

WEAK SOLUTIONS TO DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH LEFT AND  
RIGHT FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVES DEFINED ON  $\mathbb{R}$

T. M. ATANACKOVIĆ, S. PILIPOVIĆ, B. STANKOVIĆ

(Presented at the 3rd Meeting, held on April 24, 2009)

*A b s t r a c t.* We treat linear differential equations containing both left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives arising from fractional variational problems. We use the Fourier transform method to obtain weak solution to the problem. Regularity of such solution is examined and the conditions for the existence of classical solution are stated.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 05C50

Key Words: Right and left Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative; Fractional differential equations. Fourier transform

1. *Introduction*

There is a large number of results related to solutions of differential equations with non integer derivatives, see monographs [18], [16], [11], [19], [23] and [12] and the references therein. However the number of papers in which equations with both left and right fractional derivatives are treated is much smaller. We mention papers [9], [10] [4], [5], [7], [26], [27] and [3].

Our intention in this work is to treat two special cases of a fractional differential equations of the form

$$a_0 ({}_t D_b^\alpha {}_a D_t^\alpha y) + a_1 ({}_t D_b^\alpha y) + a_2 ({}_a D_t^\alpha y) + a_3 y(t) + f(t) = 0, \quad t \in (a, b), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $a_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  are given constants and  $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ . We assume that solution  $y$  and prescribed function  $f$  belong to  $L^p(a, b)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ ;  ${}_a D_t^\alpha y$  and  ${}_t D_b^\alpha y$  denote the left and right Riemann-Liouville derivative defined as

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a D_t^\alpha y(t) &\equiv \frac{d^m}{dt^m} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{y(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha+1-m}} d\tau \right], \quad t \in (a, b) \\ {}_t D_b^\alpha y(t) &\equiv \left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)^m \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_t^b \frac{y(\tau)}{(\tau-t)^{\alpha+1-m}} d\tau \right], \\ &\quad t \in (a, b), \quad m-1 < \alpha < m, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $y$  satisfies necessary assumptions,  $\Gamma$  is Euler's gamma function and  $m$  is a non-negative integer.

## 2. Modeling leading to (1.1)

The minimization of the action integral in physics often leads to the differential equation of the form (1.1). In the simplest setting (without of Hamilton's principle is used) one is faced with the problem of finding a minima of the following functional ([1], [2])

$$\begin{aligned} I[y] &= \int_a^b \Phi(t, y(t), {}_a D_t^\alpha y) dt, \\ y(a) &= y_0, \quad y(b) = y_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

The function  $y$  in (2.1) has properties which imply that when  ${}_a D_t^\alpha y$ , is inserted in  $\Phi(t, y(t), {}_a D_t^\alpha y)$  the integral in (2.1) is well defined and that the function  $\Phi(t, y(t), {}_a D_t^\alpha y)$  is a function with continuous first and second partial derivatives with respect to all its arguments. It is shown in [1] that a necessary condition that  $y(t)$  is an extremum to (2.1) is that it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$${}_t D_b^\alpha \left[ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial {}_a D_t^\alpha y} \right] + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

In the special case (motion of a particle in a fractal medium) function  $\Phi$  has the form

$$\Phi = \frac{m}{2} [{}_a D_t^\alpha y]^2 - U(y, t), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $m$  is the mass (usually assumed that it is a constant) and  $U$  is a potential energy of the particle. With (2.3) equation (2.2) becomes

$$m ({}_t D_b^\alpha ({}_a D_t^\alpha y)) - \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

If we take  $m = 1, U = \lambda \frac{y^2}{2}$  then (2.4) becomes differential equation of a fractional oscillator, recently treated in [7].

Another special case is obtained if we assume that the Lagrangean has the form

$$\Phi = \frac{a_0}{2} [{}_a D_t^\alpha y]^2 + a_1 y(t) ({}_a D_t^\alpha y) + a_2 y(t) ({}_t D_b^\alpha y) + \frac{\lambda}{2} y^2(t) + y(t) f(t),$$

where  $a_0, a_1, a_2$  and  $\lambda$  are constants and  $f$  is a function with appropriate properties. Then, (2.2) reads

$$a_0 ({}_t D_b^\alpha {}_a D_t^\alpha y)(t) + a_1 ({}_t D_b^\alpha y)(t) + a_2 ({}_a D_t^\alpha y)(t) + \lambda y(t) + f(t) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Equation (2.5) is of the form (1.1). Two cases of (2.5) will be treated.

Case I:  $a_0 = 0, a = -\infty, b = \infty$ .

Then (2.5) becomes

$$({}_a D_t^\alpha y)(t) + a_1 ({}_t D_b^\alpha y)(t) + a_2 y(t) + f(t) = 0, \quad -\infty < t < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Case II:  $a_0 = 1, a_1 = a_2 = 0, a = -\infty, b = \infty$ .

Then we have

$$({}_t D_b^\alpha {}_a D_t^\alpha y)(t) + \lambda y(t) + f(t) = 0, \quad -\infty < t < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (2.7)$$

This case is treated in [7]. It may be interpreted as a model of fractional forced oscillator.

In this paper we will treat Case I. Two special cases of Case I are important:

$$(-\infty D_t^\alpha y)(t) + ({}_t D_\infty^\alpha y)(t) = f(t), \quad -\infty < t < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad (2.8)$$

$$(-\infty D_t^\alpha y)(t) - ({}_t D_\infty^\alpha y)(t) = g(t), \quad -\infty < t < \infty. \quad (2.9)$$

Equation (2.9) has an important mechanical interpretation in the case  $g(t) = 0, t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Namely, in non-local elasticity (see [14], [6]) one introduces a strain measure of the type  $\mathcal{E}^\alpha y = \frac{1}{2} [-_\infty D_x^\alpha y -_x D_\infty^\alpha y], 0 < \alpha < 1$ . With such a strain measure it is important to characterize deformations  $y$  for which  $\mathcal{E}^\alpha y = 0$ . This leads to (2.9) with  $g(t) = 0$ . The "symmetrized" fractional derivative  $\mathcal{E}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} [{}_a D_t^\alpha y -_t D_b^\alpha y]$  is also called Riesz fractional derivative (see [3] and [8]). Equation of the Class 2, i.e., (2.7) has been recently treated in [7]. Equation (2.7) may be viewed as a model of fractional forced oscillator.

Equation (2.6) with  $a_2 = 0$  may be connected with the generalized Abel integral equation treated and solved in different way in [23], p. 625-626 (cf. [20], [21] and [22]). The explicit solution is obtained under assumption

$$f \in I^\alpha(L^p), \quad 1 < p < \frac{1}{\alpha}. \quad (I^\alpha(L^p) = \{f, \quad f = -_\infty I_t^\alpha \varphi; \quad \varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R})\}).$$

### 3. Preliminaries

Since in this paper we consider equation (2.6) on  $\mathbb{R}$  and its weak solution we recall some notions and definitions. We shall first restrict our analysis to the case  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} -_\infty D_t^\alpha y &= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{y(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\alpha} d\tau = \frac{d}{dt} (-_\infty I_t^{1-\alpha} y)(t); \\ {}_t D_\infty^\alpha y &= -\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_t^\infty \frac{y(\tau)}{(\tau-t)^\alpha} d\tau = -\frac{d}{dt} ({}_t I_\infty^{1-\alpha} y)(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where  $(-_\infty I_t^{1-\alpha} y)$  and  $({}_t I_\infty^{1-\alpha} y)$  denote the left and right fractional integral of order  $1-\alpha$ , respectively. By [23], p.93-102,  $-_\infty I_t^\alpha$  and  ${}_t I_\infty^\alpha$  are well defined on the space  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \frac{1}{\alpha}$  and these operators are bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $1 < p < \frac{1}{\alpha}$  and  $q = \frac{p}{(1-\alpha p)}$ . The existence of  $-_\infty D_t^\alpha f$  and  ${}_t D_\infty^\alpha f$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  depends on the existence of the derivative of fractional integrals  $-_\infty I_t^\alpha f$  and  ${}_t I_\infty^\alpha f$ . In this paper we use notation  $\frac{d}{dt}$  for the classical derivative and  $D$  for the distributional derivative. If for  $f \in L_{loc}^1(a, b)$ ,  $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$  its derivative  $\frac{d}{dt} f$  is again a locally integrable function on  $(a, b)$ , then  $f$  defines a regular distribution  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(a, b)$  and  $\frac{d}{dt} f(t) = Df$  on  $(a, b)$ . If  $f$  is piecewise continuously differentiable on

$(a, b)$  and  $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  are points in  $(a, b)$  at which  $f$  has discontinuities of the first kind, then

$$Df = \frac{d}{dt}f_1(t) + \sum_{k=1}^m f_{t_k} \delta(t - t_k),$$

where  $\frac{d}{dt}f_1(t)$  is the classical derivative of the function  $f_1(t) = f(t)$ ,  $t \in (a, b)$ ,  $t \neq t_k$  and in  $t = t_k$   $f_1(t)$  is not defined;  $f_{t_k}$  is the jump of the function  $f(t)$  at  $t_k$ ,  $f_{t_k} = f(t_k + 0) - f(t_k - 0)$ ,  $k=1, \dots, m$ . (cf. [28], p. 36). Thus in this case  $f$  has distributional derivative often called weak derivative. We emphasize that mathematical models in mechanics need to have solutions which are continuously or piecewise continuously differentiable solutions on  $(a, b)$ .

In order to find weak solutions to (2.6) we consider equation (2.6) in the space of tempered distributions denoted by  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ . (cf. for example [28] and [24]). It is the dual space for the space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  which elements are functions  $\phi$  with the property  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x^k \phi^{(l)}| < \infty$  for every non-negative integers  $k$  and  $l$ . Every function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $p \geq 1$  defines a regular tempered distribution, denoted by  $\tilde{f}$ .

Fourier transformation

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi)(\xi) = \hat{\phi}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} \phi(x) dx, \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$$

is an isomorphism on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  so that the Fourier transform of  $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  is defined as an adjoint operation

$$\mathcal{F}(T)(\phi) = T(\hat{\phi}).$$

In short, we put  $\hat{T}$  for  $\mathcal{F}T$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ . The Fourier transform is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  onto  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ . Recall, for  $T \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}T)(\omega)$  is a uniformly continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $(\mathcal{F}T)(\omega) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$  (cf. [25], p.194) and the Fourier transform is an isometry of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  onto  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . (cf. [24], p.216).

**Definition 1.** Let  $Y \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then for the regular tempered distribution  $\tilde{Y}$ ,

$${}_{-\infty}D_t^\alpha \tilde{Y} = D({}_{-\infty}I_t^{1-\alpha} Y), \quad {}_tD_\infty^\alpha \tilde{Y} = -D({}_tI_\infty^{1-\alpha} Y),$$

where  $D$  is the derivative in the sense of distributions.

If  $Y \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , then  ${}_{-\infty}D_t^\alpha Y$  is equal to  ${}_{-\infty}D_t^\alpha \tilde{Y}$ .

It is easy to extend Definition 1 to all  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\alpha = k + \gamma$ ;  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  :

$$({}_{-\infty}D_t^\alpha \tilde{\varphi})(t) = D^{k+1}({}_{-\infty}I_t^\gamma \varphi)(t), \quad ({}_tD_\infty^\alpha \tilde{\varphi})(t) = (-1)^{k+1} D^{k+1}({}_tI_\infty^\gamma \varphi)(t).$$

#### 4. Weak solutions of equations in Case I

Suppose that  $Y, f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ . We associate to equation (2.6) the following one:

$${}_{-\infty}D_t^\alpha \tilde{Y} + a_{1t} D_\infty^\alpha \tilde{Y} + a_2 \tilde{Y} + \tilde{f} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}) \quad (4.1)$$

Every function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $p \geq 1$  defines a regular tempered distribution, denoted by  $\tilde{f}$ .

We shall use the Fourier transform to find solutions to (4.1).

Let  $X \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_{-\infty}I_t^{1-\alpha} X)(t) - ({}_tI_\infty^{1-\alpha} X)(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{X(\tau) d\tau}{|t-\tau|^\alpha \text{sgn}(t-\tau)} \\ &= (X * u)(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where  $u(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} |\tau|^{-\alpha} \text{sgn} \tau$  and  $*$  is the sign of convolution.

Put  $X * u \equiv F(t)$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F} {}_{-\infty}I_t^{1-\alpha} X)(\omega) - (\mathcal{F} {}_tI_\infty^{1-\alpha} X)(\omega) &= (\mathcal{F} F)(\omega) \\ &= 2\hat{X}(\omega) |\omega|^{\alpha-1} i \text{sgn} \omega \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

(cf. [15], p.163).

Next,

$$({}_{-\infty}I_t^{1-\alpha} X)(t) + ({}_tI_\infty^{1-\alpha} X)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{X(\tau) d\tau}{|t-\tau|^\alpha}. \quad (4.4)$$

This implies

$$(\mathcal{F} {}_{-\infty}I_t^{1-\alpha} X)(\omega) + (\mathcal{F} {}_tI_\infty^{1-\alpha} X)(\omega) = 2\hat{X}(\omega) |\omega|^{\alpha-1} \sin \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}. \quad (4.5)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{F}_{-\infty} I_t^{1-\alpha} X)(\omega) &= \hat{X}(\omega) |\omega|^{\alpha-1} \left( \sin \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} + i \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \omega \right), \\(\mathcal{F}_t I_{\infty}^{1-\alpha} X)(\omega) &= \hat{X}(\omega) |\omega|^{\alpha-1} \left( \sin \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} - i \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \omega \right).\end{aligned}\quad (4.6)$$

Further on,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(D_{-\infty} I_t^{1-\alpha} X)(\omega) &= (-i\omega) \mathcal{F}_{-\infty} I_t^{1-\alpha} X(\omega) \\ &= |\omega| (-i \operatorname{sgn} \omega) (\mathcal{F}_{-\infty} I_t^{1-\alpha} X)(\omega),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(-D_t I_{\infty}^{1-\alpha} X)(\omega) &= (i\omega) \mathcal{F}_t I_{\infty}^{1-\alpha} X(\omega) \\ &= |\omega| (i \operatorname{sgn} \omega) (\mathcal{F}_t I_{\infty}^{1-\alpha} X)(\omega),\end{aligned}$$

and this implies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{-\infty} D_t^{\alpha} X(\omega) &= \hat{X}(\omega) |\omega|^{\alpha} \left( \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} - i \operatorname{sgn} \omega \sin \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right), \\ \mathcal{F}_t D_{\infty}^{\alpha} X(\omega) &= \hat{X}(\omega) |\omega|^{\alpha} \left( \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} + i \operatorname{sgn} \omega \sin \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (4.7)$$

Applying the Fourier transform to (4.1) and using (4.7) we have

$$\hat{Y}(\omega) \left( |\omega|^{\alpha} \left( (1+a_1) \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} - i \operatorname{sgn} \omega \sin \left( \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) (a_1-1) \right) + a_2 \right) = -\mathcal{F}[\tilde{f}]. \quad (4.8)$$

We have two characteristic cases  $a_2 \neq 0$  and  $a_2 = 0$  that we consider next:

1) the case  $a_2 \neq 0$

By (4.8) it follows

$$\hat{Y}(\omega) = \frac{-(\mathcal{F}\tilde{f})(\omega)}{|\omega|^{\alpha} \left( (a_1+1) \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} + i \operatorname{sgn} \omega \sin \left( \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) (a_1-1) \right) + a_2}. \quad (4.9)$$

Let us consider the denominator in (4.9). It is zero if

$$\begin{aligned}|\omega|^{\alpha} &= \frac{-a_2}{(a_1+1) \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} + i \operatorname{sgn} \omega \sin \left( \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) (a_1-1)} \\ &= \frac{-a_2 \left( (a_1+1) \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} - i \operatorname{sgn} \omega \sin \left( \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) (a_1-1) \right)}{(a_1+1)^2 \cos^2 \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} + \sin^2 \left( \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) (a_1-1)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the denominator in (4.9) can be equal zero if and only if  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 < 0$ . Consequently if  $a_1 \neq 1$  or  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 > 0$  the denominator in (4.9) is different from zero for any  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ .

2) the case  $a_2 = 0$

In this case  $\hat{Y}(\omega)$  is determined from (4.9) and reads

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Y}(\omega) &= \frac{-(\mathcal{F}\tilde{f})(\omega)((a_1 + 1) \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} - i \operatorname{sgn}\omega \sin(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2})(a_1 - 1))}{|\omega|^\alpha \left( (a_1 + 1)^2 \cos^2 \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} + \sin^2(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2})(a_1 - 1)^2 \right)} \\ &= -(\mathcal{F}\tilde{f})(\omega) \frac{1}{A|\omega|^\alpha} \left( (a_1 + 1) \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} - i \operatorname{sgn}\omega \sin(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2})(a_1 - 1) \right),\end{aligned}\quad (4.10)$$

where

$$A = (a_1 + 1)^2 \cos^2 \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} + (a_1 - 1)^2 \sin^2 \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}.$$

Our aim is to find weak solutions to (4.1) which have a meaning in mechanics and facilitates the construction of classical solution to (4.1).

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ ,  $a_2 \neq 0$  and let  $a_1 \neq 1$  or  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 > 0$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then equation (4.1) has a weak solution  $y \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . This solution can be computed from (4.9).*

*P r o o f.*  $(\mathcal{F}\tilde{f})(\omega)$  is a bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , because  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . By Theorem 2 in [25], p. 194,  $(\mathcal{F}\tilde{f})(\omega)$  is a uniformly continuous function and  $(\mathcal{F}\tilde{f})(\omega)$  tend to zero as  $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$ . In addition,

$$\psi(\omega) = \frac{1}{|\omega|^\alpha \left( (a_1 + 1) \cos \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} + i \operatorname{sgn}\omega \sin(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2})(a_1 - 1) \right) + a_2} \quad (4.11)$$

belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Consequently by (4.9) we have

$$\hat{Y}(\omega) = -\psi(\omega)(\mathcal{F}f_1)(\omega) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad (4.12)$$

Let  $\varphi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\omega) = -\psi(\omega)(\mathcal{F}\tilde{f})(\omega)$ . Then

$$Y(t) = \varphi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4.13)$$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $a_2 \neq 0$  and let  $a_1 \neq 0$  or  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 > 0$ . If  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then equation (4.1) has a weak solution  $Y \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  of the form (4.9).*

*P r o o f.* The function  $\psi(\omega)$ , given by (4.11) is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then by (4.9)  $Y(\omega) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .



**Remark**

- a) if  $f = 0$ , then (4.8) implies  $\hat{Y}(\omega)\psi^{-1}(\omega) = 0$ . Since  $\psi^{-1}(\omega) \neq 0$ ,  $\omega \neq 0$ , it follows that  $Y(\omega) = 0$ ,  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consequently  $Y(t) = 0$  a.e.
- b) To compute  $Y(t)$ , we can use  $Y(t) = \left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}((\mathcal{F}\tilde{f})(\omega)\psi^{-1}(\omega))\right)(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- c) We supposed in Proposition 1 that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and proved the existence of solution to (4.1) only if  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ . In Proposition 2 we could extended the supposition on  $\alpha$  because  $f$  belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $a_2 = 0$  and let  $a_1 \neq 1$  or  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 > 0$ . 1) If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $p_\alpha = \frac{2}{1+2\alpha}$ , then equation (4.1) has a solution  $Y \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . 2) If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $1 < p_\alpha < \frac{1}{\alpha}$ , then equation (4.1) has a solution  $Y \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $q = \frac{p_\alpha}{1-\alpha p_\alpha} > 1$ .*

*P r o o f.* By Theorem 5.3 in [23], p. 103  ${}_{-\infty}I_t^\alpha$  is bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $q = \frac{p_\alpha}{1-\alpha p_\alpha}$ ,  $1 < p < \frac{1}{\alpha}$ . We supposed that  $p_\alpha = \frac{2}{1+2\alpha}$  and  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $q = 2$  and  $1 < p_\alpha < \frac{1}{\alpha}$ . Consequently, from (4.10) it follows that

$$\hat{Y}(\omega) = G(\omega)(\mathcal{F}{}_{-\infty}I_t^\alpha f)(\omega) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

where  $G(\omega)$  is a bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and

$$G(\omega) = \frac{-1}{A}[(a_1 + 1) \cos\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) - i \operatorname{sgn}\omega \sin\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right)(a_1 - 1)]e^{-i\frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \operatorname{sgn}\omega}, \omega \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Next we consider the case  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . In order to find solution  $Y$  we use the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{1}{|t|^{1-\alpha}} \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\alpha) \cos\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}\right))(\omega) &= \frac{1}{|\omega|^\alpha} \\ (\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\operatorname{sgn}t}{|t|^{1-\alpha}} \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\alpha) \sin\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}\right))(\omega) &= i \operatorname{sgn}\omega \frac{1}{|\omega|^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Now (4.10) gives

$$\hat{Y}(\omega) = -(\mathcal{F}f)(\omega) \frac{1}{A} \left( \frac{a_1 - 1}{2\Gamma(\alpha)} (\mathcal{F}\frac{1}{|t|^{1-\alpha}})(\omega) - \frac{(a_1 - 1)}{2\Gamma(\alpha)} (\mathcal{F}\frac{\operatorname{sgn}t}{|t|^{1-\alpha}})(\omega) \right)$$

and for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$Y(t) = \frac{(-1)}{2A\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( (a_1 + 1)(f * \frac{1}{|\tau|^{1-\alpha}})(t) - (a_1 - 1)(f * \frac{\operatorname{sgn}\tau}{|\tau|^{1-\alpha}})(t) \right).$$

By the result cited in [23] the solution to (4.1), given by (4.13) belongs to  $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $q = \frac{p_\alpha}{1-\alpha p_\alpha}$ .

### 5. Weak solutions in Case II

In this Section we consider equations of the type (2.7). First we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.** 1) Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . If  ${}_t D_\infty^\alpha y = 0$ , then  $y(t) = 0$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also, if  ${}_t D_\infty^\alpha \tilde{y} = \tilde{F}$ , where  $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $p \geq 1$  then

$$y(t) = ({}_t I_\infty^\alpha F)(t), \text{ a.e.}$$

**P r o o f.** 1) Let

$$({}_t I_\infty^\alpha y)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_t^\infty \frac{y(\tau)}{(\tau-t)^\alpha} = C, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $C$  is a constant. Since  $({}_t I_\infty^\alpha y)(t)$  satisfies  ${}_t D_\infty^\alpha y = 0$ , it defines a regular tempered distribution (cf. [25], p. 158). We apply the Fourier transform (cf. [23], p.137 and [28], p.110) and obtain

$$(i\omega)^{\alpha-1} \widehat{y}(\omega) = 2\pi C\delta$$

or

$$\widehat{y}(\omega) = (i\omega)^{1-\alpha} 2\pi C\delta. \quad (5.2)$$

The main branch of  $z^\alpha = (i\omega)^{1-\alpha}$  is a continuous function. Therefore  $(i\omega)^{1-\alpha} 2\pi C\delta = 0$  (cf. [25], p. 68). Consequently, it follows from (5.2) that  $y(t) = 0$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

2) We apply the Fourier transform and obtain

$$(i\omega)^\alpha \widehat{y}(\omega) = \widehat{F}(\omega),$$

which gives

$$y(t) = ({}_t I_\infty^\alpha F)(t), \text{ a.e.}$$

**Proposition 5.** 1) Let  $\lambda < 0$ . If  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then equation (2.7) has a solution which belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $1/4 < \alpha < 1$ , then equation (2.7) has also a solution which belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

2) Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $1/4 < \alpha < 1$  and  $f(t) = h(t) - \sqrt{\lambda}(u_\alpha \tau^{\alpha-1} * h(\tau))(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$u_\alpha = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(1-\alpha)\sin\alpha\pi/2}, \quad h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then

$$y(t) = \phi * h(t), \quad \text{where } \phi(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|\omega|^\alpha + \sqrt{\lambda}}\right)(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**P r o o f.** 1) First we transform equation (2.7) by using Proposition 4 in the following form:

$${}_t D_\infty^\alpha (-_\infty D_t^\alpha \tilde{y} - \lambda ({}_t I_\infty^\alpha \tilde{y})(t) - ({}_t I_\infty^\alpha f)(t)) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$-_\infty D_t^\alpha \tilde{y} - \lambda ({}_t I_\infty^\alpha \tilde{y}) = ({}_t I_\infty^\alpha f). \quad (5.3)$$

Applying the Fourier transform to (5.3) we obtain

$$(i\omega)^\alpha \widehat{\tilde{y}}(\omega) - \lambda (i\omega)^{-\alpha} \widehat{\tilde{y}}(\omega) = (i\omega)^{-\alpha} \widehat{f}(\omega),$$

or

$$\widehat{\tilde{y}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(-i\omega)^\alpha (i\omega)^\alpha - \lambda} \widehat{f}(\omega). \quad (5.4)$$

The main branches of  $(-i\omega)^\alpha$  and  $(i\omega)^\alpha$  are  $(-i\omega)^\alpha = |\omega|^\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\pi i}{2} \operatorname{sgn}\omega\right)$  and  $(i\omega)^\alpha = |\omega|^\alpha \exp\left(\frac{\alpha\pi i}{2} \operatorname{sgn}\omega\right)$ , respectively. Thus the function  $\psi$  is

$$\psi(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{(-i\omega)^\alpha (i\omega)^\alpha - \lambda} = \frac{1}{|\omega|^{2\alpha} - \lambda}. \quad (5.5)$$

If  $\lambda < 0$ , then  $\psi(\omega)$  is a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\mathcal{F}f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and also  $\psi(\omega)(\mathcal{F}f) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Consequently by (5.4)  $y \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Suppose that  $1/4 < \alpha < 1$ , then  $\psi(\omega) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  then again  $\psi(\omega)(\mathcal{F}f) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore  $y \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

2) If  $\lambda > 0$ , then the function  $\psi(\omega)$ , given by (5.5) can be written as

$$\psi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{1}{|\omega|^\alpha - \sqrt{\lambda}} - \frac{1}{|\omega|^\alpha + \sqrt{\lambda}} \right).$$

Consider first the function  $\widehat{f}(\omega) / (|\omega|^\alpha - \sqrt{\lambda})$ . By our assumptions the convolution  $(\tau^{\alpha-1} * h(\tau))(t)$  exists and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}f)(\omega) &= \mathcal{F}\left(h(t) - \left(\sqrt{\lambda}u_\alpha\tau^{\alpha-1} * h(\tau)\right)(t)\right)(\omega) \\ &= \widehat{h}(\omega) - \sqrt{\lambda}\frac{1}{|\omega|^\alpha}\widehat{h}(\omega) = \frac{|\omega|^\alpha - \sqrt{\lambda}}{|\omega|^\alpha}\widehat{h}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\widehat{f}(\omega) / (|\omega|^\alpha - \sqrt{\lambda}) = \widehat{h}(\omega) / |\omega|^\alpha.$$

Also,

$$\widehat{f}(\omega) / (|\omega|^\alpha + \sqrt{\lambda}) = \frac{|\omega|^\alpha - \sqrt{\lambda}}{|\omega|^\alpha (|\omega|^\alpha + \sqrt{\lambda})}\widehat{h}(\omega) = \frac{\widehat{h}(\omega)}{|\omega|^\alpha} - \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}\widehat{h}(\omega)}{|\omega|^\alpha (|\omega|^\alpha + \sqrt{\lambda})}.$$

By (5.4) and (5.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{y}(\omega) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \left( \frac{\widehat{f}(\omega)}{|\omega|^\alpha - \sqrt{\lambda}} - \frac{\widehat{f}(\omega)}{|\omega|^\alpha + \sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \left( \frac{\widehat{h}(\omega)}{|\omega|^\alpha} - \frac{\widehat{h}(\omega)}{|\omega|^\alpha} + \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}\widehat{h}(\omega)}{|\omega|^\alpha (|\omega|^\alpha + \sqrt{\lambda})} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\omega|^\alpha (|\omega|^\alpha + \sqrt{\lambda})} \widehat{h}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Since the function  $\frac{1}{|\omega|^\alpha (|\omega|^\alpha + \sqrt{\lambda})} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \frac{1}{|\omega|^\alpha (|\omega|^\alpha + \sqrt{\lambda})}\right)(t) = \varphi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , as well. Finally

$$y(t) = \varphi(t) * h(t),$$

where  $y(t)$  is bounded on  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , (cf. [29], p.108-111).

## 6. Example

Consider the equation (2.9) with  $a = -\infty, b = \infty, f(t) = 0$ , that is

$$-\infty D_t^\alpha y - t D_\infty^\alpha y = 0, \quad -\infty < t < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (6.1)$$

This is an equation of the type (4.1) with  $a_1 = -1, a_2 = 0, \tilde{f} = 0$ . Therefore from (4.9) we conclude that  $y(t) = 0$ , is the only solution to (6.1). Thus, if  $u(x, t), x \in (-\infty, \infty), t > 0$  is a displacement vector at a point  $x$  and time  $y$  of an infinite rod, then

$$\mathcal{E}^\alpha u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [-_\infty D_x^\alpha u(x, t) -_x D_\infty^\alpha u(x, t)], \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$

may be used as a measure of deformation since

$$\mathcal{E}^\alpha u(x, t) = 0,$$

implies that  $u(x, t) = g(t)$  with  $g(t)$  an arbitrary function of time  $t$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. P. Agrawal, *Formulation of Euler-Lagrange equations for fractional variational problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **272** (2002) 368-379.
- [2] O. P. Agrawal, *Fractional variational calculus and the transversality conditions*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39**, (2006) 10375-10384.
- [3] O. P. Agrawal, *Analytical schemes for a new class of fractional differential equations*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **40**, (2007) 5469-5477.
- [4] T. M. Atanackovic, B. Stankovic, *On a class of differential equations with left and right fractional derivatives*, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. (ZAMM) **87**, (2007) 537-546.
- [5] T. M. Atanackovic, B. Stankovic, *On a Differential Equation with left and right fractional derivatives*, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis **10** (2007) 138-150.
- [6] T. M. Atanackovic, B. Stankovic, *Generalized wave equation in nonlocal elasticity*, Acta Mechanica (2009) DOI 10.1007/s00707-008-0120-9.
- [7] D. Baleanu, J. J. Trujillo, *On exact solutions of a class of fractional Euler-Lagrange equations*, Nonlinear Dyn, **52**, (2008) 331-335.
- [8] D. Baleanu, *New Applications of Fractional variational Principles*, Reports on Mathematical Physics, **61** (2008) 199-206.
- [9] D. W. Dreisigmeyer, P. M. Young, *Nonconservative Lagrangian mechanics: a generalized function approach*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2003) 8297-82310.
- [10] D. W. Dreisigmeyer, P. M. Young, *Extending Bauer's corollary to fractional derivatives*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **37**, (2004) 117-121.
- [11] R. Gorenflo, S. Vessella, *Abel Integral Equations* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1461 Springer, Berlin 1991.
- [12] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.

- [13] M. K l i m e k, *Exact Solutions of Simple Variational Fractional Equations on a Finite Time Interval*, Proceedings of the 14th IEEE Mediterranean Electrotechnical Conference Melecon'2008. Eds: G.-A. Capolino, J.-F Santucci 2008. CD-ROM. ISBN: 978-1-4244-1633-2.
- [14] K. A. L a z o p o u l o s, *Non-local continuum mechanics and fractional calculus*, Mechanics research communications **33** (2006) 753-757.
- [15] W. M a g n u s, F. O b e r h e t t i n g e r, *Speziellen Funktionen der Mathematischen Physik*, Springer Verlag Berlin 1948.
- [16] K. S. M i l l e r, B. R o s s, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations* John Wiley & Sons, New York 1993.
- [17] A. M. N a k h u s h e v, *Fractional Calculus and Applications*, Nauka Moscow 2003 (in Russian).
- [18] K. B. O l d h a m, J. S p a n i e r, *The fractional Calculus*, Academic Press, New York 1974.
- [19] I. P o d l u b n y, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press San Diego 1999.
- [20] S. G. S a m k o, *The theory of the generalized Abel integral equation*, 1968 In Mater 7th and 8th nauch conf. aspir Rostov univ. Izdat. Rostov Univ., (1968) 160-165 (in Russian).
- [21] S. G. S a m k o, *Abel's generalized equation, Fourier transform, and convolution type equations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **187** (1969) 743-746 (in Russian).
- [22] S. G. S a m k o, *The generalized Abel integral equation on the axis*, Izv. Vyssh Uchen. Zaved. Mat. **8** (1970) 83-93 (in Russian).
- [23] S. G. S a m k o, A. A. K i l b a s, I. I. M a r i c h e v, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives* Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [24] L. S c h w a r t z, *Méthodes mathématiques pour les sciences physiques*, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- [25] Z. S z m y d t, *Fourier transformation and linear differential equations*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa 1977.
- [26] B. S t a n k o v i ć, *A Method to solve an equation with left and right fractional derivatives*, Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts. Cl. Math. Natur. **32** 59-68, 2007.
- [27] B. S t a n k o v i ć, *An equation in the left and right fractional derivatives of the same order*, Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts. Cl. Math. Natur. **33** (2008) 83-90.
- [28] V. S. V l a d i m i r o v, *Generalized functions in Mathematical Physics*, Mir Publishers, Moscow 1979.
- [29] G. D o e t s c h, *Handbuch der Laplace-Transformation I*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1950.

Faculty of Technical Sciences  
 Department of Mechanics  
 University of Novi Sad  
 21000 Novi Sad  
 Serbia

Faculty of Sciences  
 Department of Mathematics and Informatics  
 University of Novi Sad  
 21000 Novi Sad  
 Serbia