

**ON THE CORRECT FORMULATION OF A  
MULTIDIMENSIONAL PROBLEM FOR STRICTLY  
HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS OF HIGHER ORDER**

S. KHARIBEGASHVILI

ABSTRACT. A theorem of the unique solvability of the first boundary value problem in the Sobolev weighted spaces is proved for higher-order strictly hyperbolic systems in the conic domain with special orientation.

In the space  $R^n$ ,  $n > 2$ , let us consider a strictly hyperbolic equation of the form

$$p(x, \partial)u(x) = f(x), \quad (1)$$

where  $\partial = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ ,  $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ ,  $p(x, \xi)$  is a real polynomial of order  $2m$ ,  $m > 1$ , with respect to  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ ,  $f$  is the known function and  $u$  is the unknown function. It is assumed that in equation (1) the coefficients at higher derivatives are constant and the other coefficients are finite and infinitely differentiable in  $R^n$ .

Let  $D$  be a conic domain in  $R^n$ , i.e.,  $D$  together with a point  $x \in D$  contains the entire beam  $tx$ ,  $0 < t < \infty$ . Denote by  $\Gamma$  the cone  $\partial D$ . It is assumed that  $D$  is homeomorphic onto the conic domain  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2 - x_n^2 < 0$ ,  $x_n > 0$  and  $\Gamma' = \Gamma \setminus O$  is a connected  $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold of the class  $C^\infty$ , where  $O$  is the vertex of the cone  $\Gamma$ .

Consider the problem: Find in the domain  $D$  the solution  $u(x)$  of equation (1) by the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial \nu^i} \Big|_{\Gamma'} = g_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1, \quad (2)$$

where  $\nu = \nu(x)$  is the outward normal to  $\Gamma'$  at a point  $x \in \Gamma'$ , and  $g_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ , are the known real functions.

Note that the problem (1), (2) is considered in [1-6] for a hyperbolic-type equation of second order when  $\Gamma$  is a characteristic conoid. In [7] this

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35L35.

problem is considered for a wave equation when the conic surface  $\Gamma$  is not characteristic at any point and has a time-type orientation. A multidimensional analogue of the problem is treated in [8–10] for the case when one part of the cone  $\Gamma$  is characteristic and the other part is a time-type hyperplane. Other multidimensional analogues of the Goursat problem for hyperbolic systems of first and second order are investigated in [11–15].

In this paper we consider the question whether the problem (1), (2) can be correctly formulated in special weighted spaces  $W_\alpha^k(D)$  when the cone  $\Gamma$  is assumed not to be characteristic but having a quite definite orientation.

Denote by  $p_0(\xi)$  the characteristic polynomial of the equation (1), i.e., the higher homogeneous part of the polynomial  $p(x, \xi)$ . The strict hyperbolicity of the equation (1) implies the existence of a vector  $\zeta \in R^n$  such that the straight line  $\xi = \lambda\zeta + \eta$ , where  $\eta \in R^n$  is an arbitrarily chosen vector not parallel to  $\zeta$  and  $\lambda$  is the real parameter, intersects the cone of normals  $K : p_0(\xi) = 0$  of the equation (1) at  $2m$  different real points. In other words, the equation  $p_0(\lambda\zeta + \eta) = 0$  with respect to  $\lambda$  has  $2m$  different real roots. The vector  $\zeta$  is called a spatial-type normal. As is well-known, a set of all spatial-type normals form two connected centrally-symmetric convex conic domains whose boundaries  $K_1$  and  $K_{2m}$  give the internal cavity of the cone of normals  $K$  [3]. The surface  $S \subset R^n$  is called characteristic at a point  $x \in S$  if the normal to  $S$  at the point  $x$  belongs to the cone  $K$ .

Let the vector  $\zeta$  be a spatial-type normal and the vector  $\eta \neq 0$  change in the plane orthogonal to  $\zeta$ . Then for  $\lambda$  the roots of the characteristic polynomial  $p_0(\lambda\zeta + \eta)$  can be reenumerated so that  $\lambda_{2m}(\eta) < \lambda_{2m-1}(\eta) < \dots < \lambda_1(\eta)$ . It is obvious that the vectors  $\lambda_i(\eta)\zeta + \eta$  cover the cavities  $K_i$  of  $K$  when the  $\eta$  changes on the plane orthogonal to  $\zeta$ . Since  $\lambda_{m-j}(\eta) = -\lambda_{m+j+1}(-\eta)$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ , the cones  $K_{m-j}$  and  $K_{m+j+1}$  are centrally symmetric with respect to the point  $(0, \dots, 0)$ . As is well-known, by the bicharacteristics of the equation (1) we understand straight beams whose orthogonal planes are tangential planes to one of the cavities  $K_i$  at the point different from the vertex.

Assume that there exists a plane  $\pi_0$  such that  $\pi_0 \cap K_m = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ . This means that the cones  $K_1, \dots, K_m$  are located on one side of  $\pi_0$  and the cones  $K_{m+1}, \dots, K_{2m}$  on the other. Set  $K_i^* = \cap_{\eta \in K_i} \{\xi \in R^n : \xi \cdot \eta < 0\}$ , where  $\xi \cdot \eta$  is the scalar product of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . Since  $\pi_0 \cap K_m = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ ,  $K_i^*$  is a conic domain and  $K_m^* \subset K_{m-1}^* \subset \dots \subset K_1^*$ ,  $K_{m+1}^* \subset K_{m+2}^* \subset \dots \subset K_{2m}^*$ . It is easy to verify that  $\partial(K_i^*)$  is a convex cone whose generatrices are bicharacteristics; note that in this case none of the bicharacteristics of the equation (1) comes from the point  $(0, \dots, 0)$  into the cone  $\partial(K_m^*)$  or  $\partial(K_{m+1}^*)$  [3].

Let us consider

**Condition 1.** The surface  $\Gamma'$  is characteristic at none of its points and

each generatrix of the cone  $\Gamma$  has the direction of a spatial-type normal; moreover,  $\Gamma \subset K_m^* \cup 0$  or  $\Gamma \subset K_{m+1}^* \cup 0$ .

Denote by  $W_\alpha^k(D)$ ,  $k \geq 2m$ ,  $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$ , the functional space with the norm [16]

$$\|u\|_{W_\alpha^k(D)}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^k \int_D r^{-2\alpha-2(k-i)} \left\| \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial x^i} \right\|^2 dx,$$

where

$$r = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_n^{i_n}}, \quad i = i_1 + \dots + i_n.$$

The space  $W_\alpha^k(\Gamma)$  is defined in a similar manner. Consider the space

$$V = W_{\alpha-1}^{k+1-2m}(D) \times \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} W_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}^{k-i}(\Gamma).$$

Assume that to the problem (1), (2) there corresponds the unbounded operator

$$T : W_\alpha^k(D) \rightarrow V$$

with the domain of definition  $\Omega_T = W_{\alpha-1}^{k+1}(D) \subset W_\alpha^k(D)$ , acting by the formula

$$Tu = \left( p(x, \partial)u, u|_{\Gamma'}, \dots, \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial \nu^i} \Big|_{\Gamma'}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{m-1} u}{\partial \nu^{m-1}} \Big|_{\Gamma'} \right), \quad u \in \Omega_T.$$

It is obvious that the operator  $T$  admits the closure  $\bar{T}$ .

The function  $u$  is called a strong solution of the problem (1), (2) of the class  $W_\alpha^k(D)$  if  $u \in \Omega_{\bar{T}}$ ,  $\bar{T}u = (f, g_0, \dots, g_{m-1}) \in V$ , which is equivalent to the existence of a sequence  $u_i \in \Omega_T = W_{\alpha-1}^{k+1}(D)$  such that  $u_i \rightarrow u$  in  $W_\alpha^k(D)$  and  $(p(x, \partial)u_i, u_i|_{\Gamma'}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{m-1} u_i}{\partial \nu^{m-1}} \Big|_{\Gamma'}) \rightarrow (f, g_0, \dots, g_{m-1})$  in  $V$ .

Below, by a solution of the problem (1), (2) of the class  $W_\alpha^k(D)$  we will mean a strong solution of this problem in the sense as indicated above.

We will prove

**Theorem.** *Let condition 1 be fulfilled. Then there exists a real number  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(k) > 0$  such that for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  the problem (1), (2) is uniquely solvable in the class  $W_\alpha^k(D)$  for any  $f \in W_{\alpha-1}^{k+1-2m}(D)$ ,  $g_i \in W_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}^{k-i}(\Gamma)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ , and to obtain the solution  $u$  we have the estimate*

$$\|u\|_{W_\alpha^k(D)} \leq c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \|g_i\|_{W_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}^{k-i}(\Gamma)} + \|f\|_{W_{\alpha-1}^{k+1-2m}(D)} \right), \quad (3)$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant not depending on  $f$ ,  $g_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m - 1$ .

*Proof.* First we will show that the corollaries of condition 1 are the following conditions: Take any point  $P \in \Gamma'$  and choose a Cartesian system  $x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0$  connected with this point and having vertex at  $P$  such that the  $x_n^0$ -axis is directed along the generatrix of  $\Gamma$  passing through  $P$  and the  $x_{n-1}^0$ -axis is directed along the inward normal to  $\Gamma$  at this point.

**Condition 2.** The surface  $\Gamma'$  is characteristic at none of its points. Each generatrix of the cone  $\Gamma$  has the direction of a spatial-type normal, and exactly  $m$  characteristic planes of equation (1) pass through the  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional plane  $x_n^0 = x_{n-1}^0 = 0$  connected with an arbitrary point  $P \in \Gamma'$  into the angle  $x_n^0 > 0$ ,  $x_{n-1}^0 > 0$ .

Denote by  $\tilde{p}_0(\xi)$  the characteristic polynomial of the equation (1) written in terms of the coordinate system  $x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0$ , connected with an arbitrarily chosen point  $P \in \Gamma'$ .

**Condition 3.** The surface  $\Gamma'$  is characteristic at none of its point. Each generatrix of the cone  $\Gamma$  has the direction of a spatial-type normal and for  $\text{Re } s > 0$  the number of roots  $\lambda_j(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-2}, s)$ , if we take into account the multiplicity of the polynomial  $\tilde{p}_0(i\xi_1, \dots, i\xi_{n-2}, \lambda, s)$  with  $\text{Re } \lambda_j < 0$ , is equal to  $m$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

When condition 3 is fulfilled, the polynomial  $\tilde{p}_0(i\xi_1, \dots, i\xi_{n-2}, \lambda, s)$  can be written as the product  $\Delta_-(\lambda)\Delta_+(\lambda)$ , where for  $\text{Re } s > 0$  the roots of the polynomials  $\Delta_-(\lambda)$  and  $\Delta_+(\lambda)$  lie, respectively, to the left and to the right of the imaginary axis, while the coefficients are continuous for  $s$ ,  $\text{Re } s \geq 0$ ,  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-2}) \in R^{n-2}$ ,  $\xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_{n-2}^2 + |s|^2 = 1$  [17]. On the left side of the boundary conditions (2) to the differential operator  $b_j(x, \partial)$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$ , written in terms of the coordinate system  $x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0$  connected with the point  $P \in \Gamma'$ , there corresponds the characteristic polynomial  $b_j(\xi) = \xi_{n-1}^j$ . Therefore, since the degree of the polynomial  $\Delta_-(\lambda)$  is equal to  $m$ , the following condition will be fulfilled:

**Condition 4.** For any point  $P \in \Gamma'$  and any  $s$ ,  $\text{Re } s \geq 0$  and  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-2}) \in R^{n-2}$  such that  $\xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_{n-2}^2 + |s|^2 = 1$ , the polynomials  $b_j(i\xi_1, \dots, i\xi_{n-2}, \lambda, s) = \lambda^j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m - 1$ , are linearly independent, like the polynomials of  $\lambda$  modulo  $\Delta_-(\lambda)$ .

We will now show that condition 1 implies condition 2, while the latter implies condition 3. Let us consider the case  $\Gamma \subset K_{m+1}^* \cup O$ . The second case  $\Gamma \subset K_m^* \cup O$  is treated similarly.

Let  $P \in \Gamma'$  and  $x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0$  be the coordinate system connected with this point. Since the generatrix  $\gamma$  of the cone  $\Gamma$  passing through this point is a spatial-type normal, the plane  $x_n^0 = 0$  passing through the point  $P$  is

a spatial-type plane. Denote by  $K_j^\wedge$  the boundary of the convex shell of the set  $K_j$  and by  $K_j^\perp$  the set which is the union of all bicharacteristics corresponding to the cone  $K_j$  and coming out of the point  $O$  along the outward normal to  $K_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2m$ . It is obvious that  $(K_j^\wedge)^* = K_j^*$ ,  $\partial(K_j^*) = (K_j^\wedge)^\perp$ . We will show that the plane  $\pi_1$ , parallel to the plane  $x_n^0 = 0$  and passing through the point  $(0, \dots, 0)$ , is the plane of support to the cone  $K_m^\wedge$  at the point  $(0, \dots, 0)$ . Indeed, it is obvious that the plane  $N \cdot \xi = 0$ ,  $N \in R^n \setminus (0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\xi \in R^n$  is the plane of support to  $K_m^\wedge$  at the point  $(0, \dots, 0)$  iff the normal vector  $N$  to this plane taken with the sign  $+$  or  $-$  belongs to the conic domain closure  $(K_m^\wedge)^* = K_m^*$ . Now it remains for us to note that the conic domains  $K_m^*$  and  $K_{m+1}^*$  are centrally symmetric with respect to the point  $(0, \dots, 0)$ , and the generatrix  $\Gamma$  passing through the point  $P$  is perpendicular to the plane  $\pi_1$  and, by the condition, belongs to the set  $K_{m+1}^* \cup O$ . Since  $x_n^0 = 0$  is a spatial-type plane, the two-dimensional plane  $\sigma : x_1^0 = \dots = x_{n-2}^0 = 0$  passing through the generatrix  $\gamma$  which is directed along the spatial-type normal intersects the cone of normals  $K_p$  of equation (1) with vertex at the point  $P$  by  $2m$  different real straight lines [3]. The planes orthogonal to these straight lines and passing through the  $(n-2)$ -dimensional plane  $x_n^0 = x_{n-1}^0 = 0$  give all  $2m$  characteric planes passing through the  $(n-2)$ -dimensional plane  $x_n^0 = x_{n-1}^0 = 0$ . The straight lines  $x_n^0 = 0$  and  $x_{n-1}^0 = 0$  divide the two-dimensional plane  $\sigma$  into four right angles

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 : x_{n-1}^0 > 0, x_n^0 > 0; \quad \sigma_2 : x_{n-1}^0 < 0, x_n^0 > 0; \\ \sigma_3 : x_{n-1}^0 < 0, x_n^0 < 0; \quad \sigma_4 : x_{n-1}^0 > 0, x_n^0 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

One can readily see that exactly  $m$  characteristic planes of equation (1) pass through the  $(n-2)$ -dimensional plane  $x_n^0 = x_{n-1}^0 = 0$  into the angle  $x_n^0 > 0$ ,  $x_{n-1}^0$  iff exactly  $m$  straight lines from the intersection of  $\sigma_4$  with the two-dimensional plane  $\sigma$  pass into the angle  $K_P$ . The latter fact really occurs, since: 1) the plane  $x_n^0 = 0$  is the plane of support to  $K_m^\wedge$  and therefore to all  $K_1, \dots, K_{2m}$ ; 2) the planes  $x_n^0 = 0$ ,  $x_{n-1}^0 = 0$  are not characteristic because the generatrices of  $\Gamma$  have a spatial-type direction and  $\Gamma$  is not characteristic at the point  $P$ .

Now it will be shown that condition 2 implies condition 3. By virtue of condition 2 the plane  $x_{n-1}^0 = 0$  is not characteristic and therefore for  $\lambda$  the polynomial  $\tilde{p}_0(i\xi_1, \dots, i\xi_{n-2}, \lambda, s)$  has exactly  $2m$  roots. In this case, if  $\text{Re } s > 0$ , the number of roots  $\lambda_j(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-2}, s)$ , with the multiplicity of the polynomial  $\tilde{p}_0(i\xi_1, \dots, i\xi_{n-2}, \lambda, s)$  taken into account, will be equal to  $m$  provided that  $\text{Re } \lambda_j < 0$ . Indeed, recalling that equation (1) is hyperbolic, the equation  $\tilde{p}_0(i\xi_1, \dots, i\xi_{n-2}, \lambda, s) = 0$  has no purely imaginary roots with respect to  $\lambda$ . Since the roots  $\lambda_j$  are continuous functions of  $s$ , we can determine the number of roots  $\lambda_j$  with  $\text{Re } \lambda_j < 0$  by passing to the limits

as  $\operatorname{Re} s \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since the equality

$$\tilde{p}_0(i\xi_1, \dots, i\xi_{n-2}\lambda, s) = s^{2m}\tilde{p}_0\left(i\frac{\xi_1}{s}, \dots, i\frac{\xi_{n-2}}{s}, \frac{\lambda}{s}, 1\right)$$

holds, it is clear that the ratios  $\lambda_j/s$ , where  $\lambda_j$  are the roots of the equation  $\tilde{p}_0(i\xi_1, \dots, i\xi_{n-2}, \lambda, s) = 0$ , tend to the roots  $\mu_j$  of the equation  $\tilde{p}_0(0, \dots, 0, \mu, 1) = 0$  as  $\operatorname{Re} s \rightarrow +\infty$ . The latter roots are real and different because equation (1) is hyperbolic. If  $s$  is taken positive and sufficiently large, then for  $\mu_j \neq 0$  we have  $\lambda_j = s\mu_j + o(s)$ . But  $\mu_j \neq 0$ , since the plane  $x_n^0 = 0$  is not characteristic. Therefore the number of roots  $\lambda_j$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j < 0$  coincides with the number of roots  $\mu_j$  with  $\mu_j < 0$ . Since the characteristic planes of equation (1), passing through the  $(n-2)$ -dimensional plane  $x_n^0 = x_{n-1}^0 = 0$ , are determined by the equalities  $\mu_j x_{n-1}^0 + x_n^0 = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2m$ , condition 2 implies that for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_j < 0$  the number of roots  $\lambda_j$  is equal to  $m$ .

We give another equivalent description of the space  $W_\alpha^k(D)$ . On the unit sphere  $S^{n-1} : x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1$  choose a coordinate system  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1})$  such that in the domain  $D$  the transformation

$$I : \tau = \log r, \quad \omega_j = \omega_j(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

is one-to-one, nondegenerate, and infinitely differentiable. Since the cone  $\Gamma = \partial D$  is strictly convex at the point  $O(0, \dots, 0)$ , such coordinates evidently exist. As a result of the above transformation, the domain  $D$  will become the infinite cylinder  $G$  bounded by the infinitely differentiable surface  $\partial G = I(\Gamma')$ .

Introduce the functional space  $H_\gamma^k(G)$ ,  $-\infty < \gamma < \infty$ , with the norm

$$\|v\|_{H_\gamma^k(G)}^2 = \sum_{i_1+j=0}^k \int_G e^{-2\gamma\tau} \left\| \frac{\partial^{i_1+j} v}{\partial \tau^{i_1} \partial \omega^j} \right\|^2 d\omega d\tau$$

where

$$\frac{\partial^{i_1+j} v}{\partial \tau^{i_1} \partial \omega^j} = \frac{\partial^{i_1+j} v}{\partial \tau^{i_1} \partial \omega_1^{j_1} \dots \partial \omega_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}}}, \quad j = j_1 + \dots + j_{n-1}.$$

As shown in [16], a function  $u(x) \in W_\alpha^k(D)$  iff  $\tilde{u} = u(I^{-1}(\tau, \omega)) \in H_{(\alpha+k)-\frac{n}{2}}^k(G)$ , and the estimates

$$c_1 \|\tilde{u}\|_{H_{(\alpha+k)-\frac{n}{2}}^k(G)} \leq \|u\|_{W_\alpha^k(D)} \leq c_2 \|\tilde{u}\|_{H_{(\alpha+k)-\frac{n}{2}}^k(G)}$$

hold, where  $I^{-1}$  is the inverse transformation of  $I$  and the positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  do not depend on  $u$ .

It can be easily verified that the condition  $v \in H_\gamma^k(G)$  is equivalent to the condition  $e^{-\gamma\tau} v \in W^k(G)$ , where  $W^k(G)$  is the Sobolev space. Denote

by  $H_\gamma^k(\partial G)$  a set of  $\psi$  such that  $e^{-\gamma\tau}\psi \in W^k(\partial G)$ , and by  $W_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}^k(\Gamma)$  a set of all  $\varphi$  for which  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi(I^{-1}(\tau, \omega)) \in H_{(\alpha+k)-\frac{n}{2}}^k(\partial G)$ . Assume that

$$\|\varphi\|_{W_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}^k(\Gamma)} = \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{H_{(\alpha+k)-\frac{n}{2}}^k(\partial G)}.$$

Spaces  $W_\alpha^k(D)$  possess the following simple properties:

- 1) if  $u \in W_\alpha^k(D)$ , then  $\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial x^i} \in W_\alpha^{k-i}(D)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq k$ ;
- 2)  $W_{\alpha-1}^{k+1}(D) \subset W_\alpha^k(D)$ ;
- 3) if  $u \in W_{\alpha-1}^{k+1}(D)$ , then by the well-known embedding theorems we have  $u|_\Gamma \in W_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}^k(\Gamma)$ ,  $\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial \nu^i}|_{\Gamma'} \in W_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}^{k-i}(\Gamma)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ ;
- 4) if  $u \in W_{\alpha-1}^{k+1}(D)$ , then  $f = p(x, \partial)u \in W_{\alpha-1}^{k+1-2m}(D)$ .

In what follows we will need, in spaces  $W_\alpha^k(D)$ ,  $W_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}^k(\Gamma)$ , other norms depending on the parameter  $\gamma = (\alpha + k) - \frac{n}{2}$  and equivalent to the original norms.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\omega, \tau}^n &= \{-\infty < \tau < \infty, -\infty < \omega_i < \infty, i = 1, \dots, n-1\}, \\ R_{\omega, \tau, +}^n &= \{(\omega, \tau) \in R_{\omega, \tau}^n : \omega_{n-1} > 0\}, \quad \omega' = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-2}), \\ R_{\omega', \tau}^{n-1} &= \{-\infty < \tau < \infty, -\infty < \omega_i < \infty, i = 1, \dots, n-2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $\tilde{v}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-2}, \xi_{n-1}, \xi_n - i\gamma)$  the Fourier transform of the function  $e^{-\gamma\tau}v(\omega, \tau)$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \xi_n - i\gamma) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int v(\omega, \tau) e^{-i\omega\xi' - i\tau\xi_n - \gamma\tau} d\omega d\tau, \\ i &= \sqrt{-1}, \quad \xi' = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and by  $\hat{v}(\xi, \dots, \xi_{n-2}, \omega_{n-1}, \xi_n - i\gamma)$  the partial Fourier transform of the function  $e^{-\gamma\tau}v(\omega, \tau)$  with respect to  $\omega', \tau$ .

We can introduce the following equivalent norms:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{R^n, k, \gamma}^2 &= \int_{R^n} (\gamma^2 + |\xi|^2)^k \|\tilde{v}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \xi_n - i\gamma)\|^2 d\xi, \\ \|v\|_{R_+^n, k, \gamma}^2 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{R^{n-1}} \sum_{j=0}^k (\gamma^2 + |\xi'|^2)^{k-j} \times \\ &\times \left\| \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \omega_{n-1}^j} \hat{v}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-2}, \omega_{n-1}, \xi_n - i\gamma) \right\|^2 d\xi' d\omega_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

in the above-considered spaces  $H_\gamma^k(R_{\omega, \tau}^n)$  and  $H_\gamma^k(R_{\omega, \tau, +}^n)$ .

Let  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N$  be the partitioning of unity into  $G' = G \cap \{\tau = 0\}$ , where  $G = I(D)$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(\omega) \equiv 1$  in  $G'$ ,  $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(\overline{G}')$ , the supports of

functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{N-1}$  lie in the boundary half-neighborhoods, while the support of function  $\varphi_N$  lies inside  $G'$ . Then for  $\gamma = (\alpha + k) - \frac{n}{2}$  the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{G,k,\gamma}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \|\varphi_j u\|_{R_{+,k,\gamma}^n}^2 + \|\varphi_N u\|_{R^{n,k,\gamma}}^2, \\ \|u\|_{\partial G,k,\gamma}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \|\varphi_j u\|_{R_{\omega',\tau,k,\gamma}^{n-1}}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

define equivalent norms in the spaces  $W_\alpha^k(D)$  and  $W_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}^k(\Gamma)$ , where the norms on the right sides of these equalities are taken in the terms of local coordinates [17].

First we assume that equation (1) contains only higher terms, i.e.,  $p(x, \xi) \equiv p_0(\xi)$ . Equation (1) and the boundary conditions (2) written in terms of the coordinates  $\omega, \tau$  have the form

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2m\tau} A(\omega, \partial)u &= f, \\ e^{-i\tau} B_i(\omega, \partial)u \Big|_{\partial G} &= g_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} A(\omega, \partial)u &= \tilde{f}, \\ B_i(\omega, \partial)u \Big|_{\partial G} &= \tilde{g}_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $A(\omega, \partial)$  and  $B_i(\omega, \partial)$  are, respectively, the differential operators of orders  $2m$  and  $i$ , with infinitely differentiable coefficients depending only on  $\omega$ , while  $\tilde{f} = e^{2m\tau} f$  and  $\tilde{g}_i = e^{i\tau} g_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ .

Thus, for the transformation  $I : D \rightarrow G$ , the unbounded operator  $T$  of the problem (1), (2) transforms to the unbounded operator

$$\tilde{T} : H_\gamma^k(G) \rightarrow H_\gamma^{k+1-2m}(G) \times \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} H_\gamma^{k-i}(\partial G)$$

with the domain of definition  $H_\gamma^{k+1}(G)$ , acting by the formula

$$\tilde{T}u = (A(\omega, \partial)u, B_0(\omega, \partial)u \Big|_{\partial G}, \dots, B_{m-1}(\omega, \partial)u \Big|_{\partial G})$$

where  $\gamma = (\alpha + k) - \frac{n}{2}$ . Note that written in terms of the coordinates  $\omega, \tau$  the functions  $f = (\omega, \tau) \in H_{\gamma-2m}^{k+1-2m}(G)$ ,  $g_i(\omega, \tau) \in H_{\gamma-i}^{k-i}(\partial G)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ , if  $f(x) \in W_{\alpha-1}^{k+1-2m}(D)$ ,  $g_i(x) \in W_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}^{k-i}(\Gamma)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ .

Therefore the functions  $\tilde{f} = e^{2m\tau} f \in H_\gamma^{k+1-2m}(G)$ ,  $\tilde{g}_i = e^{i\tau} g_i \in H_\gamma^{k-i}(\partial G)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ .



Since by condition 1 each generatrix of the cone  $\Gamma$  has the direction of a spatial-type normal, due to the convexity of  $K_m$  each beam coming from the vertex  $O$  into the conic domain  $D$  also has the direction of a spatial-type normal. Therefore equation (4) is strictly hyperbolic with respect the  $\tau$ -axis. It was shown above that the fulfillment of condition 1 implies the fulfillment of condition 4. Therefore, according to the results of [17], for  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ , where  $\gamma_0$  is a sufficiently large number, the operator  $\widetilde{T}$  has the bounded right inverse operator  $\widetilde{T}^{-1}$ . Thus for any  $\widetilde{f} \in H_\gamma^{k+1-2m}(G)$ ,  $\widetilde{g}_i \in H_\gamma^{k-i}(\partial G)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ , when  $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ , the problem (5), (6) is uniquely solvable in the space  $H_\gamma^k(G)$ , and for the solution  $u$  we have the estimate

$$\| \| u \| \|_{G,k,\gamma}^2 \leq C \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \| \| \widetilde{g}_i \| \|_{\partial G,k-i,\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \| \| \widetilde{f} \| \|_{G,k+1-2m,\gamma} \right) \quad (7)$$

with the positive constant  $C$  not depending on  $\gamma$ ,  $f$  and  $\widetilde{g}_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ .

Hence it immediately follows that the theorem and the estimate (3) are valid in the case  $p(x, \xi) \equiv p_0(\xi)$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* The estimate (7) with the coefficient  $\frac{1}{\gamma}$  at  $\| \| \widetilde{f} \| \|_{G,k+1-2m,\gamma}$ , obtained in the appropriately chosen norms (4), enables one to prove the theorem also when equation (1) contains lower terms, since the latter give arbitrarily small perturbations for sufficiently large  $\gamma$ .

REFERENCES

1. A.V.Bitsadze, Some classes of partial differential equations. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1981.
2. S.L.Sobolev, Some applications of functional analysis in mathematical physics. (Russian) *Publ. Sib. Otd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Novosibirsk*, 1962.
3. R.Courant, Partial differential equations. *New York-London*, 1962.
4. M.Riesz, L'integrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problem de Cauchy. *Acta Math.* **81**(1949), 107-125.
5. L.Lundberg, The Klein-Gordon equation with light-cone data. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **62**(1978), No. 2, 107-118.
6. A.A.Borgardt and D.A.Karnenko, The characteristic problem for the wave equation with mass. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **20**(1984), No. 2, 302-308.
7. S.L.Sobolev, Some new problems of the theory of partial differential equations of hyperbolic type. (Russian) *Mat. Sb.* **11**(53)(1942), No. 3, 155-200.

8. A.V.Bitsadze, On mixed type equations on three-dimensional domains. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **143**(1962), No. 5, 1017-1019.
9. A.M.Nakhushev, A multidimensional analogy of the Darboux problem for hyperbolic equations. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **194**(1970), No. 1, 31-34.
10. T.Sh.Kalmenov, On multidimensional regular boundary value problems for the wave equation. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk Kazakh. SSR. Ser. Fiz.-Mat.* (1982), No. 3, 18-25.
11. A.A.Dezin, Invariant hyperbolic systems and the Goursat problem. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **135**(1960), No. 5, 1042-1045.
12. F.Cagnac, Probleme de Cauchy sur la conoide caracteristique. *Ann. Mat. Pure Appl.* **104**(1975), 355-393.
13. J.Tolen, Problème de Cauchy sur la deux hypersurfaces caracteristiques sécantes. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **291**(1980), No. 1, A49-A52.
14. S.S.Kharibegashvili, The Goursat problems for some class of hyperbolic systems. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **17**(1981), No. 1. 157-164.
15. —, On a multidimensional problem of Goursat type for second order strictly hyperbolic systems. (Russian) *Bull. Acad. Sci. Georgian SSR* **117**(1985), No. 1, 37-40.
16. V.A.Kondratyev, Boundary value problems for elliptic equations in domains with conic or corner points. (Russian) *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.* **16**(1967), 209-292.
17. M.S.Agranovich, Boundary value problems for systems with a parameter. (Russian) *Mat. Sb.* **84**(126)(1971), No. 1, 27-65.

(Received 25.12.1992)

Author's address:  
I.Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics  
of Tbilisi State University  
2 University St., 380043 Tbilisi  
Republic of Georgia