

# CR-Warped Product Submanifolds of Nearly Kaehler Manifolds

Bayram Şahin    Rifat Güneş

*Department of Mathematics, Inonu University  
44280, Malatya, Turkey  
e-mail: bsahin@inonu.edu.tr    rgunes@inonu.edu.tr*

**Abstract.** In this paper, we study warped product CR-submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds. We extend some results of B. Y. Chen [7] on warped product CR-submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds to warped product CR-submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds. We also give an example for such submanifolds in six dimensional sphere.

MSC 2000: 53C42, 53C40, 53C15

Keywords: CR-submanifold, warped product, nearly Kaehler manifold

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(\bar{M}, g)$  be an almost Hermitian manifold. This means [22] that  $\bar{M}$  admits a tensor field  $J$  of type  $(1, 1)$  on  $\bar{M}$  such that,  $\forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$ , we have

$$J^2 = -I, \quad g(X, Y) = g(JX, JY). \quad (1.1)$$

An almost Hermitian manifold  $\bar{M}$  is called nearly Kaehler manifold if

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X J)X = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}), \quad (1.2)$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y J)X = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $\bar{M}$ . T. Fukami and S. Ishihara [12] proved that there exists a nearly Kaehlerian structure on a six dimensional sphere

$S^6$  by making use of the properties of the Cayley division algebra. This structure is called as the canonical nearly Kaehlerian structure on  $S^6$ . In fact, A. Gray [13] showed that  $S^6$  has some almost complex structures, which are different from the canonical almost complex structures, but nonetheless nearly Kaehlerian.

CR-submanifolds of almost Hermitian manifolds were defined by A. Bejancu [3] as a generalization of complex and totally real submanifolds. A CR-submanifold is called *proper* if it is neither complex nor totally real submanifold. The geometry of CR-submanifolds has been studied in several papers since then. We note that the geometry of CR-submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds has been also studied by several authors, [1], [2], [10], [15], [17], [18], [21].

On the other hand, in [4], R. L. Bishop and B. O'Neill introduced a class of warped product manifolds as follows: Let  $(B, g_1)$  and  $(F, g_2)$  be two Riemannian manifolds,  $f : B \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $\pi : B \times F \rightarrow B$ ,  $\eta : B \times F \rightarrow F$  the projection maps given by  $\pi(p, q) = p$  and  $\eta(p, q) = q$  for every  $(p, q) \in B \times F$ . The warped product  $M = B \times_f F$  is the manifold  $B \times F$  equipped with the Riemannian structure such that

$$g(X, Y) = g_1(\pi_*X, \pi_*Y) + (f \circ \pi)^2 g_2(\eta_*X, \eta_*Y)$$

for every  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $M$  and  $*$  is symbol for the tangent map. The function  $f$  is called the warping function of the warped product manifold. In particular, if the warping function is constant, then the manifold  $M$  is said to be trivial. Let  $X, Y$  be vector fields on  $B$  and  $V, W$  vector fields on  $F$ , then from Lemma 7.3 of [4], we have

$$\nabla_X V = \nabla_V X = \left(\frac{Xf}{f}\right)V \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $M$ .

Recently, B. Y. Chen [7], [8] considered warped product CR-submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds and showed that there do not exist warped product CR-submanifolds in the form  $M_\perp \times_f M_T$  such that  $M_T$  is a holomorphic (complex) submanifold and  $M_\perp$  is a totally real submanifold of a Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then he introduced the notion of CR-warped products of Kaehler manifolds as follows: A submanifold of a Kaehler manifold is called CR-warped product if it is the warped product  $M_T \times_f M_\perp$  of a holomorphic submanifold  $M_T$  and a totally real submanifold  $M_\perp$ . He also established a sharp relationship between the warping function  $f$  of a warped product CR-submanifold  $M_T \times_f M_\perp$  of a Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$  and the squared norm of the second fundamental form  $\|h\|^2$ . After the papers of B. Y. Chen, CR-warped product submanifolds have been studied in various manifolds [5], [14], [19], [20].

It is known that every Kaehler manifold is a nearly Kaehler but the converse is not true in general. Therefore, the aim of this paper is to extend the concept of CR-warped product submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds to warped product CR-submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds. Our main results improve some results of B. Y. Chen to CR-warped product submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds. First, we prove that there exist no warped product CR-submanifolds in the form  $M_\perp \times_f M_T$  such that  $M_\perp$  is a totally real submanifold and  $M_T$  is a holomorphic

submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then we consider warped product CR-submanifolds in the form  $M_T \times_f M_\perp$  in a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$  and give an example. We prove a characterization theorem and obtain necessary condition for CR-warped product submanifolds to be a CR-product. We obtain a sharp inequality for the squared norm of the second fundamental form in terms of the warping function for CR-warped product submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds.

We note that although the proof of Theorem 4.1 is same as that of Theorem 5.1 in [7], the proof of preparatory lemmas for the proof of Theorem 4.1 is different.

**2. Preliminaries**

In this section, we will recall the canonical nearly Kaehlerian structure on a 6-dimensional unit sphere  $S^6$ . We will also brief some formulas and definitions which will be useful later. Let  $C$  be the Cayley division algebra generated by  $\{e_o, e_i, (1 \leq i \leq 7)\}$  over real number field  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $C_+$  be the subspace of  $C$  consisting of all purely imaginary Cayley numbers. We may identify  $C_+$  with a 7-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^7$  with the canonical inner product  $\langle, \rangle$ . A vector cross product for the vectors in  $C_+ = \mathbf{R}^7$  is defined by

$$x \wedge y = \langle x, y \rangle e_o + xy, \quad x, y \in C_+. \tag{2.1}$$

Then the multiplication table is given by the following:

	1	0	$e_3$	$-e_2$	$e_5$	$-e_4$	$-e_7$	$-e_6$
	2	$-e_3$	0	$e_1$	$e_6$	$-e_7$	$-e_4$	$e_5$
	3	$e_2$	$-e_1$	0	$-e_7$	$-e_6$	$-e_5$	$e_4$
$e_j \wedge e_k =$	4	$-e_5$	$-e_6$	$e_7$	0	$e_1$	$e_2$	$-e_3$
	5	$e_4$	$e_7$	$e_6$	$-e_1$	0	$-e_3$	$-e_2$
	6	$-e_7$	$e_4$	$-e_5$	$-e_2$	$e_3$	0	$e_1$
	7	$e_6$	$-e_5$	$-e_4$	$e_3$	$e_2$	$-e_1$	0

On  $S^6(1)$  define a  $(1, 1)$  tensor field  $J$  by putting

$$J_p X = p \wedge X$$

for  $p \in S^6(1)$  and  $X \in T_p S^6$ . The above almost complex structure  $J$  together with the induced Riemannian metric  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $S^6$  gives a nearly Kaehlerian structure on  $S^6$  [12]. It is well known that  $S^6$  does not admit any Kaehlerian structures. Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$  and denote by the same symbol  $g$  the Riemannian metric induced on  $M$ . Let  $\Gamma(TM)$  be the Lie algebra of vector fields in  $M$  and  $\Gamma(TM^\perp)$  the set of all vector fields normal to  $M$ . Denote by  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection of  $M$ . Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N \quad (2.3)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and any  $N \in \Gamma(TM^\perp)$ , where  $\nabla^\perp$  is the connection in the normal bundle  $TM^\perp$ ,  $h$  is the second fundamental form of  $M$  and  $A_N$  is the Weingarten endomorphism associated with  $N$ . The second fundamental form and the shape operator  $A$  are related by

$$g(A_N X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), N). \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $\bar{M}$  be a nearly Kaehler manifold with complex structure  $J$  and  $M$  is a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in  $\bar{M}$ . Then  $M$  is called holomorphic (complex) if  $J(T_p M) \subset T_p M$ , for every  $p \in M$ , where  $T_p M$  denotes the tangent space to  $M$  at the point  $p$ .  $M$  is called totally real if  $J(T_p M) \subset T_p M^\perp$  for every  $p \in M$ , where  $T_p M^\perp$  denotes the normal space to  $M$  at the point  $p$ . The submanifold  $M$  is called a CR-submanifold [3] if there exists a differentiable distribution  $D : p \rightarrow D_p \subset T_p M$  such that  $D$  is invariant with respect to  $J$  and the complementary distribution  $D^\perp$  is anti-invariant with respect to  $J$ . It is clear that holomorphic and totally real submanifolds are CR-submanifolds with  $D^\perp = \{0\}$  and  $D = \{0\}$ , respectively. Moreover, every real hypersurface of an almost Hermitian manifold is a CR-submanifold. For a real hypersurface  $M$  of an almost Hermitian  $\bar{M}$  with a unit normal vector field  $\xi$ , the tangent vector  $J\xi$  on  $M$  is called a characteristic vector field of  $M$ . A unit tangent vector  $X$  on  $M$  is called a principal vector if  $X$  is an eigenvector of the shape operator  $A_\xi$ , the corresponding eigenvalue is called the principal curvature at  $X$ . Let  $M$  be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold, then we have

$$TM = D \oplus D^\perp.$$

Hence, we also have

$$TM^\perp = JD^\perp \oplus \nu,$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the complementary distribution to  $JD^\perp$  in the normal bundle of  $M$ . We note that  $\nu$  is also invariant with respect to  $J$ . For any  $X \in TM$  we write

$$JX = TX + FX, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $TX \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $FX \in \Gamma(JD^\perp)$ . Similarly, for any vector field normal to  $M$ , we put

$$JN = BN + CN, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $BN \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and  $CN \in \Gamma(\nu)$ .

### 3. Warped products $M_\perp \times_f M_T$ in nearly Kaehler manifolds

In this section we consider CR-submanifolds in a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$  which are warped products of the form  $M_\perp \times_f M_T$  such that  $M_\perp$  is a totally real submanifold and  $M_T$  is a holomorphic submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\bar{M}$  be a nearly Kaehler manifold. Then there do not exist warped product CR-submanifolds in the form  $M = M_{\perp} \times_f M_T$  in  $\bar{M}$  such that  $M_{\perp}$  is a totally real submanifold and  $M_T$  is a holomorphic submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us suppose that  $M$  be a warped product CR-submanifold in the form  $M = M_{\perp} \times_f M_T$  in  $\bar{M}$  such that  $M_{\perp}$  is a totally real submanifold and  $M_T$  is a holomorphic submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ . Then, from (2.2), we have  $g(\nabla_X Z, X) = g(\bar{\nabla}_X Z, X)$  for  $X \in \Gamma(TM_T)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(TM_{\perp})$ . Using (1.4), we get  $Z(\ln f)g(X, X) = g(\bar{\nabla}_X Z, X)$ . Since  $D^{\perp}$  and  $D$  are orthogonal, we obtain  $Z(\ln f)g(X, X) = -g(Z, \bar{\nabla}_X X)$ . Then, from (1.1), we derive  $Z(\ln f)g(X, X) = -g(JZ, J\bar{\nabla}_X X)$ . Hence, we get  $Z(\ln f)g(X, X) = -g(JZ, \bar{\nabla}_X JX - (\bar{\nabla}_X J)X)$ . Thus, using (1.2) we obtain

$$Z(\ln f)g(X, X) = -g(JZ, \bar{\nabla}_X JX).$$

Then, from (2.2), we have

$$Z(\ln f)g(X, X) = -g(JZ, h(X, JX)) \tag{3.1}$$

for  $X \in \Gamma(TM_T)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(TM_{\perp})$ .

On the other hand, from (2.3), we have

$$g(A_{JZ}X, JX) = -g(\bar{\nabla}_X JZ, JX)$$

for  $X \in \Gamma(TM_T)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(TM_{\perp})$ . Hence, we get

$$g(A_{JZ}X, JX) = g(JZ, \bar{\nabla}_X JX).$$

Since  $\bar{\nabla}$  is torsion free, we have

$$g(A_{JZ}X, JX) = g(JZ, [X, JX] + \bar{\nabla}_{JX}X).$$

Since  $[X, JX] \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $JZ \in \Gamma(TM^{\perp})$ , we derive

$$g(A_{JZ}X, JX) = g(JZ, \bar{\nabla}_{JX}X).$$

Then, from (1.1), we can write

$$g(A_{JZ}X, JX) = -g(JZ, \bar{\nabla}_{JX}J^2X).$$

Hence, we have

$$g(A_{JZ}X, JX) = -g(JZ, (\bar{\nabla}_{JX}J)JX + J\bar{\nabla}_{JX}JX).$$

Then, from (1.2), we derive

$$g(A_{JZ}X, JX) = -g(JZ, J\bar{\nabla}_{JX}JX).$$

Thus, using the second equation of (1.1), we arrive at

$$g(A_{JZ}X, JX) = -g(Z, \bar{\nabla}_{JX}JX).$$

Then, we get

$$g(A_{JZ}X, JX) = g(\bar{\nabla}_{JX}Z, JX).$$

Using (2.2) we obtain

$$g(A_{JZ}X, JX) = g(\nabla_{JX}Z, JX).$$

Thus, from (1.4) and (2.4, ) we have

$$g(h(X, JX), JZ) = Z(\ln f)g(JX, JX).$$

Using (1.1), we arrive at

$$g(h(X, JX), JZ) = Z(\ln f)g(X, X) \quad (3.2)$$

for  $X \in \Gamma(TM_T)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(TM_\perp)$ . Thus, from (3.1) and (3.2), we conclude that

$$2Z(\ln f)g(X, X) = 0.$$

Since  $D$  is Riemannian, we get

$$Z(\ln f) = 0.$$

This implies that  $f$  is constant on  $M_\perp$  which shows that  $M$  is a usual product. Thus proof is complete.

**Remark 1.** We note that Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of Theorem 3.1 in [7].

#### 4. Warped products $M_T \times_f M_\perp$ in nearly Kaehler manifolds

Theorem 3.1 shows that there exist no warped product CR-submanifolds in the form  $M = M_\perp \times_f M_T$  in  $\bar{M}$  such that  $M_\perp$  is a totally real submanifold and  $M_T$  is a holomorphic submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ . Therefore, in this section, we consider warped product CR-submanifolds in the form  $M = M_T \times_f M_\perp$  such that  $M_\perp$  is a totally real submanifold and  $M_T$  is a holomorphic submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Follow Chen's definition, we call such warped product CR-submanifolds CR-warped products. Now, we give an example of CR-warped product in  $S^6$ .

**Example 1.** Consider Sekigawa's example [21] of CR-submanifold in  $S^6$ , which is the image of the mapping of  $S^2 \times S^1$  into  $S^6$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(y, t) &= \Psi((y_2, y_4, y_6), e^{\sqrt{-1}t}) \\ &= (y_2 \cos t)e_2 - (y_2 \sin t)e_3 + (y_4 \cos 2t)e_4 \\ &\quad + (y_4 \sin 2t)e_5 + (y_6 \cos t)e_6 + (y_6 \sin t)e_7 \end{aligned}$$

where  $(y_2, y_4, y_6) \in S^2$  and  $e^{\sqrt{-1}t} \in S^1$ . Then the tangent bundle  $TM$  of submanifold  $M$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= -y_2 \sin t e_2 - y_2 \cos t e_3 - 2y_4 \sin 2t e_4 + 2y_4 \cos 2t e_5 - y_6 \sin t e_6 - y_6 \cos t e_7 \\ Z_2 &= y_6 \cos t e_2 - y_6 \sin t e_3 - y_2 \cos t e_6 - y_2 \sin t e_7 \\ Z_3 &= y_6 \cos 2t e_4 + y_6 \sin 2t e_5 - y_4 \cos t e_6 - y_4 \sin t e_7. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that  $M$  is a CR-submanifold of  $S^6$  such that  $D = span\{Z_2, Z_3\}$  and  $D^\perp = span\{Z_1\}$ . Moreover, we can derive that  $D$  is integrable. Denoting the integral manifolds of  $D$  and  $D^\perp$  by  $M_T$  and  $M_\perp$ , respectively, then the induced metric tensor is

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= (y_6^2 + y_2^2)dy_2^2 + y_2 y_4 dy_2 dy_4 + (y_6^2 + y_4^2)dy_4^2 + (1 + 3y_4^2)dt^2 \\ &= g_{M_T} + (1 + 3y_4^2)g_{M_\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows that  $M$  is a CR-warped product submanifold of  $S^6$  with warping function  $f = \sqrt{(1 + 3y_4^2)}$ .

**Remark 2.** We note that H. Hashimoto and K. Mashimo [15] gave a generalization of Sekigawa’s example. They also showed that the induced metric of their generalization is a warped product metric (see: [15], Lemma 8). Thus, there are many three dimensional CR-warped product submanifolds in  $S^6$ .

Next we give a characterization for CR-warped products in a nearly Kaehler manifolds. We first need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then, the anti-invariant distribution is integrable if and only if*

$$g(\nabla_Z X, V) = \frac{1}{2}g(A_{JZ}V + A_{JV}Z, JX) \tag{4.1}$$

for  $Z, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(D)$ .

*Proof.* From (2.2), we have

$$g([Z, V], X) = g(\bar{\nabla}_Z V, X) - g(\nabla_V Z, X)$$

for  $Z, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(D)$ . Then, using (1.1), we get

$$g([Z, V], X) = g(J\bar{\nabla}_Z V, JX) - g(\nabla_V Z, X).$$

Hence, we derive

$$g([Z, V], X) = g(-(\bar{\nabla}_Z J)V + \bar{\nabla}_Z J V, JX) - g(\nabla_V Z, X).$$

Thus, using (1.3), we obtain

$$g([Z, V], X) = g(\bar{\nabla}_V J)Z, JX + g(\bar{\nabla}_Z J V, JX) - g(\nabla_V Z, X).$$

Hence, we have

$$g([Z, V], X) = g((\bar{\nabla}_V J Z - J\bar{\nabla}_V Z, JX) + g(\bar{\nabla}_Z J V, JX) - g(\nabla_V Z, X).$$

Then, using (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$g([Z, V], X) = -g(A_{JZ}V + A_{JV}Z, JX) - 2g(\nabla_V Z, X).$$

Then, we have

$$g([Z, V], X) = -g(A_{JZ}V + A_{JV}Z, JX) - 2g([V, Z], X) - 2g(\nabla_Z V, X).$$

Hence we get

$$g([V, Z], X) = 2g(\nabla_Z X, V) - g(A_{JZ}V + A_{JV}Z, JX),$$

which proves our assertion.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $M$  be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then, we have*

$$g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = g(JY, A_{JZ}X) - g(JY, \nabla_Z JX) + g(Y, \nabla_Z X) \quad (4.2)$$

for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ .

*Proof.* Using (2.2) and (1.1), we have  $g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = -g(JY, J\bar{\nabla}_X Z)$  for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ . Hence, we get  $g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = -g(JY, \bar{\nabla}_X JZ - (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Z)$ . Then, from (1.3), we obtain

$$g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = -g(JY, \bar{\nabla}_X JZ) - g(JY, (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Z).$$

Hence, we get

$$g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = -g(JY, \bar{\nabla}_X JZ) - g(JY, \bar{\nabla}_Z JX - J\bar{\nabla}_Z X).$$

Thus, using (1.1), (2.2) and (2.3) we obtain (4.2).

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $M$  be a CR-warped product of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then, we have*

$$g(h(X, JY), JZ) = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$g(h(X, Z), JV) = -JX(\ln f)g(Z, V) \quad (4.4)$$

for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM_T)$  and  $Z, V \in \Gamma(TM_\perp)$ .

*Proof.* From (1.4), we get  $g(\nabla_X X, Z) = X(\ln f)g(X, Z) = 0$  for  $X \in \Gamma(TM_T)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(TM_\perp)$ . Then, using (2.2) and (1.1), we have  $g(J\bar{\nabla}_X X, JZ) = 0$ . Hence

$$g(-(\bar{\nabla}_X J)X + \bar{\nabla}_X JX, JZ) = 0.$$

Thus, (1.2) implies

$$g(\bar{\nabla}_X JX, JZ) = 0.$$

Using (2.2), we get

$$g(h(X, JX), JZ) = 0.$$

Then, by polarization for  $h$ , we derive

$$g(h(X, JY), JZ) + g(h(Y, JX), Z) = 0.$$



Now, since  $M = M_T \times_f M_\perp$  is a warped product manifold,  $D$  is integrable. Then, from a result of [10], it follows that  $h(X, JY) = h(JX, Y)$  for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ . Hence, we obtain (4.3).

On the other hand, from (2.3), we get  $g(A_{JZ}X, V) = -g(\bar{\nabla}_X JZ, V)$  for  $X \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $Z, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ . Hence, we have

$$g(A_{JZ}X, V) = -g((\bar{\nabla}_X J)Z + J\bar{\nabla}_X Z, V).$$

Using (1.2), we obtain

$$g(A_{JZ}X, V) = g((\bar{\nabla}_Z J)X, V) - g(J\bar{\nabla}_X Z, V).$$

By direct computations, from (1.1), we derive

$$g(A_{JZ}X, V) = g(\bar{\nabla}_Z JX - J\bar{\nabla}_Z X, V) + g(\bar{\nabla}_X Z, JV).$$

Using, again (1.1), we have

$$g(A_{JZ}X, V) = g(\bar{\nabla}_Z JX, V) + g(\bar{\nabla}_Z X, JV) + g(\bar{\nabla}_X Z, JV).$$

Thus, from (2.2), we get

$$g(A_{JZ}X, V) = g(\nabla_Z JX, V) + g(h(Z, X), JV) + g(h(X, Z), JV).$$

Since  $h$  is symmetric, (1.4) and (2.4) imply that

$$g(h(V, X), JZ) = JX(\ln f)g(Z, V) + 2g(h(X, Z), JV) \tag{4.5}$$

for  $X \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $Z, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ . Interchanging the role of  $Z$  and  $V$ , we obtain

$$g(h(Z, X), JV) = JX(\ln f)g(Z, V) + 2g(h(X, V), JZ) \tag{4.6}$$

Thus from (4.5) and (4.6) we get

$$g(h(X, Z), JV) = -JX(\ln f)g(Z, V).$$

Now, we are ready to prove a characterization theorem for CR-warped products in nearly Kaehler manifolds. But we first recall that we have the following result of S. Hiepko [16], (cf. [11], Remark 2.1):

*Let  $D_1$  be a vector subbundle in the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and  $D_2$  be its normal bundle. Suppose that the two distributions are involutive. We denote the integral manifolds of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  by  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively. Then  $M$  is locally isometric to warped product  $M_1 \times_f M_2$  if the integral manifold  $M_1$  is totally geodesic and the integral manifold  $M_2$  is an extrinsic sphere, i. e.,  $M_2$  is a totally umbilical submanifold with parallel mean curvature vector.*

**Theorem 4.1.** *A proper CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$  is locally CR-warped product if and only if*

$$A_{JZ}X = -(JX)(\mu)Z, g(\nabla_Z X, Y) = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

for some function  $\mu$  such that  $W(\mu) = 0$ ,  $W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and

$$2g(\nabla_Z X, V) = g(A_{JZ}V + A_{JV}Z, JX), \quad (4.8)$$

where  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $Z, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ .

*Proof.* If  $M$  is a CR-warped product, then,  $M_T$  is totally geodesic in  $M$ . Thus,  $g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = 0$  for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ . Hence, we obtain  $g(Y, \nabla_X Z) = 0$ . Then from (1.4) we get  $g(Y, \nabla_Z X) = 0$  which is the second equation of (4.7). On the other hand, from (4.3), we have  $g(h(X, JY), JZ) = 0$  for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $Z, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ . Then, using (2.4), we get  $g(A_{JZ}X, JY) = 0$ . This implies that  $A_{JZ}X \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ . On the other hand, from (2.4) and (4.4) we have

$$g(A_{JZ}X, V) = -JX(\ln f)g(Z, V)$$

for  $X \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $Z, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ . Moreover,  $f$  is a function on  $M_T$ , we have  $W(\ln f) = 0$  for  $W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ . This proves the first equation of (4.7). Furthermore, since  $A$  is self-adjoint, from (4.4), we have

$$g(A_{JZ}V, JX) = X(\ln f)g(Z, V).$$

Interchanging the role of  $Z$  and  $V$ , we get

$$g(A_{JV}Z, JX) = X(\ln f)g(Z, V).$$

Thus, from above two equations, we obtain

$$g(A_{JZ}V + A_{JV}Z, JX) = 2X(\ln f)g(Z, V).$$

Then, using (1.4), we arrive at

$$g(A_{JZ}V + A_{JV}Z, JX) = 2(\nabla_Z X, V)$$

which implies (4.8). Conversely, suppose that  $M$  is a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$  satisfying (4.7) and (4.8). Then, from (4.2) and (4.7), it follows that  $D$  is totally geodesic. (4.8) implies that  $D^\perp$  is integrable. Let  $M_\perp$  be a leaf of  $D^\perp$ . We now denote the second fundamental form of  $M_\perp$  in  $M$  by  $h_2$ . From (4.8), we also have

$$-2g(\nabla_Z V, X) = g(A_{JZ}V + A_{JV}Z, JX)$$

for  $X \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $Z, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ . Then, since  $A$  is self-adjoint, (4.7) implies that

$$-2g(\nabla_Z V, X) = X(\mu)g(Z, V) + X(\mu)g(V, Z).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$g(h_2(Z, V), X) = g(\nabla_Z V, X) = -X(\mu)g(Z, V).$$

This shows that  $M_{\perp}$  is totally umbilical in  $M$ . Moreover, by direct computations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_Z \text{grad } \mu, X) &= g(\nabla_Z \text{grad } \mu, X) \\ &= [Zg(\text{grad } \mu, X) - g(\text{grad } \mu, \nabla_Z X)] \\ &= [Z(X(\mu)) - [Z, X]\mu - g(\text{grad } \mu, \nabla_X Z)] \\ &= [[Z, X]\mu + X(Z(\mu)) - [Z, X]\mu - g(\text{grad } \mu, \nabla_X Z)] \\ &= [X(Z(\mu)) - g(\text{grad } \mu, \nabla_X Z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Z(\mu) = 0$ , we obtain

$$g(\nabla_Z \text{grad } \mu, X) = -g(\text{grad } \mu, \nabla_X Z).$$

On the other hand, since  $\text{grad } \mu \in \Gamma(TM_T)$  and  $M_T$  is totally geodesic in  $M$ , it follows that  $\nabla_X Z \in \Gamma(TM_{\perp})$  for  $X \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ . Hence  $g(\nabla_Z \text{grad } \mu, X) = 0$ . Then the spherical condition is also fulfilled, that is  $M_{\perp}$  is an extrinsic sphere in  $M$ . Thus we conclude that  $M$  is a warped product and proof is complete.

We recall that a CR-submanifold is called mixed geodesic [3] if  $h(X, Z) = 0$  for  $X \in \Gamma(D)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ . Using (4.4) we have the following:

**Corollary 4.1.** *A mixed totally geodesic CR-warped product  $M = M_T \times_f M_{\perp}$  in a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$  is a CR-product.*

In particular, if  $JD^{\perp} = TM^{\perp}$ , i. e.,  $M$  is an anti-holomorphic submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ , we have:

**Corollary 4.2.** *An anti-holomorphic warped product submanifold  $M = M_T \times_f M_{\perp}$  in a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$  is a CR-product if and only if  $M$  is mixed totally geodesic.*

In the rest of this section, we obtain an inequality for the squared norm of the second fundamental form in terms of the warping function for CR-warped products in a nearly Kaehler manifold. We note that this inequality was proved in [7] for Kaehler case.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $M$  be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then, we have:*

$$g(h(Z, Z), JW) = g(h(Z, W), JZ) \tag{4.9}$$

for  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ .

*Proof.* From (2.2), we have  $g(h(Z, Z), JW) = g(\bar{\nabla}_Z Z, JW)$  for  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ . Using (1.1), we get  $g(h(Z, Z), JW) = -g(J\bar{\nabla}_Z Z, W)$ . Hence, we derive

$$g(h(Z, Z), JW) = -g(-(\bar{\nabla}_Z J)Z + \bar{\nabla}_Z JZ, W).$$

Thus, using (1.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$g(h(Z, Z), JW) = g(A_{JZ}Z, W).$$

Then, from (2.4), we have (4.9).

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $M = M_T \times_f M_\perp$  be a CR-warped product in a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then we have*

- (1) *The squared norm of the second fundamental form of  $M$  satisfies*

$$\| h \|^2 \geq 2p \| \nabla(\ln f) \|^2, \tag{4.10}$$

where  $\nabla \ln f$  is the gradient of  $\ln f$  and  $p$  is the dimension of  $M_\perp$ .

- (2) *If the equality sign of (4.10) holds identically, then  $M_T$  is a totally geodesic submanifold and  $M_\perp$  is a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ . Moreover,  $M$  is a minimal submanifold in  $\bar{M}$*
- (3) *When  $M$  is anti-holomorphic and  $p > 1$ , the equality sign of (4.10) holds identically if and only if  $M_\perp$  is totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ .*
- (4) *If  $M$  is real hypersurface of  $\bar{M}$  (that is  $p = 1$ ), then the equality sign of (4.10) holds identically if and only if the characteristic vector field  $J\xi$  of  $M$  is a principal vector field with zero as its principal curvature. Also in this case, the equality sign of (4.10) holds identically if and only if  $M$  is a minimal hypersurface in  $\bar{M}$ .*

*Proof.* We take an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, e_{2q}, e_{2q+1}, \dots, e_m, e_1^* = J(e_{2q+1}), \dots, e_p^*, e_1, \dots, e_{2r}\}$  of  $\bar{M}$  along  $M$  such that  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2q}\}$ ,  $\{e_{2q+1}, \dots, e_m\}$  and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2r}\}$  are bases of  $D$ ,  $D^\perp$  and  $\nu$ , respectively. Since

$$\| h \|^2 = \| h(D, D) \|^2 + \| h(D^\perp, D^\perp) \|^2 + 2 \| h(D^\perp, D) \|^2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \| h \|^2 &= \sum_{k=m+1}^n \sum_{i,j=1}^{2q} g(h(e_i, e_j), \bar{e}_k)^2 + \sum_{k=m+1}^n \sum_{s,l=1}^p g(h(e_s, e_l), \bar{e}_k)^2 \\ &+ 2 \sum_{k=m+1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{2q} \sum_{s=1}^p g(h(e_i, e_s), \bar{e}_k)^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{\bar{e}_k\}_{k=1}^n$  is a basis of  $TM^\perp$ . Then, from the decomposition of normal bundle of a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \| h \|^2 &= \sum_{k=m+1}^n \sum_{i,j=1}^{2q} g(h(e_i, e_j), \bar{e}_k)^2 + \sum_{k=m+1}^n \sum_{s,l=1}^p g(h(e_s, e_l), \bar{e}_k)^2 \\ &+ 2 \sum_{t,s=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^{2q} g(h(e_i, e_s), J e_t)^2 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{2r} \sum_{i=1}^{2q} \sum_{s=1}^p g(h(e_i, e_s), J e_l)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (4.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|^2 &= \sum_{k=m+1}^n \sum_{i,j=1}^{2q} g(h(e_i, e_j), \bar{e}_k)^2 + \sum_{k=m+1}^n \sum_{s,l=1}^p g(h(e_s, e_l), \bar{e}_k)^2 \\ &\quad + 2p \|\nabla(\ln f)\|^2 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{2r} \sum_{i=1}^{2q} \sum_{s=1}^p g(h(e_i, e_s), J e_l)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.10). If the equality case of (4.10) holds identically, we obtain

$$h(D, D) = 0, \quad h(D^\perp, D^\perp) = 0, \quad h(D, D^\perp) \subset JD^\perp. \tag{4.11}$$

Since  $M_T$  is totally geodesic in  $M$ , the first equation in (4.11) implies that  $M_T$  is totally geodesic in  $\bar{M}$ . On the other hand, using (2.2) and (1.4) we get

$$h_2(Z, V) = -(\nabla \ln f)g(Z, V) \tag{4.12}$$

for  $Z, V \in \Gamma(M_\perp)$ . Then, (4.12) and the second equation (4.11) imply that  $M_\perp$  is totally umbilical in  $\bar{M}$ . (4.11) also implies that  $M$  is minimal in  $\bar{M}$ .

Now suppose that  $M$  is an anti-holomorphic warped product in  $\bar{M}$ . Then from (4.3) we get

$$h(D, D) = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Thus, if  $M_\perp$  is totally umbilical in  $\bar{M}$ , we can write

$$\bar{h}(Z, V) = g(Z, V)\bar{H}, \tag{4.14}$$

for  $Z, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ , where  $\bar{H}$  is a normal vector field to  $M_\perp$ . Since

$$\bar{h}(Z, V) = h_2(Z, V) + h(Z, V),$$

(4.14) implies that there is a normal vector field  $N$  such that

$$h(Z, V) = g(Z, V)N.$$

Hence, for each unit vector field  $V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and each unit vector field  $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ , perpendicular to  $V$ , we derive

$$g(N, JV) = g(h(Z, Z), JV).$$

Then using (4.9) we get

$$g(N, JV) = g(h(Z, V), JZ) = g(Z, V)g(N, JZ) = 0. \tag{4.15}$$

Since  $M$  is anti-holomorphic, (4.15) implies that either  $p = 1$  or

$$h(D^\perp, D^\perp) = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

Then, from (4.4), (4.13) and (4.16), it follows that the equality case holds, when  $p > 1$ .

If  $p = 1$ , then  $M$  is a real hypersurface in  $\bar{M}$ . Then, the characteristic vector field  $J\xi$  is a principal vector field with zero as its principal curvature if and only if (4.16) holds. Thus, in this case, we also have equality case. If the characteristic vector field  $J\xi$  is a principal vector field with zero as its principal curvature, from (4.11) we also know that the condition (4.16) holds if and only if  $M$  is minimal in  $\bar{M}$ . The converse is clear. Thus proof is complete.

**Remark 3.** Thus we show that Theorem 5.1 of [7] is also valid for warped product CR-submanifolds in the form  $M_T \times_f M_\perp$  in a nearly Kaehler manifold  $\bar{M}$  such that  $M_T$  is a holomorphic submanifold and  $M_\perp$  is a totally real submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ . It is also clear that Theorem 4.2 is a generalization of Theorem 5.1 in [7].

**Acknowledgements.** We express our sincere thanks to the referee for many helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] Bashir, M. A.: *On the three-dimensional CR-submanifolds of the six-dimensional sphere*. Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14**(4) (1991), 675–678. [Zbl 0748.53032](#)
- [2] Bashir, M. A.: *On CR-submanifolds of the six-dimensional sphere*. Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **18**(1) (1995), 201–203. [Zbl 0828.53049](#)
- [3] Bejancu, A.: *Geometry of CR-Submanifolds*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 1986. [Zbl 0605.53001](#)
- [4] Bishop, R. L.; O' Neill, B.: *Manifolds of Negative Curvature*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (1969), 1–49. [Zbl 0191.52002](#)
- [5] Bonanzinga, V.; Matsumoto, K.: *Warped product CR-submanifold in locally conformal Kaehler Manifold*. Periodica Math. Hungar. Mat. **48** (2004), 207–221. [Zbl 1104.53049](#)
- [6] Chen, B. Y.: *Geometry of Slant Submanifolds*. Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven 1990. [Zbl 0716.53006](#)
- [7] Chen, B. Y.: *Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifold*. Monatsh. Math. **133** (2001), 177–195. [Zbl 0996.53044](#)
- [8] Chen, B. Y.: *Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds II*. Monatsh. Math. **134** (2001), 103–119. [Zbl 0996.53045](#)
- [9] Chen, B. Y.: *CR-warped products in complex projective spaces with compact holomorphic factor*. Monatsh. Math. **141** (2004), 177–186. [Zbl 1063.53056](#)
- [10] Deshmukh, S.; Shahid, M. H.; Ali, S.: *CR-submanifolds of a nearly Kaehler manifold. II*. Tamkang J. Math. **17**(4) (1986), 17–27. [Zbl 0674.53056](#)
- [11] Dillen, F.; Nölker, S.: *Semi-parallelity, multi rotation surfaces and the helix property*. J. Reine Angew Math. **435** (1993), 33–63. [Zbl 0770.53039](#)
- [12] Fukami, T.; Ishihara, S.: *Almost Hermitian structures on  $S^6$* . Tôhoku Math. J. **7** (1955), 151–156. [Zbl 0068.36001](#)

- [13] Gray, A.: *Vector cross products on manifolds*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (1969), 465–504. [Zbl 0182.24603](#)
- [14] Hasegawa, I.; Mihai, I.: *Contact CR-warped product submanifolds in Sasakian Manifolds*. Geometriae Dedicata **102** (2003), 143–150. [Zbl 1066.53103](#)
- [15] Hashimoto, H.; Mashimo, K.: *On some 3-dimensional CR submanifolds in  $S^6$* . Nagoya Math. J. **156** (1999), 171–185. [Zbl 0957.53023](#)
- [16] Hiepko, S.: *Eine innere Kennzeichnung der verzerrten Produkte*. Math. Ann. **241** (1979), 209–215. [Zbl 0387.53014](#)
- [17] Husain, S. I.; Deshmukh, S.: *CR-submanifolds of a nearly Kaehler manifold*. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **18**(11) (1987), 979–990. [Zbl 0632.53053](#)
- [18] Khan, K. A.; Khan, V. A.; Husain, S. I.: *Totally umbilical CR-submanifolds of nearly Kähler manifolds*. Geometriae Dedicata **50**(1) (1994), 47–51. [Zbl 0805.53053](#)
- [19] Mihai, I.: *Contact CR-warped product submanifolds in Sasakian space forms*. Geometriae Dedicata **109** (2004), 165–173. [Zbl 1114.53052](#)
- [20] Munteanu, M. I.: *Warped product contact CR-submanifolds of Sasakian space forms*. Publ. Math. Debrecen, **66**(1–2) (2005), 75–120. [Zbl 1063.53052](#)
- [21] Sekigawa, K.: *Some CR-submanifolds in a 6-dimensional sphere*. Tensor (N.S.) **41**(1) (1984), 13–20. [Zbl 0547.53027](#)
- [22] Yano, K.; Kon, M.: *Structures on Manifolds*. World Scientific, Singapore 1984. [Zbl 0557.53001](#)

Received March 15, 2007