




Local solutions for a hyperbolic equation

Aldo Trajano Lourêdo ¹, Manuel Milla Miranda¹,
Marcondes Rodrigues Clark² and Haroldo Rodrigues Clark³

¹Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Departamento de Matemática, Campina Grande, PB, Brazil

²Universidade Federal do Piauí, Departamento de Matemática, Teresina, PI, Brazil

³Universidade Federal Fluminense, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Niterói, RJ, Brazil

Received 11 October 2014, appeared 27 May 2015

Communicated by Vilmos Komornik

Abstract. Let Ω be an open bounded set of \mathbb{R}^n with its boundary Γ constituted of two disjoint parts Γ_0 and Γ_1 with $\bar{\Gamma}_0 \cap \bar{\Gamma}_1 = \emptyset$. This paper deals with the existence of local solutions to the nonlinear hyperbolic problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' - \Delta u + |u|^\rho = f & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T_0), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, T_0), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + h(\cdot, u') = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, T_0), \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

where $\rho > 1$ is a real number, $\nu(x)$ is the exterior unit normal at $x \in \Gamma_1$ and $h(x, s)$ (for $x \in \Gamma_1$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$) is a continuous function and strongly monotone in s . We obtain existence results to problem (*) by applying the Galerkin method with a special basis, Strauss' approximations of continuous functions and trace theorems for non-smooth functions. As usual, restrictions on ρ are considered in order to have the continuous embedding of Sobolev spaces.

Keywords: Galerkin method, local solutions, hyperbolic equation.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35L05, 35L70, 35G30.


1 Introduction

Motivated by a nonlinear theory of mesons field introduced by L. I. Schiff [27], K. Jörgens in [5, 6] began a rigorous mathematical investigation, from a mathematical point of view, of equations of the type

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \Delta u + F'(|u|^2)u = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Specifically, K. Jörgens [6] proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \Delta u + \mu^2 u + \eta^2 |u|^2 u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

 Corresponding author. Email: aldotl@cct.uepb.edu.br

where Ω is a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n with boundary Γ . This equation is of the type (1.1) when

$$F(s) = \mu^2 s + \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 s^2.$$

Motivated by the works of K. Jörgens [5, 6], the authors J.-L. Lions and W. A. Strauss [28] initiated and developed a large field of research on nonlinear evolution equations that includes K. Jörgens' model. See also, F. E. Browder [1], J. A. Goldstein [3, 4], L. A. Medeiros [14], I. E. Segal [26], W. A. Strauss [28] and von Wahl [30].

Medeiros et al. [15] proved the existence and uniqueness of global solutions of the nonlinear hyperbolic problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' - \Delta u + |u|^\rho = f & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u^0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u^1(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\rho > 1$ is a real number with restrictions given by the continuous embedding of Sobolev spaces and the initial data u^0 and u^1 do not have restrictions on their norms.

Considering the boundary Γ of Ω constituted of two disjoint parts Γ_0 and Γ_1 such that $\bar{\Gamma}_0 \cap \bar{\Gamma}_1 = \emptyset$ and denoting by $\nu(x)$ the unit exterior normal vector at $x \in \Gamma_1$, Milla Miranda and Medeiros [20] studied the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' - \mu(t)\Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, \infty), \\ \mu(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \delta(x)u' = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u_1(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

When $\mu > 0$ is constant, existence and uniqueness of global strong solutions for (1.3) has been proved by Komornik and Zuazua [7], Quinn and Russell [25] applying semigroup theory. This method does not work for (1.3) because the boundary condition (1.3)₃ depends on $\mu(t)$. For this reason Milla Miranda and Medeiros [20] constructed a special basis where lie approximations of the initial data, so the Galerkin method works well with this basis. Using this approach they proved the well-posedness for (1.3).

The existence of solutions of problem (1.3) with nonlinear boundary conditions has been obtained, by using the theory of monotone operators by Zuazua [7], Lasiecka and Tataru [18], and applying the Galerkin method by Lourêdo and Milla Miranda [12].

Motivated by (1.2) and (1.3) we consider in this paper the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} u'' - \Delta u + |u|^\rho = f & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T_0), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, T_0), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + h(\cdot, u') = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, T_0), \\ u(x, 0) = u^0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u^1(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

With restrictions on the real number $\rho > 1$ due to the continuous embedding of Sobolev spaces, we obtain the existence of local solutions to problem (1.4) in two cases: first, $h(x, s) = \delta(x)p(s)$ with p Lipschitzian and strongly monotone. In the second case $h(x, s)$ is only continuous in s and strongly monotone in s , and the initial data belong to a class more regular

than in the first case. In our approach, we apply the Galerkin method with a special basis, the Strauss' approximations of continuous functions and trace theorems for non-smooth functions.

It is worth noting that the term $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\rho} u' dx$ does not have a definite sign. This fact brings serious difficulties to obtain global solutions to problem (1.4) without considering restrictions on the norms of the initial data.

Hereafter, this paper is organized in three sections, namely, Section 2 is devoted to the notations and statements of the two main results. In Section 3 we present the proof of Theorem 2.1 in which the case $h = \delta p$ is considered, where p is a Lipschitz continuous function. In Section 4, Theorem 2.2 is proved which contains the case where $s \mapsto h(\cdot, s)$ is only a continuous function in s .

2 Notations and main results

Let Ω be an open bounded set of \mathbb{R}^n with a C^2 boundary Γ , which has two disjoint parts Γ_0 and Γ_1 such that $\text{meas } \Gamma_0 > 0$; $\text{meas } \Gamma_1 > 0$; and $\bar{\Gamma}_0 \cap \bar{\Gamma}_1 = \emptyset$. Let $\nu(x)$ be the unit normal vector at $x \in \Gamma_1$.

The scalar product and norm of the space $L^2(\Omega)$ will be denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) and $|\cdot|$, respectively. We represent by V the Hilbert space $V = \{v \in H^1(\Omega); v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\}$, equipped with the scalar product and norm

$$((u, v)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|^2 = ((u, u)),$$

respectively. All scalar functions considered in this paper are real-valued.

In what follows, we introduce necessary hypotheses on some objects of problem (1.4) in order to state our first result.

Let $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} & p \text{ is Lipschitz-continuous and strongly monotone in the second variable, i.e.} \\ & (p(s) - p(r))(s - r) \geq b_0(s - r)^2, \quad \forall s, r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (b_0 \text{ is a positive constant}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

The function $\delta: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that

$$\delta \in W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma_1) \text{ and } \delta(x) \geq \delta_0, \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_1 \quad (\delta_0 \text{ is a positive constant}). \quad (2.2)$$

The real number ρ is chosen according to the spatial dimension n .

$$\rho > 1 \quad \text{if } n = 1, 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n+1}{n} \leq \rho \leq \frac{n}{n-2} \quad \text{if } n \geq 3. \quad (2.3)$$

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose (2.1)–(2.3) hold, $f \in H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, $\{u^0, u^1\} \in (V \cap H^2(\Omega)) \times V$ and satisfies the compatibility condition*

$$\frac{\partial u^0}{\partial \nu} + \delta(\cdot)p(u^1) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1. \quad (2.4)$$

Then there exist a real number T_0 with $0 < T_0 \leq T$ and a unique function u in the class

$$\begin{aligned} & u \in L^\infty(0, T_0; V \cap H^2(\Omega)), \\ & u' \in L^\infty(0, T_0; V), \\ & u'' \in L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

satisfying the equations

$$u'' - \Delta u + |u|^\rho = f \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)), \quad (2.6)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \delta p(u') = 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial u'}{\partial \nu} + \delta p'(u')u'' = 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)),$$

and the initial conditions

$$u(0) = u^0, \quad u'(0) = u^1. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, T_0 is explicitly given by

$$T_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2L} \left(\frac{1}{2}|u^1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u^0\|^2 + 2 \right)^{(1-\rho)/2}, T \right\}, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$L = \frac{(\rho-1)}{2} \left[2^{1/2}\|f\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} + 2^{(\rho+1)/2}k_1^\rho \right] \quad (2.10)$$

and $k_1 > 0$ is the constant of the continuous embedding of V in $L^2(\Omega)$, defined in inequality (3.2).

To state our second result we make the following considerations: let $A = -\Delta$ be the self-adjoint operator of $L^2(\Omega)$ defined by the triplet $\{V, L^2(\Omega); ((\cdot, \cdot))\}$. Then the domain of $-\Delta$ is given by

$$D(-\Delta) = \left\{ u \in V \cap H^2(\Omega); \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \right\} \quad (2.11)$$

and it is known that $D(-\Delta)$ is dense in V , see this statement for instance, in Lions [10].

We suppose the function $h: \Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} r &\mapsto h(\cdot, r) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}; L^\infty(\Gamma_1)), \quad h(x, 0) = 0, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \Gamma_1, \\ h &\text{ is strongly monotone in the second variable, i.e.} \\ [h(x, r) - h(x, s)](r - s) &\geq d_0(r - s)^2, \quad \forall r, s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \Gamma_1, \\ (d_0 &\text{ is a positive constant).} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Theorem 2.2. Assume that hypotheses (2.3)–(2.12) are satisfied, $f \in H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ and $\{u^0, u^1\} \in D(-\Delta) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then there exist a real number $T_0 > 0$ (the same T_0 given in Theorem 2.1) and at least one function u in the class

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in L^\infty(0, T_0; V), \quad \Delta u \in L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)), \\ u' &\in L^\infty(0, T_0; V), \\ u'' &\in L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

satisfying

$$u'' - \Delta u + |u|^\rho = f \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + h(\cdot, u') = 0 \quad \text{in } L^1(0, T_0; L^1(\Gamma_1)), \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$u(0) = u^0, \quad u'(0) = u^1. \quad (2.16)$$

Remark 2.3. Note that in Theorem 2.2 the set of initial data satisfies $\frac{\partial u^0}{\partial \nu} + h(\cdot, u^1) = 0$.

Remark 2.4. As $h(x, s)$ is only continuous in s , the uniqueness of solutions of Theorem 2.2 is an open problem.

3 Case p Lipschitz

We begin by making some considerations. Since $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function then $p(v) \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$ for $v \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$ and the mapping $p: H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$, $v \mapsto p(v)$ is continuous, for this result we refer to Marcus and Mizel [13].

Remark 3.1. The regularity of the trace mapping of order zero, $\gamma_0: V \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$ ensures that the mapping $\tilde{p} = p \circ \gamma_0$ with $\tilde{p}: V \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$ is continuous.

Remark 3.2. Throughout this section, in order to facilitate the notation, the mapping $\tilde{p}(v)$ for $v \in V$ will be denoted just by $p(v)$.

Remark 3.3. Since $\delta \in W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma_1)$ then $\delta v \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$ for all $v \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$, and the linear operator $\delta: H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$, $v \mapsto \delta v$ is continuous. In fact, using the theory of interpolation for Hilbert spaces (see for instance the reference [11]) it can be shown that the linear operators $\delta: H^1(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_1)$, $v \mapsto \delta v$ and $\delta: L^2(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma_1)$, $v \mapsto \delta v$ are continuous.

Remark 3.4. As a consequence of (2.11), the intersection $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$ is dense in V .

Proposition 3.5. In $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$ the norm of $H^2(\Omega)$ and the norm

$$u \mapsto \left[|\Delta u|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)}^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

are equivalent.

Proposition 3.6. Let $\delta \in W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma_1)$, $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz continuous function with $p(0) = 0$, $u^0 \in V \cap H^2(\Omega)$, $u^1 \in V$, and

$$\frac{\partial u^0}{\partial \nu} + \delta(\cdot)p(u^1) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1.$$

Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist w and z in $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|w - u^0\|_{V \cap H^2(\Omega)} < \varepsilon, \quad \|z - u^1\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} + \delta(\cdot)p(z) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1.$$

The proof of the preceding propositions can be found in Milla Miranda and Medeiros [20] and Milla Miranda and Lourêdo [19].

Under the restrictions (2.3) on ρ , we have $(\rho - 1)n \leq 2\rho \leq \frac{2n}{n-2} = q$ for $n \geq 3$, and this implies

$$V \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2\rho}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{(\rho-1)n}(\Omega), \quad n \geq 3. \quad (3.1)$$

In (3.1) we mean by $X \hookrightarrow Y$ that the spaces X, Y satisfy $X \subset Y$ and the injection of X in Y is continuous. We denote by k_0, k_1 and k_2 the constants immersion that satisfying

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq k_0 \|u\|, \quad \|u\|_{L^{2\rho}(\Omega)} \leq k_1 \|u\|, \quad \|u\|_{L^{(\rho-1)n}(\Omega)} \leq k_2 \|u\| \quad \forall u \in V. \quad (3.2)$$

We now can proceed to the proof of our first result.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Proposition 3.6 provides us sequences (u_l^0) and (u_l^1) of vectors of $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} u_l^0 = u^0 & \text{in } V \cap H^2(\Omega), \\ \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} u_l^1 = u^1 & \text{in } V, \\ \frac{\partial u_l^0}{\partial \nu} + \delta p(u_l^1) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \text{ for } l \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.3)$$

We now construct a special basis of $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$ in the following way: for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the basis $\{w_1^l, w_2^l, \dots, w_j^l, \dots\}$ of $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$ satisfying $u_l^0, u_l^1 \in [w_1^l, w_2^l]$, where $[w_1^l, w_2^l]$ denotes the subspace generated by w_1^l, w_2^l . According to this basis we determine approximate solutions $u_{lm}(t)$ of problem (3.4) with $h = \delta p$, that is, $u_{lm}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{jlm}(t) w_j^l$, where $g_{jlm}(t)$ is defined as the solutions of the approximate problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (u_{lm}''(t), v) + ((u_{lm}(t), v)) + (|u_{lm}(t)|^\rho, v) + \int_{\Gamma_1} \delta p(u_{lm}'(t)) v d\Gamma = (f(t), v), \quad \forall v \in V_m^l, \\ u_{lm}(0) = u_l^0, \quad u_{lm}'(0) = u_l^1, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.4)$$

where V_m^l is the subspace generated by $w_1^l, w_2^l, \dots, w_m^l$. The solution u_{lm} of (3.4) is defined on $[0, t_{lm})$ with $0 < t_{lm} \leq T_0$. The next estimate enables us to extend u_{lm} to the whole interval $[0, T_0]$.

First estimate: Setting $v = u_{lm}'(t)$ in (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |u_{lm}'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_{lm}(t)\|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_1} \delta p(u_{lm}'(t)) u_{lm}'(t) d\Gamma \\ = (f(t), u_{lm}'(t)) - (|u_{lm}(t)|^\rho, u_{lm}'(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By usual inequalities and (3.2) we get

$$|(|u_{lm}(t)|^\rho, u_{lm}'(t))| \leq \|u_{lm}(t)\|_{L^{2\rho}(\Omega)}^\rho |u_{lm}'(t)| \leq k_1^\rho \|u_{lm}(t)\|^\rho |u_{lm}'(t)|. \quad (3.6)$$

Taking

$$\varphi_{lm}(t) = \frac{1}{2} |u_{lm}'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_{lm}(t)\|^2 + 1 \quad (3.7)$$

and combining (3.6), (3.7), (3.5), and using hypotheses (2.1) and (2.2) on p and δ , we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varphi_{lm}(t) + \delta_0 b_0 \int_{\Gamma_1} u_{lm}^{\prime 2}(t) d\Gamma \leq |f(t)| |u_{lm}'(t)| + k_1^\rho \|u_{lm}(t)\|^\rho |u_{lm}'(t)|. \quad (3.8)$$

Observing that

$$\|u_{lm}(t)\|^\rho \leq 2^{\frac{\rho}{2}} \varphi_{lm}^{\frac{\rho}{2}}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad |u_{lm}'(t)| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{lm}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t),$$

and together with $\varphi_{lm}(t) \geq 1$, we find

$$|f(t)| |u_{lm}'(t)| + k_1^\rho \|u_{lm}(t)\|^\rho |u_{lm}'(t)| \leq \left[2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} + 2^{\frac{\rho+1}{2}} k_1^\rho \right] \varphi_{lm}^{\frac{\rho+1}{2}}(t).$$

Combining this inequality with (3.8), we derive

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varphi_{lm}(t) + \delta_0 b_0 \int_{\Gamma_1} u_{lm}^{\prime 2}(t) d\Gamma \leq M \varphi_{lm}^{\frac{\rho+1}{2}}(t),$$

where

$$M = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + 2^{\frac{\rho+1}{2}} k_1^\rho.$$

This means that

$$\varphi_{lm}^{-\frac{\rho+1}{2}}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_{lm}(t) \leq M, \quad (3.9)$$

and recalling the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{2}{1-\rho} \varphi_{lm}^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}(t) \right] = \varphi_{lm}^{-\frac{\rho+1}{2}}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_{lm}(t).$$

We obtain by (3.9) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varphi_{lm}^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}(t) \geq -\frac{\rho-1}{2} M,$$

which implies

$$\varphi_{lm}^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}(t) \geq \varphi_{lm}^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}(0) - Lt. \quad (3.10)$$

In the above expression L was defined in (2.10). By the convergences in (3.3) we find

$$\varphi_{lm}^{\frac{\rho-1}{2}}(0) < \left[\frac{1}{2} |u^1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u^0\|^2 + 2 \right]^{\frac{\rho-1}{2}}, \quad \forall l \geq l_0, \forall m,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\varphi_{lm}^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}(0) > \left[\frac{1}{2} |u^1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u^0\|^2 + 2 \right]^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}, \quad \forall l \geq l_0, \forall m.$$

Thus, from (3.10) it follows that

$$\varphi_{lm}^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}(t) \geq \left[\frac{1}{2} |u^1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u^0\|^2 + 2 \right]^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}} - Lt. \quad (3.11)$$

By hypothesis (2.9), we obtain

$$Lt \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} |u^1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u^0\|^2 + 2 \right]^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_0].$$

Then (3.11) provides

$$\varphi_{lm}^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} |u^1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u^0\|^2 + 2 \right]^{\frac{1-\rho}{2}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_0].$$

Thus

$$\varphi_{lm}(t) \leq 2^{\frac{2}{\rho-1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} |u^1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u^0\|^2 + 2 \right] = N, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_0], \forall l \geq l_0, \forall m. \quad (3.12)$$

With this limitation and taking into account (3.7), we find

$$\begin{aligned} (u_{lm}) &\text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T_0; V), \\ (u'_{lm}) &\text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)), \\ (u'_{lm}) &\text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where these limitations are independent of $l \geq l_0$ and m .

Second estimate: Differentiating the approximate equation in (3.4)₁ with respect to t and taking $v = u''_{lm}(t)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [|u''_{lm}(t)|^2 + \|u'_{lm}(t)\|^2] + (\rho |u_{lm}(t)|^{\rho-2} u_{lm}(t) u'_{lm}(t), u''_{lm}(t)) + \int_{\Gamma_1} \delta p'(u'_{lm}(t)) [u''_{lm}(t)]^2 d\Gamma \\ = (f'(t), u''_{lm}(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

By Hölder's inequality with $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$, we obtain

$$|(|u_{lm}(t)|^{\rho-2} u'_{lm}(t), u''_{lm}(t))| \leq \|u_{lm}(t)\|_{L^{(\rho-1)n}(\Omega)}^{\rho-1} \|u'_{lm}(t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \|u''_{lm}(t)\|$$

From this, notations (3.2) and using the constant N introduced in (3.12) that bounds $\varphi_{lm}(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho |(|u_{lm}(t)|^{\rho-2} u'_{lm}(t), u''_{lm}(t))| &\leq \rho k_2^{\rho-1} k_0 \|u'_{lm}(t)\|^{\rho-1} \|u'_{lm}(t)\| \|u''_{lm}(t)\| \\ &\leq \rho k_2^{\rho-1} k_0 2^{\frac{\rho-1}{2}} N^{\frac{\rho-1}{2}} \|u'_{lm}(t)\| \|u''_{lm}(t)\|, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\rho |(|u_{lm}(t)|^{\rho-2} u'_{lm}(t), u''_{lm}(t))| \leq R \|u'_{lm}(t)\| \|u''_{lm}(t)\|$$

where

$$R = \rho k_2^{\rho-1} k_0 2^{\frac{\rho-1}{2}} N^{\frac{\rho-1}{2}}.$$

Combining the last inequality with (3.14) and considering hypothesis (2.1)₃, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [|u''_{lm}(t)|^2 + \|u'_{lm}(t)\|^2] + \delta_0 b_0 \int_{\Gamma_1} [u''_{lm}(t)]^2 d\Gamma \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} |f'(t)|^2 + \frac{R^2}{2} \|u'_{lm}(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u''_{lm}(t)|, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} [|u''_{lm}(t)|^2 + \|u'_{lm}(t)\|^2] + \delta_0 b_0 \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} [u''_{lm}(s)]^2 d\Gamma \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} |u''_{lm}(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_{lm}(0)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |f'(t)|^2 dt \\ + \int_0^t \left[\frac{R^2}{2} \|u'_{lm}(s)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u''_{lm}(s)| \right] ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_0]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Remark 3.7. To apply Gronwall's lemma in inequality (3.15) we need to derive an upper bound for $(u''_{lm}(0))$. This is the key point of the proof of Theorem 2.1. We get this limitation thanks to the choice of the special basis of $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$, previously built in this section.

We make $t = 0$ in the approximate system (3.4)₁, take $v = u''_{lm}(0)$, and after that apply Gauss' theorem, to obtain

$$|u''_{lm}(0)|^2 + (-\Delta u_l^0, u'_{lm}(0)) + \int_{\Gamma_1} \left[\frac{\partial u_l^0}{\partial \nu} + \delta p(u_l^1) \right] u''_{lm}(0) d\Gamma + (|u_l^0|^\rho, u''_{lm}(0)) = (f(0), u''_{lm}(0)).$$

Using (3.3)₃, we find

$$|u''_{lm}(0)|^2 \leq |\Delta u_l^0| |u''_{lm}(0)| + \|u_l^0\|_{L^{2\rho}(\Omega)}^\rho |u''_{lm}(0)| + |f(0)| |u''_{lm}(0)|.$$

From this and convergence (3.3)₁ and (3.3)₂, and notations (3.2) we get

$$|u''_{lm}(0)|^2 \leq [|\Delta u_l^0| + k_1 \|u^0\| + |f(0)| + 1 + k_1^\rho] |u''_{lm}(0)|, \quad \forall l \geq l_0, \forall m.$$

Thus,

$$|u''_{lm}(0)| \leq |\Delta u_l^0| + k_1 \|u^0\| + |f(0)| + 1 + k_1^0 = S, \quad \forall l \geq l_0, \forall m. \quad (3.16)$$

Inequality (3.15), (3.16), convergences (3.3)₁, (3.3)₂ and Gronwall's lemma provide

$$\frac{1}{2} |u''_{lm}(t)| + \frac{1}{2} \|u'_{lm}(t)\|^2 + \delta_0 b_0 \int_0^t \|u''_{lm}(s)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)}^2 d\Gamma \leq P, \quad (3.17)$$

where the constant P is independent of $l \geq l_0$, m and $t \in [0, T_0]$.

With the above estimate, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (u'_{lm}) & \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T_0; V), \\ (u''_{lm}) & \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)), \\ (u''_{lm}) & \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Passage to the limit in m . The constants N and P in (3.12) and (3.17) are independent of $l \geq l_0$, m and $t \in [0, T_0]$. Thus, the estimates (3.13) and (3.18), allow us to find a subsequence of (u_{lm}) , which still will be denoted by (u_{lm}) , and a function u_l such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{lm} & \rightarrow u_l \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; V), \\ u'_{lm} & \rightarrow u'_l \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; V), \\ u''_{lm} & \rightarrow u''_l \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)), \\ u'_{lm} & \rightarrow u'_l \text{ weak in } L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)), \\ u''_{lm} & \rightarrow u''_l \text{ weak in } L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The convergence (3.19)₁, (3.19)₂ and the Aubin–Lions theorem provide the convergence $u_{lm} \rightarrow u_l$ in $L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega))$. Therefore,

$$|u_{lm}(x, t)|^\rho \rightarrow |u_l(x, t)|^\rho \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, T_0) = Q_0. \quad (3.20)$$

We also have

$$\int_\Omega [|u_{lm}(t)|^\rho]^2 dx \leq k_1 \|u_{lm}(t)\|^{2\rho} \leq k_1^{2\rho} 2^{2\rho} N^\rho,$$

where k_1 and N are introduced in (3.2) and (3.12), respectively. From this

$$\| |u_{lm}|^\rho \|_{L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C, \quad \forall l \geq l_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall m. \quad (3.21)$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 of Lions [8] and using (3.20) and (3.21),

$$|u_{lm}|^\rho \rightarrow |u_l|^\rho \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)). \quad (3.22)$$

On the other hand, the convergence (3.19)₂ implies $u'_{lm} \rightarrow u'_l$ weak in $L^2(0, T_0; H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1))$. This, convergence (3.19)₅ and the Aubin–Lions theorem provide $u'_{lm} \rightarrow u'_l$ in $L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1))$. As p is Lipschitzian, $\delta p(u'_{lm}) \rightarrow \delta p(u'_l)$ in $L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1))$. Note that $|\delta p(u'_{lm})|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \leq C$ for all $l \geq l_0$, for all m and $t \in [0, T_0]$. This and the preceding convergence provide

$$\delta p(u'_{lm}) \rightarrow \delta p(u'_l) \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)). \quad (3.23)$$

Now taking $\theta \in L^2(0, T_0)$ and $v \in V$ then the convergence (3.19), (3.22), and (3.23) allow us to pass to the limit in the approximate equation (3.4). Moreover, observing that $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$ is dense in V , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T_0} (u''_l(t), v)\theta dt + \int_0^{T_0} ((u_l(t), v))\theta dt + \int_0^{T_0} (|u_l(t)|^\rho, v)\theta dt + \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} \delta p(u'_l(t))v\theta d\Gamma dt \\ & = \int_0^{T_0} (f(t), v)\theta dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Taking, in particular, $v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(0, T_0)$, in the preceding equation yields

$$u_l'' - \Delta u_l + |u_l|^\rho = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(Q_0), \quad \text{where } Q_0 = \Omega \times (0, T_0).$$

The regularities of u_l and f permit us to write

$$u_l'' - \Delta u_l + |u_l|^\rho = f \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)). \quad (3.25)$$

Since $u_l \in L^\infty(0, T_0; V)$ and $\Delta u_l \in L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega))$, then $\frac{\partial u_l}{\partial v} \in L^\infty(0, T_0; H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1))$, as shown in Milla Miranda [21]. Multiplying both sides of (3.25) by $v\theta$, with $v \in V$ and $\theta \in L^2(0, T_0)$, and integrating on Q_0 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T_0} (u_l''(t), v)\theta dt + \int_0^{T_0} ((u_l(t), v))\theta dt + \int_0^{T_0} (|u_l(t)|^\rho, v)\theta dt + \int_0^{T_0} \left\langle \frac{\partial u_l(t)}{\partial v}, v \right\rangle \theta dt \\ & = \int_0^{T_0} (f(t), v)\theta dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1)$ and $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$. This equality and (3.24) imply

$$\frac{\partial u_l}{\partial v} + \delta p(u_l') = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T_0; H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1)).$$

Since $u_l' \in L^\infty(0, T_0; H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1))$, then $\delta p(u_l') \in L^\infty(0, T_0; H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1))$, and thus

$$\frac{\partial u_l}{\partial v} + \delta p(u_l') = 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)). \quad (3.26)$$

By the facts $u_l, \Delta u_l \in L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega))$ and $\frac{\partial u_l}{\partial v} \in L^\infty(0, T_0; H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_1))$, and by Proposition 3.5, we conclude

$$u_l \in L^\infty(0, T_0; V \cap H^2(\Omega)). \quad (3.27)$$

Differentiating with respect to t the equality (3.26) and noting that $u_l'' \in L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1))$, we obtain the regularities in (2.7). As estimates (3.13) and (3.18) are independent of $l \geq l_0$, we obtain in a similar way a function u in class (2.5), u satisfying (2.6)-(2.8).

The verification of the initial data (2.8) follows from estimatee (3.19)₁–(3.19)₃.

Uniqueness of solutions. Let u and v be two functions in class (2.5) which satisfy equations (2.6), (2.7) and initial conditions (2.8). Considering the difference $w = u - v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & w'' - \Delta w + |u|^\rho - |v|^\rho = \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)), \\ & \frac{\partial w}{\partial v} + \delta [p(u') - p(v')] = 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_1)), \\ & w(0) = 0, \quad w'(0) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.28)₁ by w' , integrating on Ω and using Gauss' theorem, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\|w'(t)\|^2 + \|w(t)\|^2] + \int_{\Gamma_1} \delta [p(u'(t)) - p(v'(t))] d\Gamma = - (|u(t)|^\rho - |v(t)|^\rho, w'(t)). \quad (3.29)$$

By the mean value theorem, $|u(x, t)|^\rho - |v(x, t)|^\rho = \rho |\xi|^{\rho-2} \xi w(x, t)$, where ξ is between $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$, and thus $|u(x, t)|^\rho - |v(x, t)|^\rho \leq |g(x, t)|^{\rho-2} |w(x, t)|$, where $g(x, t) = |u(x, t)| +$

$|v(x, t)|$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
|(|u(t)|^\rho - |v(t)|^\rho)w'(t)| &\leq \rho \int_{\Omega} g^{\rho-1} |w(t)| |w'(t)| dx \\
&\leq \rho \|g\|_{L^{(\rho-1)n}(\Omega)}^{\rho-1} \|w(t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} |w'(t)| \\
&\leq \rho \left[\|u(t)\|_{L^{(\rho-1)n}(\Omega)} + \|v(t)\|_{L^{(\rho-1)n}(\Omega)} \right]^{\rho-1} \|w(t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} |w'(t)|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

From the embedding (3.1) we find $\|u(t)\|_{L^{(\rho-1)n}(\Omega)} \leq k_2 \|u(t)\| \leq C$, $\forall t \in [0, T_0]$ and similarly, $\|v(t)\|_{L^{(\rho-1)n}(\Omega)} \leq k_2 \|u(t)\| \leq C$, $\forall t \in [0, T_0]$. Combining (3.30) with the two preceding inequalities, we get

$$|(|u(t)|^\rho - |v(t)|^\rho)| \leq C_1 \|w(t)\| |w'(t)| \leq \frac{C_1^2}{2} \|w(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |w'(t)|^2.$$

This inequality, (3.29) and properties (2.1) of p , imply

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [|w'(t)|^2 + \|w(t)\|^2] + \delta_0 b_0 \int_{\Gamma_1} w'(t)^2 d\Gamma \leq \frac{1}{2} |w'(t)|^2 + \frac{C_1^2}{2} \|w(t)\|^2.$$

Then the Gronwall inequality provides $w'(t) = 0$ and $w(t) = 0$ a.e. in $[0, T_0]$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

4 Case $h(x, s)$ continuous in s

Initially note that, since h is a continuous function, the following Strauss' approximations were shown by Louredo and Milla Miranda [12].

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that h satisfies hypotheses (2.11). Then there exists a sequence (h_l) of functions of $C^0(\mathbb{R}; L^\infty(\Gamma_1))$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $h_l(x, 0) = 0$ for almost all x in Γ_1 ;
- (ii) $[h_l(x, s) - h_l(x, r)](s - r) \geq d_0(s - r)^2$, $\forall s, r \in \mathbb{R}$ and for almost all x in Γ_1 ;
- (iii) there exists a function $c_l \in L^\infty(\Gamma_1)$ such that

$$|h_l(x, s) - h_l(x, r)| \leq c_l(x) |s - r|, \quad \forall s, r \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{for almost all } x \text{ in } \Gamma_1;$$

- (iv) (h_l) converges to h uniformly on bounded sets of \mathbb{R} for almost all x in Γ_1 .

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We proceed as in Theorem 2.1, changing the function $\delta(x)p(s)$ into $h_l(x, s)$. Let (u_l^1) be a sequence of functions of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_l^1 \rightarrow u^1 \quad \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{4.1}$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial u^0}{\partial v} + h_l(\cdot, u_l^1) = 0, \quad \forall l. \tag{4.2}$$

For fixed $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we construct the special basis $\{w_1^l, w_2^l, \dots\}$ of $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$ such that u_l^0, u_l^1 belong to $[w_1^l, w_2^l]$. With this basis we determine the approximate solutions $u_{lm}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{jlm}(t)w_j^l$ of (4.3), where $g_{jlm}(t)$ is defined by the approximate problem

$$\begin{cases} (u_{lm}''(t), v) + ((u_{lm}(t), v)) + (|u_{lm}(t)|^\rho, v) + \int_{\Gamma_1} h_l(\cdot, u_{lm}'(t))v \, d\Gamma = (f(t), v), & \forall v \in V_m^l, \\ u_{lm}(0) = u^0, \quad u_{lm}'(0) = u_l^1. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

In a similar way as we made to obtain the estimates (3.12), (3.16) and (3.17) of Section 3, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}|u_{lm}'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u_{lm}(t)\|^2 &\leq N, & d_0 \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} [u_{lm}'(x, s)]^2 d\Gamma \, ds &\leq D, \\ \frac{1}{2}|u_{lm}''(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u_{lm}'(t)\|^2 + d_0 \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} [u_{lm}''(x, s)]^2 d\Gamma \, ds &\leq P, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where the constants N, D and P are independent of $l \geq l_0, m$ and $t \in [0, T_0]$. The estimates (4.4) provide a subsequence of (u_{lm}) , which still will be denoted by (u_{lm}) , such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{lm} &\rightarrow u_l \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; V), \\ u_{lm}' &\rightarrow u_l' \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; V), \\ u_{lm}'' &\rightarrow u_l'' \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)), \\ u_{lm}' &\rightarrow u_l' \text{ weak in } L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)), \\ u_{lm}'' &\rightarrow u_l'' \text{ weak in } L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

In a similar way as in the convergence (3.22) and (3.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{lm}|^\rho &\rightarrow |u_l|^\rho \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)), \\ h_l(\cdot, u_{lm}') &\rightarrow h_l(\cdot, u_l') \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Convergence (4.5) and (4.6) allow us to pass to limit in m in the approximate equations of (4.3). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T_0} (u_l''(t), v)\theta \, dt + \int_0^{T_0} ((u_l(t), v))\theta \, dt + \int_0^{T_0} (|u_l(t)|^\rho, v)\theta \, dt + \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} h_l(\cdot, u_l')v\theta \, d\Gamma \, dt \\ = \int_0^{T_0} (f(t), v)\theta \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in V$ and $\theta \in L^2(0, T_0)$. By analogous arguments used to obtain (3.25) and (3.26), we find

$$u_l'' - \Delta u_l + |u_l|^\rho = f \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_l}{\partial \nu} + h_l(\cdot, u_l') = 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)). \quad (4.8)$$

Estimates (4.4) imply in the existence of a subsequence of (u_l) , which still will be denoted by (u_l) , and a function u such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_l &\rightarrow u \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; V), \\ u_l' &\rightarrow u' \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; V), \\ u_l'' &\rightarrow u'' \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)), \\ u_l' &\rightarrow u' \text{ weak in } L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)), \\ u_l'' &\rightarrow u'' \text{ weak in } L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

As in (4.6)₁, we derive

$$|u_l|^\rho \rightharpoonup |u|^\rho \text{ weak star in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)).$$

By using this, convergence (4.9)₁ and (4.9)₃ in (4.7) yield

$$u'' - \Delta u + |u|^\rho = f \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega)).$$

Using the convergence (4.9)₂, (4.9)₅, and the Aubin–Lions theorem, we obtain $u'_l \rightarrow u'$ strong in $L^2(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma_1))$, which implies

$$u'_l \rightarrow u' \quad \text{a.e. in } \Sigma_0. \quad (4.10)$$

Let $(x, t) \in \Sigma_0 = \Gamma_1 \times (0, T_0)$ be fixed, then the convergence (4.10) ensures that the set $\{u'_l(x, t) : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded. The item (iv) of Proposition 4.1 guarantees that h_l converges to h uniformly on bounded sets of \mathbb{R} and for almost all in Γ_1 . These two results and the convergence (4.10) provide

$$h_l(\cdot, u'_l) \rightarrow h(\cdot, u') \quad \text{a.e on } \Sigma_0. \quad (4.11)$$

On the other hand, by equations (4.7) and (4.8), we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} h_l(\cdot, u'_l(t)) u'_l(t) d\Gamma = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |u'_l(t)|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_l(t)\|^2 - (|u_l(t)|^\rho, u'_l(t)) + (f(t), u'_l(t)). \quad (4.12)$$

By (3.2), hypothesis on f and estimates (4.4), we obtain

$$(|u_l(t)|^\rho, u'_l(t)) \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad |(f(t), u'_l(t))| \leq C,$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of $l \geq l_0$ and $t \in [0, T_0]$. By (4.9) we find that $u_l \in C^0([0, T_0]; V)$ and $u'_l \in C^0([0, T_0]; L^2(\Omega))$, and by (4.4), the sequences $(u_l(T_0))$ and $(u'_l(T_0))$ are bounded in V and $L^2(\Omega)$, respectively. Thus by (4.12), we obtain

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} h_l(\cdot, u'_l(t)) u'_l(t) d\Gamma dt = -\frac{1}{2} |u'_l(T_0)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u'_0|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u_l(T_0)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u^0\|^2 \leq C,$$

para todo $l \geq l_0$ and $t \in [0, T_0]$. Since $h_l(x, s)s \geq 0$, we derive

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} h_l(\cdot, u'_l(t)) u'_l(t) d\Gamma dt \leq C, \quad \forall l \geq l_0 \text{ and } t \in [0, T_0]. \quad (4.13)$$

By (4.11), (4.13) and Strauss' theorem in [28], we find

$$h_l(\cdot, u'_l) \rightarrow h(\cdot, u') \quad \text{in } L^1(\Gamma_1 \times (0, T_0)). \quad (4.14)$$

On the other hand, by convergence (4.9)₁–(4.9)₃ and equation (4.7), we find $u_l \rightarrow u$ weak in $L^2(0, T_0, V)$ and $\Delta u_l \rightarrow \Delta u$ weak in $L^2(0, T_0, L^2(\Omega))$. From this, as shown in Milla Miranda [21], we conclude

$$\frac{\partial u_l}{\partial \nu} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \text{ weak in } L^2(0, T_0, H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1)).$$

From convergence (4.14) and equation (4.8), we obtain $\frac{\partial u_l}{\partial \nu} \rightarrow -h(\cdot, u')$ in $L^1(\Gamma_1 \times (0, T_0))$. These last two convergence assure that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + h(\cdot, u') = 0 \quad \text{in } L^1(0, T_0; L^1(\Gamma_1)).$$

The verification of the initial data follow from the convergence (4.9). This conclude the proof of Theorem 2.2 for the case $n \geq 3$.

When $n = 1, 2$ we consider $\rho > 1$, and in this case $V \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega)$ for all $r \geq 1$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we obtain inequality (3.6) since $V \hookrightarrow L^{2\rho}(\Omega)$. For second estimate we apply the Hölder inequality in

$$|(|u_{lm}(t)|^{\rho-2}u_{lm}(t)u'_{lm}(t), u''_{lm}(t))|$$

considering

$$\frac{1}{(\rho-1)k} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{2} = 1,$$

where k is a natural number such that $(\rho-1)k > 2$ and $s = \frac{2(\rho-1)k}{(\rho-1)k-2}$. With these considerations and applying similar arguments to those used in Sections 3 and 4 we are able to conclude the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 for the case $n = 1, 2$. \square

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for the careful reading and helpful suggestions which led to an improvement of the original manuscript.

References

- [1] F. E. BROWDER, On non-linear wave equations, *Math. Z.* **80**(1963), 249–264. [MR0147769](#); [url](#)
- [2] H. BREZIS, T. CAZENAVE, *Nonlinear evolution equations*, Lessons at IM-UFRJ, 1994.
- [3] J. A. GOLDSTEIN, Time dependent hyperbolic equations, *J. Functional Analysis* **4**(1969), No. 1, 31–49. [MR0261194](#); [url](#)
- [4] J. A. GOLDSTEIN, Semigroups and second order differential equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **4**(1969), No. 1, 50–70. [MR0254668](#)
- [5] K. JÖRGENS, Das Anfangswertproblem im Grossen für eine Klasse nichtlinearer Wellengleichungen (in German), *Math. Z.* **77**(1961), 295–308. [MR0130462](#); [url](#)
- [6] K. JÖRGENS, *Nonlinear wave equations*, University of Colorado, Department of Mathematics, Colorado, USA, 1970.
- [7] V. KOMORNIK, E. ZUAZUA, A direct method for boundary stabilization of the wave equation, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **69**(1990), 33–54. [MR1054123](#)
- [8] J.-L. LIONS, *Quelques méthodes de résolutions des problèmes aux limites non-linéaires* (in French), Dunod; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969. [MR0259693](#)
- [9] J.-L. LIONS, W. A. STRAUSS, Some non-linear evolution equations, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **93**(1965), 43–96. [MR0199519](#)
- [10] J.-L. LIONS, *Problèmes aux limites dans les équations aux dérivées partielles* (in French) [Partial differential equations. Interpolation], Œuvres choisies de Jacques-Louis Lions, Vol. I [Selected works of Jacques-Louis Lions. Vol. I], EDP Sciences, Paris, France, 2003. [MR2007202](#)

- [11] J.-L. LIONS, E. MAGENES, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, Vol. 1 (in French), Dunod, Paris (1968). [MR0247243](#)
- [12] A. T. LOURÊDO, M. MILLA MIRANDA, Nonlinear boundary dissipation for a coupled system of Klein–Gordon equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2010**, No. 120, 1–19. [MR2685030](#)
- [13] M. MARCUS, V. L. MIZEL, Every superposition operator mapping one Sobolev space into another is continuous, *J. Funct. Anal.* **33**(1979), 217–229. [MR0546508](#); [url](#)
- [14] L. A. MEDEIROS, The initial value problems for nonlinear wave equations in Hilbert spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36**(1969), 305–327. [MR0267282](#)
- [15] L. A. MEDEIROS, J. LIMACO, C. L. FROTA, On wave equations without global a priori estimates, *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat. (3)* **30**(2012), No. 2, 19–32. [MR2852611](#); [url](#)
- [16] L. A. MEDEIROS, J. LIMACO, S. B. MENEZES, Vibrations of elastic strings: mathematical aspects, part one, *J. Comput. Anal. and App.* **4**(2002), 91–127. [MR1875347](#); [url](#)
- [17] L. A. MEDEIROS, M. MILLA MIRANDA, *Espaços de Sobolev (Iniciação aos problemas elíticos não homogêneos)* (in Portuguese), Editora IM-UFRJ, 5th ed., 2006. [url](#)
- [18] I. LASIECKA, D. TATARU, Uniform boundary stabilization of semilinear wave equation with nonlinear boundary damping, *Differential Integral Equations* **6**(1993), 507–533. [MR1202555](#)
- [19] A. T. LOUREDO, M. MILLA MIRANDA, Local solutions for a coupled system of Kirchhoff type, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(2011), 7094–7110. [MRMR2833697](#); [url](#)
- [20] M. MILLA MIRANDA, L. A. MEDEIROS, On a boundary value problem for wave equations: existence, uniqueness–asymptotic behavior, *Rev. Mat. Apl.* **17**(1996), 47–73. [MR1413482](#)
- [21] M. MILLA MIRANDA, Traço para o dual dos espaços de Sobolev (in Portuguese) [Trace for the dual of Sobolev spaces], *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. (2)* **11**(1990), No. 2, 131–157. [MR1183772](#)
- [22] M. MILLA MIRANDA, L. P. SAN GIL JUTUCA, Existence and boundary stabilization of solutions for the Kirchhoff equation, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **24**(1999), 1759–1880. [MR1708108](#); [url](#)
- [23] A. H. NAYFEH, D. T. MOOK, *Nonlinear oscillations*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1979, pp. 487–490. [MR0549322](#)
- [24] D. W. OPLINGER, Frequency response of a nonlinear stretched string, *J. Acoust. Soc. Amer.* **32**(1960), 1529–1538. [MR0120900](#)
- [25] J. P. QUINN, D. L. RUSSELL, Asymptotic stability and energy decay rates for solutions of hyperbolic equation with boundary damping, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **77**(1977), 97–127. [MR0473539](#)
- [26] I. E. SEGAL, Nonlinear semigroups, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **78**(1963), 339–364. [MR0152908](#)
- [27] L. I. SCHIFF, Nonlinear meson theory of nuclear forces. I. Neutral scalar mesons with point-contact repulsion, *Physical Rev.* **84**(1951), 1–9. [url](#)

- [28] W. A. STRAUSS, On weak solutions of semilinear hyperbolic equations, *An. Acad. Brasil. Ci.* **42**(1970), 645–651. [MR0306715](#)
- [29] L. TARTAR, *Topics in nonlinear analysis*, Publications Mathématiques d’Orsay 78, Vol. 13, Université de Paris-Sud, Département de Mathématique, Orsay, 1978. [MR532371](#)
- [30] V. VON WAHL, Klassische Lösungen nichtlinearer Wellengleichungen im Grossen (in German), *Math. Z.* **12**(1969), 241–279. [MR0280892](#)