

# On the Monotonicity of the Volume of Hyperbolic Convex Polyhedra

Károly Bezdek\*

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary  
2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, Ab Canada T2N 1N4  
e-mail: bezdek@math.ucalgary.ca*

**Abstract.** We give a proof of the monotonicity of the volume of nonobtuse-angled compact convex polyhedra in terms of their dihedral angles. More exactly we prove the following. Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be nonobtuse-angled compact convex polyhedra of the same simple combinatorial type in hyperbolic 3-space. If each (inner) dihedral angle of  $Q$  is at least as large as the corresponding (inner) dihedral angle of  $P$ , then the volume of  $P$  is at least as large as the volume of  $Q$ . Moreover, we extend this result to nonobtuse-angled hyperbolic simplices of any dimension.

MSC 2000: 52A55, 52C29

Keywords: hyperbolic nonobtuse-angled convex polyhedra, Koebe-Andreev-Thurston theorem, hyperbolic volume

## 1. Introduction

A compact convex polyhedron in hyperbolic 3-space  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is the convex hull of finitely many points with nonempty interior or equivalently is the bounded intersection of finitely many closed halfspaces with nonempty interior. The different dimensional faces of a compact convex polyhedron are called vertices, edges and faces. Any two faces meeting along an edge are called adjacent faces. Finally, a compact convex polyhedron in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is called nonobtuse-angled if the inner dihedral angles at all edges do not exceed  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . In a sequence of highly influential papers Andreev gave a description of the geometry of nonobtuse-angled compact convex

---

\*The author was partially supported by the Hung. Nat. Sci. Found (OTKA), grant no. T043556 and by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant

polyhedra in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . (For more details on the Koebe-Andreev-Thurston theorem see for example [6].) In [3] he proved that the planes of any two nonadjacent faces of a nonobtuse-angled compact convex polyhedron do not intersect in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . It is easy to see that the combinatorial type of any nonobtuse-angled compact convex polyhedron is simple that is at any vertex exactly 3 faces (resp. 3 edges) meet. More importantly it is shown in [1] that if  $P$  and  $Q$  are nonobtuse-angled compact convex polyhedra of the same simple combinatorial type in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  such that the corresponding inner dihedral angles of  $P$  and  $Q$  are equal, then  $P$  and  $Q$  are congruent. All these results have been extended to higher dimensions by Andreev in [1] and [3]. However, the most striking result of Andreev is a 3-dimensional one. Namely, for a given simple combinatorial type of a polyhedron, not a tetrahedron, Andreev [1] determined necessary and sufficient conditions on the inner dihedral angles under which there exists a compact convex polyhedron with the given dihedral angles not greater than  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  and with the given simple combinatorial type. ([2] extends this result to finite-volume convex polyhedra in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .)

Based on this, in this note we prove the monotonicity of the volume of 3-dimensional nonobtuse-angled compact convex polyhedra in terms of their inner dihedral angles. More exactly we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be nonobtuse-angled compact convex polyhedra of the same simple combinatorial type in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . If each inner dihedral angle of  $Q$  is at least as large as the corresponding inner dihedral angle of  $P$ , then the volume of  $P$  is at least as large as the volume of  $Q$ .*

The author believes that the conclusion of Theorem 1 fails to hold if one drops the assumption that  $P$  and  $Q$  are nonobtuse-angled. However, it is highly possible that the following conjecture holds as a natural extension of Theorem 1 to higher dimensions. A proof of that, however, would require fundamentally new ideas as well.

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be nonobtuse-angled compact convex polytopes of the same simple combinatorial type in  $\mathbb{H}^d$ ,  $d \geq 4$ . If each inner dihedral angle of  $Q$  is at least as large as the corresponding inner dihedral angle of  $P$ , then the  $d$ -dimensional hyperbolic volume of  $P$  is at least as large as that of  $Q$ .*

**Remark 1.** Theorem 2 of the proof of Theorem 1 shows that Conjecture 1 holds when  $P$  and  $Q$  are nonobtuse-angled hyperbolic simplices of any dimension.

**Remark 2.** It is not hard to see via proper limit procedure (for details see [2]) that Theorem 1 extends to nonobtuse-angled convex polyhedra of finite volume in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

**Case 1.**  $P$  and  $Q$  are simplices.

Let  $X^n$  be the spherical, Euclidean or hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{E}^n$  or  $\mathbb{H}^n$  of constant curvature  $+1$ ,  $0$ ,  $-1$ , and of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . By an  $n$ -dimensional simplex  $\Delta^n$  in  $X^n$  we mean a compact subset with nonempty interior which can be expressed as an intersection of  $n + 1$  closed

halfspaces. (In case of spherical space we require that  $\Delta^n$  lies on an open hemisphere.) Let  $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_n$  be the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional faces of the simplex  $\Delta^n$ . Each  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional face can be described uniquely as an intersection  $F_{ij} = F_i \cap F_j$ . We will identify the collection of all inner dihedral angles of the simplex  $\Delta^n$  with the symmetric matrix  $\alpha = [\alpha_{ij}]$  where  $\alpha_{ij}$  is the inner dihedral angle between  $F_i$  and  $F_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , and where the diagonal entries  $\alpha_{ii}$  are set equal to  $\pi$  by definition. Then the Gram matrix  $G(\Delta^n) = [g_{ij}(\Delta^n)]$  of the simplex  $\Delta^n \subset X^n$  is the  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  symmetric matrix defined by  $g_{ij}(\Delta^n) = -\cos \alpha_{ij}$ . Note that all diagonal entries  $g_{ii}(\Delta^n)$  are equal to one. Finally, let

$$\begin{aligned} G_+^n &= \{G(\Delta^n) \mid \Delta^n \text{ is an } n\text{-dimensional simplex in } \mathbb{S}^n\}, \\ G_0^n &= \{G(\Delta^n) \mid \Delta^n \text{ is an } n\text{-dimensional simplex in } \mathbb{E}^n\}, \\ G_-^n &= \{G(\Delta^n) \mid \Delta^n \text{ is an } n\text{-dimensional simplex in } \mathbb{H}^n\} \quad \text{and} \\ G^n &= G_+^n \cup G_0^n \cup G_-^n. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma summarizes some of the major properties of the sets  $G_+^n, G_0^n, G_-^n$  and  $G^n$  that have been studied on several occasions including the papers of Coxeter [4], Milnor [7] and Vinberg [8].

- Lemma 1.** (1) *The determinant of  $G(\Delta^n)$  is either positive or zero or negative depending on whether the simplex  $\Delta^n$  is spherical or Euclidean or hyperbolic.*  
 (2)  *$G^n$  is a convex open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ . (Note that the affine space consisting of all symmetric unidiagonal  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrices has dimension  $N = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ .)*  
 (3)  *$G_0^n$  is an  $(N - 1)$ -dimensional topological cell that cuts  $G^n$  into two open subcells  $G_+^n$  and  $G_-^n$ .*  
 (4)  *$G_+^n$  (resp.,  $G_+^n \cup G_0^n$ ) is a convex open (resp. convex closed) set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .*

We will need the following property for our proof of Theorem 1 that seems to be a new property of  $G_+^n$  (resp.,  $G_+^n \cup G_0^n$ ) not yet mentioned in the literature. It is useful to introduce the notations  $\mathbb{R}_{<0}^N = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \mid x_i < 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq N\}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \mid x_i \leq 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ .

**Lemma 2.**  *$G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N$  (resp.,  $(G_+^n \cup G_0^n) \cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$ ) is a convex corner i.e. if  $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N) \in G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N$  (resp.,  $g \in (G_+^n \cup G_0^n) \cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$ ), then for any  $g' = (g'_1, g'_2, \dots, g'_N)$  with  $g_1 \leq g'_1 < 0, \dots, g_N \leq g'_N < 0$  (resp.,  $g_1 \leq g'_1 \leq 0, \dots, g_N \leq g'_N \leq 0$ ) we have that  $g' \in G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N$  (resp.  $g' \in (G_+^n \cup G_0^n) \cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$ ).*

*Proof.* Due to Lemma 1 it is sufficient to check the claim of Lemma 2 for the set  $G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N$  only.

Let  $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N) \in G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N$ . Then it is sufficient to show that for any  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N$  with  $g_1 \leq \varepsilon_1 < 0, g_2 \leq \varepsilon_2 < 0, \dots, g_N \leq \varepsilon_N < 0$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g^1 &= (\varepsilon_1, g_2, \dots, g_N) \in G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N, \\ g^2 &= (g_1, \varepsilon_2, g_3, \dots, g_N) \in G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N, \\ &\vdots \\ g^N &= (g_1, \dots, g_{N-1}, \varepsilon_N) \in G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

(Namely, it is easy to see that (5) and the convexity of  $G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N$  imply that  $G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N$  is indeed a convex corner. Although it is not needed here, for the sake of completeness we note that the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is in fact, an interior point of  $G_+^n$ .) Let  $\Delta^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional simplex of  $\mathbb{S}^n$  whose Gram matrix  $G(\Delta^n) = [g_{ij}(\Delta^n)]$  corresponds to  $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N)$  i.e.

$$(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N) = (-\cos \alpha_{01}, -\cos \alpha_{02}, \dots, -\cos \alpha_{0n}, -\cos \alpha_{12}, \dots, -\cos \alpha_{(n-1)n}).$$

As  $g \in G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N$  we have that  $0 < \alpha_{01} < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 < \alpha_{02} < \frac{\pi}{2}, \dots, 0 < \alpha_{0n} < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 < \alpha_{12} < \frac{\pi}{2}, \dots, 0 < \alpha_{(n-1)n} < \frac{\pi}{2}$ . In order to show that  $g^1 = (\varepsilon_1, g_2, \dots, g_N) \in G_+^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{<0}^N$  we have to show the existence of an  $n$ -dimensional simplex  $\Delta_1^n$  of  $\mathbb{S}^n$  with dihedral angles  $\arccos(-\varepsilon_1), \alpha_{02}, \dots, \alpha_{0n}, \alpha_{12}, \dots, \alpha_{(n-1)n}$ . (As the task left for the remaining parts of (5) is the same we do not give details of that here.) We will show the existence of  $\Delta_1^n$  via polarity. Let  $^*\Delta^n = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^n \mid x \cdot y \leq 0 \text{ for all } y \in \Delta^n\}$  be the spherical polar of  $\Delta^n$ , where  $x \cdot y$  denotes the inner product of the unit vectors  $x$  and  $y$ . As it is well-known  $^*\Delta^n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional simplex of  $\mathbb{S}^n$  with edglength  $\pi - \alpha_{01}, \pi - \alpha_{02}, \dots, \pi - \alpha_{0n}, \pi - \alpha_{12}, \dots, \pi - \alpha_{(n-1)n}$  each being larger than  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Let  $F$  be the  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional face of  $^*\Delta^n$  disjoint from the edge of length  $\pi - \alpha_{01}$  of  $^*\Delta^n$ . Let  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  be the endpoints of the edge of length  $\pi - \alpha_{01}$  of  $^*\Delta^n$ . By assumption  $\frac{\pi}{2} < \pi - \arccos(-\varepsilon_1) \leq \pi - \alpha_{01} < \pi$ . Now, rotate  $v_1$  towards  $v_0$  about the  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional greatsphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$  of  $F$  in  $\mathbb{S}^n$  until the rotated image  $\bar{v}_1$  of  $v_1$  becomes a point of the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional greatsphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of the facet of  $^*\Delta^n$  disjoint from  $v_1$ . Obviously, the above rotation about  $\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$  decreases the (spherical) distance  $v_0v_1$  in a continuous way. We claim via continuity that there is a rotated image say,  $v_{01}$  of  $v_1$  such that the spherical distance  $v_0v_{01}$  is equal to  $\pi - \arccos(-\varepsilon_1)$ . Namely, the  $n + 1$  points formed by  $v_0, \bar{v}_1$  and the vertices of  $F$  all belong to an open hemisphere of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  with the property that all pairwise spherical distances different from  $v_0\bar{v}_1$  are larger than  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . (Here we assume that  $v_0$  and  $\bar{v}_1$  are distinct since if they coincide, then the existence of  $v_{01}$  is trivial.) But, then a theorem of Davenport and Hajós [5] implies that  $v_0\bar{v}_1 \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  and so, the existence of  $v_{01}$  follows. Thus, the spherical polar of the  $n$ -dimensional simplex of  $\mathbb{S}^n$  spanned by  $v_0, v_{01}$  and  $F$  gives us  $\Delta_1^n$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 2. □

Now, we are in a position to show that  $G_-^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  is monotone-path connected.

**Lemma 3.**  *$G_-^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  is monotone-path connected in the following strong sense: if  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_N) \in G_-^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  and  $g' = (g'_1, \dots, g'_N) \in G_-^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  with  $g'_1 \leq g_1, \dots, g'_N \leq g_N$ , then  $[\lambda g' + (1 - \lambda)g] \in G_-^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  for all  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 1 implies that  $[\lambda g' + (1 - \lambda)g] \in G^n$  for all  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and so it is sufficient to prove that  $[\lambda g' + (1 - \lambda)g] \notin G_+^n \cup G_0^n$  for all  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . As  $g \notin G_+^n \cup G_0^n$  and  $G_+^n \cup G_0^n$  is convex moreover,  $(G_+^n \cup G_0^n) \cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  is a convex corner (Lemma 2) therefore there exists a supporting hyperplane  $H$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  that touches  $G_+^n \cup G_0^n$  at some point  $h \in G_0^n \cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  and is disjoint from  $g$  and separates  $g$  from  $G_+^n \cup G_0^n$ . In fact, using again the convex corner property of  $(G_+^n \cup G_0^n) \cap \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  we get that  $H$  separates  $h + \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  from  $G_+^n \cup G_0^n$  and therefore  $H$  separates  $g + \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  from  $G_+^n \cup G_0^n$  as well. Finally, notice that  $g' \in g + \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  and  $g + \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  is disjoint from  $H$  and therefore  $g + \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^N$  is disjoint from  $G_+^n \cup G_0^n$ . This finishes the proof of Lemma 3. □

Now, we are ready to give a proof of the following volume monotonicity property of hyperbolic simplices.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be nonobtuse-angled  $n$ -dimensional hyperbolic simplices. If each inner dihedral angle of  $Q$  is at least as large as the corresponding inner dihedral angle of  $P$ , then the  $n$ -dimensional hyperbolic volume of  $P$  is at least as large as that of  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* By moving to the space of Gram matrices of  $n$ -dimensional hyperbolic simplices and then applying Lemma 3 we get that there exists a smooth one-parameter family  $P(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  of nonobtuse-angled  $n$ -dimensional hyperbolic simplices with the property that  $P(0) = P$  and  $P(1) = Q$  moreover, if  $\alpha_{01}(t), \alpha_{02}(t), \dots, \alpha_{0n}(t), \alpha_{12}(t), \dots, \alpha_{(n-1)n}(t)$  denote the inner dihedral angles of  $P(t)$ , then  $\alpha_{ij}(t)$  is a monotone increasing function of  $t$  for all  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ . Now, Schläfli's classical differential formula [7] yields that

$$(6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Vol}_n(P(t)) = \frac{-1}{n-1} \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \text{Vol}_{n-2}(F_{ij}(t)) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \alpha_{ij}(t),$$

where  $F_{ij}(t)$  denotes the  $(n-2)$ -dimensional face of  $P(t)$  on which the dihedral angle  $\alpha_{ij}(t)$  sits and  $\text{Vol}_n(\cdot), \text{Vol}_{n-2}(\cdot)$  refer to the corresponding dimensional volume measures. Thus, as  $\frac{d}{dt} \alpha_{ij}(t) \geq 0$  (6) implies that  $\frac{d}{dt} \text{Vol}_n(P(t)) \leq 0$  and so indeed  $P(0) \geq P(1)$ , finishing the proof of Theorem 2. □

**Case 2.** The combinatorial type of  $P$  and  $Q$  is different from that of a tetrahedron.

First, recall the following classical theorem of Andreev [1].

**Andreev Theorem.** *A nonobtuse-angled compact convex polyhedron of a given simple combinatorial type, different from that of a tetrahedron and having given inner dihedral angles exists in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) *if 3 faces meet at a vertex, then the sum of the inner dihedral angles between them is larger than  $\pi$ ;*
- (2) *if 3 faces are pairwise adjacent but, not concurrent, then the sum of the inner dihedral angles between them is smaller than  $\pi$ ;*
- (3) *if 4 faces are cyclically adjacent, then at least one of the dihedral angles between them is different from  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ;*
- (4) *(for triangular prism only) one of the angles formed by the lateral faces with the bases must be different from  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .*

Second, observe that Andreev theorem implies that the space of the inner dihedral angles of nonobtuse-angled compact convex polyhedra of a given combinatorial type different from that of a tetrahedron in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is an open convex set. As a result we get that if  $P$  and  $Q$  are given as in Theorem 1 and are different from a tetrahedron, then there exists a smooth one-parameter family  $P(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  of nonobtuse-angled compact convex polyhedra of the same simple combinatorial type as of  $P$  and  $Q$  with the property that  $P(0) = P$  and  $P(1) = Q$  moreover, if  $\alpha_E(t)$  denotes the inner dihedral angle of  $P(t)$  which sits over the edge corresponding to

the edge  $E$  of  $P$ , then  $\alpha_E(t)$  is a monotone increasing function of  $t$  for all edges  $E$  of  $P$ . Applying Schläfli's differential formula [7] to the smooth one-parameter family  $P(t)$  we get that

$$(7) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Vol}(P(t)) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{E_t} \text{length}(E_t) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \alpha_E(t)$$

where  $E_t$  denotes the edge of  $P(t)$  corresponding to the edge  $E$  of  $P$  and  $E$  (resp.,  $E_t$ ) runs over all edges of  $P$  (resp.,  $P(t)$ ). Hence, as  $\frac{d}{dt} \alpha_E(t) \geq 0$  (7) implies that  $\frac{d}{dt} \text{Vol}(P(t)) \leq 0$  and so indeed  $P(0) \geq P(1)$ , completing the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Andreev, E. M.: *On convex polyhedra in Lobachevskii spaces*. Math. USSR-Sb. **10** (1970), 413–440. [Zbl 0217.46801](#)
- [2] Andreev, E. M.: *Convex polyhedra of finite volume in Lobachevskii space*. Math. USSR-Sb. **12** (1970), 255–259. [Zbl 0252.52005](#)
- [3] Andreev, E. M.: *The intersection of the plane boundaries of a polytope with acute angles*. Mat. Zametki **8** (1970), 761–764. [Zbl 0209.26506](#)
- [4] Coxeter, H. S. M.: *The polytopes with regular-prismatic vertex figures, II*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **34** (1932), 126–189. [JFM 58.1212.02](#) and [Zbl 0005.07602](#)
- [5] Davenport, H.; Hajós, G.: *Problem 35*. Mat. Lapok **III/1** (1952), 94–95.
- [6] Marden, A.; Rodin, B.: *On Thurston's formulation and proof of Andreev's theorem*. Lect. Notes Math. **1435**, Springer-Verlag 1989, 103–115. [Zbl 0717.52014](#)
- [7] Milnor, J.: *The Schläfli differential equality*. In: Collected papers, Vol. 1, Houston: Publish or Perish 1994. [Zbl 0857.01015](#)
- [8] Vinberg, E. B.: *Discrete groups generated by reflections in Lobachevskii spaces*. Math. USSR-Sb. **1** (1967), 429–444. cf. Mat. Sb., N. Ser. 72(114), 471–488, 73(115), 303 (1967). [Zbl 0166.16303](#)

Received September 15, 2004