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#### Abstract

In this paper, we obtain some inequalities between Greek means and various means. Further, we deduced the best possible values of various means with $G n_{\mu, r}(a, b)$ and $g n_{\mu, r}(a, b)$. Also we studied the partial derivatives of important means and the value of $\alpha$ of second order partial derivatives.
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## 1 Introduction

In ([1]), Ten Greek means are defined on the basis of proportions of which six means are named and four means are unnamed and some distinguished results are obtained. In ([5], [6]) authors defined Oscillatory, $r^{\text {th }}$ Oscillatory means and its duals and obtained some new inequalities and the best possible values with Logarithmic mean, Identric mean and Power mean. In ([7]) authors defined $G n_{\mu, r}(a, b), g n_{\mu, r}(a, b)$ deduced some important results and also shown applications to Ky-Fan inequalities. Here we find the best possible values of the parameters $\mu, r$ for which $F_{4}, F_{5}$ and $F_{6}$ are satisfied by the inequalities (15) to (22). Further in ([1]), the partial derivatives of means and some related results are given, using which we obtained parameter $\alpha$ for various means.

Let $a, b>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(a, b)=F_{1}(a, b)=\frac{a+b}{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(a, b)=F_{2}(a, b)=\sqrt{a b} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{3}(a, b)=\frac{2 a b}{a+b} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(a, b)=F_{4}(a, b)=\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a+b} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{5}(a, b)=\frac{a-b+\sqrt{(a-b)^{2}+4 b^{2}}}{2}  \tag{5}\\
& F_{6}(a, b)=\frac{b-a+\sqrt{(a-b)^{2}+4 a^{2}}}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

are respestively called Arithmetic mean, Geometric mean, Harmonic mean, contra Harmonic mean, first contra Geometric mean, second contra Geometric mean. Above are called named six Greek means.

$$
L(a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{a-b}{\ln a-\ln b} & a \neq b  \tag{7}\\
a & a=b
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
I(a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
e^{\left(\frac{a \ln a-b \ln b}{a-b}-1\right)} & a \neq b  \tag{8}\\
a & a=b
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
M_{r}(a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\frac{a^{r}+b^{r}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} & r \neq 0  \tag{9}\\
\sqrt{a b} & r=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(a, b)=\frac{a+\sqrt{a b}+b}{3} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

are respectively called Logarithmic mean, Identric mean and Power mean and Heron mean.

Definition 1 ([r]) For positive numbers a and $b$, $r$ be a positive real number and $\mu \in(-2, \infty)$. Then $G n_{\mu, r}(a, b)$ and $g n_{\mu, r}(a, b)$ are defined as

$$
G n_{\mu, r}(a, b)= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{\mu+2} A(a, b)+\frac{\mu}{\mu+2} M_{r}(a, b) & r \neq 0  \tag{11}\\ \frac{2}{\mu+2} A(a, b)+\frac{\mu}{\mu+2} G(a, b) & r=0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
g n_{\mu, r}(a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
M_{r}^{\frac{\mu}{\mu+2}}(a, b) A^{\frac{\mu}{\mu+2}}(a, b) & r \neq 0  \tag{12}\\
G^{\frac{\mu}{\mu+2}}(a, b) A^{\frac{\mu}{\mu+2}}(a, b) & r=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Definition 2 ([6]) Let $\alpha \in[0,1]$ and $r \geq 0$, then $r^{\text {th }}$ Oscillatory mean and its dual are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
O=O(a, b ; \alpha, r)=\alpha M_{r}(a, b)+(1-\alpha) A(a, b) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
o=o(a, b ; \alpha, r)=M_{r}^{\alpha}(a, b) A^{1-\alpha}(a, b) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us conclude the introduction by a brief description of the contents of the paper. Section 2 contains new inequalities involving Greek means and other means and its proof are given. Also, we present table1 contain the best possible value of important means with $G n_{\mu, r}(a, b)$ and $g n_{\mu, r}(a, b)$, power mean, Oscillatory mean and $r^{\text {th }}$ Oscillatory mean.Finally, Section 3 contains partial derivatives and consequences of symmetric mean, $\alpha$ values for important means are tabulated in Table 2 and two remarks.

## 2 Some Inequalities

Theorem 1 For $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \neq-2, r \neq 0,3$ and if $\mu_{1} \leq \frac{4}{r-3} \leq \mu_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (i) } g n_{\mu_{2}, r}(a, b) \leq F_{4}(a, b) \leq G n_{\mu_{1}, r}(a, b) \text {. } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=-\frac{4}{r-3}$ is the best possible for (15).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (ii) } g n_{\mu_{2}, 0}(a, b) \leq F_{4}(a, b) \leq G n_{\mu_{1}, 0}(a, b) \text {. } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=-\frac{4}{3}$ is the best possible for (16).

Proof. Applying Taylor's theorem and by setting $a=x=t+1$ and $b=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{4}(x, 1)=F_{4}(t+1,1)=1+\frac{t}{2}+\frac{t^{2}}{4}-\frac{t^{3}}{8}-\ldots \\
G n_{\mu_{1}, r}(x, 1)=G n_{\mu_{1}, r}(t+1,1)=1+\frac{t}{2}-\frac{(1-r) \mu_{1}}{\left(\mu_{1}+2\right) 8} t^{2}+\ldots \\
g n_{\mu_{2}, r}(x, 1)=g n_{\mu_{2}, r}(t+1,1)=1+\frac{t}{2}-\frac{(1-r) \mu_{2}}{\left(\mu_{2}+2\right) 8} t^{2}+\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider $g n_{\mu_{2}, r}(a, b) \leq F_{4}(a, b) \leq G n_{\mu_{1}, r}(a, b)-\frac{(1-r) \mu_{2}}{\left(\mu_{2}+2\right) 8} \leq \frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{(1-r) \mu_{1}}{\left(\mu_{1}+2\right) 8}$ with simple manipulation we have $\mu_{1} \leq \frac{4}{r-3} \leq \mu_{2}$, Hence the proof of (15) and (16).

Theorem 2 For $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \neq-2, r \neq 0,2$ and if $\mu_{1} \leq \frac{2}{r-2} \leq \mu_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (i) } g n_{\mu_{2}, r}(a, b) \leq F_{5}(a, b) \leq G n_{\mu_{1}, r}(a, b) \text {. } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\frac{2}{r-2}$ is the best possible for (17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (ii) } g n_{\mu_{2}, 0}(a, b) \leq F_{5}(a, b) \leq G n_{\mu_{1}, 0}(a, b) \text {. } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=-1$ is the best possible for (18).

Corollary 1 For $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \neq-2, r \neq 0,2$ and if $\mu_{1} \leq \frac{2}{r-2} \leq \mu_{2}$, then
(i) $g n_{\mu_{2}, r}(a, b) \leq F_{6}(a, b)=F_{5}(a, b) \leq G n_{\mu_{1}, r}(a, b)$.

Furthermore $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\frac{2}{r-2}$ is the best possible for (19).
(ii) $g n_{\mu_{2}, 0}(a, b) \leq F_{6}(a, b)=F_{5}(a, b) \leq G n_{\mu_{1}, 0}(a, b)$.

Furthermore $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=-1$ is the best possible for (20).

Theorem 3 Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in[0,1], r \neq 0,1$, if $\alpha_{1} \leq \frac{2}{r-1} \leq \alpha_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
O\left(a, b ; \alpha_{1}, r\right) \geq F_{4}(a, b) \geq o\left(a, b ; \alpha_{2}, r\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\frac{2}{r-1}$ is the best possible for (21).
Proof. Applying Taylor's theorem and by setting $a=x=t+1$ and $b=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{4}(x, 1)=F_{4}(t+1,1)=1+\frac{t}{2}+\frac{t^{2}}{4}+\frac{t^{3}}{8}-\ldots \\
O(a, b ; \alpha, r)=1+\frac{t}{2}-\frac{\alpha(1-r)}{8} t^{2}+\ldots \\
o(a, b ; \alpha, r)=1+\frac{t}{2}-\frac{\alpha(1-r)}{8} t^{2}+\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider $\alpha_{1} \leq \frac{2}{r-1} \leq \alpha_{2}$. With simple manipulations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\alpha_{1}(1-r)}{8} & \geq \frac{1}{4} \geq-\frac{\alpha_{2}(1-r)}{8} \\
1+\frac{t}{2}-\frac{\alpha_{1}(1-r)}{8} t^{2}+\ldots & \geq 1+\frac{t}{2}+\frac{1}{4} t^{2}+\ldots \geq 1+\frac{t}{2}-\frac{\alpha_{2}(1-r)}{8} t^{2}+\ldots \\
O\left(a, b ; \alpha_{1}, r\right) & \geq F_{4}(a, b) \geq o\left(a, b ; \alpha_{2}, r\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\frac{2}{r-1}$ is the best possible (for 21).
Theorem 4 Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in[0,1], r \neq 0,1$, if $\alpha_{1} \leq \frac{1}{r-1} \leq \alpha_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
O\left(a, b ; \alpha_{1}, r\right) \geq F_{5}(a, b) \geq o\left(a, b ; \alpha_{2}, r\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{r-1}$ is the best possible for (22).
Corollary 2 Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in[0,1], r \neq 0,1$, if $\alpha_{1} \leq \frac{1}{r-1} \leq \alpha_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
O\left(a, b ; \alpha_{1}, r\right) \geq F_{5}(a, b)=F_{6}(a, b) \geq o\left(a, b ; \alpha_{2}, r\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{r-1}$ is the best possible for (23).

The proofs of the following remarks are obvious.

## Remark 1

$$
F_{3} \leq F_{2} \leq L \leq M_{1 / 3} \leq M_{1 / 2} \leq H \leq M_{2 / 3} \leq F_{1} \leq F_{6} \leq F_{5} \leq F_{4} .
$$

## Remark 2

$$
F_{3} \leq F_{2} \leq L \leq I \leq F_{1} \leq F_{6} \leq F_{5} \leq F_{4}
$$

The following table gives the best possible value of important means with $G n_{\mu, r}(a, b)$ and $g n_{\mu_{2}, r}(a, b)$ power mean, oscillatory mean and $r^{\text {th }}$ oscillatory mean.

Table 1

| Important means | $G n_{\mu, 0}(a, b)$ | $G n_{\mu, r}(a, b)$ | $O(a, b ; \alpha, r)$ | $O(a, b ; \alpha)$ | $M_{r}(a, b)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic mean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Geometric mean | $\infty$ | $\frac{-2}{r}$ | $\frac{1}{1-r}$ | 1 | 0 |
| Contra Harmonic mean | $\frac{-4}{3}$ | $\frac{4}{r-3}$ | $\frac{2}{r-1}$ | -2 | 3 |
| I Contra Geometric mean | -1 | $\frac{2}{r-2}$ | $\frac{1}{1-r}$ | -1 | 2 |
| II Contra Geometric mean | -1 | $\frac{2}{r-2}$ | $\frac{1}{1-r}$ | -1 | 2 |
| Logarithmic mean | 4 | $\frac{4}{1-3 r}$ | $\frac{2}{3(1-r)}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| Identric Mean | 1 | $\frac{2}{2-3 r}$ | $\frac{1}{3(1-r)}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| Heron Mean | 1 | $\frac{2}{2-3 r}$ | $\frac{1}{3(1-r)}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| Power Mean | -1 | $\frac{2(1-r)}{r}$ | 1 | $1-r$ | .--- |

## 3 Partial Derivatives and Consequences

For a symmetric mean $M(a, b)$ the partial derivatives are exist, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a}(c, c)+M_{b}(c, c)=1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{a}(c, c) \geq 0 \text { and } M_{b}(c, c) \geq 0  \tag{25}\\
0 \leq M_{a}(c, c) \leq 1 \text { and } 0 \leq M_{b}(c, c) \leq 1
\end{gather*}
$$

(26) property does not hold for arbitrary point.

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{a}(c, c)=M_{b}(c, c)=\frac{1}{2}  \tag{27}\\
M_{a a}(c, c)+2 M_{a b}(c, c)+M_{b b}(c, c)=0 \\
M_{a a}(c, c)=-M_{a b}(c, c)=M_{b b}(c, c) \\
M_{a a}(c, c)=\frac{\alpha}{c} \text { where } \alpha \text { in } \mathbb{R} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The proofs of the above results are obtained by simple direct computations.

Table 2

| Important means | Notation | The value of ' $\alpha$ ' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic Mean | $F_{1}(a, b)$ | 0 |
| Geometric mean | $F_{2}(a, b)$ | $\frac{-1}{4}$ |
| Harmonic Mean | $F_{3}(a, b)$ | $\frac{-1}{2}$ |
| Logarithmic Mean | $L(a, b)$ | $\frac{-1}{6}$ |
| Heron Mean | $h(a, b)$ | $\frac{-1}{12}$ |
| Identric Mean | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ | $\frac{-1}{12}$ |
| Power Mean | $M_{r}(a, b)$ | $\frac{r-1}{4}$ |
| Contra Harmonic mean | $F_{4}(a, b)$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| First Contra Geometric mean | $F_{5}(a, b)$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| Second Contra Geometric mean | $F_{6}(a, b)$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| Oscillatory mean | $o(a, b ; \alpha)$ | $\frac{-\alpha}{4}$ |
| r ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Oscillatory mean | $o(a, b ; r, \alpha)$ | $\frac{-\alpha(1-r)}{4}$ |
| Definition1. | $G n_{\mu, r}(a, b)$ and $g n_{\mu, r}(a, b)(r=0)$ | $\frac{-\mu(1-r)}{4(\mu+2)}$ |
| Definition1. | $G n_{\mu, r}(a, b)$ and $g n_{\mu, r}(a, b)(r \neq 0)$ | $\frac{-\mu}{4(\mu+2)}$ |
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