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#### Abstract

We study the 3-dimensional contact metric manifolds equipped with constant $\xi$-sectional curvature and $\phi$-sectional curvature or constant norm of the Ricci operator.
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## 1. Introduction

D. E. Blair in [2], [3] constructed a family of examples of $(3-\tau)$-manifolds which do not satisfy the condition $Q \phi=\phi Q$. The existence of these examples depends on the constancy of the $\xi$-sectional curvature. After this remark the following question raises:
Question 1: Does every $(3-\tau)$-manifold with constant $\xi$-sectional curvature satisfy the condition $Q \phi=\phi Q$ ?
S. Tanno in [16] stated the problem about the existence of ( $2 n+1$ )-dimensional contact metric manifolds of constant $\phi$-sectional curvature, which are not Sasakian. Positive answers have been given by D. E. Blair, Th. Koufogiorgos and R. Sharma in [5], for 3-dimensional contact metric manifolds satisfying $Q \phi=\phi Q$, Th. Koufogiorgos in [14], for ( $\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric
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manifolds of dimension greater than 3 and D. E. Blair, Th. Koufogiorgos and B. Papantoniou in [4] for $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifolds of dimension 3. In [4] the authors, extending the Tanno's problem showed that there exist $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifolds of dimension 3 which do not belong to the class of the manifolds satisfying $Q \phi=\phi Q$.

Extending Tanno's problem and the result of [4] we can state the following:
Question 2: Do there exist 3-dimensional contact metric manifolds of constant $\phi$-sectional curvature, which do not belong to the class of $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifolds?
Combination of the above mentioned questions leads us to the study of 3-dimensional contact metric manifolds of constant $\xi$-sectional and $\phi$-sectional curvature.

The main goal of the present paper (Theorem 15) is the proof of the existence of two new classes of 3 -dimensional contact metric manifolds with constant $\xi$-sectional and constant $\phi$-sectional curvatures, which do not belong to the up to date well known classes ([4], [5]).
D. E. Blair, Th. Koufogiorgos and R. Sharma in [5] proved that a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold satisfying $Q \phi=\phi Q$ is flat or Sasakian or a manifold with constant $\phi$ sectional curvature $k$ and constant $\xi$-sectional curvature $-k$. In the present paper we prove the converse and so we can state the argument: A non-flat, non-Sasakian 3-dimensional contact metric manifold satisfies $Q \phi=\phi Q$ if and only if it has constant $\phi$-sectional curvature $k$ and constant $\xi$-sectional curvature $-k$.

Complete, conformally flat Riemannian manifolds with constant scalar curvature and the norm of the Ricci tensor bounded (respectively constant) were classified by Goldberg ([8]) in general dimension (respectively, by Cheng, Ishikawa and Shiohama [7] in dimension 3). On the other hand the first author and R. Sharma in [10] proved that a conformally flat, contact metric 3 -manifold with Ricci curvature vanishing along the characteristic vector field $\xi$ and the norm of its Ricci tensor being constant, is flat. Therefore, it is interesting to study 3 -dimensional contact metric manifolds equipped with more general conditions: constant $\xi$-sectional curvature and constant norm of the Ricci operator along $\xi$.

## 2. Preliminaries

A contact metric manifold $M^{2 n+1} \equiv M^{2 n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold on which has been defined globally a $(1,1)$ tensor field $\phi$, a vector field $\xi$ (characteristic vector field), a 1 -form $\eta$ (contact form) and a Riemannian metric $g$ (associated metric) which satisfy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{2} & =-I+\eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi)=1, \quad \eta(X)=g(X, \xi) \\
g(\phi X, \phi Y) & =g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad d \eta(X, Y)=g(X, \phi Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M^{2 n+1}$. The structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called contact metric structure.

Denoting by $L$ and $R$ the Lie derivation and the curvature tensor respectively, we define the operators $l$ and $h$ by

$$
l:=R(., \xi) \xi, \quad \eta:=\frac{1}{2} L_{\xi} \phi .
$$

The tensors $l$ and $h$ are self-adjoint and satisfy

$$
h \xi=l \xi=0, \quad \eta \circ h=0, \quad \operatorname{Tr} h=\operatorname{Tr} h \phi=0, \quad h \phi+\phi h=0
$$

On every contact metric manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ the following formulas hold

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta \circ \phi & =0, \quad \phi \xi=0, \quad d \eta(\xi, X)=0, \quad \nabla_{\xi} \phi=0 \\
\nabla_{X} \xi & =-\phi X-\phi h X \quad\left(\Rightarrow \nabla_{\xi} \xi=0\right), \quad \phi l \phi-l=2\left(\phi^{2}+h^{2}\right)  \tag{1}\\
\nabla_{\xi} h & =\phi-\phi l-\phi h^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Trl}=g(Q \xi, \xi)=2 n-t r h^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla$ is the Riemannian connection. On $M^{2 n+1} \times \mathbf{R}$ we can define an almost complex structure $J$ by $J\left(X, f \frac{d}{d t}\right)=\left(\phi X-f \xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{d t}\right)$, where $f$ is a real-valued function. If $J$ is integrable, then the contact metric structure is said to be normal and $M^{2 n+1}$ is called Sasakian. A 3-dimensional contact metric manifold is Sasakian if and only if $h=0$, ([1]).

The sectional curvature $K(X, \xi)$ of a plain section spanned by $\xi$ and a vector field $X$ orthogonal to $\xi$ is called $\xi$-sectional curvature. The sectional curvature $K(X, \phi X)$ of a plain section spanned by the vector field $X$ (orthogonal to $\xi$ ) and $\phi X$ is called $\phi$-sectional curvature.

It is well known that on every 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold the curvature tensor $R(X, Y) Z$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z= & g(Y, Z) Q X-g(X, Z) Q Y+g(Q Y, Z) X-g(Q X, Z) Y \\
& -\frac{S}{2}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y] \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q$ is the Ricci operator, $S(=\operatorname{Tr} Q)$ is the scalar curvature and $X, Y$ and $Z$ are arbitrary vector fields.

A 3-dimensional contact metric manifold satisfing $\nabla_{\xi} \tau=0,\left(\tau=L_{\xi} g\right)$ is called $(3-\tau)$ manifold, ([11]).

A contact metric manifold $M^{2 n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called $(\kappa, \mu)$-contact metric manifold ([4]) if it satisfies the condition

$$
R(X, Y) \xi=\kappa[\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y]+\mu[\eta(Y) h X-\eta(X) h Y]
$$

where $\kappa$ and $\mu$ are real constants and $X, Y$ are vector fields on $M^{2 n+1}$.

## 3. Auxiliary results

Let $M^{3}$ be a 3 -dimensional contact metric manifold. If $e \in \operatorname{ker}(\eta)$ is a unit eigenvector of $h$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$, then $\phi e$ is also an eigenvector of $h$ with eigenvalue $-\lambda$. Hence, $(e, \phi e, \xi)$ is an orthonormal frame on $M^{3}$.

Since $e$ and $\phi e$ are unit vector fields orthogonal to $\xi$, we see that

$$
\nabla_{\xi} e=a \phi e, \quad \nabla_{\xi} \phi e=-\alpha e
$$

for some function $a$ on $M^{3}$. The orthogonality of $e, \phi e$ and $\xi$ implies

$$
\nabla_{e} e=b \phi e, \quad \nabla_{\phi e} \phi e=c e, \quad \nabla_{e} \phi e=-b e+(\lambda+1) \xi, \quad \nabla_{\phi e} e=-c \phi e+(\lambda-1) \xi
$$

where $b$ and $c$ are functions on $M^{3}$. Finally, from (1) we have

$$
\nabla_{e} \xi=-(1+\lambda) \phi e, \quad \nabla_{\phi e} \xi=(1-\lambda) e .
$$

Therefore, we can state the following
Lemma 1. Let $M^{3}$ be 3-dimensional contact metric manifold. Then, the following formulas hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\xi} e & =a \phi e, \quad \nabla_{\xi} \phi e=-\alpha e, \quad \nabla_{e} e=b \phi e, \quad \nabla_{\phi e} \phi e=c e, \\
\nabla_{e} \phi e & =-b e+(\lambda+1) \xi, \quad \nabla_{\phi e} e=-c \phi e+(\lambda-1) \xi,  \tag{3}\\
\nabla_{e} \xi & =-(1+\lambda) \phi e, \quad \nabla_{\phi e} \xi=(1-\lambda) e,
\end{align*}
$$

where $a, b$ and $c$ are functions on $M^{3}$.
Proposition 2. Let $M^{3}$ be 3-dimensional contact metric manifold of constant $\xi$-sectional curvature $k$. Then, $M^{3}$ is $(3-\tau)$-manifold with constant Trl.

Proof. By straightforward computation using (3) and $\nabla_{\xi} \xi=0$ we obtain

$$
l e=\left(1-\lambda^{2}-2 \alpha \lambda\right) e+(\xi \cdot \lambda) \phi e, \quad l \phi e=\left(1-\lambda^{2}+2 \alpha \lambda\right) \phi e+(\xi \cdot \lambda) e,
$$

and hence

$$
1-\lambda^{2}-2 \alpha \lambda=k, \quad 1-\lambda^{2}+2 \alpha \lambda=k
$$

Adding the above two relations we obtain $2\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)=2 k$. Because of $\operatorname{Tr} l=2\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)([5])$ we have $\operatorname{Trl}=$ constant. Subtracting the same relations we obtain $\alpha \lambda=0$, that is $\alpha=0$ or $\lambda=0$.

If $\lambda=0$, then $M^{3}$ is Sasakian, which is trivially $(3-\tau)$-manifold ([5]).
Suppose that $a=0$. Taking into account that $\operatorname{Trl}=$ constant we obtain that $\nabla_{\xi} h=0$.
This relation and ([11]) complete the proof.
Proposition 2 and Theorem 3.2 of [12] imply the following
Corollary 3. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional, conformally flat, contact metric manifold of constant $\xi$-sectional curvature. Then, $M^{3}$ is either flat or a Sasakian space form.

Proposition 2 and Theorem 3.1 of [14] imply the following
Corollary 4. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold of constant $\xi$-sectional curvature satisfing $R(e, \xi) \cdot R=0$. Then, $M^{3}$ is either flat or a Sasakian manifold.

Proposition 2 and Theorem 3.1 of [13] imply the following
Corollary 5. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold of constant $\xi$-sectional curvature satisfing $R(e, \xi) \cdot C=0$. Then, $M^{3}$ is either flat or a Sasakian manifold.

Proposition 2 and Theorem 5.1 of [11] imply the following

Corollary 6. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold with constant $\xi$-sectional curvature and $\eta$-parallel Ricci tensor. Then, $M^{3}$ is either flat or a Sasakian space form.

Proposition 2 and Theorem 6.2 of [11] imply the following
Corollary 7. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold with constant $\xi$-sectional curvature and cyclic $\eta$-parallel Ricci tensor. Then, $M^{3}$ is either flat or a Sasakian manifold with constant scalar curvature or of constant $\xi$-sectional curvature $k<1$ and constant $\phi$ sectional curvature $-k$.

Lemma 1, Proposition 2 and [11] imply:
Lemma 8. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold with constant $\xi$-sectional curvature. Then, the following formulas hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\xi} e & =\nabla_{\xi} \phi e=0, \quad \nabla_{e} e=b \phi e, \quad \nabla_{\phi e} \phi e=c e \\
\nabla_{e} \phi e & =-b e+(\lambda+1) \xi, \quad \nabla_{\phi e} e=-c \phi e+(\lambda-1) \xi,  \tag{4}\\
\nabla_{e} \xi & =-(1+\lambda) \phi e, \quad \nabla_{\phi e} \xi=(1-\lambda) e
\end{align*}
$$

where $a, b$ and $c$ are functions on $M^{3}$ and $\lambda$ is a constant.
Proposition 2 and [6] (relations 2.16) yield
Lemma 9. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold with constant $\xi$-sectional curvature. Then, the following formulas hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q e=\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right) e+2 \lambda b \xi, \quad \eta(Q e)=2 \lambda b, \\
Q \phi e=\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right) \phi e+2 \lambda c \xi, \quad \eta(Q \phi e)=2 \lambda c,  \tag{5}\\
Q \xi=2 \lambda b e+2 \lambda c \phi e+2\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right) \xi
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 10. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold with constant $\xi$-sectional curvature. Then, either $l=0$, or the following relations are equivalent: $b=0, c=0$.

Proof. Suppose that $l$ is not identically equal to zero on $M^{3}$. Let $\lambda^{2} \neq 1$ on an open neighborhood U at a point $p \in M^{3}$, where $l \neq 0$. Applying the Jacobi's identity for the vector fields $e, \phi e, \xi$ and taking into account the relation (4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \cdot b=(\lambda-1) c, \quad \xi \cdot c=(\lambda+1) b . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $b=0$ (or $c=0$ ) on $M^{3}$. Then, from the first (or the second) of (6) we conclude that $c=0($ or $b=0)$ on U. So, $c=0,(b=0)$ on $M^{3}$.

Remark 11. On a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold $M^{3}$, we have $b=c=0$ if and only if $Q \phi=\phi Q$, ([11]).

## 4. Main results

Theorem 12. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold with constant $\xi$-sectional curvature. Then, either $M^{3}$ is Sasakian or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \cdot \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S=4\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)(\xi \cdot S) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $l=0$ on $M^{3}$, then $\lambda^{2}=1$ and $\xi \cdot \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S=0([9])$.
Suppose that $M^{3}$ is not Sasakian and $l$ is not identically equal to zero. So, let $\lambda^{2} \neq 0,1$ on an open neighborhood $U$ of a point $p \in M^{3}$. Applying the second Bianchi's identity for the vector fields $e, \phi e$ and $\xi$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \cdot b+\phi e \cdot c-\frac{1}{4 \lambda} \xi \cdot S=2 b c . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating the above equation along $\xi$ and taking into account (6) we obtain

$$
\xi \cdot e \cdot b+\xi \cdot \phi e \cdot c-\frac{1}{4 \lambda} \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S=2(\lambda-1) c^{2}+2(\lambda+1) b^{2}
$$

Next, differentiating the first and the second equations of (6) with respect to $e$ and $\phi e$ respectively and adding the results we get

$$
e \cdot \xi \cdot b+\phi e \cdot \xi \cdot c=(\lambda-1) e \cdot c+(\lambda+1) \phi e \cdot b
$$

Hence,

$$
[\xi, e] b+[\xi, \phi e] c=\frac{1}{4 \lambda} \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S+2(\lambda-1) c^{2}+2(\lambda+1) b^{2}+(1-\lambda) e \cdot c-(\lambda+1) \phi e \cdot b .
$$

The above equation using (4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda+1) \phi e \cdot b+(\lambda-1) e \cdot c=\frac{1}{8 \lambda} \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S+\lambda\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)+b^{2}-c^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating again (9) along $\xi$ and taking into account (6) and (8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda+1) \xi \cdot \phi e \cdot b+(\lambda-1) \xi \cdot e \cdot c=\frac{1}{8 \lambda} \xi \cdot \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S+4\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right) b c . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\lambda^{2} \neq 1$ on $U$ we obtain from (6) and (8)

$$
(\lambda+1) \phi e \cdot \xi \cdot b+(\lambda-1) e \cdot \xi \cdot c=\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)\left[\frac{1}{4 \lambda} \xi \cdot S+2 b c\right] .
$$

Subtracting the above equation from (10) and using (4) the seeking formula follows at once.
Theorem 13. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold with constant $\xi$-sectional curvature. If the norm of the Ricci operator is constant along $\xi$, then either $Q \phi=\phi Q$ or $l=0$ with constant scalar curvature and $\eta(Q X)=0$ for all eigenvectors $X \in \operatorname{ker}(\eta)$ of $h$ with eigenvalue 1.

Proof. The square of the norm of the Ricci operator $Q$ is $\operatorname{Tr} Q^{2}=g\left(Q^{2} e, e\right)+g\left(Q^{2} \phi e, \phi e\right)+$ $g\left(Q^{2} \xi, \xi\right)$ and is computed using (5) and turns out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)^{2}+4 \lambda^{2}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)+2\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)^{2}=\psi \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is a smooth function on $M^{3}$ being constant along $\xi$.
Suppose that $l=0$. Then, $\lambda^{2}=1$ and (11) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S^{2}}{4}+4\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)=\psi \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating three times the equation (12) along $\xi$ and taking into account (6) and (7) for $\lambda=1$ we obtain respectively

$$
\begin{gather*}
S(\xi \cdot S)+32 b c=0 \\
S(\xi \cdot \xi \cdot S)+(\xi \cdot S)^{2}+64 b^{2}=0,  \tag{13}\\
(\xi \cdot S)(\xi \cdot \xi \cdot S)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, $\xi \cdot S=0$. or $\xi \cdot \xi \cdot S=0$.
Supposing $\xi \cdot S=0$ from the first of (13) we have $b=0$ or $c=0$.
If $b=0$, from (5) we obtain $\eta(Q e)=0$.
If $c=0$ then (6) implies $b=0$ that is $Q \phi=\phi Q$. In this case the manifold is flat.
If $\xi \cdot \xi \cdot S=0$ then from (13) we have $\xi \cdot S=0$ and $b=0$.
If $M^{3}$ is Sasakian then it is known that we have $Q \phi=\phi Q$.
Suppose that $M^{3}$ is not Sasakian with $l$ not identically equal to zero. So, let be $\lambda^{2} \neq 0,1$ on an open neighborhood U of a point $p \in M^{3}$. Hence, we can write the equation (11) in the form

$$
b^{2}+c^{2}=\frac{\psi}{4 \lambda^{2}}+\frac{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)^{2}}{2 \lambda^{2}}-\frac{\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)^{2}}{4 \lambda^{2}} .
$$

Differentiating the above equation along $\xi$ and taking into account (6) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b c=-\frac{1}{16 \lambda^{2}}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)(\xi \cdot S) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating two times the relation (14) with respect to $\xi$ and using (6) and (14) we have

$$
(\xi \cdot S)\left[8\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)-1-\xi \cdot \xi \cdot S\right]=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \cdot S=0 \text { or } \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S=8\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)-1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Supposing $\xi \cdot S=0$, the equation (14) yields $b=0$ or $c=0$ on $U$ and hence $b=0$ or $c=0$ on $M^{3}$. Both cases using (6) imply $Q \phi=\phi Q$.

If the second of (15) holds on U , differentiating this relation along $\xi$ and using Theorem 12 we obtain $\xi \cdot S=0$ and therefore $Q \phi=\phi Q$.

Proposition 14. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional non-Sasakian contact metric manifold with constant $\xi$-sectional curvature. If l is not identically equal to zero then the following formulas hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
e \cdot b=\frac{1}{8 \lambda} \xi \cdot S+b c+\Phi  \tag{16}\\
\phi e \cdot b=\frac{1}{16 \lambda} \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S+\frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)+b^{2},  \tag{17}\\
e \cdot c=-\frac{1}{16 \lambda} \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S+\frac{1}{2}(1+\lambda)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)+c^{2},  \tag{18}\\
\phi e \cdot c=\frac{1}{8 \lambda} \xi \cdot S+b c-\Phi . \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Phi$ is a smooth function on $M^{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi \cdot \Phi=0  \tag{20}\\
e \cdot \Phi=\frac{1}{16 \lambda}[\phi e \cdot \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S-2 b(\xi \cdot \xi \cdot S)+2(e \cdot \xi \cdot S)-4 c(\xi \cdot S)- \\
 \tag{21}\\
-4 \lambda(\lambda+1)(\phi e \cdot S)]+(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3\right) b+4 c \Phi \\
\phi e \cdot \Phi=\frac{1}{16 \lambda}[e \cdot \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S-2 c(\xi \cdot \xi \cdot S)-2(\phi e \cdot \xi \cdot S)+4 b(\xi \cdot S)+  \tag{22}\\
\\
\\
+4 \lambda(1-\lambda)(e \cdot S)]+(\lambda-1)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3\right) c+4 b \Phi .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Calculating $R(e, \phi e) \xi$ firstly by straightforward computation using Lemma 8 and secondly from the relation (2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi e \cdot b+e \cdot c=b^{2}+c^{2}+\lambda^{2}-1+\frac{S}{2} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (23) and (9) the relations (17) and (18) follow at once.
Differentiating (17) first with respect to $\xi$ (respectively with respect to $e$ ) and secondly with respect to $e$ (respectively with respect to $\xi$ ) and using (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \cdot e \cdot \phi e \cdot b=\frac{\lambda-1}{4 \lambda} e \cdot \xi \cdot S+2(\lambda-1)[e \cdot(b c)] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
e \cdot \xi \cdot \phi e \cdot b= & \frac{1}{16 \lambda}(\xi \cdot e \cdot \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S)+\frac{1-\lambda}{4}(\xi \cdot e \cdot S)+ \\
& +2 b(\xi \cdot e \cdot b)+2(\lambda-1) c(e \cdot b) . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiation of the relation (7) along $e$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{16 \lambda}(e \cdot \xi \cdot \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S)=\frac{\lambda^{2}-1}{4 \lambda}(e \cdot \xi \cdot S) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (25) and (26) and using Lemma 8 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi \cdot e \cdot \phi e \cdot b= & \frac{\lambda+1}{16 \lambda}(\phi e \cdot \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S)+\frac{\lambda^{2}-1}{4 \lambda}(e \cdot \xi \cdot S)+ \\
& +\frac{1-\lambda}{4}(\xi \cdot e \cdot S)+2 b(\xi \cdot e \cdot b)+2(\lambda-1) c(e \cdot b) . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtraction of (24) from (27) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
(\lambda+1) \phi e \cdot \phi e \cdot b= & \frac{\lambda+1}{16 \lambda}(\phi e \cdot \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S)+\frac{1-\lambda^{2}}{4}(\phi e \cdot S)+ \\
& +2 b(\xi \cdot e \cdot b)+2(1-\lambda) b(e \cdot c) . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand differentiation of (17) with respect to $\phi e$ using $\lambda^{2} \neq 1$ (since $l \neq 0$ ) implies

$$
(\lambda+1)(\phi e \cdot \phi e \cdot b)=\frac{\lambda+1}{16 \lambda}(\phi e \cdot \xi \cdot \xi \cdot S)+\frac{1-\lambda^{2}}{4}(\phi e \cdot S)+2(\lambda+1) b(\phi e \cdot b) .
$$

Comparing the above relation with (28) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=0, \quad \xi \cdot e \cdot b=(\lambda+1) \phi e \cdot b+(\lambda-1) e \cdot c . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b=0$ Lemma 10 implies $c=0$, therefore from Remark 11 we obtain $Q \phi=\phi Q$. In this case it has been proved ([5]) that $S=$ constant, which means that (16) and (19) are trivial ( $\Phi=0$ ).

Differentiating (18) first with respect to $\xi$ (respectively to $\phi e$ ) and secondly with respect to $\phi e$ (respectively to $\xi$ ) and following the technique used to prove the relation (29) we can show that either $Q \phi=\phi Q$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \cdot \phi e \cdot c=(\lambda+1) \phi e \cdot b+(\lambda-1) e \cdot c . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppose that the second of (29) and (30) hold on $M^{3}$.
Using (6), (17) and (18) we obtain

$$
\xi \cdot e \cdot b=\xi \cdot \phi e \cdot c=\frac{1}{8 \lambda}(\xi \cdot \xi \cdot S)+\xi \cdot(b c) .
$$

From the above relation and (23) the relations (16) and (19) follow at once.
Now we compute $[e, \phi e] b$ (respectively $[e, \phi e] c$ ) in two ways, first using (16) and (17) (respectively (18), (19)) as $e \cdot \phi e \cdot b-\phi e \cdot e \cdot b$ (respectively $e \cdot \phi e \cdot c-\phi e \cdot e \cdot c$ ), and secondly through (4), (6), (16) and (17) as $\left(\nabla_{e} \phi e-\nabla_{\phi e} e\right) b$ (respectively (4), (6), (18) and (19) as $\left(\nabla_{e} \phi e-\nabla_{\phi e} e\right) c$ ). Comparing the two resulting expressions we obtain (22) (respectively (21)).

Theorem 15. Let $M^{3}$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold with constant $\xi$-sectional curvature $k$ and constant $\phi$-sectional curvature $m$. Then, one of the following conditions holds:
(i) $M^{3}$ is Sasakian,
(ii) $Q \phi=\phi Q$, and $m=-k$,
(iii) $l=0$,
(iv) $k+m=\frac{2}{3}$,
(v) $k+m=-2$.

Proof. We suppose that $M^{3}$ is a non-Sasakian manifold with $l$ being not identically equal to zero.

It is known ([5]) that on every 3-dimensional contact metric manifold $K(e, \phi e)=\frac{S}{2}-$ Trl. Hence, this relation and Proposition 2 imply that $S=$ constant. In this case the relations (16), (17), (18), (19), (21) and (22) take the form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
e \cdot b=b c+\Phi  \tag{31}\\
\phi e \cdot b=b^{2}+\frac{1-\lambda}{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right),  \tag{32}\\
e \cdot c=c^{2}+\frac{1+\lambda}{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right),  \tag{33}\\
\phi e \cdot c=b c-\Phi  \tag{34}\\
e \cdot \Phi=(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3\right) b+4 c \Phi  \tag{35}\\
\phi e \cdot \Phi=(\lambda-1)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3\right) c+4 b \Phi . \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

Computing $[e, \phi e] \Phi$ in two different ways (as in the last part of the proof of Proposition 14), using (4), (20), (35) and (36) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 \Phi^{2}=\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3\right)\left[-4(\lambda+1) b^{2}+4(\lambda-1) c^{2}+\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)\right] . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (37) with respect to $e$ (respectively to $\phi e$ ) and taking into account (31), (33), (35) and (37) (respectively (32), (34), (36) and (37)) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3\right)\left[-(\lambda+1) b^{2} c+(\lambda-1) c^{3}+\frac{1-\lambda^{2}}{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right) c+(\lambda+1) b \Phi\right]=0, \\
& \left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3\right)\left[-(\lambda+1) b^{3}+(\lambda-1) b c^{2}+\frac{1-\lambda^{2}}{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right) b+(\lambda-1) c \Phi\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, either

$$
\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda+1) b \Phi=c\left[(\lambda+1) b^{2}+(1-\lambda) c^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2}-1}{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)\right]=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda-1) c \Phi=b\left[(\lambda+1) b^{2}+(1-\lambda) c^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2}-1}{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)\right]=0 . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3=0$, then using $K(e, \phi e)=\frac{S}{2}-\operatorname{Tr} l, \operatorname{Tr} l=2\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)$ and $K(e, \xi)=\frac{\operatorname{Trl}}{2}$, we obtain $k+m=-2$.

In this case using [16] we conclude that if $k=-3$ and $m=1$, then $M^{3}$ is Sasakian. Also, for $k+m=-2$ and $m>1$ we obtain a new class of contact metric 3 -manifolds, which does not belong to the ( $\kappa, \mu$ )-contact metric manifolds, ([4]).

Suppose now that (38) and (39) hold. If $b=0$ (respectively $c=0$ ), then (6) implies $c=0$ (respectively $b=0$ ) and therefore $Q \phi=\phi Q$. In this case using [5] we have $m=-k$. If $b c \neq 0$, multiplying (38) with $b$ and (39) with $c$ we obtain

$$
\Phi\left[(\lambda+1) b^{2}+(1-\lambda) c^{2}\right]=0
$$

Case A: $\quad \Phi=0$.
The relation (37) yields

$$
(\lambda+1) b^{2}+(1-\lambda) c^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2}-1}{4}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)=0
$$

On the other hand the relation (38) yields

$$
(\lambda+1) b^{2}+(1-\lambda) c^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2}-1}{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)=0 .
$$

Comparing the last two relations we obtain either $\lambda^{2}=1$, a contradiction because of the assumption that $l$ is not identically equal to zero on $M^{3}$, or

$$
\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1=0 .
$$

From $\Phi=0,(31),(32),(33)$ and (34) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \cdot b=\phi e \cdot c=b c, \quad \phi e \cdot b=b^{2}, \quad \phi e \cdot c=c^{2} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Computing $[e, \phi e] b$ in two ways (by use of (4) and (40)) and comparing the results we obtain $\xi \cdot b=0$. Hence, from the assumption $\lambda^{2} \neq 1$ and (6) we obtain $b=c=0$, a contradiction.

Case B:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad(\lambda+1) b^{2}+(1-\lambda) c^{2}=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relations (38), (39) and (41) with the assumption $\lambda^{2} \neq 1$ yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& b \Phi=\frac{\lambda-1}{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right) c,  \tag{42}\\
& c \Phi=\frac{\lambda+1}{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right) b . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand (37) and (41) imply

$$
8 \Phi^{2}=\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3\right)\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-1\right)
$$

Hence, $\Phi=$ constant. This conclusion and the relations (35) and (36) yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 b \Phi=(1-\lambda)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3\right) c  \tag{44}\\
4 c \Phi=-(\lambda+1)\left(\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}-3\right) b \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

Comparing (42) with (44) or (43) with (45) we obtain

$$
\lambda^{2}+\frac{S}{2}=\frac{5}{3} .
$$

Taking into account the last relation, $K(e, \phi e)=\frac{S}{2}-\operatorname{Tr} l, \operatorname{Tr} l=2\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)$ and $K(e, \xi)=\frac{T r l}{2}$, we obtain $k+m=\frac{2}{3}$.

In this case using [16] we conclude that if $k=1$ and $m=-\frac{1}{3}$, then $M^{3}$ is Sasakian. Also, for $k+m=\frac{2}{3}$ and $m>-\frac{1}{3}$ we obtain a new class of contact metric 3 -manifolds, which does not belong to the ( $\kappa, \mu$ )-contact metric manifolds, ([4]).
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