



# A nonzero solution for bounded selfadjoint operator equations and homoclinic orbits of Hamiltonian systems

Mingliang Song <sup>1</sup> and Runzhen Li<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics and Information Technology School, Jiangsu Second Normal University,  
Nanjing, 210013, P. R. China.

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, 210097, P. R. China

Received 20 November 2020, appeared 10 September 2021

Communicated by Petru Jebelean

**Abstract.** We obtain an existence theorem of nonzero solution for a class of bounded selfadjoint operator equations. The main result contains as a special case the existence result of a nontrivial homoclinic orbit of a class of Hamiltonian systems by Coti Zelati, Ekeland and Séré. We also investigate the existence of nontrivial homoclinic orbit of indefinite second order systems as another application of the theorem.

**Keywords:** bounded selfadjoint operator equations, nonzero solution, homoclinic orbit, Hamiltonian systems, indefinite second order systems.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34A34, 34C37, 37N05, 58E05.

## 1 Introduction


In recent years several authors studied the existence of homoclinic orbits for first or second order Hamiltonian systems via variational methods and critical point theory, see for instance [2, 4–6, 9, 12–16]. In particular, with the aid of a bounded self-adjoint linear operator and the dual action principle, Coti Zelati, Ekeland and Séré [4] obtained some existence theorems of nonzero homoclinic orbit for first order Hamiltonian systems

$$\begin{cases} x' = JAx + JH'(t, x), \\ x(\pm\infty) = 0, \end{cases}$$

via the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz mountain-pass theorem and concentration compactness principle. Inspired by the ideas of [4], we consider the more generalized operator equation

$$Lu - G'(t, u) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $L : L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow W^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is a bounded linear operator for all  $\gamma \geq \beta$  and for some  $\beta \in (1, 2)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} ((Lu)(t), v(t)) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((Lv)(t), u(t)) dt$  for all  $u, v \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

 Corresponding author. Email: [mlsong2004@163.com](mailto:mlsong2004@163.com)

$G : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $G'(t, u)$  denotes the gradient of  $G$  with respect to  $u$ .  $u = u(t) \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is called a solution of (1.1) if  $(Lu)(t) - G'(t, u(t)) = 0$  a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We need the following assumptions:

- (L<sub>1</sub>) For any bounded  $\{u_n\} \subset L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $R > 0$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{n_j}\}$  such that  $Lu_{n_j} \rightarrow w$  in  $C([-R, R], \mathbb{R}^N)$ .
- (L<sub>2</sub>) There exists  $v_0 \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (Lv_0, v_0) dt > 0$ .
- (L<sub>3</sub>)  $(Lu(\cdot + T))(t) = (Lu)(t + T)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $T > 0$  is a constant.
- (L<sub>4</sub>)  $|(Lu)(t)| \leq c_0 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-l|t-\tau|} |u(\tau)| d\tau$  for all  $u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $c_0, l > 0$  are two constants.
- (G<sub>1</sub>)  $G(t, \cdot)$  and  $G'(t, \cdot)$  are continuous for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $G(\cdot, u)$  and  $G'(\cdot, u)$  are measurable for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $G(t, \cdot)$  is convex for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $G^{*\prime}(t, \cdot)$  exists for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (G<sub>2</sub>)  $G(t + T, u) = G(t, u)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (G<sub>3</sub>)  $c_1 |u|^\beta \leq G(t, u) \leq c_2 |u|^\beta$ , where  $c_2 \geq c_1 > 0$  are two constants.
- (G<sub>4</sub>)  $0 \leq \frac{1}{\beta} (G'(t, u), u) \leq G(t, u)$ .
- (G<sub>5</sub>)  $|G'(t, u)| \leq c_3 |u|^{\beta-1}$ , where  $c_3 > 0$  is a constant.

Now we state our main result as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume  $L$  and  $G$  satisfy (L<sub>1</sub>)–(L<sub>4</sub>) and (G<sub>1</sub>)–(G<sub>5</sub>). Then (1.1) has a nonzero solution.*

**Remark 1.1.** Although the equation (1.1) also appeared in the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [4], the bounded linear operator  $L$  there equal (2.2) which comes from first order Hamiltonian systems. In this paper,  $L$  discussed in (1.1) contains not only (2.2) but also (2.4) coming from indefinite second order Hamiltonian systems. In addition, introducing the condition (L<sub>1</sub>) makes the proof of conclusion clearer and simpler.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we firstly establish a preliminary lemma, and then, we give two application examples for homoclinic orbit of Hamiltonian systems. In Section 3, we give the proof of our main result.

## 2 Preliminaries and examples

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need a lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$ .*

- (1) *If  $u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $b > a > 0$ , then*

$$\left( \int_{|t| \geq b} \left( \int_{-a}^a e^{-l|t-\tau|} |u(\tau)| d\tau \right)^\alpha dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq 2(\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-l(b-a)} \left( \int_{-a}^a |u(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

(2) If  $w, u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $b \geq a > r \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|t| \geq b} |u(t)| \int_{a \geq |\tau| \geq r} e^{-l|t-\tau|} |w(\tau)| d\tau dt \\ \leq 2(\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-l(b-a)} \left( \int_{|t| \geq b} |u(t)|^\beta dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \left( \int_{a \geq |\tau| \geq r} |w(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) If  $w, u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $b > a > r > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a \leq |t| \leq b} |u(t)| \int_{|\tau| \leq a} e^{-l|t-\tau|} |w(\tau)| d\tau dt \\ \leq 2(\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \|u\|_{L^\beta} \left[ e^{-l(a-r)} \|w\|_{L^\beta} + \left( \int_{r \leq |\tau| \leq a} |w(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $b > a > 0$ , by some simple calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{|t| \geq b} \left( \int_{-a}^a e^{-l|t-\tau|} |u(\tau)| d\tau \right)^\alpha dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ \leq \left( \left( \int_b^{+\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{-b} \right) \int_{-a}^a e^{-\alpha l|t-\tau|} d\tau dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left( \int_{-a}^a |u(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ = 2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \left( 1 - e^{-2\alpha a l} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} e^{-l(b-a)} \left( \int_{-a}^a |u(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ \leq 2(\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-l(b-a)} \left( \int_{-a}^a |u(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (1) holds. The same arguments also prove that (2) holds.

By (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a \leq |t| \leq b} |u(t)| \int_{|\tau| \leq a} e^{-l|t-\tau|} |w(\tau)| d\tau dt \\ = \int_{a \leq |t| \leq b} |u(t)| \left( \int_{|\tau| \leq r} + \int_{r \leq |\tau| \leq a} \right) e^{-l|t-\tau|} |w(\tau)| d\tau dt \\ \leq 2(\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \|u\|_{L^\beta} \left[ e^{-l(a-r)} \|w\|_{L^\beta} + \left( \int_{r \leq |\tau| \leq a} |w(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (3) holds.  $\square$

Next, we return to applications to homoclinic orbit of Hamiltonian systems. For systematic researches of homoclinic orbit of Hamiltonian systems, we refer to the excellent papers [2, 4–6, 9, 12–16] and references therein.

As the first example we consider

$$\begin{cases} x' = JAx + JH'(t, x), \\ x(\pm\infty) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is the standard symplectic matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ ,  $A$  is a  $2N \times 2N$  symmetric matrix and all the eigenvalues of  $JA$  have non-zero real part,  $H(t, x)$  satisfies

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $H \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R}), H' \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$  and  $H(t, \cdot)$  is strictly convex;

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $H(t + T, x) = H(t, x)$  for some  $T > 0$ ;

(H<sub>3</sub>)  $k_1|x|^\alpha \leq H(t, x) \leq k_2|x|^\alpha$  for some  $\alpha > 2$  and  $0 < k_1 \leq k_2$ ;

(H<sub>4</sub>)  $H(t, x) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}(H'(t, x), x)$ .

As in [4], define  $G(t, u) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}} \{(u, x) - H(t, x)\}$  and  $G$  satisfies (G<sub>1</sub>)–(G<sub>5</sub>).

Define  $L : L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N}) \rightarrow W^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N}) \cap L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$  by  $z = Lu$  satisfies

$$-Jz' - Az = u, z(\pm\infty) = 0$$

Then

$$z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{E(t-\tau)} P_s J u(\tau) d\tau - \int_t^{+\infty} e^{E(t-\tau)} P_u J u(\tau) d\tau, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $E = JA, \mathbb{R}^{2N} = E_u \oplus E_s$  and  $P_s$  and  $P_u$  are the projections onto  $E_s$  and  $E_u$  respectively satisfying  $|e^{tE} P_s \xi| \leq k e^{-bt} |\xi|$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $|e^{tE} P_u \xi| \leq k e^{bt} |\xi|$  for  $t \leq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$  and some  $b, k > 0$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} |(Lu)(t)| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t k e^{-b(t-\tau)} |u(\tau)| d\tau + \int_t^{+\infty} k e^{b(t-\tau)} |u(\tau)| d\tau \\ &= k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-b|t-\tau|} |u(\tau)| d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (L<sub>4</sub>) holds. From Lemma 2.1 of [4], we know that  $L : L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N}) \rightarrow W^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N}) \cap L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$  is a bounded linear operator for  $\gamma \geq \beta, \beta \in (1, 2)$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} ((Lu)(t), v(t)) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((Lv)(t), u(t)) dt$$

for all  $u, v \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$ .

By  $z'(t) = Ju(t) + Ez(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z(t_1) - z(t_2)| &= \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (Ju(t) + Ez(t)) dt \right| \\ &\leq |t_2 - t_1|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|u\|_{L^\beta} + M_0 |t_2 - t_1| \|z\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_0 > 0$ , which implies that (L<sub>1</sub>) holds. Note that the proof of (b) of Lemma 4.1 in [4], we see that there exists  $v_0 \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$  such that (L<sub>2</sub>) holds. The validity of (L<sub>3</sub>) is obvious.

Moreover,  $G^*(t, x) = H(t, x)$  and a solution  $u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N}) \setminus \{0\}$  of  $Lu - G'(t, u) = 0$  corresponds to a nonzero solution  $x = Lu$  of

$$\begin{cases} -Jx' - Ax = H'(t, x), \\ x(\pm\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2** ([4, Theorem 4.2]). *Assume  $H$  satisfies (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>4</sub>). Then (2.1) has a nonzero solution, i.e., the Hamiltonian system*

$$-Jx' - Ax = H'(t, x)$$

*has at least one nontrivial homoclinic orbit.*

**Remark 2.1.** The above corollary was essentially [4, Theorem 4.2] by Coti Zelati, Ekeland and Séré using the Ekeland variational principle and concentration compactness principle, and the equation (1.1) also appeared in the proof the theorem already.

As a second example we consider

$$\begin{cases} Dx'' - Bx = V'(t, x), \\ x(\pm\infty) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $D, B$  are  $N \times N$  symmetric matrix,  $(\pm\sigma(D)) \cap (0, +\infty) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $D$  is invertible,  $D^{-1}B = Q^2$  with  $Q$  being a  $N \times N$  matrix and all the eigenvalues of  $Q$  have positive real part,  $V : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $V'(t, x)$  denotes the gradient of  $V$  with respect to  $x$ . The system was called indefinite second order system in [3].

Let

$$\begin{cases} Dx'' - Bx = u, \\ x(\pm\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} x'' - D^{-1}Bx = x'' - Q^2x = D^{-1}u, \\ x(\pm\infty) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} [e^{tQ}(x' - Qx)]' = e^{tQ}D^{-1}u, \\ x(\pm\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Assume  $x'(-\infty) = 0$  (and this will be verified later). Then

$$x' - Qx = e^{-tQ} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\tau Q} D^{-1}u(\tau) d\tau$$

and

$$(e^{-tQ}x)' = e^{-2tQ} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\tau Q} D^{-1}u(\tau) d\tau.$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= -e^{tQ} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-2sQ} \left( \int_{-\infty}^s e^{\tau Q} D^{-1}u(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \\ &= -\frac{Q^{-1}}{2} e^{tQ} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2tQ} e^{\tau Q} D^{-1}u(\tau) d\tau - \frac{Q^{-1}}{2} e^{tQ} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\tau Q} D^{-1}u(\tau) d\tau \\ &= -\frac{Q^{-1}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-|t-\tau|Q} D^{-1}u(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

For  $u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , set

$$x = Lu = -\frac{Q^{-1}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-|t-\tau|Q} D^{-1}u(\tau) d\tau. \quad (2.4)$$

We claim that

$$x = Lu \in W^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$$

for  $\gamma \geq \beta, \beta \in (1, 2)$  and  $u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . In fact, from all the eigenvalues of  $Q$  have positive real part, we know that there exist  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and  $c_4 > 0$  such that  $|e^{-|t|Q}\zeta| \leq c_4 e^{-\lambda_0|t|}|\zeta|$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . By  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\eta|t|} dt = \frac{2}{\eta}$ , we have

$$e^{-\lambda_0|t|} \in L^\eta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|e^{-\lambda_0|t|}\|_{L^\eta}^\eta = \frac{2}{\lambda_0\eta} \quad \forall \eta \geq 1.$$

Using the convolution inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |Lu|^r dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} &\leq \frac{c_4 \|Q^{-1}\| \cdot \|D^{-1}\|}{2} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_0|t-\tau|} |u(\tau)| d\tau \right)^r dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_4 \|Q^{-1}\| \cdot \|D^{-1}\|}{2} \|e^{-\lambda_0|t|}\|_{L^p} \cdot \|u\|_{L^\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1$  and  $r, p \geq 1$ , which shows that  $Lu \in L^r(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \quad \forall r \in [\beta, +\infty]$ . Similarly, from the equation

$$x' = Qx + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-(t-\tau)Q} D^{-1} u(\tau) d\tau, \quad (2.6)$$

it is easy to see that  $Lu \in W^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Moreover, by (2.5), we can also see that  $L : L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow W^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is a bounded linear operator for  $\gamma \geq \beta$ . This implies  $x(\pm\infty) = 0$  and  $x'(-\infty) = 0$  via the above equation.

Let  $x = Lu$  and  $y = Lv$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((Lu)(t), v(t)) dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x, Dy'' - By) dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (Dx'' - Bx, y) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(t), (Lv)(t)) dt \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u, v \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , which implies that  $L : L^\beta \rightarrow L^\alpha$  is self-adjoint.

By (2.6) for all  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t_1) - x(t_2)| &= \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( Qx + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-(t-\tau)Q} D^{-1} u(\tau) d\tau \right) dt \right| \\ &\leq \|Q\| \cdot \|x\|_\infty \cdot |t_2 - t_1| + c_4 (\lambda_0 \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|D^{-1}\| \cdot \|u\|_{L^\beta} \cdot |t_2 - t_1|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $(L_1)$  holds.

Since  $(\pm\sigma(D)) \cap (0, +\infty) \neq \emptyset$ , we know that there exist  $\lambda_1 < 0$  and  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $|\xi_0| = 1$  and  $D\xi_0 = \lambda_1 \xi_0$ . Let

$$x_0(t) = \begin{cases} \xi_0 \sin kt, & t \in [0, 2m\pi], \\ \xi_0 \left[ \frac{k}{\pi^2} (t - 2m\pi - \pi)^3 + \frac{k}{\pi} (t - 2m\pi - \pi)^2 \right], & t \in [2m\pi, 2m\pi + \pi], \\ 0, & t \geq 2m\pi + \pi, \\ -x_0(-t), & t < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $k, m \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then

$$\begin{cases} Dx_0'' - Bx_0 = v_0, \\ x_0(\pm\infty) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (Lv_0, v_0) dt &= 2 \int_0^{+\infty} (Dx_0''(t) - Bx_0(t), x_0(t)) dt \\
 &= 2 \left( \int_0^{2m\pi} + \int_{2m\pi}^{2m\pi+\pi} \right) (Dx_0''(t) - Bx_0(t), x_0(t)) dt \\
 &\geq 2 \left( \int_0^{2m\pi} + \int_{2m\pi}^{2m\pi+\pi} \right) [-\lambda_1 |x_0'(t)|^2 - \|B\| \cdot |x_0(t)|^2] dt \\
 &= -2\lambda_1 \left( k^2 m\pi + \frac{2}{15} k^2 \pi \right) - 2\|B\| \cdot \left( m\pi + \frac{k^2 \pi^3}{105} \right) \\
 &> -2\lambda_1 m k^2 - 2\pi \|B\| \cdot (m + k^2) \\
 &> 0
 \end{aligned}$$

provided  $m = k^2$  and  $k^2 > \frac{2\pi\|B\|}{-\lambda_1}$ . This shows that there exists  $v_0 \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that (L<sub>2</sub>) holds. The validity of (L<sub>3</sub>) and (L<sub>4</sub>) are obvious.

Further, assume  $V$  satisfies (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>4</sub>) with  $H(t, x)$  replaced with  $V(t, x)$  and  $2N$  replaced with  $N$ . Define  $V^*(t, u) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{(u, x) - V(t, x)\}$ . Then  $V^*(t, u)$  satisfies (G<sub>1</sub>)–(G<sub>5</sub>) with  $G(t, u)$  replaced with  $V^*(t, u)$ . By the Legendre reciprocity formula

$$V^{*'}(t, u) = x \Leftrightarrow u = V'(t, x),$$

we see that (2.3) is equivalent to

$$Lu - V^{*'}(t, u) = 0, \quad u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N). \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore, we have the following result from Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Assume  $V$  satisfies (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>4</sub>) with  $H(t, x)$  replaced with  $V(t, x)$  and  $2N$  replaced with  $N$ . Then (2.3) has a nonzero solution.*

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. The method comes from [4] with some modifications.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We define the functional  $I$  on  $L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  by

$$I(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Lu, u) dt \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . From (G<sub>3</sub>), we have

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u) dt \leq c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u|^\beta dt < +\infty.$$

Noticing that  $Lu \in L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $L$  is a bounded linear operator, then  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (Lu, u) dt$  is well defined. Since  $G(t, \cdot)$  and  $G'(t, \cdot)$  are continuous for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , from (G<sub>5</sub>), we know that the functional  $I$  is a  $C^1$  functional. Moreover, a solution of (1.1) correspond to a critical point of the functional  $I$ .

Next, we take five steps to prove the existence of the critical point of the functional  $I$ .

**Step 1.** There exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $I(u_n) \rightarrow c > 0$  and  $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

By (L<sub>2</sub>) and (G<sub>3</sub>), for  $v_0 \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\beta \in (1, 2)$  and  $s > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(sv_0) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, sv_0) dt - \frac{s^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Lv_0, v_0) dt \\ &\leq c_2 s^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_0|^\beta dt - \frac{s^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Lv_0, v_0) dt \\ &\rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which shows there is  $s_0 > 0$  such that  $I(s_0 v_0) < 0$ . Set  $u_0 = s_0 v_0$  and define

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{u \in \gamma([0,1])} I(u),$$

where  $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = u_0\}$ .

By (G<sub>3</sub>), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &\geq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u|^\beta dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Lu, u) dt \\ &\geq c_1 \|u\|_{L^\beta}^\beta - \frac{M}{2} \|u\|_{L^\beta}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M > 0$  and  $\|Lu\|_{L^\alpha} \leq M\|u\|_{L^\beta}$ . Since  $\beta \in (1, 2)$ , there exists  $r \in (0, \|u_0\|_{L^\beta})$  such that  $c_1 r^\beta - \frac{M}{2} r^2 > 0$ . So  $\sup_{u \in \gamma([0,1])} I(u) \geq c_1 r^\beta - \frac{M}{2} r^2 > 0$  and  $c > 0$ . By [7, Theorem V.1.6], the result follows.

**Step 2.** We prove that the sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is bounded and there exist  $\delta_2 > \delta_1 > 0$  such that  $\|u_n\|_{L^\beta} \in [\delta_1, \delta_2]$ .

Clearly,

$$\langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (G'(t, u_n), u_n) dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Lu_n, u_n) dt.$$

Using (G<sub>3</sub>) and (G<sub>4</sub>), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_n) + \frac{1}{2} \|I'(u_n)\|_{L^\alpha} \cdot \|u_n\|_{L^\beta} &\geq I(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u_n) dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (G'(t, u_n), u_n) dt \\ &\geq (1 - \frac{\beta}{2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t, u_n) dt \\ &\geq (1 - \frac{\beta}{2}) c_1 \|u_n\|_{L^\beta}^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_1 > 0, 1 < \beta < 2$ ,  $I(u_n) \rightarrow c > 0$  and  $\|I'(u_n)\|_{L^\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ , we deduce that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Again, from (3.1) and (G<sub>3</sub>), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_n) &\leq c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_n|^\beta dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Lu_n, u_n) dt \\ &\leq c_2 \|u_n\|_{L^\beta}^\beta + \frac{M}{2} \|u_n\|_{L^\beta}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If there is a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  such that  $\|u_{n_k}\|_{L^\beta} \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$I(u_{n_k}) \leq c_2 \|u_{n_k}\|_{L^\beta}^\beta + \frac{M}{2} \|u_{n_k}\|_{L^\beta}^2 \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow c \leq 0,$$



which contradicts  $c > 0$ .

Set  $\rho_n(t) = \frac{|u_n(t)|^\beta}{\|u_n\|_{L^\beta}^\beta}$ . Then  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho_n(t) dt = 1$ . By [4, page 145, Lemma] (also see [10, 11]), we have three possibilities:

(i) vanishing

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y-R}^{y+R} \rho_n(t) dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \forall R > 0;$$

(ii) concentration

$$\exists y_n \in \mathbb{R} : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists R > 0 : \int_{y_n-R}^{y_n+R} \rho_n(t) dt \geq 1 - \varepsilon \forall n;$$

(iii) dichotomy

$\exists y_n \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \lambda \in (0, 1), \exists R_n^1, R_n^2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

(a)  $R_n^1, R_n^2 \rightarrow +\infty, \frac{R_n^1}{R_n^2} \rightarrow 0;$

(b)  $\int_{y_n-R_n^1}^{y_n+R_n^1} \rho_n(t) dt \rightarrow \lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty;$

(c)  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists R > 0$  such that  $\int_{y_n-R}^{y_n+R} \rho_n(t) dt \geq \lambda - \varepsilon \forall n;$

(d)  $\int_{y_n-R_n^2}^{y_n+R_n^2} \rho_n(t) dt \rightarrow \lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty.$

**Step 3.** Vanishing cannot occur.

Otherwise, there exists a nonnegative sequence  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$\int_{s-1}^{s+1} |u_n(t)|^\beta dt \leq \varepsilon_n \|u_n\|_{L^\beta}^\beta \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By (L<sub>4</sub>), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Lu_n)(t)| &\leq c_0 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-l|t-\tau|} |u_n(\tau)| d\tau \\ &= c_0 \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-l|t-\tau|} |u_n(\tau)| d\tau + c_0 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-l|t-\tau|} |u_n(\tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq c_0 e^{lt} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left( \int_{t+k}^{t+k+1} e^{-\alpha l \tau} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left( \int_{t+k}^{t+k+1} |u_n(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\quad + c_0 e^{-lt} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left( \int_{t-k-1}^{t-k} e^{\alpha l \tau} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left( \int_{t-k-1}^{t-k} |u_n(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\leq 2c_0 \varepsilon_n^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \|u_n\|_{L^\beta} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha l}}{\alpha l} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - e^{-l}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , which implies that  $\|Lu_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ .

From  $L : L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow W^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is a bounded linear operator for  $\gamma \geq \beta$ , we obtain  $\|Lu_n\|_{L^\beta} \leq c_5 \|u_n\|_{L^\beta}$ , where  $c_5 > 0$ . Since

$$\|Lu_n\|_{L^\alpha}^\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |Lu_n|^\alpha dt \leq \|Lu_n\|_\infty^{\alpha-\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |Lu_n|^\beta dt \leq c_5 \|u_n\|_{L^\beta} \|Lu_n\|_\infty^{\alpha-\beta},$$

we have  $\|Lu_n\|_{L^\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ . By (G<sub>3</sub>) and the convexity of  $G(t, \cdot)$ ,  $G(t, 0) \equiv 0$  and  $G(t, u_n) \leq (G'(t, u_n), u_n)$ . So

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_n|^\beta dt \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (G'(t, u_n), u_n) dt \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \|G'(t, u_n)\|_{L^\alpha} \cdot \|u_n\|_{L^\beta} \rightarrow 0,$$

since  $G'(t, u_n) = Lu_n + I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . This is a contradiction to  $\|u_n\|_{L^\beta} \geq \delta_1 > 0$ .

**Step 4.** Concentration implies the existence of a nontrivial solution of (1.1).

If concentration occurs, we set

$$w_n(t) = u_n(t + y_n), \quad v_n(t) = \frac{w_n(t)}{\|w_n\|_{L^\beta}}.$$

Then  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_n(t)|^\beta dt = 1$  and for every  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$1 - \varepsilon_1 \leq \int_{-R}^R |v_n(t)|^\beta dt \leq 1. \quad (3.2)$$

We claim there is  $\bar{z}$  and a subsequence denoted also by itself such that

$$Lv_n \rightarrow \bar{z} \quad \text{in } L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N). \quad (3.3)$$

In fact it suffices to show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $z_\varepsilon \in L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and subsequence  $v_{n_j}$  such that

$$\|Lv_{n_j} - z_\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let  $v_n^{(1)}(t) = v_n(t)\chi_{[-R, R]}(t)$  and  $v_n^{(2)}(t) = v_n(t) - v_n^{(1)}(t)$ . By (L<sub>1</sub>), for every  $t_0 > 0$  there exist  $\{v_{n_j}^{(1)}\}$  and  $u_\varepsilon^{(1)} \in C([-t_0, t_0], \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $Lv_{n_j}^{(1)} \rightarrow u_\varepsilon^{(1)}$  in  $C([-t_0, t_0], \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Define  $u_\varepsilon(t) = u_\varepsilon^{(1)}(t)$  for  $t \in [-t_0, t_0]$  and  $u_\varepsilon(t) = 0$  otherwise. Then

$$\|Lv_{n_j} - u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha} \leq \|Lv_{n_j}^{(2)}\|_{L^\alpha} + \|Lv_{n_j}^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha} \leq M\varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{\beta}} + \|Lv_{n_j}^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |Lv_{n_j}^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon|^\alpha dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ & \leq \left( \int_{|t| \geq t_0} |Lv_{n_j}^{(1)}|^\alpha dt + 2t_0 \|Lv_{n_j}^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon\|_{C[-t_0, t_0]}^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ & \leq c_0 \left( \int_{|t| \geq t_0} \left( \int_{-R}^R e^{-l|t-\tau|} |v_{n_j}^{(1)}(\tau)| d\tau \right)^\alpha dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + (2t_0)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|Lv_{n_j}^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon\|_{C[-t_0, t_0]} \\ & \leq 2c_0(\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-l(t_0-R)} \left( \int_{-R}^R |v_{n_j}^{(1)}(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} + (2t_0)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|Lv_{n_j}^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon\|_{C[-t_0, t_0]} \\ & \leq 2c_0(\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-l(t_0-R)} + (2t_0)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|Lv_{n_j}^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon\|_{C[-t_0, t_0]} \end{aligned}$$

via (1) of Lemma 2.1 and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_n(t)|^\beta dt = 1$ , where  $t_0 > R$ .

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $M\varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ , and there exists  $R = R(\varepsilon_1) > 0$  such that (3.2) is satisfied. For the above  $R > 0$ , there exists  $t_0 > R$  such that

$$2c_0(\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-l(t_0-R)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Then we can choose subsequence  $v_{n_j}$  such that

$$(2t_0)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|Lv_{n_j}^{(1)} - u_\varepsilon\|_{C[-t_0, t_0]} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

via  $(L_1)$ . It follows that

$$\|Lv_{n_j} - u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon.$$

From (3.3) and the boundedness of  $\|w_n\|_{L^\beta}$ , there exists  $z \in L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $Lw_n \rightarrow z$  in  $L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . We assume  $\frac{y_n}{T} \in \mathbf{Z}$ . It follows that  $I(w_n) = I(u_n)$  and that  $I'(w_n)(t) = I'(u_n)(t + y_n)$ , and  $I(w_n) \rightarrow c$ ,  $I'(w_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then

$$z_n(t) = G'(t, w_n) = I'(w_n)(t) + (Lw_n)(t) \rightarrow z \quad \text{in } L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N).$$

We have  $w_n = G^{*'}(t, z_n) \rightarrow G^{*'}(t, z) = w$  on  $L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Taking limit on both sides of

$$G'(t, w_n) - Lw_n = I'(w_n),$$

we have  $G'(t, w) - Lw = 0$ , i.e.,  $u = w$  is a nontrivial solution of (1.1).

**Step 5.** Dichotomy also leads to a nontrivial solution of (1.1).

If dichotomy occurs, we set

$$\begin{aligned} w_n(t) &= u_n(t + y_n), \\ w_n^{(1)}(t) &= w_n(t) \chi_{[-R_n^1, R_n^1]}(t), \\ w_n^{(2)}(t) &= w_n(t) (1 - \chi_{[-R_n^2, R_n^2]}(t)), \\ w_n^{(3)}(t) &= w_n(t) - w_n^{(1)}(t) - w_n^{(2)}(t), \\ v_n^{(1)}(t) &= \frac{w_n^{(1)}(t)}{\|w_n^{(1)}\|_{L^\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (b) of the dichotomy, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|w_n^{(1)}(t)|^\beta}{\|w_n\|_{L^\beta}^\beta} dt = \int_{-R_n^1}^{R_n^1} \frac{|w_n(t)|^\beta}{\|w_n\|_{L^\beta}^\beta} dt \rightarrow \lambda > 0.$$

From  $\delta_2 \geq \|w_n\|_{L^\beta} = \|u_n\|_{L^\beta} \geq \delta_1$ , we can see that there exists  $\delta_3 > 0$  such that  $\|w_n^{(1)}\|_{L^\beta} > \delta_3$ . By Step 4 and  $(L_1)$ ,  $w_n^{(1)}(t) \rightarrow z$  in  $L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\|z\|_{L^\beta} \geq \delta_3$ . We will show that  $I'(w_n^{(1)}) \rightarrow 0$ , and hence  $I'(z) = 0$ , that is,  $u = z$  is a nontrivial solution of (1.1). In fact, for any  $u \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , as the splitting of  $w_n$ ,  $u = u^{(1)} + u^{(2)} + u^{(3)}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'(w_n^{(1)}), u \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (G'(t, w_n^{(1)}), u^{(1)}) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (Lw_n^{(1)}, u) dt \\ &= \langle I'(w_n), u^{(1)} \rangle - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (Lw_n^{(1)}, u^{(2)} + u^{(3)}) dt + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (L(w_n^{(2)} + w_n^{(3)}), u^{(1)}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

In the following we assume  $\|u\|_{L^\beta} \leq 1$  and the limits will be taken as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . From (b) and (d) of the dichotomy, we have

$$\|w_n^{(3)}\|_{L^\beta}^\beta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |w_n^{(3)}|^\beta dt = \int_{|t| \leq R_n^2} |w_n|^\beta dt - \int_{|t| \leq R_n^1} |w_n^{(1)}|^\beta dt \rightarrow 0,$$

which shows that

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (Lw_n^{(3)}, u^{(1)}) dt \right| \leq M \|w_n^{(3)}\|_{L^\beta} \|u^{(1)}\|_{L^\beta} \leq M \|w_n^{(3)}\|_{L^\beta} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Using (L<sub>4</sub>), (2) of Lemma 2.1 and (a) of the dichotomy, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (Lw_n^{(2)}, u^{(1)}) dt \right| &\leq c_0 \int_{-R_n^1}^{R_n^1} |u(t)| \int_{|\tau| \geq R_n^2} e^{-l|t-\tau|} |w_n(\tau)| d\tau dt \\ &\leq 2c_0 (\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-l(R_n^2 - R_n^1)} \|u\|_{L^\beta} \|w_n\|_{L^\beta} \\ &\leq 2c_0 (\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-l(R_n^2 - R_n^1)} \delta_2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (Lw_n^{(1)}, u^{(2)}) dt \right| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (Lu^{(2)}, w_n^{(1)}) dt \right| \\ &\leq 2c_0 (\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} e^{-l(R_n^2 - R_n^1)} \delta_2 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By (c) of the dichotomy, we have that for any  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  there is  $R > 0$  such that  $\int_{-R}^R \frac{|w_n(t)|^\beta}{\|w_n\|_{L^\beta}^\beta} dt \geq \lambda - \varepsilon_1$ . Using (b) of the dichotomy, we obtain  $\int_{R \leq |\tau| \leq R_n^1} |w_n(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \leq \varepsilon_1 \|w_n\|_{L^\beta}^\beta$ . By (L<sub>4</sub>), (3) of Lemma 2.1 and (a) of the dichotomy, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (Lw_n^{(1)}, u^{(3)}) dt \right| &\leq c_0 \int_{R_n^1 \leq |t| \leq R_n^2} |u(t)| \int_{|\tau| \leq R_n^1} e^{-l|t-\tau|} |w_n(\tau)| d\tau dt \\ &\leq 2c_0 (\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \|u\|_{L^\beta} \left[ e^{-l(R_n^1 - R)} \|w_n\|_{L^\beta} + \left( \int_{R \leq |\tau| \leq R_n^1} |w_n(\tau)|^\beta d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right] \\ &\leq 2c_0 (\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \|u\|_{L^\beta} \|w_n\|_{L^\beta} \left( e^{-l(R_n^1 - R)} + \varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right) \\ &\leq 2c_0 (\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \delta_2 \left( e^{-l(R_n^1 - R)} + \varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right) \\ &\rightarrow 2c_0 (\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \delta_2 \varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Noticing  $I'(w_n) \rightarrow 0$ , from (3.4)–(3.7), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  choosing  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  satisfying  $2c_0 (\alpha l)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \delta_2 \varepsilon_1^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq \varepsilon$ , we find that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|I'(w_n^{(1)})\|_{L^\beta} \leq \varepsilon$  and hence  $I'(w_n^{(1)}) \rightarrow 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12071219).

## References

- [1] R. A. ADAMS, J. J. F. FOURNIER, *Sobolev spaces*, Elsevier, 2003. [MR2424078](#)
- [2] P. CALDIROLI, P. MONTECCHIARI, Homoclinic orbits for second order Hamiltonian systems with potential changing sign, *Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **1**(1994), 97–129. [MR1280118](#)

- [3] A. CAPIETTO, F. DALBONO, A. PORTALURI, A multiplicity result for a class of strongly indefinite asymptotically linear second order systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**(2010), 2874–2890. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.11.032>; MR2580145
- [4] V. COTI ZELATI, I. EKELAND, E. SÉRÉ, A variational approach to homoclinic orbits in Hamiltonian systems, *Math. Ann.* **288**(1990), 133–160. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01444526>; MR1070929
- [5] V. COTI ZELATI, P. H. RABINOWITZ, Homoclinic orbits for second order Hamiltonian systems possessing superquadratic potentials, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4**(1991), No. 4, 693–727. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2939286>; MR1119200
- [6] Y. DING, M. WILLEM, Homoclinic orbits of a Hamiltonian system, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **50**(1999), No. 5, 759–778. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s000330050177>; MR1721793
- [7] I. EKELAND, *Convexity methods in Hamiltonian mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-74331-3>; MR1051888
- [8] I. EKELAND, R. TEMAM, *Convex analysis and variational problems*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1976. MR0463994
- [9] H. HOFER, K. WYSOCKI, First order elliptic systems and the existence of homoclinic orbits in Hamiltonian systems, *Math. Ann.* **288**(1990), No. 1, 483–503. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01444543>; MR1079873
- [10] P. L. LIONS, *Solutions of Hartree-Fock equations for Coulomb systems*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **109**(1987), No. 1, 33–97.
- [11] P. L. LIONS, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **1**(1985), 145–201. <https://doi.org/10.4171/RMI/6>; MR834360
- [12] P. MONTECCHIARI, P. H. RABINOWITZ, Solutions of mountain pass type for double well potential systems, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **57**(2018), No. 5, Paper No. 114, 31 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-018-1400-4>; MR3829548
- [13] W. OMANA, M. WILLEM, Homoclinic orbits for a class of Hamiltonian systems, *Differential Integral Equations* **5**(1992), No. 5, 33–38. MR1171983
- [14] P. H. RABINOWITZ, Homoclinic orbits for a class of Hamiltonian systems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **114**(1990), 33–38. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500024240>; MR1051605
- [15] P. H. RABINOWITZ, Homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits for a class of Hamiltonian systems, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **1**(1993), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02163262>; MR1261715
- [16] E. SÉRÉ, Existence of infinitely many homoclinic orbits in Hamiltonian systems, *Math. Z.* **209**(1992), 27–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02570817>; MR1143210