

ON MAXIMAL *ot*-SUBSETS OF THE EUCLIDEAN PLANE

A. KHARAZISHVILI

Abstract. We say that a subset X of the plane \mathbf{R}^2 is an *ot*-set if any three points of X form an obtuse triangle. Some properties of *ot*-sets are investigated. It is shown that no finite *ot*-subset of \mathbf{R}^2 is maximal, but there exists a countable maximal *ot*-subset of \mathbf{R}^2 . Several related problems are formulated and discussed.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 52A10, 52A20.

Key words and phrases: Euclidean plane, obtuse triangle, maximal *ot*-set, strip.

There are many interesting problems and open questions in the geometry of Euclidean spaces, which have a set-theoretic flavour and are closely connected with point set theory and convexity (see, e.g., [1]–[6]). A number of such problems was raised by P. Erdős (cf. [1]). Similar questions frequently arise in combinatorial geometry, classical measure theory and equidecomposability theory.

Here we would like to discuss one problem of this kind for the Euclidean plane \mathbf{R}^2 . We begin with some definitions.

Let X be a subset of the plane. We shall say that X is an *ot*-set if any three-element subset of X forms an obtuse triangle.

We shall say that an *ot*-set $X \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ is maximal if there is no *ot*-set on the plane properly containing X .

Each *ot*-set in \mathbf{R}^2 is contained in some maximal *ot*-subset of the plane (this fact follows directly from the Kuratowski–Zorn lemma).

As far as we know, the following problem remains unsolved.

Problem. Give a characterization of all maximal *ot*-subsets of the plane.

Here are some simple examples of maximal *ot*-sets in \mathbf{R}^2 .

Example 1. Let X be a semi-circumference on the plane without one of its end-points. It can be easily verified that X is a maximal *ot*-set in \mathbf{R}^2 .

Example 2. Let $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a function, let X_f denote the graph of f and suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) f is increasing and continuous;
- (2) no three points of X_f belong to a straight line, i.e. all points of X_f are in general position.

Then it is not difficult to show that X_f is a maximal *ot*-set in \mathbf{R}^2 . Note also that conditions (1) and (2) imply at once that the given function f is strictly increasing.

Let x and y be any two distinct points in \mathbf{R}^2 . We denote by $l(x, y)$ the straight line passing through x and y .

Let l and l' be any two distinct parallel straight lines on \mathbf{R}^2 . We recall that all points of \mathbf{R}^2 lying between l and l' form the strip determined by these two lines. This strip is denoted by $S(l, l')$. In the sequel, only closed strips are under consideration, i.e. we assume throughout the paper that $S(l, l')$ contains both lines l and l' . The width of $S(l, l')$ is equal (by definition) to the distance between l and l' .

A single straight line may be regarded as a strip whose width is equal to zero.

Let x and x' be any two distinct points of \mathbf{R}^2 , let l be the straight line passing through x and perpendicular to the segment $[x, x']$, let l' be the straight line passing through x' and perpendicular to the same segment. We shall say in the sequel that the strip $S(l, l')$ is determined by the points x and x' . In this case we also denote $S(x, x') = S(l, l')$.

Let $\{S_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of strips in \mathbf{R}^2 . We shall say that these strips are in general position if, for any two distinct strips S_i and S_j , a boundary line of S_i is not parallel to a boundary line of S_j .

It is not hard to show that the plane cannot be covered by finitely many strips and straight lines. From this circumstance it readily follows that no finite ot -subset of \mathbf{R}^2 can be maximal.

Note that the maximal ot -sets described in Examples 1 and 2 above are of cardinality continuum. In this connection, it is natural to ask whether there exists a countable maximal ot -set in \mathbf{R}^2 .

The main goal of this paper is to demonstrate that there are countable locally finite maximal ot -subsets of \mathbf{R}^2 . In order to establish this fact, we need some auxiliary notions and statements.

Let $\{S_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{S_j : j \in J\}$ be two families of strips in \mathbf{R}^2 . We shall say that these two families are in general position if all strips of the extended family $\{S_i : i \in I\} \cup \{S_j : j \in J\}$ are in general position.

Let X be a subset of \mathbf{R}^2 and let $\{S_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of strips. We shall say that X and $\{S_i : i \in I\}$ are in general position if the families $\{S_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{S(x, x') : x \in X, x' \in X, x \neq x'\}$ are in general position.

If Z is a subset of \mathbf{R}^2 and $\varepsilon > 0$, then the symbol $V(Z, \varepsilon)$ denotes, as usual, the ε -neighbourhood of Z . Accordingly, we utilize the notation $V(z, \varepsilon)$ for a point $z \in \mathbf{R}^2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

We shall say that a set C is an open circle in \mathbf{R}^2 if

$$C = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 < r\},$$

where r is a strictly positive real number.

Lemma 1. *Let X be a finite set of points in \mathbf{R}^2 , such that all the strips $S(x, x')$ ($x \in X, x' \in X, x \neq x'$) are in general position, and let $C \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ be an open circle containing X . Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite family of strips $\{S_i : i \in I\}$ satisfying the relations:*

- 1) for each $i \in I$, the width of S_i is less than ε ;
- 2) X and $\{S_i : i \in I\}$ are in general position;

3) $X \subset C \setminus \cup\{S_i : i \in I\} \subset V(X, \varepsilon)$.

We omit an easy proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. *Let C be an open circle in \mathbf{R}^2 , let X be a finite *ot*-subset of \mathbf{R}^2 and let $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$ be a finite family of strips in \mathbf{R}^2 such that X and $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$ are in general position. Then there exist pairwise distinct points $y_1, z_1, y_2, z_2, \dots, y_m, z_m$ satisfying the relations:*

- 1) $\{y_1, z_1, y_2, z_2, \dots, y_m, z_m\} \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus C$;
- 2) $X^* = X \cup \{y_1, z_1, y_2, z_2, \dots, y_m, z_m\}$ is an *ot*-subset of \mathbf{R}^2 ;
- 3) for each natural number $i \in [1, m]$, we have $S_i = S(y_i, z_i)$;
- 4) the family of strips $\{S(x, x') : x \in X^*, x' \in X^*, x \neq x'\}$ is in general position.

The proof of Lemma 2 can be obtained by induction on m . We omit the corresponding technical details (which are not difficult).

Theorem 1. *There exists a countable locally finite maximal *ot*-subset of \mathbf{R}^2 .*

Proof. Fix a decreasing sequence $\{\varepsilon_k : k \geq 1\}$ of strictly positive real numbers, such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$.

Define, by induction on k , two increasing (with respect to the inclusion relation) families $\{X_k : k \geq 1\}$ and $\{C_k : k \geq 1\}$ of subsets of \mathbf{R}^2 .

For $k = 1$, take any finite *ot*-set $X_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ with the property that all the strips from $\{S(x, x') : x \in X_1, x' \in X_1, x \neq x'\}$ are in general position, and take also an open circle $C_1 \supset X_1$ with radius greater than 1.

Suppose that the sets X_k and C_k are already defined for a natural number $k \geq 1$.

Applying Lemma 1 to X_k, C_k and ε_k , we can find a finite family of strips $\{S_i : i \in I_k\}$ satisfying the following relations:

- 1) for each $i \in I_k$, the width of S_i is less than ε_k ;
- 2) X_k and $\{S_i : i \in I_k\}$ are in general position;
- 3) $X_k \subset C_k \setminus \cup\{S_i : i \in I_k\} \subset V(X_k, \varepsilon_k)$.

Now, we apply Lemma 2 to the circle C_k , the set X_k and the family of strips $\{S_i : i \in I_k\}$. According to the above-mentioned lemma, there exists a finite *ot*-set $X_k^* \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ such that:

- a) $X_k \subset X_k^*$;
- b) $X_k^* \setminus X_k \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus C_k$;
- c) the family of strips $S(x, x')$ ($x \in X_k^*, x' \in X_k^*, x \neq x'$) is in general position and contains the family $\{S_i : i \in I_k\}$.

We put $X_{k+1} = X_k^*$. Besides, let C_{k+1} be an open circle in \mathbf{R}^2 containing $X_{k+1} \cup C_k$, whose radius is greater than $k + 1$.

Proceeding in this manner, we are able to construct the desired families of sets $\{X_k : k \geq 1\}$ and $\{C_k : k \geq 1\}$.

Note that, in virtue of our construction, we have the equality

$$\mathbf{R}^2 = \cup\{C_k : k \geq 1\}.$$

Finally, we define

$$X = \cup\{X_k : k \geq 1\}.$$

A straightforward verification shows that X is a countable locally finite ot -subset of the plane, and we are going to demonstrate that X is maximal. For this purpose, take any point $t \in \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus X$ and check that $X \cup \{t\}$ cannot be an ot -set in \mathbf{R}^2 . Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$, there exists a natural number k_0 such that, for all natural numbers $k > k_0$, we have the relation

$$t \in C_k \setminus \cup \{V(x, \varepsilon_k) : x \in X_k\}$$

and, consequently, $t \in \cup \{S_i : i \in I_k\}$ (see relation 3) above). Hence $t \in S_i$ for some $i \in I_k$. But, in view of our construction, $S_i = S(y, z)$ where $\{y, z\} \subset X_{k+1} \setminus X_k \subset X$, and we also remember that the width of S_i is less than ε_k . Now, taking this circumstance into account, it can easily be seen that if k is large enough, then the values of all three angles in the triangle $[t, y, z]$ do not exceed $\pi/2$, whence it follows that $X \cup \{t\}$ is not an ot -subset of \mathbf{R}^2 .

The theorem has thus been proved. \square

A statement analogous to Theorem 1 can be established for the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n where $n > 2$. In other words, we have the following

Theorem 2. *If $n > 2$, then there exists a countable locally finite maximal ot -set in the space \mathbf{R}^n .*

The proof of this statement can be carried out in the same manner as for the Euclidean plane \mathbf{R}^2 (some additional purely technical details occur, but they do not represent essential difficulties).

It would be interesting to find a characterization of all maximal ot -subsets of the space \mathbf{R}^n , where $n > 2$. In this context, the following example is relevant.

Example 3. Consider the three-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^3 and its two-dimensional subspace $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$. Let S be a closed semi-circumference in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ with end-points y and z . Let $l(y, z)$ denote the straight line passing through y and z . Take any point x on $l(y, z)$ not belonging to the linear segment $[y, z]$ and put

$$X = (S \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{(x, 1)\}.$$

It is not hard to check that X is an ot -set in \mathbf{R}^3 . Also, as we know, $S \setminus \{y\}$ is a maximal ot -subset of the plane $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ (see Example 1). Since X properly contains $S \setminus \{y\}$, we conclude that $S \setminus \{y\}$ is not a maximal ot -set in the space \mathbf{R}^3 .

Moreover, we cannot even assert that X is a maximal ot -subset of \mathbf{R}^3 . For instance, if the semi-circumference S is such that

$$(\forall t \in S)(\|t - x\| < 1),$$

then the set

$$X' = (S \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{(x, 1)\} \cup \{(x, -1)\} = X \cup \{(x, -1)\}$$

turns out to be an ot -subset of \mathbf{R}^3 and properly contains X .

Remark 1. In connection with Theorem 1, the following question arises naturally: does there exist a countable bounded maximal ot -subset of \mathbf{R}^2 ? This question remains open.

Remark 2. Let H be a pre-Hilbert space (over the field \mathbf{R}) and let X be a subset of H . We say that X is an rt -set if each three-element subset of X forms a rectangular triangle. In [5] a characterization of all rt -sets was given (for more details, see [6]). In particular, it was demonstrated there that $\text{card}(X) \leq \mathbf{c}$ for any rt -set X , where \mathbf{c} denotes the cardinality of the continuum. In the case $H = \mathbf{R}^2$, it can easily be shown that X is a maximal rt -subset of H if and only if X coincides with the set of vertices of a rectangle in H .

REFERENCES

1. H. DEBRUNNER and H. HADWIGER, Combinatorial geometry in the plane. Translated by Victor Klee. With a new chapter and other additional material supplied by the translator. *Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York*, 1964.
2. H. HADWIGER, Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg*, 1957.
3. Z. A. MELZAK, Problems connected with convexity. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **8**(1965), 565–573.
4. Z. A. MELZAK, More problems connected with convexity. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **11**(1968), 489–494.
5. A. B. KHARAZISHVILI, The characterization of rt -sets in Euclidean spaces. (Russian) *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR (Bull. Acad. Sci. Georgian SSR)* **86**(1977), 537–540.
6. A. B. KHARAZISHVILI, Selected questions in the geometry of Euclidean spaces. (Russian) *Tbilisi University Press, Tbilisi*, 1978.

(Received 22.10.2002)

Author's address:

I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics
Tbilisi State University
2, University St., Tbilisi 380093
Georgia