the exactness. Let $[(\alpha, 1)] \in H^2(G, B)$. Then $\delta^2 \psi^2[(\alpha, 1)] = \delta^2[(\psi\alpha, 1)] = [\gamma]$, where $\varphi\gamma = \bar{\beta}\tau_2$ and $\bar{\beta}$ is taken such that $\beta = \alpha\tau_1$. Thus

$$\bar{\beta}(y) = \alpha\tau_1 l_0^1(y) \alpha\tau_1 l_1^1(y)^{-1} \alpha\tau_1 l_2^1(y), \quad y \in M_1.$$

Since $\tau_1 L_0^1(y) \tau_1 L_1^1(y)^{-1} \tau_1 L_2^1(y) \in \Delta$, $y \in M_1$ and $\alpha(\Delta) = 1$, this implies $\bar{\beta} = 1$. Thus we have $\text{Im } \psi^2 \subset \ker \delta^2$.

Let $[(\alpha, 1)] \in H^2(G, C)$ such that $\delta^2[(\alpha, 1)] = 1$. Then we have $[\gamma] = 1$ with $\varphi\gamma = \bar{\beta}\tau_2$, where $\bar{\beta} : M_+1 \rightarrow B$ is a crossed homomorphism such that $\bar{\beta} = \beta l_0^1(\beta l_1^1)^{-1} \beta l_2^1$ with $\psi\beta = \alpha\tau_1$ and $\beta : F_1 \rightarrow B$ a crossed homomorphism.

It follows that there exists a crossed homomorphism $\eta : F_1 \rightarrow A$ such that $\gamma = (\eta l_0^1(\eta l_1^1)^{-1} \eta l_2^1) \tau_2$.

Thus we have $\bar{\beta}\tau_2 = \varphi(\eta l_0^1(\eta l_1^1)^{-1} \eta l_2^1) \tau_2$, whence $\bar{\beta}(y) = \varphi\eta l_0^1(y) \varphi\eta l_1^1(y)^{-1} \varphi\eta l_2^1(y)$, $y \in M_1$.

For $y_1, y_2 \in F_1$ such that $\tau_1(y_1) = \tau_1(y_2) = x$, since $(y_1, y_2, s_0^0 l_1^0(x)) \in M_1$, one gets $\beta(y_1) \beta(y_2)^{-1} \beta s_0^0 l_1^0(x) = \varphi\eta(y_1) \varphi\eta(y_2)^{-1} \varphi\eta(s_0^0 l_1^0(x))$, where $s_0^0 : F_0 \rightarrow F_1$ is the degeneracy map. In particular, if $y_1 = y_2$ we have

$$\beta(s_0^0 l_1^0(x)) = \varphi\eta(s_0^0 l_1^0(x)), \quad x \in M_0.$$

Therefore $\beta(y_1) \varphi\eta(y_1)^{-1} = \beta(y_2) \varphi\eta(y_2)^{-1}$ if $\tau_1(y_1) = \tau_1(y_2)$.

This implies a crossed homomorphism $\beta' : M_0 \rightarrow B$ given by

$$\beta'(x) = \beta(y) \varphi\eta(y)^{-1}, \quad x \in M_0,$$

where $\tau_1(y) = x$. Thus $\beta'\tau_1 = \beta$ and $\beta'(M_0) \subset Z(B)$. If $x \in \Delta \subset M_0$ then $\tau_1 s_0^0 l_1^0(x) = x$. Therefore we have

$$\beta'(x) = \beta(s_0^0 l_1^0(x)) = \varphi\eta(s_0^0 l_1^0(x))^{-1}, \quad x \in \Delta.$$

Whence $\beta'(x) = 1$ if $x \in \Delta$.

On the other hand,

$$\mu\beta'(x) \mu\beta(y) \mu\varphi\eta(y)^{-1} = \mu\beta(y) = \lambda\psi\beta(y) = \lambda\alpha\tau_1(y) = 1.$$

We conclude that $(\beta', 1) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}^1(M_0, (B, \mu))$ and it is clear that $\psi^2([(\beta', 1)]) = [(\alpha, 1)]$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research described in this publication was made possible in part by Grant MXH200 from the International Science Foundation and by INTAS Grant No 93-2618.

REFERENCES

1. H. Inassaridze, Non-abelian cohomology with coefficients in crossed bimodules. *Georgian Math. J.* **4**(1997), No. 6, (*to appear*).
2. J. -P. Serre, Cohomologie galoisienne. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **5**, Springer-Verlag, 1964.
3. D. Guin, Cohomologie et homologie non abeliennes des groupes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **301**(1985), Serie 1, No. 7.
4. D. Guin, Cohomologie et homologie non abeliennes des groupes. *Pure Appl. Algebra* **50**(1988), 109–137.
5. R. Dedecker, Cohomologie non abelienne. *Seminaire de l'Institut Mathematique Lille*, 1963–1964.
6. M. Ballejos and A. Cegarra, A 3-dimensional non-abelian cohomology of groups with applications to homotopy classification of continuous maps. *Canad. J. Math.* **43**(1991), 265–296.
7. A. Cegarra and A. Garzon, A long exact sequence in non-abelian cohomology. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1488**(1991), 79–94.
8. R. Brown, D. Johnson, and E. F. Robertson, Some computations of the non-abelian tensor products of groups. *J. Algebra* **111**(1987), 177–202.
9. M. Barr and J. Beck, Homology and standard constructions. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **80**, Springer-Verlag, 1980, 245–335.
10. H. Inassaridze, Homotopy of pseudo-simplicial groups, non-abelian derived functors and algebraic K -theory. *Math. USSR Sbornik* **27**(1975), No. 3, 339–362.

(Received 2.06.1995)

Author's address:

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute
Georgian Academy of Sciences
1, M. Aleksidze St., Tbilisi 380093
Georgia