



Subordination results for certain classes of analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator

C. Selvaraj

Department of Mathematics,
Presidency College (Autonomous),
Chennai-600 005, India
email: pamc9439@yahoo.co.in

K. A. Selvakumaran

Department of Mathematics,
Presidency College (Autonomous),
Chennai-600 005, India
email: selvaa1826@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we derive some subordination results for certain classes of analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator using the principle of subordination and a subordination theorem. Relevant connections of the results presented here with those obtained in earlier works are also pointed out.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. We denote by \mathcal{S} , \mathcal{S}^* , \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{C} , the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are, respectively, univalent, starlike, convex and close-to-convex in \mathcal{U} . For functions f given by (1) and g given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C80

Key words and phrases: analytic functions, univalent functions, Hadamard product (or convolution), subordination between analytic functions

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}(\gamma, \alpha)$ denote the class of functions in \mathcal{A} satisfying the inequality

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z) + \gamma z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\gamma)f(z) + \gamma z f'(z)}\right) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and γ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$), and let $\mathcal{C}(\gamma, \alpha)$ denote the class of functions in \mathcal{A} satisfying the inequality

$$\Re\left(\frac{\gamma z^3 f'''(z) + (2\gamma + 1)z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\gamma z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}\right) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and γ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$). We note that

$$f \in \mathcal{C}(\gamma, \alpha) \iff z f' \in \mathcal{T}(\gamma, \alpha).$$

The classes $\mathcal{T}(\gamma, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\gamma, \alpha)$ were introduced and investigated by O. Altıntaş [2], and M. Kamali and S. Akbulut [4], respectively.

Let $\mathcal{M}(\beta)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions f which satisfy the inequality

$$\Re\left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) < \beta, \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

for some β ($\beta > 1$), and let $\mathcal{N}(\beta)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions f which satisfy the inequality

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) < \beta, \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

for some β ($\beta > 1$). The classes $\mathcal{M}(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\beta)$ were introduced and investigated by S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava [6] (see also J. Nishiwaki and S. Owa [5], S. Owa and J. Nishiwaki [7], H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya [9]).

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s$ ($q, s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $q \leq s + 1$) be complex numbers such that $\beta_k \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. The generalized hypergeometric function ${}_qF_s$ is given by

$${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n (\alpha_2)_n \dots (\alpha_q)_n z^n}{(\beta_1)_n (\beta_2)_n \dots (\beta_s)_n n!}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

where $(x)_n$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(x)_n = x(x + 1)(x + 2) \cdots (x + n - 1) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (x)_0 = 1.$$

Corresponding to a function $\mathcal{G}_{q,s}^p(\alpha_1; \beta_1; z)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z) := z {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z),$$

we now define the following generalized differential operator:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\lambda\mu}^0(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) &= f(z) * \mathcal{G}_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z), \\ D_{\lambda\mu}^1(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) &= D_{\lambda\mu}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) = \lambda\mu z^2(f(z) * \mathcal{G}_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z))'' + \\ &\quad + (\lambda - \mu)z(f(z) * \mathcal{G}_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z))' + \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda + \mu)(f(z) * \mathcal{G}_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z)), \text{ and} \\ D_{\lambda\mu}^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) &= D_{\lambda\mu}(D_{\lambda\mu}^{m-1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, then we have

$$D_{\lambda\mu}^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \vartheta_n^m \sigma_n a_n z^n, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\vartheta_n = 1 + (\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n - 1) \tag{3}$$

and

$$\sigma_n = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1}(\alpha_2)_{n-1} \cdots (\alpha_q)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1}(\beta_2)_{n-1} \cdots (\beta_s)_{n-1} (n - 1)!}. \tag{4}$$

It can be seen that, by specializing the parameters the operator $D_{\lambda\mu}^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ reduces to many known and new differential operators. In particular, when $m = 0$ the operator $D_{\lambda\mu}^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ reduces to the well-known Dziok-Srivastava operator [3] and for $\mu = 0$, $q = 2$, $s = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$, and $\alpha_2 = 1$, it reduces to the operator introduced by F. M. Al-Oboudi [1]. Further we remark that, when $\lambda = 1$, $\mu = 0$, $q = 2$, $s = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$, and $\alpha_2 = 1$ the operator $D_{\lambda\mu}^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ reduces to the operator introduced by G. S. Sălăgean [8].

For simplicity, in the sequel, we will write $D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z)$ instead of $D_{\lambda\mu}^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$.

Motivated by the above mentioned function classes, we now introduce the following subclasses of \mathcal{A} involving the generalized differential operator $D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z)$.

Definition 1 A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \alpha)$ if it satisfies the following inequality

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+2}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z)} \right\} > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

where

$$m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

It is easy to see that the classes $\mathcal{T}(\gamma, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\gamma, \alpha)$ are special cases of the class $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \alpha)$.

Definition 2 A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \beta)$ if it satisfies the following inequality

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+2}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z)} \right\} < \beta, \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

where

$$m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \quad \beta > 1.$$

It is also easy to see that the classes $\mathcal{M}(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\beta)$ are special cases of the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \beta)$.

We now provide some coefficient inequalities associated with the function classes $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \beta)$.

2 Coefficient inequalities

Theorem 1 Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\gamma + \gamma\vartheta_n)(\vartheta_n - \alpha) \vartheta_n^m \sigma_n |a_n| \leq 1 - \alpha, \quad (5)$$

where ϑ_n and σ_n are given by (3) and (4) respectively, then $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \alpha)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+2}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Now we note that for any $z \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+2}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\gamma + \gamma\vartheta_n)(\vartheta_n - 1)\vartheta_n^m \sigma_n a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\gamma + \gamma\vartheta_n)\vartheta_n^m \sigma_n a_n z^{n-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\gamma + \gamma\vartheta_n)(\vartheta_n - 1)\vartheta_n^m \sigma_n |a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\gamma + \gamma\vartheta_n)\vartheta_n^m \sigma_n |a_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5) that the last expression is bounded by $1 - \alpha$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2 *Let $\beta > 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following coefficient inequality*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\gamma + \gamma\vartheta_n)(\vartheta_n + |\vartheta_n - 2\beta|) \vartheta_n^m \sigma_n |a_n| \leq 2(\beta - 1), \tag{6}$$

where ϑ_n and σ_n are given by (3) and (4) respectively, then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \beta)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+2}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z)} \right| < \left| \frac{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+2}f(z)}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z)} - 2\beta \right|, \tag{7}$$

where $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Now, we define $M \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} M &:= \left| (1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+2}f(z) \right| - \\ &\quad - \left| (1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+2}f(z) - 2\beta((1-\gamma)D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1}f(z)) \right| = \\ &= \left| z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1-\gamma)\vartheta_n^{m+1} + \gamma\vartheta_n^{m+2}] \sigma_n a_n z^n \right| - \\ &\quad - \left| z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1-\gamma)\vartheta_n^{m+1} + \gamma\vartheta_n^{m+2}] \sigma_n a_n z^n - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\beta \left\{ z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1-\gamma)\vartheta_n^m + \gamma\vartheta_n^{m+1}] \sigma_n a_n z^n \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M &\leq r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_n)\vartheta_n^{m+1}\sigma_n|a_n|r^n - \\ &\quad - \left[(2\beta - 1)r - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_n)|\vartheta_n - 2\beta|\vartheta_n^m\sigma_n|a_n|r^n \right] < \\ &< \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_n)(\vartheta_n + |\vartheta_n - 2\beta|)\vartheta_n^m\sigma_n|a_n| - 2(\beta - 1) \right) r. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (6) that $M < 0$, which implies that (7) holds. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

In view of Theorem (1) and Theorem (2), we now introduce the subclasses

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \beta) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \beta),$$

which consist of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ whose Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients satisfy the inequalities (5) and (6) respectively. We now derive some subordination results for the function classes $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \alpha)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \beta)$.

3 Subordination result for the class $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \beta)$

We will use of the following definitions and lemma to prove our result.

Definition 3 (*Subordination Principle*) Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in \mathcal{U} . Then we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in \mathcal{U} , and write

$$f \prec g \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) \prec g(z)$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in \mathcal{U} with

$$w(0) = 0, \quad |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

In particular, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathcal{U} , then

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}) \iff f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathcal{U}) \subset g(\mathcal{U}).$$

Definition 4 (*Subordinating Factor Sequence*) A sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever $f(z)$ of the form (1) is analytic, univalent and convex in \mathcal{U} , we have the subordination given by

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n b_n z^n \prec f(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; a_1 := 1).$$

Lemma 1 (See Wilf [11]) The sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$\Re\left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n z^n\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Theorem 3 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \alpha)$. If $g(z) \in \mathcal{K}$, then

$$\frac{(1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 - \alpha)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2}{2[(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 - \alpha)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2]} (f * g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad (8)$$

$$(z \in \mathcal{U}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1)$$

and

$$\Re(f) > -\frac{(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 - \alpha)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2}{(1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 - \alpha)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2}, \quad (9)$$

where ϑ_n and σ_n are given by (3) and (4) respectively. The constant factor in the subordination result (8)

$$\frac{(1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 - \alpha)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2}{2[(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 - \alpha)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2]}$$

cannot be replaced by a larger one.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \alpha)$ and suppose that

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty c_n z^n \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Then we readily have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 - \alpha)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2}{2[(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 - \alpha)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2]} (f * g)(z) = \\ & = \frac{(1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 - \alpha)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2}{2[(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 - \alpha)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2]} \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n c_n z^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Definition 4, the subordination result (8) will holds if

$$\left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2}{2[(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2]} a_n \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is a subordinating factor sequence, with $a_1 = 1$. In view of Lemma 1 this is equivalent to the following inequality:

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2}{(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2} a_n z^n \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \quad (10)$$

Since $(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_n)(\vartheta_n-\alpha)\vartheta_n^m\sigma_n$ ($n \geq 2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) is an increasing function of n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2}{(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2} a_n z^n \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2}{(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2} z \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2} \cdot \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2 a_n z^n \right\} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2}{(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2} r \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2} \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_n)(\vartheta_n-\alpha)\vartheta_n^m\sigma_n |a_n| r^n \\ &> 1 - \frac{(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2}{(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2} r \\ & \quad - \frac{1-\alpha}{(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2} r \\ &= 1-r > 0 \quad (|z|=r < 1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have also made use of the assertion (5) of Theorem 1. This evidently proves the inequality (10), and hence also the subordination result (8) asserted

by Theorem 3. The inequality (9) follows from (8) upon setting

$$g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Next we consider the function

$$q(z) := z - \frac{1-\alpha}{(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2} z^2, \tag{11}$$

$$(m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1),$$

where ϑ_n and σ_n are given by (3) and (4) respectively, which is a member of the class $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \alpha)$. Then, by using (8), we have

$$\frac{(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2}{2[(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2]} q(z) \prec \frac{z}{1-z} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

One can easily verify for the function $q(z)$ defined by (11) that

$$\min \left\{ \Re \left(\frac{(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2}{2[(1-\alpha)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2-\alpha)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2]} q(z) \right) \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

Remark 1 *Setting $\gamma = 0, \lambda = 1, \mu = 0, q = 2, s = 1, \alpha_1 = \beta_1,$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$ in Theorem 3, we get the corresponding result obtained by S. Sümer Eker et al. [10].*

4 Subordination result for the class $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \beta)$

The proof of the following subordination result is similar to that of Theorem 3. We, therefore, omit the analogous details involved.

Theorem 4 *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda\mu}^m(\gamma, \beta)$. If $g(z) \in \mathcal{K}$, then*

$$\frac{(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2+|\vartheta_2-2\beta|)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2}{2[2(\beta-1)+(1-\gamma+\gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2+|\vartheta_2-2\beta|)\vartheta_2^m\sigma_2]} (f * g)(z) \prec g(z) \tag{12}$$

$$(z \in \mathcal{U}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1)$$

and

$$\Re(f) > -\frac{2(\beta - 1) + (1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 + |\vartheta_2 - 2\beta|)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2}{(1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 + |\vartheta_2 - 2\beta|)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2},$$

where ϑ_n and σ_n are given by (3) and (4) respectively. The constant factor

$$\frac{(1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 + |\vartheta_2 - 2\beta|)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2}{2[2(\beta - 1) + (1 - \gamma + \gamma\vartheta_2)(\vartheta_2 + |\vartheta_2 - 2\beta|)\vartheta_2^m \sigma_2]}$$

in the subordination result (12) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

Remark 2 Setting $m = 0$, or $\gamma = 0$, $\lambda = 1$, $\mu = 0$, $q = 2$, $s = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$ in Theorem 3, we get the corresponding results obtained by H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya. [9].

References

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (2004) 25-28, 1429–1436.
- [2] O. Altıntaş, On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients, *Math. Japon.*, **36** (1991), 489–495.
- [3] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **103** (1999), 1–13.
- [4] M. Kamali and S. Akbulut, On a subclass of certain convex functions with negative coefficients, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **145** (2003), 341–350.
- [5] J. Nishiwaki and S. Owa, Coefficient inequalities for certain analytic functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **29** (2002), 285–290.
- [6] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Some generalized convolution properties associated with certain subclasses of analytic functions, *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **3** (2002), Article 42, 13 pp. (electronic).
- [7] S. Owa and J. Nishiwaki, Coefficient estimates for certain classes of analytic functions, *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **3** (2002), Article 72, 5 pp. (electronic).
- [8] G. S. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, in *Complex analysis—fifth Romanian-Finnish seminar, Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981)*, pp. 362–372, Lecture Notes in Math., 1013, Springer, Berlin.

-
- [9] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, Some subordination results associated with certain subclasses of analytic functions, *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **5** (2004), Article 82, 6 pp. (electronic).
- [10] S. Sümer Eker, B. Şeker and S. Owa, On subordination result associated with certain subclass of analytic functions involving Salagean operator, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2007, Art. ID 48294, 6 pp.
- [11] H. S. Wilf, Subordinating factor sequences for convex maps of the unit circle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **12** (1961), 689–693.

Received: April 28, 2010