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#### Abstract

A theorem by Caro states that every sequence of elements in an abelian non cyclic group of order $n$, not of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}$, with length $\frac{4 n}{3}+1$ contains an $n$-subsequence (subsequence of length $n$ ) with a zero-sum. In this paper, we obtain a more precise result by showing that in an abelian non cyclic group, not of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3 m}$, every sequence of length $\frac{5 n}{4}+2$ contains an $n$-subsequence with a zero-sum. Keywords and phrases: abelian groups, Erdös-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem, Davenport constant.


## Resumen

Un teorema de Caro establece que cualquier secuencia de elementos de un grupo abeliano $G$ de orden $n$, tal que $G \notin\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{n}, \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}\right\}$, con longitud $\frac{4 n}{3}+1$ contiene una $n$-subsecuencia (subsecuencia de longitud $n)$ con suma cero. En este artículo obtenemos un resultado más preciso al mostrar que si $G \notin\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{n}, \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}, \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3 m}\right\}$, cualquier secuencia de elementos de $G$ de longitud $\frac{5 n}{4}+2$ contiene una $n$-subsecuencia con
suma cero.
Palabras y frases claves: grupos abelianos, Teorema de Erdös-GinzburgZiv, constante de Davenport.

## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n$. The Davenport constant of $G$, denoted by $D(G)$, is the minimal $d$ such that every sequence of elements of $G$ with length $d$ contains a nonempty subsequence with a zero-sum. Let $Z S(G)$ be the smallest integer $t$ such that every sequence of $t$ elements of $G$ contains an $n$-subsequence with a zero-sum. The Erdös-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem [5] states that $Z S(G) \leq 2 n-1$. In [1], Alon, Bialostocki and Caro show that $Z S(G) \leq \frac{3 n}{2}$ for every abelian non-cyclic group $G$ of order $n$. Moreover they stated that the equality holds only for the groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}$. In [4] Caro generalizes this result by showing that $Z S(G) \leq \frac{4 n}{3}+1$ for every abelian non-cyclic group $G$, of order $n$ and not of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}$. Moreover the equality holds only for the groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3 m}$. Let $G$ be an abelian group. Gao proves in $[6,7]$ the fundamental relation $Z S(G)=|G|+D(G)-1$.

Our result is the following:
Let $G$ be an abelian non cyclic group of order $n$, not of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3 m}$, then $Z S(G) \leq \frac{5 n}{4}+2$. Furthermore equality holds only for the groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4 m}$.

Gao Theorem is our main tool. We shall use some estimates of $D(G)$ and prove a few lemmas in this direction. In particular we prove that $D(G) \leq \frac{n}{4}+3$ for every non cyclic abelian group $G$ of order $n$ not of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3 m}$. Moreover, equality holds only for the groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4 m}$.

Our methods are much more elementary than the methods used by Caro in [4]. In particular we will not use the Baker-Schmidt Theorem.

## 2 The Davenport constant

In this section we begin by summarizing some results on the Davenport constant. Some new bounds are given.

It is well known that every finite abelian group $G$ is a directed sum of cyclic groups, say $\mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{r}}$ with $n_{1}\left|n_{2}\right| \cdots \mid n_{r}$. The rank of $G$
denoted by $r=r(G)$ is the number of non zero cyclic groups in the directed sum of $G$.

We use the following results:
Theorem 1 ([2, 9]). Let $G$ be an abelian $p-$ group ( $p$ prime) of the form $G=\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{1}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{k}}}$. Then $D(G)=1+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(p^{\alpha_{i}}-1\right)$.
Theorem $2([2,9]) . D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n m}\right)=n+n m-1$.
Theorems 1 and 2 were shown independently by Olson and Kruyswijk.
Lemma 1 ([4, 6]). For $H$ and $K$ finite abelian groups, we have

$$
D(H \oplus K) \leq(D(H)-1)|K|+D(K)
$$

Let us introduce a few definitions and one lemma from an unpublished manuscript by Hamidoune.

Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. Let $D_{k}(G)$ be the smallest integer $t$ such that every sequence with length $t$ contains $k$ disjoint subsequences, each one with a zero-sum.

Let $D^{s}(G)$ be the smallest number $t$ (possibly $\infty$ ) such that every sequence with length $t$ contains a subsequence with length less or equal to $s$ and a zerosum.

Lemma 2 ([8]). Suppose $D_{j}(H)+s \geq D^{s}(H)$. Then

$$
D(H \oplus K) \leq s(D(K)-j)+D_{j}(H)
$$

Proof. By looking to the first coordinate, one may form $D(K)-j$ subsequences, each of length $\leq s$, and the sum of the first coordinates is zero in each of the subsequences. The remaining elements contain $j$ disjoint subsequences each one with a zero-sum, by the definition of $D_{j}(H)$. Looking to the second coordinate, it can be formed a collection of the $D(K)$-sums where the sum of the second coordinate is zero.

In the following lemma, $\exp (G)$ is the smallest $r$ such that $r a=0$ for all $a$ in $G$.

Lemma 3. Let $G$ be an abelian non-cyclic group. Then

$$
D^{D(G)-1}(G)=D(G)+1
$$

Proof. Let $S=a_{1}, \ldots, a_{D(G)+1}$ be a sequence of $D(G)+1$ elements in $G$. Let $T$ be an arbitrary subsequence of $S$ with $|T|=D(G)$, then $T$ contains a nonempty zero-sum subsequence of length less than $D(G)$ and we are done, or $T$ is a zero-sum sequence. Therefore $S$ contains a nonempty zero-sum subsequence of length less than $D(G)$ and we are done, or every subsequence $T$ of $S$ with $|T|=D(G)$ is a zero-sum sequence and hence $a_{1}=\cdots=a_{D(G)+1}$, thus every subsequence of length $\exp (G)(=D(G))$ is zero-sum. This proves the upper bound.

To prove the lower bound, let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{D(G)-1}$ be a sequence of $D(G)-1$ elements in $G$ which contains no nonempty zero-sum subsequence. Set $W=$ $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{D(G)-1},-\left(b_{1}+\cdots+b_{D(G)-1}\right)$. Clearly $|W|=D(G)$ and $W$ contains no nonempty zero-sum subsequence of length less than $D(G)$. This proves that $D^{D(G)-1}=D(G)+1$.

Lemma 4. Let $K$ be an abelian group. Then we have

$$
D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus K\right) \leq 2 D(K)+3
$$

Proof. Set $L=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. It may be seen easily that $D_{2}(L)=7$. Let $\mu$ be a sequence of elements of $L$ with length 7 . Clearly $\mu$ has two disjoint subsequences, with a zero-sum each, if it is assumed the value 0 or if there is one repeated value $x$, since $2 x=0$. Moreover, among the 5 remaining elements there is a subsequence with a zero-sum. It only remains to consider the case where $\mu$ assumes the values $L \backslash 0$. It may be checked easily that $\mu$ has two disjoint subsequences, each one with a zero-sum. On the otherside clearly $D_{2}(L)=8$. By Lemma $2, D(L \oplus K) \leq 2(D(K)-2)+D_{2}(L) \leq$ $2 D(K)-4+7=2 D(K)+3$.

We need the following lemma:
Lemma 5. Let $K$ be an abelian group. The following relation holds: $D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{n}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus K\right) \leq 2^{n} D(K)+2$ for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Set $L=\mathbb{Z}_{2^{n}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Set $t=2^{n}$. Let us prove that $D^{t}(L) \leq 2 t+2$. Let $\mu=\left\{x_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2 t+2\right\}$ be a sequence of elements of $L$. Consider the sequence of elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{t} \oplus L, \mu^{\prime}=\left\{\left(1, x_{i}\right) ; 1 \leq i \leq 2 t+2\right\}$. By Theorem 1, there exists $T \subset[1,2 t+2]$ with $\sum_{i \in T}\left(1, x_{i}\right)=0$ and $|T| \geq 1$. It follows that $|T| \in\{t, 2 t\}$, since the first coordinate must vanish. It would be enough to consider the case $|T|=2 t$. Take $T^{\prime} \subset T$ such that $\left|T^{\prime}\right|=2 t-1$. Now by Theorem 1, there exists $S \subset T^{\prime}$, such that $\sum_{i \in S} x_{i}=0$ and $\left|T^{\prime}\right| \geq|S| \geq 1$. It follows that $\sum_{i \in T \backslash S} x_{i}=0$. Now one of the non empty sets $S$ and $S \backslash T$ has cardinality less or equal to $t$.

By Lemma 2, $D(L \oplus K) \leq t(D(K)-1)+D(L) \leq t D(K)-t+t+2=$ $t D(K)+2$.

We prove the next lemmas:
Lemma 6. Let $K$ be an abelian group. We have the following relation:

$$
D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus K\right) \leq 6 D(K)+1
$$

Proof. Set $L=\mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}$. Since $D(L)=7$ ( by Theorem 1 ), then by Lemma 3 we have $D^{6}(L)=8$.

By Lemma 2, $D(L \oplus K) \leq 6(D(K)-1)+D(L) \leq 6 D(K)-6+7=$ $6 D(K)+1$.

Lemma 7. Let $P$ be a p-group with rank 3 such that $D(P)>\frac{|P|}{4}$. Then $P \in\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{2^{n}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right\}$.

Proof. Set $P=S \oplus T \oplus R$. Put $s=|S|,|T|=t$ and $|R|=r$. Asume $s \geq t \geq r$. By Theorem 1 we have

$$
\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{1}{s r}+\frac{1}{t r}+\frac{1}{s t}-\frac{2}{|P|} \leq \frac{3 p-2}{p^{3}}
$$

It follows that $p \leq 3$. Let us now show that $t=p$. Suppose the contrary. We have

$$
\frac{1}{4}<\frac{1}{s r}+\frac{1}{t r}+\frac{1}{s t}-\frac{2}{|P|} \leq \frac{2 p^{2}+p-2}{p^{5}} \leq \frac{1}{4}
$$

a contradiction. The result follows now for $p=2$. Suppose $p=3$. Let us also show that $s \leq p^{2}=9$. Otherwise we have:

$$
\frac{1}{4}<\frac{1}{s r}+\frac{1}{t r}+\frac{1}{s t}-\frac{2}{|P|} \leq \frac{p^{2}+2 p-2}{p^{4}} \leq \frac{13}{81}
$$

a contradiction.

## 3 The main result

Proposition 1. Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n$, not in $\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{n}, \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}\right.$, $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3 m}\right\}$. Then $D(G) \leq \frac{n}{4}+3$. Moreover equality holds only for the groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4 m}$.

Proof. We shall prove only the first part; the second one follows using exactly the same arguments.

Set $G=G_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{s}$ where each $G_{i}$ is a $p_{i}$-group. We consider two cases:

Case 1: $r\left(G_{i}\right) \leq 2$ for all $i$.
It is well known that we can write $G=\mathbb{Z}_{v} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m v}$. Then by Theorem 2 $D(G)=v+m v-1$. The expression

$$
\frac{4(D(G)-3)}{|G|}=\frac{4[v(1+m)-1-3]}{v^{2} m}
$$

is a decreasing function with respect to $m \geq 1$ and $v \geq 2$. Therefore

$$
\frac{4(D(G)-3)}{|G|} \leq 1, \text { for } v \geq 4
$$

For $v=2, G=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}$. In the case $v=3, G=\mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3 m}$.
Case 2: $r\left(G_{i}\right) \geq 3$ for some $(1 \leq i \leq s)$.
In this case we can write $G=P \oplus H$, where $P$ is a $p$-group with rank 3 . When $P \notin\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{2^{n}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right\}$ we have

$$
\frac{D(G)}{|G|} \leq \frac{D(P)|H|}{|P||H|}=\frac{D(P)}{|P|} \leq \frac{1}{4} .
$$

Otherwise the result holds using Lemma 5, Lemma 6 and Lemma 7.

Corollary 1. Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n$ not in $\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{n}, \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2 m}\right.$, $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3 m}\right\}$. Then $Z S(G) \leq \frac{5 n}{4}+2$. Moreover equality holds only for the groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4 m}$.

Proof. Directly apply Proposition 1 and the Gao Theorem.
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