# SOME COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN CONE $B_2$ -METRIC SPACES OVER BANACH ALGEBRA

V.H. BADSHAH, PRAKASH BHAGAT AND SATISH SHUKLA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, some common fixed point theorems for generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pairs in a complete cone  $b_2$ -metric spaces over Banach algebra are proved. Our results generalize and extend some well-known results from 2-metric, *b*-metric and cone metric spaces. An example is presented which illustrate the main result of this paper.

## 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10; 54H25

Keywords: Cone  $b_2$ -metric space, Picard sequence, contraction, fixed point.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The concept of 2-metric space has been investigated by S. Gahler in a series of papers [10, 11, 12]. In 2007, Huang and Zhang [7] introduced the notion of cone metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces and proved some fixed point results for contractive mappings. In the papers [3, 18, 19, 21] authors proved the equivalency of some notions in cone metric spaces and fixed point results in cone metric spaces; with their ordinary metric versions. Liu and Xu [4] defined the cone metric spaces over Banach algebra and proved some fixed point results for the contractive mappings with vector contractive constants. Liu and Xu [4] showed that the conclusions of the papers [3, 18, 19, 21] are not applicable if the cone metric spaces are taken over Banach algebra. Singh et al. [1] introduced cone 2-metric spaces which unifies both the concepts of cone metric and 2-metric spaces.

On the other hand, Bakhtin [5] and Czerwik [13] introduced *b*-metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces. Hussain and Shah [9] introduced cone *b*-metric spaces as a generalization of *b*-metric spaces and cone metric spaces. Mustfa et al. [20] unified and generalized the notions of 2-metric spaces and *b*-metric spaces by introducing the notion of  $b_2$ -metric spaces. Recently, Fernandez et al. [6] combined the concepts of  $b_2$ -metric spaces and cone metric spaces and introduced the notion of cone  $b_2$ -metric spaces over Banach algebra. They proved some fixed point theorems in this new setting. In this paper, we improve and generalize the fixed point result of Fernandez et al. [6] and prove some common fixed point results for a pair of mappings called generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair on cone  $b_2$ -metric spaces over Banach algebra. We also point out the non-feasibility of contractive conditions of Fernandez et al. [6].

We first state some well-known definitions and concepts which will be needed in the sequel.

Let A always be a real Banach algebra with a multiplicative unit e, that is, ex = xe = x for all  $x \in A$ . An element  $x \in A$  is said to be invertible if there is an inverse element  $y \in A$  such that xy = yx = e. The inverse of x is denoted by  $x^{-1}$ (see [10]).

The following proposition can be found, e.g., in [10].

**Proposition 1.** Let A be a Banach algebra with the unit e, and  $x \in A$ . If the spectral radius  $\rho(x)$  of x is less than 1, that is,

$$\rho(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n} = \inf_{n \ge 1} \|x^n\|^{1/n} < 1$$

then e - x is invertible. Actually,

$$(e-x)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i.$$

A subset P of A is called a cone if:

- (1) P is nonempty closed and  $\{\theta, e\} \subset P$ ;
- (2)  $\alpha P + \beta P \subset P$  for all nonnegative real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$ ;
- (3)  $P^2 = PP \subset P;$
- $(4) P \cap (-P) = \{\theta\}$

where  $\theta$  and e are respectively the zero vector and unit of A.

Given a cone  $P \subset A$ , we define a partial ordering  $\leq$  in A with respect to P by  $x \leq y$  (or equivalently  $y \geq x$ ) if and only if  $y - x \in P$ . We shall write  $x \prec y$  (or equivalently  $y \succ x$ ) to indicate that  $x \leq y$  but  $x \neq y$ , while  $x \ll y$  (or equivalently  $y \gg x$ ) will stand for  $y - x \in int P$ , where int P denotes the interior of P.

The cone is called normal if there exists a number K > 0 such that for all  $x, y \in P$ 

$$x \preceq y \implies ||x|| \le K||y||.$$

The least number K satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of P. The cone P is called solid if  $\operatorname{int} P \neq \emptyset$ .

In the following, we always assume that the cone P is solid cone in Banach algebra A and  $\leq$  is partial ordering with respect to P.

**Proposition 2** ([15]). Let P be a cone in a Banach algebra A,  $a \in P$  and  $b, c \in A$  are such that  $b \leq c$ , then  $ab \leq ac$ .

**Lemma 1** ([2, 14, 22]). Let A be a Banach algebra with a solid cone P. Then:

- (a) If  $a \leq \lambda a$  with  $a \in P$  and  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , then  $a = \theta$ .
- (b) If  $\theta \leq u \ll c$  for each  $\theta \ll c$ , then  $u = \theta$ .
- (c) If  $||x_n|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then for any  $\theta \ll c$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that,  $x_n \ll c$  for all  $n > n_0$ .
- (d) If  $a, b, c \in P$  such that  $a \leq b$  and  $b \ll c$ , then  $a \ll c$ .
- (e) If  $a, b, c \in P$  such that  $a \ll b$  and  $b \preceq c$ , then  $a \ll c$ .
- (f) If  $a, b, c \in P$  such that  $a \ll b$  and  $b \ll c$ , then  $a \ll c$ .

**Remark 1** ([14]). If  $\rho(x) < 1$  then  $||x^n|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Definition 1** ([8, 23]). Let P be a solid cone in a Banach algebra A. A sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset P$  is a c-sequence if for each  $c \in A$  with  $\theta \ll c$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $u_n \ll c$  for  $n > n_0$ .

**Proposition 3** ([15]). Let P be a solid cone in a Banach algebra A and let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in P. Suppose that  $k \in P$  is an arbitrarily given vector and  $\{u_n\}$  is a c-sequence in P. Then  $\{ku_n\}$  is a c-sequence.

**Proposition 4** ([15]). Let A be a Banach algebra with a unit e, P be a cone in A. Then, for any  $a, b \in A$ ,  $c \in P$  with  $a \leq b$  we have  $ac \leq bc$ .

**Lemma 2** ([15]). Let A be a Banach algebra and let x, y be vectors in A. If x and y commute, then the following hold:

- (i)  $\rho(xy) \le \rho(x)\rho(y);$
- (ii)  $\rho(x+y) \le \rho(x) + \rho(y);$
- (iii)  $|\rho(x) \rho(y)| \le \rho(x y).$

**Lemma 3** ([15]). Let A be a Banach algebra and let k be a vector in A. If  $0 \le \rho(k) < 1$ , then we have

$$\rho\left((e-k)^{-1}\right) \le (1-\rho(k))^{-1}$$

**Definition 2** ([1, 16, 17]). Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose the mapping  $d: X \times X \times X \to P$  satisfies:

- (1) for every  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $d(x, y, z) \neq \theta$ ;
- (2) if at least two of  $x, y, z \in X$  are equal, then  $d(x, y, z) = \theta$ ;
- (3) d(x, y, z) = d(p(x, y, z)) for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where p(x, y, z) denotes all the permutations of x, y, z;
- (4)  $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, w) + d(x, w, z) + d(w, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ .

Then d is called a cone 2-metric on X, and (X,d) is called a cone 2-metric space over Banach algebra A.

**Definition 3** ([9]). Let X be a nonempty set and A a real Banach algebra with cone P. A vector-valued function  $d: X \times X \to P$  is said to be a cone b-metric function on X with the constant  $s \ge 1$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1.  $\theta \leq d(x,y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x,y) = \theta$  if and only if x = y;
- 2. d(x,y) = d(y,x) for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- 3.  $d(x,z) \leq s[d(x,y) + d(y,z)]$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

The pair (X, d) is called the cone b-metric space. Observe that if s = 1, then the ordinary triangle inequality in a cone metric space is satisfied, however it does not hold true when s > 1. Thus the class of cone b-metric spaces is effectively larger than that of the ordinary cone metric spaces. A cone b-metric space will be called normal, if the underlying cone P is normal cone.

**Definition 4** ([6]). Let X be a nonempty set and  $d_b: X \times X \times X \to P$  be a mapping. Suppose, there exists  $s \ge 1$  and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (I) for every  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $d_b(x, y, z) \neq \theta$ ;
- (II) if at least two of  $x, y, z \in X$  are equal, then  $d_b(x, y, z) = \theta$ ;
- (III)  $d_b(x, y, z) = d_b(p(x, y, z))$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where p(x, y, z) denotes all the permutations of x, y, z;

(IV)  $d_b(x, y, z) \leq s[d_b(x, y, w) + d_b(x, w, z) + d_b(w, y, z)]$  for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ .

Then, the mapping  $d_b$  is called a cone  $b_2$ -metric over X and the triplet  $(X, d_b, s)$  is called a cone  $b_2$ -metric space on Banach algebra A. If the cone P is normal, then  $(X, d_b, s)$  is called a normal cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $A = C^1_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]$  be the Banach algebra with the norm  $||x(t)|| = ||x(t)||_{\infty} + ||x'(t)||_{\infty}$ , the point-wise multiplication and the unit e(t) = 1 for all  $t \in [0,1]$ . Let  $P = \{\psi \in C^1_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1] : \psi(t) \ge 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0,1]\}$  be the solid cone in A. Define the mapping  $d_b : X \times X \times X \to P$  by

$$d_b(x, y, z) = \min\left\{ (x - y)^2, (y - z)^2, (z - x)^2 \right\} e^t$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $(X, d_b, s)$  is a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A with s = 2 for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . On the other hand,  $d_b$  is not a 2-cone metric space, for example, at points x = 1, y = 5, z = 15, w = 2 we have  $d_b(x, y, z) = 16e^t, d_b(x, y, w) = e^t, d_b(x, w, z) = e^t, d_b(w, y, z) = 9e^t$ , and so,

$$d_b(x, y, z) \succ d_b(x, y, w) + d_b(x, w, z) + d_b(w, y, z).$$

**Example 2.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $A = \mathbb{R}^2$  be the Banach algebra with the norm  $||(x_1, x_2)|| = |x_1| + |x_2|$ , with the multiplication defined by  $(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) = (x_1y_1, x_2y_1 + x_1y_2)$  and the unit e(t) = (1, 0). Let  $P = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1, x_2 \ge 0\}$  be the normal cone in A. Define the mapping  $d_b : X \times X \times X \to P$  by

$$d_b(1,2,3) = a(1,1), d_b(1,2,4) = b(1,1), d_b(2,3,4) = c(1,1), d_b(1,3,4) = \lambda(1,1)$$

with symmetry in all variables and with d(x, y, z) = (0, 0) when at least two of the arguments are equal, where a, b, c are nonnegative reals such that a + b + c > 0 and  $\lambda = a + b + c + 1$ . Then,  $(X, d_b, s)$  is a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A with  $s \geq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}$ . On the other hand,  $d_b$  is not a 2-cone metric space, for example, at points x = 1, y = 3, z = 4, w = 2 we have  $d_b(x, y, z) = \lambda(1, 1), d_b(x, y, w) = a(1, 1), d_b(x, w, z) = b(1, 1), d_b(w, y, z) = c(1, 1), and so,$ 

$$d_b(x, y, z) \succ d_b(x, y, w) + d_b(x, w, z) + d_b(w, y, z).$$

**Definition 5** ([6]). Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over the Banach algebra A. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called a Cauchy sequence if for every  $c \in A$  with  $\theta \ll c$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_b(x_n, x_m, a) \ll c$  for all  $n, m > n_0$  and  $a \in X$ .

**Definition 6** ([6]). Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over the Banach algebra A. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called a convergent sequence and converges to  $x \in X$  if for every  $c \in A$  with  $\theta \ll c$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_b(x_n, x, a) \ll c$  for all  $n > n_0$  and  $a \in X$ . We denote this fact by  $x_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$  and x is called the limit of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ .

**Definition 7** ([6]). Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over the Banach algebra A. Then,  $(X, d_b, s)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some  $x \in X$ .

**Remark 2.** Limit of a convergent sequence in a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over a Banach algebra is unique. Indeed, if  $\{x_n\}$  converges to two distinct limits  $x, y \in X$ , then for every given  $c \in A$  with  $\theta \ll c$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_b(x_n, x, a) \ll \frac{c}{3s}$ ,  $d_b(x_n, y, a) \ll \frac{c}{3s}$  for all  $n > n_0$  and  $a \in X$ , therefore

$$d_b(x, y, a) \leq s[d_b(x, y, x_n) + d_b(x, x_n, a) + d_b(x_n, y, a)]$$
  
$$\ll s\left[\frac{c}{3s} + \frac{c}{3s} + \frac{c}{3s}\right]$$
  
$$= c.$$

The above inequality with Lemma 1 yields  $d_b(x, y, a) = \theta$  for all  $a \in X$ , i.e., x = y. This contradiction proves the result.

The proof of the following remark is similar to the above remark.

**Remark 3.** Every convergent sequence in a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over a Banach algebra is a Cauchy sequence.

#### 2. Fixed point theorems

In this section, we introduce some new concepts and prove a common fixed point theorem.

**Definition 8.** Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A and  $T: X \to X$  be a mapping. Then, the mapping T is called a generalized  $\lambda$ -Banach contraction if there exists  $\lambda \in P$  such that  $\rho(\lambda) < \frac{1}{s}$  and the following condition is satisfied:

$$d_b(Tx, Ty, a) \preceq \lambda d_b(x, y, a) \tag{1}$$

for all  $x, y, a \in X$ . The mapping T is said to be a generalized  $\lambda$ -Kannan contraction if there exists  $\lambda \in P$  such that  $\rho(\lambda) < \frac{1}{2s}$  and the following condition is satisfied:

$$d_b(Tx, Ty, a) \preceq \lambda \left[ d_b(x, Tx, a) + d_b(y, Ty, a) \right]$$
(2)

for all  $x, y, a \in X$ .

**Definition 9.** Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A and  $T: X \to X$  be a mapping. Then, the mapping T is called a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich contraction if there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in P$  such that  $\rho(\lambda) + 2\rho(\mu) < \frac{1}{s}$  and the following condition is satisfied:

$$d_b(Tx, Ty, a) \leq \lambda d_b(x, y, a) + \mu[d_b(x, Tx, a) + d_b(y, Ty, a)]$$
(3)

for all  $x, y, a \in X$ .

It is easy to see that the class of generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich contractions is a unification and generalization of classes of generalized  $\lambda$ -Banach and generalized  $\mu$ -Kannan contractions.

**Remark 4.** In [6], Fernandez et al. used the following condition:

$$d_b(Tx, Ty, a) \leq \kappa d_b(x, y, a) + \lambda d_b(x, Tx, a) + \mu d_b(y, Ty, a)$$
(4)

for all  $x, y, a \in X$ , where  $s\rho(\kappa) + s\rho(\lambda) + \rho(\mu) < 1$  and  $s^2 + s\rho(\lambda) < 1$ . Observe that, since  $s \ge 1$  and  $\rho(\lambda) \ge 0$ , therefore, the condition  $s^2 + s\rho(\lambda) < 1$  is not feasible. Therefore, the fixed point results of Fernandez et al. [6] are not consistent for any given mapping and for any given cone  $b_2$ -metric space.

We define a more general class as follows:

**Definition 10.** Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A and  $T, S: X \to X$  be two mappings. Then, the pair (T, S) is called a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair if there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in P$  such that  $\rho(\lambda) + 2\rho(\mu) < \frac{1}{s}$  and the following condition is satisfied:

$$d_b(Tx, Sy, a) \leq \lambda d_b(x, y, a) + \mu[d_b(x, Tx, a) + d_b(y, Sy, a)]$$
(5)

for all  $x, y, a \in X$ .

It is obvious that every generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich contraction T is actually a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair with S = I.

Next, we discuss the nature and some results about common fixed points of a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair in a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra.

**Proposition 5.** Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A and  $T, S: X \to X$  be two mappings such that the pair (T, S) is a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair. If  $x^* \in X$  is a fixed point of T (or of S), then  $x^*$  is a unique common fixed point of the pair (T, S).

*Proof.* Suppose,  $x^*$  is a fixed point of T, i.e.,  $Tx^* = x^*$ . Since the pair (T, S) is a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair we have

$$d_b(x^*, Sx^*, a) = d_b(Tx^*, Sx^*, a)$$
  

$$\preceq \lambda d_b(x^*, x^*, a) + \mu[d_b(x^*, Tx^*, a) + d_b(x^*, Sx^*, a)]$$
  

$$= \lambda \cdot \theta + \mu[\theta + d_b(x^*, Sx^*, a)]$$

i.e.,  $(e - \mu)d_b(x^*, Sx^*, a) \leq \theta$ . Since  $\rho(\mu) < 1$ , we have  $e - \mu \in P$  is invertible, and so, the last inequality yields  $d_b(x^*, Sx^*, a) = \theta$  for all  $a \in X$ . Therefore,  $Sx^* = x^*$ . Thus,  $x^*$  is a common fixed point of the pair (T, S).

For uniqueness, suppose  $x^*, y^*$  are two distinct common fixed points of the pair (T, S), i.e.,  $Tx^* = Sx^* = x^*, Ty^* = Sy^* = y^*$  and  $x^* \neq y^*$ . Then, we have

$$d_b(x^*, y^*, a) = d_b(Tx^*, Sy^*, a)$$
  

$$\preceq \lambda d_b(x^*, y^*, a) + \mu[d_b(x^*, Tx^*, a) + d_b(y^*, Sy^*, a)]$$
  

$$= \lambda d_b(x^*, y^*, a) + \mu[\theta + \theta]$$

i.e.,  $(e - \lambda)d_b(x^*, y^*, a) \leq \theta$ . Again, it shows that  $d_b(x^*, y^*, a) = \theta$  for all  $a \in X$ , i.e.,  $x^* = y^*$ . This contradiction proves the uniqueness.

If  $x^*$  is a fixed point of the mapping S. Then by similar process one can find the desired result.

**Remark 5.** It is clear from the above remark that if (T, S) is a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair, then T cannot have more than one fixed point; and similar is true for the mapping S.

The following lemmas will be used in establishing the common fixed point results for a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A and  $T, S: X \to X$  be two mappings such that the pair (T, S) is a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair and  $\lambda, \mu$  commute. If the sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is defined by  $x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$ ,  $x_{2n+2} = Sx_{2n+1}, n \ge 0$  and  $x_0 \in X$  is arbitrary, then there exists  $\alpha \in P$  such that  $\rho(\alpha) < \frac{1}{s}$  and

 $d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \preceq \alpha^n d_b(x_0, x_1, a)$  for all  $a \in X$ .

Furthermore, for the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with initial value  $x_0 \in X$ , we have

$$d_b(x_k, x_{k-1}, x_t) = \theta$$
 for all  $k > t$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary point. Then since the pair (T, S) is a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &d_b(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a) \\ &= d_b(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, a) \\ &\preceq \lambda d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) + \mu[d_b(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, a) + d_b(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, a)] \\ &= \lambda d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) + \mu[d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a) + d_b(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a)]. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality shows that

$$(e-\mu)d_b(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a) \preceq (\lambda + \mu)d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a).$$

Since  $\rho(\mu) < 1$ , therefore the vector  $e - \mu$  is invertible, and so, we have

$$d_b(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a) \preceq (\lambda + \mu)(e - \mu)^{-1} d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a).$$

Set  $\alpha = (\lambda + \mu)(e - \mu)^{-1}$  in the above inequality we have

$$d_b(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a) \preceq \alpha d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a).$$
(6)

Following similar process as the above and using the above inequality we obtain:

$$d_b(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}, a) = d_b(Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}, a)$$
  

$$\preceq \alpha d_b(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a)$$
  

$$\preceq \alpha^2 d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, a).$$
(7)

Successive use of the inequalities (6) and (7) yields:

$$d_b(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a) \preceq \alpha^{2n+1} d_b(x_0, x_1, a).$$
(8)

Similarly, we can prove:

$$d_b(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}, a) \preceq \alpha^{2n+2} d_b(x_0, x_1, a).$$
(9)

It follows from the inequalities (8) and (9) that

$$d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \preceq \alpha^n d_b(x_0, x_1, a) \text{ for all } a \in X.$$
(10)

We shall show that  $\rho(\alpha) < 1$ . Since  $\lambda, \mu$  commute we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda+\mu)(e-\mu)^{-1} &= (\lambda+\mu)\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\mu^{i}\right] &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(\lambda+\mu)\mu^{i} \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\mu^{i}\right](\lambda+\mu) = (e-\mu)^{-1}(\lambda+\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\lambda + \mu$  and  $(e - \mu)^{-1}$  commute. Now using Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 and the fact that  $\rho(\lambda) + 2\rho(\mu) < \frac{1}{s} < 1$  we obtain

$$\begin{split} \rho(\alpha) &= \rho\left((\lambda+\mu)(e-\mu)^{-1}\right) \\ &\leq \rho\left(\lambda+\mu\right)\rho\left((e-\mu)^{-1}\right) \\ &\leq \left(\rho(\lambda)+\rho(\mu)\right)\left(1-\rho(\mu)\right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{s}-\rho(\mu)\right)\left(1-\rho(\mu)\right)^{-1} \\ &< \frac{1}{s}. \end{split}$$

If  $\{x_n\}$  is the sequence defined as above and k > t. We construct a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  defined by  $y_0 = x_t$ ,  $y_n = x_{n+t}$ . Then for k > t from the inequality (10) we have:

$$d_b(x_{k-1}, x_k, x_t) = d_b(y_{k-t-1}, y_{k-t}, y_0)$$
  

$$\preceq \alpha^{k-t-1} d_b(y_0, y_1, y_0)$$
  

$$= \alpha^{k-t-1} \cdot \theta$$
  

$$= \theta$$

which completes the proof.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a cone-b<sub>2</sub>-metric space over Banach algebra A and suppose for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  the following condition is satisfied:

$$d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \preceq \alpha^n d_b(x_0, x_1, a)$$
 for all  $a \in X$ 

where  $\rho(\alpha) < \frac{1}{s}$ ; and  $d_b(x_{k-1}, x_k, x_t) = \theta$  for  $k > t, a \in X$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* Suppose,  $x_0 \in X$  is arbitrary and  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence with initial value  $x_0$ . We shall show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Then, by given condition we have

$$d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \preceq \alpha^n d_b(x_0, x_1, a) \text{ for all } a \in X.$$

$$(11)$$

Suppose  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and m > n. Then, we have:

$$d_b(x_n, x_m, a) \preceq s[d_b(x_n, x_m, x_{m-1}) + d_b(x_n, x_{m-1}, a) + d_b(x_{m-1}, x_m, a)].$$

Since  $d_b(x_{k-1}, x_k, x_t) = \theta$  for  $k > t, a \in X$  using the inequality (11) in the above inequality we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} d_b(x_n, x_m, a) &\preceq s[\theta + d_b(x_n, x_{m-1}, a) + \alpha^{m-1} d_b(x_0, x_1, a)] \\ &\preceq s[\theta + \alpha^{m-1} d_b(x_0, x_1, a) + d_b(x_n, x_{m-1}, a)] \\ &\preceq s\alpha^{m-1} d_b(x_0, x_1, a) + s^2 [d_b(x_n, x_{m-1}, x_{m-2}) \\ &+ d_b(x_n, x_{m-2}, a) + d_b(x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}, a)] \\ &\preceq s\alpha^{m-1} d_b(x_0, x_1, a) + s^2 \alpha^{m-2} d_b(x_0, x_1, a) + s^2 d_b(x_n, x_{m-2}, a). \end{aligned}$$

By repeating this process we obtain:

$$d_{b}(x_{n}, x_{m}, a) \leq s\alpha^{m-1}d_{b}(x_{0}, x_{1}, a) + s^{2}\alpha^{m-2}d_{b}(x_{0}, x_{1}, a) + \dots + s^{m-n}\alpha^{n}d_{b}(x_{0}, x_{1}, a) \leq s^{m-n}\alpha^{n}d_{b}(x_{0}, x_{1}, a) + s^{m-n-1}\alpha^{n+1}d_{b}(x_{0}, x_{1}, a) + \dots + s^{2}\alpha^{m-2}d_{b}(x_{0}, x_{1}, a) + s\alpha^{m-1}d_{b}(x_{0}, x_{1}, a) = s^{m-n}\alpha^{n}[e + s^{-1}\alpha + \dots + s^{-(m-n-1)}\alpha^{m-n-1}]d_{b}(x_{0}, x_{1}, a) \leq s^{m-n}\alpha^{n}[e + s^{-1}\alpha + s^{-2}\alpha^{2} + \dots]d_{b}(x_{0}, x_{1}, a).$$

Since  $\rho(s^{-1}\alpha) = \frac{1}{s}\rho(\alpha) < \frac{1}{s^2} < 1$ , then the vector  $e - s^{-1}\alpha$  is invertible and

$$(e - s^{-1}\alpha)^{-1} = e + s^{-1}\alpha + s^{-2}\alpha^2 + \cdots$$

Therefore, the above inequality yields

$$d_b(x_n, x_m, a) \preceq s \alpha^n (e - s^{-1} \alpha)^{-1} d_b(x_0, x_1, a).$$
(12)

Since  $\rho(\alpha) < \frac{1}{s} < 1$ , therefore  $\|\alpha^n\| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , and so, for every  $c \in P^\circ$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha^n \ll c$  for all  $n > n_0$ . It shows that the sequence  $\{\alpha^n\}$  is a *c*-sequence. By Proposition 3 the sequence  $\{s\alpha^n(e-s^{-1}\alpha)^{-1}d_b(x_0,x_1,a)\}$  is also a *c*-sequence. Therefore, it follows from the above inequality that for every  $c \in A$  with  $\theta \ll c$ , there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that,  $d_b(x_n, x_m, a) \ll c$  for all  $n > n_1$ . Thus,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

The next theorem gives a sufficient condition for the existence and uniqueness of the common fixed point of a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a complete cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A and  $T, S: X \to X$  be two mappings such that the pair (T, S) is a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich pair. Then the pair (T, S) has unique common fixed point in X.

*Proof.* Suppose,  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and  $\{x_n\}$  be defined by  $x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}, x_{2n+2} = Sx_{2n+1}$ . Then, by Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

By completeness of X, there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x_n \to x^*$  as  $n \to \infty$ . We shall show that  $x^*$  is the unique common fixed point of the pair (T, S). Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $a \in X$  we have

$$d_b(x_{2n}, Tx^*, a) = d_b(Sx_{2n-1}, Tx^*, a)$$
  

$$\preceq \lambda d_b(x_{2n-1}, x^*, a) + \mu[d_b(Sx_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, a) + d_b(x^*, Tx^*, a)]$$
  

$$\preceq \lambda d_b(x_{2n-1}, x^*, a) + \mu[d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, a) + d_b(x^*, Tx^*, a)].$$

Using the above inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_b(x^*, Tx^*, a) &\preceq s[d_b(x^*, Tx^*, x_{2n}) + d_b(x^*, x_{2n}, a) + d_b(x_{2n}, Tx^*, a)] \\ &= s[d_b(x^*, x_{2n}, Tx^*) + d_b(x^*, x_{2n}, a) \\ &+ \lambda d_b(x_{2n-1}, x^*, a) + \mu[d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, a) + d_b(x^*, Tx^*, a)]. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality implies that

$$(e - s\mu)d_b(x^*, Tx^*, a) \preceq s[d_b(x^*, x_{2n}, Tx^*) + d_b(x^*, x_{2n}, a) + \lambda d_b(x_{2n-1}, x^*, a) + \mu d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, a)].$$

Again, since  $\rho(\mu) < \frac{1}{s}$  we have

$$d_b(x^*, Tx^*, a) \preceq s(e - s\mu)^{-1} [d_b(x^*, x_{2n}, Tx^*) + d_b(x^*, x_{2n}, a) + \lambda d_b(x_{2n-1}, x^*, a) + \mu d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, a)].$$

Since  $x_n \to x^*$  as  $n \to \infty$ , the sequences:

$$\{d_b(x_n, x^*, a)\}$$
 and  $\{d_b(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, a)\}$ 

are c-sequences for all  $a \in X$ . Therefore, by Proposition 3 the sequence formed by the right hand side of the above inequality is also a c-sequence. Therefore, it follows from the last inequality that  $\{d_b(x^*, Tx^*, a)\}$  is a c-sequence for all  $a \in X$ , and so, there exists  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_b(x^*, Tx^*, a) \ll c$  for all  $n > n_2$  and for all  $a \in X$ . It shows that  $d_b(x^*, Tx^*, a) = \theta$  for all  $a \in X$ . Thus,  $Tx^* = x^*$ , i.e.,  $x^*$  is a fixed point of T.

By Proposition 5 it follows that  $x^*$  is the unique common fixed point of the pair (T, S).

**Example 3.** Let  $X = \{(a, 0): a \in [0, \infty)\} \cup \{(0, b)\}$ , where b > 0 is fixed; and  $A = C^1_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]$  be the Banach algebra with the norm  $||x(t)|| = ||x(t)||_{\infty} + ||x'(t)||_{\infty}$ , the point-wise multiplication and the unit e(t) = 1 for all  $t \in [0,1]$ . Let  $P = \{\psi \in C^1_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]: \psi(t) \ge 0$  for all  $t \in [0,1]\}$  be the solid cone in A. Define the mapping  $d_b: X \times X \times X \to P$  as the  $\frac{4}{b^2}e^t$  times the square of the area of triangle formed by the vertices  $x, y, z \in X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , where  $t \in [0,1]$ , e.g.

$$d_b((a,0), (c,0), (0,b)) = (a-c)^2 e^t.$$

Then  $(X, d_b, s)$  is a complete cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A with s = 2. Define two mapping  $T, S: X \to X$  by:

$$T(a,0) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(a,0), & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{Q}; \\ (0,0), & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad S(a,0) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(a,0), & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}; \\ (0,0), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and T(0,b) = S(0,b) = (0,0). Then by some routine calculations one can see that the pair (T,S) is a generalized  $(\lambda,\mu)$ -Reich pair with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{16}, \mu = \frac{1}{9}$ . Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 6 are satisfied, and so, there exists a unique common fixed point of the pair (T,S). Indeed, (0,0) is the unique common fixed point of the pair (T,S).

**Corollary 7.** Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a complete cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A and  $T: X \to X$  be a generalized  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -Reich contraction. Then the mapping T has unique fixed point in X.

*Proof.* Taking S = T in Theorem 6, the result follows.

The following corollary is an improvement of the fixed point result of Fernandez et al. [6] (see Remark 4).

**Corollary 8.** Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a complete cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A and  $T: X \to X$  be a mapping satisfying the following condition:

$$d_b(Tx, Ty, a) \leq \kappa d_b(x, y, a) + \lambda d(Tx, x, a) + \mu d_b(Ty, y, a)$$
(13)

for all  $x, y, a \in X$ , where  $\kappa, \mu, \rho \in P$  such that  $s\rho(\kappa) + s\rho(\lambda) + s\rho(\mu) < 1$  and  $\kappa, \lambda, \mu$  commute. Then the mapping T has unique fixed point in X.

*Proof.* For any fixed pair x, y in X, since  $d_b$  is symmetric, interchange the role of x and y in (13) we obtain

$$d_b(Tx, Ty, a) \leq \kappa d_b(x, y, a) + \lambda d(Ty, y, a) + \mu d_b(Tx, x, a).$$
(14)

It follows from (13) and (14) that:

$$d_b(Tx, Ty, a) \preceq \kappa d_b(x, y, a) + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} [d(Tx, x, a) + d_b(Ty, y, a)]$$
  
=  $\kappa d_b(x, y, a) + \nu [d(Tx, x, a) + d_b(Ty, y, a)]$ 

where  $\nu = \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2}$ . Since  $s\rho(\kappa) + s\rho(\mu) + s\rho(\mu) < 1$ , we have

$$\rho(\kappa) + 2\rho(\nu) = \rho(\kappa) + 2\rho\left(\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}\right)$$
$$= \rho(\kappa) + \rho\left(\lambda+\mu\right)$$
$$\leq \rho(\kappa) + \rho\left(\lambda\right) + \rho\left(\mu\right)$$
$$< \frac{1}{s}.$$

Thus, T is a generalized  $(\kappa, \nu)$ -Reich contraction. Now result follows from Corollary 7.

**Corollary 9.** Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a complete cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A and  $T: X \to X$  be a generalized  $\lambda$ -Banach contraction. Then the mapping T has unique fixed point in X.

*Proof.* Taking  $\mu = \theta$  and S = T in Theorem 6, the result follows.

**Corollary 10.** Let  $(X, d_b, s)$  be a complete cone  $b_2$ -metric space over Banach algebra A and  $T: X \to X$  be a generalized  $\mu$ -Kannan contraction. Then the mapping T has unique fixed point in X.

*Proof.* Taking  $\lambda = \theta$  and S = T in Theorem 6, the result follows.

**Conflict of Interest.** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

### References

[1] B. Singh, S. Jain and P. Bhagat, Cone 2-metric space and fixed point theorem of contractive mappings. Comment. Math., Vol. **52**, no. 2, (2012), 143-151.

[2] G. Jungck, S. Radenović, S. Radojević, V. Rakócević, Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible pairs on cone metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Vol. 57, (2009), 13 pages.

[3] H. Çakallı, A. Sönmez, Ç. Genç, On an equivalence of topological vector space valued cone metric spaces and metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett., Vol. 25, (2012), 429-433.

[4] H. Liu and S.-Y. Xu, Cone metric spaces with Banach algebras and fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl., Vol. **2013**, (2013), 10 pages.

[5] I.A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces, Funct. Anal. Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst., Vol. **30**, (1989), 26-37.

[6] J. Fernandez, N. Malviya, K. Saxena, Cone  $b_2$ -metric spaces over Banach algebra with applications, São Paulo J. Math. Sci., Vol. **11**, no. 1, (2017), 221–239.

[7] L.G. Huang and X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. **332**, (2007), 1468-1476.

[8] M. Dordević, D. Dorić, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, D. Spasić, Fixed point results under c-distance in tvs-cone metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Vol. **2011**, (2011), 9 pages.

[9] N. Hussian, M.H. Shah, KKM mappings in cone *b*-metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl., Vol. **62**, no. 4, (2011) 1677-1684.

[10] S.Gähler, 2-metricsche Räume und ihre topologische strukture, Math. Nachr., Vol. **26**, (1963), 115-148.

[11] S.Gähler, Über die Uniformisierbarkeit 2-metricsche Räume, Math. Nachr., 28, (1965), 235-244.

[12] S.Gähler, Zur geometric 2-metricsche Räume, Revne Roumaine der Mathem. Pures et Appliques, **11**, (1966), 665-667.

[13] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in *b*-metric spaces, Acta Mathematica Et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis Vol. **1**, no. 1, (1993), 5-11.

[14] S. Radenović, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorem for two non-self mappings in cone metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl., 57, (2009), 1701-1707.

[15] S. Xu, S.Radenovic, Fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings on cone metric spaces over Banach, algebras without assumption of normality, Fixed Point Theory Appl., Vol. **2014**, (2014), 12 pages.

[16] T. Wang, J. Yin, Q. Yan, Fixed point theorems on cone 2-metric spaces over Banach algebras and an application, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2015**, (2015), 13 pages.

[17] V.H. Badshah, P. Bhagat, S. Shukla, Some fixed point results on  $(\varphi, L, \mathfrak{m})$ -weak contraction in cone 2-metric spaces, Asia Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. **3**, no. 1, (2016), 24-37.

[18] W.S. Du, A note on cone metric fixed point theory and its equivalence, Nonlinear Anal., Vol. **72**, no. 5, (2010) 2259-2261.

[19] Y. Feng, W. Mao, The equivalence of cone metric spaces and metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory, Vol. **11**, no. 2, (2010) 259-264.

[20] Z. Mustafa, V. Parvaneh, J.R. Roshan, Z. Kadelburg,  $b_2$ -Metric spaces and some fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Vol. **2014**, (2014), 24 pages.

[21] Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, V. Rakočević, A note on the equivalence of some metric and cone metric fixed point results, Appl. Math. Lett., Vol. **24**, (2011), 370-374.

[22] Z. Kadelburg, M. Pavlović, S. Radenović, Common fixed point theorems for ordered contractions and quasi-contractions in ordered cone metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl., Vol. **59**, (2010) 3148-3159.

[23] Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, A note on various types of cones and fixed point results in cone metric spaces. Asian J. Math. Appl., Vol. **2013**, (2013), 7 pages.

V. H. Badshah School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain, (M.P.), India email: *vhbadshah@yahoo.co.in* 

Prakash Bhagat Department of Applied Mathematics,, NMIMS, Shirpur, India email: prakash1175@yahoo.com

Satish Shukla Department of Applied Mathematics, Shri Vaishnav Institute of Technology & Science(M.P.) Gram Baroli, Sanwer Road, Indore , 453331 India email: satishmathematics@yahoo.co.in