

**A STUDY ON ABSOLUTE FACTORS FOR A TRIANGULAR
MATRIX**

**(DEDICATED IN OCCASION OF THE 65-YEARS OF
PROFESSOR R.K. RAINA)**

W. T. SULAIMAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper a result concerning summability factors theorem for lower triangular matrices is presented. This result generalized and extend the result of Savas [1].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $T = (t_{nv})$ be a lower triangular matrix, (s_n) be the sequence of the n -th partial sums of the series $\sum a_n$, then, we define

$$T_n := \sum_{v=0}^n t_{nv} s_v. \quad (1.1)$$

A series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|T|_k, k \geq 1$, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |\Delta T_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

One of the most interesting cases of $|T|_k$ -summability is the case when T is chosen to be the Cesaro matrix. That is by putting

$$t_{nv} = \frac{A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1}}{A_n^\alpha}, v = 0, 1, \dots, n, A_n^\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(n + 1)}, n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Given any lower triangular matrix T one can associate the matrices \bar{T} and \hat{T} , with entries defined by

$$\bar{t}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^n t_{ni}, n \text{ and } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \hat{t}_{nv} = \bar{t}_{nv} - \bar{t}_{n-1,v},$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40F05, 40D25.

Key words and phrases. Absolute summability; summability factor; weight function.

©2009 Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted Jun, 2009. Published September, 2009.

respectively. With $s_n = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \lambda_i$, we define and derive the following

$$t_n := \sum_{v=0}^n t_{nv} s_v = \sum_{v=0}^n t_{nv} \sum_{i=0}^v a_i \lambda_i = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \lambda_i \sum_{v=i}^n t_{nv} = \sum_{i=0}^n \bar{t}_{ni} a_i \lambda_i, \quad (1.3)$$

$$Y_n := t_n - t_{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^n \bar{t}_{ni} a_i \lambda_i - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{t}_{n-1,i} a_i \lambda_i = \sum_{i=0}^n \hat{t}_{ni} a_i \lambda_i, \text{ as } \bar{t}_{n-1,n} = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

$$X_n := u_n - u_{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^n \hat{u}_{ni} a_i \mu_i. \quad (1.5)$$

We call T a triangle if T is lower triangular and $t_{nn} \neq 0$ for all n . A triangle A is called factorable if its nonzero entries a_{mn} can be written in the form $b_m c_n$ for each m and n . Recall that $\hat{t}_{nn} = t_{nn}$. We also assume that (p_n) is a positive sequence of numbers such that

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

A positive sequence (a_n) is said to be *almost increasing* if $Ab_n \leq a_n \leq Bb_n$, where (b_n) is a positive increasing sequence and A and B are positive constants (see [1]).

A positive sequence (γ_n) is said to be quasi β -power increasing sequence if there is a constant $K = K(\beta, \gamma) \geq 1$ such that $Kn^\beta \gamma_n \geq m^\beta \gamma_m$ holds for all $n \geq m \geq 1$. It should be mentioned that every almost increasing sequence is quasi β -power increasing sequence for any $\beta > 0$, while the converse need not be true as for example $\gamma_n = n^{-\beta}$, $\beta > 0$.

Here we generalize the quasi β -power increasing sequence by giving the following.

Definition.

A sequence (ϕ_n) is said to be quasi $(\beta - \gamma)$ -power increasing sequence if there exist $K = K(\beta, \gamma) \geq 1$ such that $Kn^\beta (\log n)^\gamma \phi_n \geq m^\beta (\log m)^\gamma \phi_m$ holds for all $n \geq m \geq 1$, $\gamma \geq 0$. Clearly quasi $(\beta - 0)$ -power increasing sequence is the quasi β -power increasing sequence.

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|R, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |\sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1}|^k < \infty,$$

where

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v.$$

The $(C, 1)$ -mean of the sequence (f_n) is equal to $\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=0}^n f_v$, and we said that $f(n) = O(g(n))$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = k < \infty$.

Very recently, Savas [1] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be a lower triangular matrix with nonnegative entries such that*

(i) $\bar{a}_{n0} = 1, n = 0, 1, \dots,$

(ii) $a_{n-1,v} \geq a_{nv}$ for $n \geq v + 1,$

(iii) $na_{nn} = O(1), 1 = O(na_{nn}),$

(iv) $\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} a_{vv} | \hat{a}_{n,v+1} | = O(a_{nn}).$

Let t_n^1 denote the n -th $(C, 1)$ mean of (na_n) . If

(v) $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{vv} | \lambda_v |^k | t_v^1 |^k = O(1),$

(vi) $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} | a_{vv} |^{1-k} | \Delta \lambda_v |^k | t_v^1 |^k = O(1),$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is $| A |_k$ summable, $k \in N$.

The aim of this paper is to give the following three improvements to the previous result (see Theorem 2.):

1. The lower triangular matrix we assumed is not restricted to nonnegative entries.
2. The kind of summability we obtained is $| A, \delta |_k, \delta \geq 0$, in which $| A |_k \equiv | A, 0 |_k$, and k is not restricted to integers but $k \in [1, \infty)$.
3. An extension is made by assuming further hypothesis.

2. RESULTS.

The following is our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let T be a lower triangular matrix, t_n denote the n -th $(C, 1)$ mean of the sequence (na_n) , and let (λ_n) be a sequence of numbers such that T, t_n, λ_n are all satisfying*

$$n | t_{nn} | = O(1), 1 = O(n | t_{nn} |), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} | t_{vv} | | \hat{t}_{nv} | = O(| t_{nn} |), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} | t_{vv} | | \hat{t}_{n,v+1} | = O(| t_{nn} |), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k} | \hat{t}_{nv} | = O(v^{\delta k}), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} | \Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv} | = O(| t_{nn} |), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k} | \Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv} | = O(v^{\delta k} | t_{vv} |), \quad (2.6)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\delta k} | t_{vv} | | t_v |^k | \lambda_v |^k = O(1), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\delta k} | t_v |^k | t_{vv} |^{1-k} | \Delta \lambda_v |^k = O(1), \quad (2.8)$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|T, \delta|_k, k \geq 1, \delta \geq 0$.

Furthermore if (X_n) is a quasi $(\beta - \gamma)$ -power increasing sequence, $0 < \beta < 1, \gamma \geq 0$, and if (β_n) is a sequence of numbers satisfying

$$\Delta \lambda_n \leq \beta, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\beta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta \beta_n| X_n < \infty, \quad (2.11)$$

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1), \quad (2.12)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^m \frac{v^{\delta k - 1} |t_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}} = O(X_m), \quad (2.13)$$

then the conditions (2.7) and (2.8) are omitted in order to obtain the $|T, \delta|_k$ -summability of $\sum a_n \lambda_n$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &:= \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{t}_{nv} \lambda_v a_v = \sum_{v=1}^n v a_v \frac{\hat{t}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^v r a_r \right) \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{t}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} \right) + \left(\sum_{v=1}^n v a_v \right) \left(\frac{t_{nn} \lambda_n}{n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (v+1) t_v \left(\frac{1}{v(v+1)} \hat{t}_{nv} \lambda_v + \frac{1}{v+1} \Delta \hat{t}_{nv} \lambda_v + \frac{1}{v+1} \hat{t}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v \right) + \frac{n+1}{n} t_n t_{nn} \lambda_n \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{v} t_v \hat{t}_{nv} \lambda_v + t_v \Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv} \lambda_v + t_v \hat{t}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v \right) + \frac{n+1}{n} t_n t_{nn} \lambda_n \\ &= Y_{n1} + Y_{n2} + Y_{n3} + Y_{n4}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the Theorem, by Minkowski's inequality, we have to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |Y_{nj}|^k < \infty, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

By Holder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} |Y_{n1}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{v} t_v \widehat{t}_{nv} \lambda_v \right|^k \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{-k} |t_{vv}|^{1-k} |t_v|^k |\widehat{t}_{nv}| \|\lambda_v\|^k \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |t_{vv}| |\widehat{t}_{nv}| \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k} (n |t_{nn}|)^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{-k} |t_{vv}|^{1-k} |t_v|^k |\widehat{t}_{nv}| \|\lambda_v\|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{-k} |t_{vv}|^{1-k} |t_v|^k \|\lambda_v\|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k} |\widehat{t}_{nv}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\delta k-k} |t_{vv}|^{1-k} |t_v|^k \|\lambda_v\|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\delta k} |t_{vv}| |t_v|^k \|\lambda_v\|^k = O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

in view of (2.2) and (2.7).

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} |Y_{n2}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} t_v \Delta_v \widehat{t}_{nv} \lambda_v \right|^k \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |t_v|^k |\Delta_v \widehat{t}_{nv}| \|\lambda_v\|^k \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \widehat{t}_{nv}| \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k} (n |t_{nn}|)^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |t_v|^k |\Delta_v \widehat{t}_{nv}| \|\lambda_v\|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |t_v|^k \|\lambda_v\|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k} |\Delta_v \widehat{t}_{nv}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\delta k} |t_{vv}| |t_v|^k \|\lambda_v\|^k = O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

in view of (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7).

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} |Y_{n3}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} t_v \widehat{t}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v \right|^k \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |t_v|^k |t_{vv}|^{1-k} |\widehat{t}_{n,v+1}| \|\Delta \lambda_v\|^k \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |t_{vv}| \|\widehat{t}_{n,v+1}\| \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k} (n |t_{nn}|)^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |t_v|^k |t_{vv}|^{1-k} |\widehat{t}_{n,v+1}| \|\Delta \lambda_v\|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |t_v|^k |t_{vv}|^{1-k} \|\Delta \lambda_v\|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k} |\widehat{t}_{n,v+1}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\delta k} |t_v|^k |t_{vv}|^{1-k} \|\Delta \lambda_v\|^k = O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

in view of (2.3), (2.4) and (2.8).

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} |Y_{n4}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \frac{n+1}{n} t_n t_{nn} \lambda_n \right|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} |t_n|^k |t_{nn}|^k |\lambda_n|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k} |t_{nn}| |t_n|^k |\lambda_n|^k = O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

in view of (2.7).

In order to complete the proof the rest, it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\delta k} |t_{vv}| |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k &= O(1), \\
\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\delta k} |t_v|^k |t_{vv}|^{1-k} \|\Delta \lambda_v\|^k &= O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} |t_{vv}| |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{v^{\delta k-1} |t_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}} X_v^{k-1} |\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\lambda_v| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{v^{\delta k-1} |t_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}} |\lambda_v| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^v \frac{r^{\delta k-1} |t_r|^k}{X_r^{k-1}} \right) |\Delta |\lambda_v|| + O(1) \left(\sum_{v=1}^m \frac{v^{\delta k-1} |t_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}} \right) |\lambda_m| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} X_v |\Delta \lambda_v| + O(1) X_m |\lambda_m| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} X_v \beta_v + O(1) X_m |\lambda_m| = O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} |t_v|^k |t_{vv}|^{1-k} |\Delta \lambda_v|^k &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k+k-1} |t_v|^k |\Delta \lambda_v|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{v^{\delta k} |t_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}} (v X_v \beta_v)^{k-1} \beta_v \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{v^{\delta k-1} |t_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}} v \beta_v \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^v \frac{r^{\delta k-1} |t_r|^k}{X_r^{k-1}} \right) |\Delta(v \beta_v)| + O(1) \left(\sum_{v=1}^m \frac{v^{\delta k-1} |t_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}} \right) m \beta_m \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m X_v \beta_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v X_v |\Delta \beta_v| + O(1) m X_m \beta_m \\
&= O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

Recalling Lemma 2.2 and its proof.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (X_n) be a quasi $(\beta - \gamma)$ -power increasing sequence, $0 < \beta < 1, \gamma \geq 0$, then the conditions (2.10) and (2.11) implies*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty \quad (2.14)$$

$$n \beta_n X_n < \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. Since $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$, then $\Delta \beta_n \rightarrow 0$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} |\Delta \beta_n| = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \beta_v| \sum_{n=1}^v X_n \\
&= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \beta_v| \sum_{n=1}^v n^{\beta} (\log n)^{\gamma} X_n n^{-\beta} (\log n)^{-\gamma} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \beta_v| v^{\beta} (\log v)^{\gamma} X_v \sum_{n=1}^v n^{-\beta} (\log n)^{-\gamma} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \beta_v| v^{\beta} (\log v)^{\gamma} X_v \sum_{n=1}^v n^{\epsilon} (\log n)^{-\gamma} n^{-\beta-\epsilon}, \quad 0 < \epsilon < \beta + \epsilon < 1 \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \beta_v| v^{\beta} (\log v)^{\gamma} X_v v^{\epsilon} (\log v)^{-\gamma} \sum_{n=1}^v n^{-\beta-\epsilon} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \beta_v| v^{\beta+\epsilon} X_v \int_0^v x^{-\beta-\epsilon} dx \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \beta_v| v^{\beta+\epsilon} X_v v^{1-\beta-\epsilon} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v |\Delta \beta_v| X_v = O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

in view of (2.11).

$$\begin{aligned}
n\beta_n X_n &= nX_n \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \Delta\beta_v \leq nX_n \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} |\Delta\beta_v| \\
&= n^{1-\beta} (\log n)^{-\gamma} n^\beta (\log n)^\gamma X_n \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} |\Delta\beta_v| \\
&\leq n^{1-\beta} (\log n)^{-\gamma} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} v^\beta (\log v)^\gamma X_v |\Delta\beta_v| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} v^{1-\beta} (\log v)^{-\gamma} X_v v^\beta (\log v)^\gamma X_v |\Delta\beta_v| \\
&= \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} v X_v |\Delta\beta_v| < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

in view of (2.11). □

Corollary 2.3. *Let (p_n) be a positive sequence such that $P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty$, t_n denote the n -th $(C, 1)$ mean of the sequence (na_n) , and let (λ_n) be a sequence of numbers such that p_n, t_n, λ_n are all satisfying*

$$np_n = O(P_n), \quad P_n = O(np_n), \quad (2.16)$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} = O(v^{\delta k} / P_{v-1}), \quad (2.17)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\delta k} \frac{p_v}{P_v} |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k = O(1), \quad (2.18)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\delta k} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{k-1} |t_v|^k |\Delta\lambda_v|^k = O(1), \quad (2.19)$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|R, p_n|_k, k \geq 1, \delta \geq 0$.

Furthermore if (X_n) is a quasi $(\beta - \gamma)$ -power increasing sequence, $0 < \beta < 1, \gamma \geq 0$, and if (β_n) is a sequence of numbers satisfying (2.9)-(2.13), then the conditions (2.17) are (2.18) omitted in order to obtain the $|R, p_n|_k$ -summability of $\sum a_n \lambda_n$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 by putting $T \equiv (R, p_n)$, that is

$$t_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}, \quad \hat{t}_{nv} = \frac{p_n P_{v-1}}{P_n P_{n-1}}, \quad \Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv} = -\frac{p_n p_v}{P_n P_{n-1}}.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Savas, *A study on absolute summability factors for a triangular matrix*, Math. Ineq. Appl. **12** (2009) 41–46.
- [2] W. T. Sulaiman, *A study relation between two summability methods*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992) 303–312.

WAAD T. SULAIMAN
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING , COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF MOSUL,
IRAQ

E-mail address: waadsulaiman@hotmail.com