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Abstract. Using the technique of the differential subordination, we, here, obtain certain sufficient conditions for starlike, parabolic starlike, convex and uniformly convex functions.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of all functions $f$ analytic in $\mathbb{E}=\{z:|z|<1\}$, normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. Therefore, Taylor's series expansion of $f \in \mathcal{A}$, is given by

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}
$$

Let the functions $f$ and $g$ be analytic in $\mathbb{E}$. We say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$ written as $f \prec g$ in $\mathbb{E}$, if there exists a Schwarz function $\phi$ in $\mathbb{E}$ (i.e. $\phi$ is regular in $|z|<1, \phi(0)=0$ and $|\phi(z)| \leq|z|<1)$ such that

$$
f(z)=g(\phi(z)),|z|<1 .
$$

Let $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function, $p$ an analytic function in $\mathbb{E}$ with $\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) ; z\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{E}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{E}$ and $h$ be univalent in $\mathbb{E}$. Then the function $p$ is said to satisfy first order differential subordination if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) ; z\right) \prec h(z), \Phi(p(0), 0 ; 0)=h(0) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A univalent function $q$ is called a dominant of the differential subordination (1) if $p(0)=q(0)$ and $p \prec q$ for all $p$ satisfying (1). A dominant $\tilde{q}$ that satisfies $\tilde{q} \prec q$ for
all dominants $q$ of (1), is said to be the best dominant of (1). The best dominant is unique up to a rotation of $\mathbb{E}$.
A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ in $\mathbb{E}$ if

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\alpha, z \in \mathbb{E} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ denote the class of starlike functions of order $\alpha$. Write $\mathcal{S}^{*}(0)=\mathcal{S}^{*}$, the class of starlike functions.
A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be convex of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ in $\mathbb{E}$ if it satisfies the condition

$$
\Re\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\alpha, z \in \mathbb{E} .
$$

Let the class of such functions be denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$. Note that $\mathcal{K}(0)=\mathcal{K}$, the class of convex functions.
A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be parabolic starlike in $\mathbb{E}$ if

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right|, z \in \mathbb{E} .
$$

The class of parabolic starlike functions is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be uniformly convex in $\mathbb{E}$ if

$$
\Re\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

Let UCV denote the class of all such functions.
In 2003, Irmak et al. [4] studied the class $T_{\lambda}(\alpha)$ consisting of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following condition

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)} \prec 1+(1-\alpha) z, 0 \leq \alpha<1, z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

and obtained certain conditions for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to be a member of class $T_{\lambda}(\alpha)$ and consequently, they get some sufficient conditions for starlike and convex functions. The work of Irmak et al. ([4], [5], [6]) is the main source of motivation for the present paper.
Let $\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\alpha, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1, z \in \mathbb{E} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{S}(0, \alpha)=\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{S}(1, \alpha)=\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$.
Let $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right|, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{S}(0)$ and $\mathcal{S}(1)$ are usual classes $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and UCV respectively. Define the parabolic domain $\Omega$ as under:

$$
\Omega=\left\{u+i v: u>\sqrt{(u-1)^{2}+v^{2}}\right\} .
$$

Note that the condition (3) is equivalent to the condition that $\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)$ take values in the parabolic domain $\Omega$.
Ronning [2] and Ma and Minda [1] showed that the function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

maps the unit disk $\mathbb{E}$ onto the parabolic domain $\Omega$.
Therefore, equivalently condition (3) can be written as:

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)} \prec q(z)
$$

where $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{z})$ is given by (4).
In the present paper, we obtain sufficient conditions for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to be a member of class $\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$. As consequences of our main result, we obtain sufficient conditions for starlikeness, parabolic starlikeness, convexity and uniform convexity of analytic univalent functions.

## 2. Preliminaries

To prove our main results, we shall use the following lemma of Miller and Mocanu [3].

Lemma 1. Let $q$ be a univalent in $\mathbb{E}$ and let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $\mathbb{D}$ containing $q(\mathbb{E})$, with $\phi(w) \neq 0$, when $w \in q(\mathbb{E})$. Set $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi[q(z)], h(z)=$ $\theta[q(z)]+Q(z)$ and suppose that either
(i) $h$ is convex, or
(ii) $Q$ is starlike.

In addition, assume that
(iii) $\Re\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0$ for all $z$ in $\mathbb{E}$. If $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{E}$, with $p(0)=q(0), p(\mathbb{E}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and

$$
\theta[p(z)]+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi[p(z)] \prec \theta[q(z)]+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi[q(z)], z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 2. Let $\beta \neq 0$ be a complex number. Let $q(z)$ be a univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left[1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right]>\max \left\{0,-\Re\left(\frac{q(z)}{\beta}\right)\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\beta)\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\beta\left[\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+(1+2 \lambda) z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right] \prec q(z)+\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)} \prec q(z), z \in \mathbb{E},
$$

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.
Proof. On writing, $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}=u(z)$, in (6), we obtain:

$$
u(z)+\frac{\beta z u^{\prime}(z)}{u(z)} \prec q(z)+\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} .
$$

Let us define the function $\theta$ and $\phi$ as follows:

$$
\theta(w)=w
$$

and

$$
\phi(w)=\frac{\beta}{w} .
$$

Clearly, $\theta$ and $\phi$ are analytic in domain $\mathbb{D}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Therefore,

$$
Q(z)=\phi(q(z)) z q^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

and

$$
h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)=q(z)+\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} .
$$

On differentiating, we obtain $\frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ and

$$
\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{q(z)}{\beta} .
$$

In view of the given conditions, we see that Q is starlike and $\Re\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0$.
Therefore, the proof, now follows from Lemma 1.

## 4. Applications:

Remark 1. When we select the dominant $q(z)=1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}$ in Theorem 2, a little calculation yields that

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{1+z}{2(1-z)}+\frac{\sqrt{z}}{(1-z) \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}-\frac{\frac{4 \sqrt{z}}{\pi^{2}(1-z)} \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{q(z)}{\beta} & =\frac{1+z}{2(1-z)}+\frac{\sqrt{z}}{(1-z) \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}-\frac{\frac{4 \sqrt{z}}{\pi^{2}(1-z)} \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}} \\
+ & \frac{1}{\beta}\left(1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for positive real number $\beta$, we notice that $q(z)$ satisfies the condition (5) in Theorem 2. Therefore, we immediately arrive at the following result.

Theorem 3. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-\beta)\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\beta\left[\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+(1+2 \lambda) z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right] \\
& \quad \prec 1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}+\beta\left[\frac{\frac{4 \sqrt{z}}{\pi^{2}(1-z)} \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}}\right], z \in \mathbb{E},
\end{aligned}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda), 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Setting $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 3, we get the following result.
Corollary 4. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(1-\beta) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\beta\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec 1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2} \\
&+\beta\left[\frac{\frac{4 \sqrt{z}}{\pi^{2}(1-z)} \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}}\right], z \in \mathbb{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$.
Setting $\lambda=1$ in Theorem 3, we get the following result.
Corollary 5. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-\beta)\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)+\beta\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+3 z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z^{2} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)+z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right) \\
\prec & 1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}+\beta\left[\frac{\frac{4 \sqrt{z}}{\pi^{2}(1-z)} \log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)}{1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)^{2}}\right], z \in \mathbb{E},
\end{aligned}
$$

then $f \in U C V$.
Remark 2. When we select the dominant $q(z)=e^{z}$ in Theorem 2, a little calculation yields that

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=1
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{q(z)}{\beta}=1+\frac{e^{z}}{\beta} .
$$

Thus for positive real number $\beta$, we notice that $q(z)$ satisfies the condition (5) in Theorem 2. Therefore, we immediately arrive at the following result.

Theorem 6. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies
$(1-\beta)\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\beta\left[\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+(1+2 \lambda) z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right] \prec e^{z}+\beta z$,
then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)} \prec e^{z}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E} .
$$
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Setting $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 6, we get the following result.
Corollary 7. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
(1-\beta) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\beta\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec e^{z}+\beta z, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$.
Setting $\lambda=1$ in Theorem 6, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 8. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
(1-\beta)\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)+\beta\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+3 z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z^{2} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)+z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right) \prec e^{z}+\beta z, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{K}$.
Remark 3. When we select the dominant $q(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z} ; 0 \leq \alpha<1$ in Theorem 2, a little calculation yields that

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z^{2}}{(1-z)(1+(1-2 \alpha) z)}
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{q(z)}{\beta}=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z^{2}}{(1-z)(1+(1-2 \alpha) z)}+\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{\beta(1-z)} .
$$

Thus for positive real number $\beta$, we notice that $q(z)$ satisfies the condition (5) in Theorem 2. Therefore, we immediately arrive at the following result.

Theorem 9. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-\beta)\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\beta\left[\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+(1+2 \lambda) z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right] \\
\prec \frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}+\frac{2 \beta z(1-\alpha)}{(1-z)[1+(1-2 \alpha) z]}, z \in \mathbb{E},
\end{gathered}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \alpha)$, where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1$.
Setting $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 9 , we get the following result.

Corollary 10. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies
$(1-\beta) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\beta\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec \frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}+\frac{2 \beta z(1-\alpha)}{(1-z)[1+(1-2 \alpha) z]}, 0 \leq \alpha<1, z \in \mathbb{E}$.
then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$.
Setting $\lambda=1$ in Theorem 9, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 11. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-\beta)\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)+\beta\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+3 z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+z^{2} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)+z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right) \\
& \frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}+\frac{2 \beta z(1-\alpha)}{(1-z)[1+(1-2 \alpha) z]}, 0 \leq \alpha<1, z \in \mathbb{E} .
\end{aligned}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$.
Remark 4. When we select the dominant $q(z)=1+a z ; 0 \leq a<1$ in Theorem 2, a little calculation yields that

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{1}{1+a z}
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{q(z)}{\beta}=\frac{1}{1+a z}+\frac{1+a z}{\beta} .
$$

Thus for positive real number $\beta$, we notice that $q(z)$ satisfies the condition (5) in Theorem 2. Therefore, we immediately arrive at the following result.

Theorem 12. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies
$(1-\beta)\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\beta\left[\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+(1+2 \lambda) z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right] \prec 1+a z+\frac{\beta a z}{1+a z}$,
then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)} \prec 1+a z, 0 \leq a<1,0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E} .
$$

Remark 5. When we select the dominant $q(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 2, a little calculation yields that

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{q(z)}{\beta}=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}+\frac{1+z}{\beta(1-z)}
$$

Thus for positive real number $\beta$, we notice that $q(z)$ satisfies the condition (5) in Theorem 2. Therefore, we, immediately arrive at the following result.

Theorem 13. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $(1-\beta)\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\beta\left[\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+(1+2 \lambda) z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right] \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{2 \beta z}{1-z^{2}}$, then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E} .
$$

Remark 6. When we select the dominant $q(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\gamma}, 0<\gamma \leq 1$ in Theorem 2, a little calculation yields that

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{q(z)}{\beta}=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}+\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\gamma} .
$$

Thus for positive real number $\beta$, we notice that $q(z)$ satisfies the condition (5) in Theorem 2. Therefore, we, immediately arrive at the following result.

Theorem 14. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies
$(1-\beta)\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\beta\left[\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+(1+2 \lambda) z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right] \prec\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\gamma}+\frac{2 \beta \gamma z}{1-z^{2}}$,
then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)} \prec\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\gamma}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1,0<\gamma \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E} .
$$

Remark 7. When we select the dominant $q(z)=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}(1-z)}{\alpha^{\prime}-z}, \alpha^{\prime}>1$ in Theorem 2, a little calculation yields that

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{1}{1-z}+\frac{z}{\alpha^{\prime}-z}
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\frac{q(z)}{\beta}=\frac{1}{1-z}+\frac{z}{\alpha^{\prime}-z}+\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\frac{\alpha^{\prime}(1-z)}{\alpha^{\prime}-z}\right) .
$$

Thus for positive real number $\beta$, we notice that $q(z)$ satisfies the condition (5) in Theorem 2. Therefore, we, immediately arrive at the following result.

Theorem 15. Let $\beta$ be a positive real number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-\beta)\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right]+\beta\left[\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+(1+2 \lambda) z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right] \\
\prec \frac{\alpha^{\prime}(1-z)}{\alpha^{\prime}-z}+\frac{\beta\left(1-\alpha^{\prime}\right) z}{(1-z)\left(\alpha^{\prime}-z\right)},
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \frac{\alpha^{\prime}(1-z)}{\alpha^{\prime}-z}, \alpha^{\prime}>1,0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{E} .
$$

Remark 8. Selecting $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=1$ in above results, we get sufficient conditions for starlikeness and convexity for the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ as discussed in Theorem 6 and Theorem 9.
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