## $S_1$ -PARACOMPACTNESS WITH RESPECT TO AN IDEAL

N. Sathiyasundari, V. Renukadevi

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study  $S_1$ -paracompact spaces in ideal topological spaces and give new characterizations of such spaces. Also, we generalize some of its properties in ideal topological spaces. We study subsets and subspaces of  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces and discuss their properties. Also, we investigate the invariants of  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces by functions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54D20, 54F65, 54G05.

Keywords:  $S_1$ -paracompact modulo  $\mathcal{I}$  space,  $S_1$ -almost paracompact space, codense, completely codense, semiregular space, irresolute, semicontinuous, strongly semicontinuous.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 2011, Al-Zoubi and Rawashdeh introduced and studied the concept of  $S_1$ -paracompact spaces. A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $S_1$ -paracompact space [2] if every semiopen cover of X has a locally finite open refinement. In this paper, we introduce a new class of spaces, called  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces. We give some characterizations of these spaces and investigate the relation between  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces and  $\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces.

The subject of ideals in topological spaces has been studied by Kuratowski [15] and Vaidyanathaswamy [22]. An *ideal*  $\mathcal{I}$  on a set X is a nonempty collection of subsets of X which satisfies (i)  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \subset A$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  and (ii)  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  implies  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ . Given a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on X and if  $\wp(X)$  is the set of all subsets of X, a set operator ()\*:  $\wp(X) \to \wp(X)$ , called a *local function* [13] of A with respect to  $\tau$  and  $\mathcal{I}$ , is defined as follows: for  $A \subset X$ ,  $A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau) = \{x \in X \mid U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } U \in \tau(x)\}$  where  $\tau(x) = \{U \in \tau \mid x \in U\}$ . A Kuratowski closure operator  $cl^*()$  for a topology  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$ , called \*-topology, finer than  $\tau$  is defined by  $cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$  [13] and  $\beta = \{U - I \mid U \in \tau \text{ and } I \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is a basis for  $\tau^*$  [13]. We simply write  $\tau^*$  for  $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$ . If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal on X, then  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is called an ideal space. If  $\beta = \tau^*$ , then we say  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\tau$ -simple [13].

sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{I}$  to be simple is the following: for  $A \subseteq X$ , if for every  $a \in A$ there exists  $U \in \tau(a)$  such that  $U \cap A \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ . If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  satisfies this condition, then  $\tau$  is said to be *compatible with respect to*  $\mathcal{I}$  [13] or  $\mathcal{I}$  is said to be  $\tau$ -local, denoted by  $\mathcal{I} \sim \tau$ . Given a space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ , we say  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\tau$ -boundary [13] or  $\tau$ -codense if  $\mathcal{I} \cap \tau = \{\emptyset\}$ , that is, each member of  $\mathcal{I}$  has empty  $\tau$ -interior. An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is completely codense [10] if  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{N}$  where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the ideal of nowhere dense subsets in  $(X, \tau)$ . An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is said to be weakly  $\tau$ -local [14] if  $A^* = \emptyset$  implies  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ .  $\mathcal{I}$  is called  $\tau$ -locally finite [12] if the union of each  $\tau$ -locally finite family contained in  $\mathcal{I}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ .

We always mean a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with no separation properties assumed. A subset A is said to be semiopen [16], (resp. regular open,  $\alpha$ -open [17], preopen [7], semipreopen [8]) in  $(X,\tau)$  if  $A \subset cl(int(A))$  (resp. A = int(cl(A)),  $A \subset int(cl(int(A))), A \subset int(cl(A)), A \subset cl(int(cl(A))))$ . The union of any family of semiopen subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  is semiopen [16]. The complement of a semiopen (resp. regular open) set is said to be semiclosed [6] (resp. regular closed). The semiclosure of A, denoted by scl(A) [7] is defined by the intersection of all semiclosed sets containing A. A subset A is said to be *semireqular* [8] if it is both semiopen and semiclosed. The family of all semiopen (resp. semiclosed, semiregular, regular open, regular closed, preopen) sets is denoted by SO(X) (resp. SC(X), SR(X), RO(X), RC(X), PO(X)). A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be extremally disconnected (E.D) if the closure of every open set in  $(X, \tau)$  is open. A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *semiregular* [9] if for each semiclosed set F and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint semiopen sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ . This is equivalent to for each  $U \in SO(X)$ and for each  $x \in U$ , there exists  $V \in SO(X)$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq scl(V) \subseteq U$ . The family of  $\alpha$ -sets of a space  $(X, \tau)$ , denoted by  $\tau^{\alpha}$ , forms a topology on X, finer than  $\tau$ . A function  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is said to be *irresolute* [7] (resp. semicontinuous [16], strongly semicontinuous [1]) if the inverse image of every semiopen (resp. open, semiopen) set is semiopen (resp. semiopen, open). A function  $f:(X,\tau)\to (Y,\sigma)$ is said to be presentiopen [6] if  $f(U) \in SO(Y)$  for every  $U \in SO(X)$ . A function  $f:(X,\tau)\to (Y,\sigma)$  is said to be almost open [23] if  $f^{-1}(cl(V))\subset cl(f^{-1}(V))$  for every open subset V of Y. A function  $f: (X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is said to be almostclosed [21] if f(F) is closed in Y for every regular closed set F of X. A function  $f:(X,\tau)\to(Y,\sigma)$  is said to be *semi-closed* [20] if f(F) is semiclosed in Y for every closed set F of X. A subset S of a space X is said to be N-closed relative to X (N-closed) [5] if for every cover  $\{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of S by open sets of X, there exists a finite subfamily  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $S \subset \bigcup \{int(cl(U_\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$ . The following lemmas will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 1.** [2] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an E.D. semiregular space. Then (a)  $SO(X, \tau) = \tau$ . (b)  $(X, \tau)$  is regular.

**Lemma 2.** (a) If A is an open set in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \cap B \in SO(X, \tau)$ . [18] (b) Let  $(A, \tau_A)$  be a subspace of a space  $(X, \tau)$  and  $B \subset A$ . If  $A \in \tau$  and  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$ .

 $SO(A, \tau_A)$ , then  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$ . [7]

**Lemma 3.** [17] For any space  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $SO(X, \tau^{\alpha}) = SO(X, \tau)$ .

**Lemma 4.** [18] Let A and  $X_0$  be subsets of X such that  $A \subset X_0$  and  $X_0 \in SO(X)$ . Then  $A \in SO(X)$  if and only if  $A \in SO(X_0)$ .

**Lemma 5.** [4] If  $\{A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a locally finite family of subsets in a space X, and if  $B_{\alpha} \subset A_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , then the family  $\{B_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a locally finite in X.

**Lemma 6.** [3] The union of a finite family of locally finite collection of sets in a space is locally finite family of sets.

**Lemma 7.** [19] Let  $f : X \to Y$  be almost closed surjection with N-closed point inverses. If  $\{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a locally finite open cover of X, then  $\{f(U_{\alpha}) \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a locally finite cover of Y.

**Lemma 8.** [11] If  $f : X \to Y$  is a continuous function and  $\mathcal{U} = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta\}$  is locally finite in Y, then  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = \{f^{-1}(V_{\beta}) \mid \beta \in \Delta\}$  is locally finite in X.

**Lemma 9.** [13] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space with  $\mathcal{I}$  an ideal on X. Then the following are equivalent

(a) X = X<sup>\*</sup>,
(b) τ ∩ I = {Ø},
(c) If I ∈ I, then int(I) = Ø, and
(d) For every U ∈ τ, U ⊂ U<sup>\*</sup>.

**Lemma 10.** [12]  $\mathcal{I}$  is weakly  $\tau$ -local implies  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\tau$ -locally finite.

# 2. $S_1 \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces

A space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is said to be  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact  $(S_1$ -paracompact modulo  $\mathcal{I})$  if for every semiopen cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of X, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and X-locally finite X-open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{V \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup I$ . A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $S_1$ -almost paracompact if for every semiopen cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of X, there exists a X-locally finite open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $X = cl(\bigcup \{V \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\})$ . A space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact (paracompact modulo  $\mathcal{I}$ ) [12] if and only if every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of X has a locally finite open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  (not necessarily a cover) such that  $X - \cup \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{I}$ . A collection  $\mathcal{V}$  of subsets of X is said to be an  $\mathcal{I} - cover$  [24] of X if  $X - \cup \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{I}$ . A space is  $S_1$ -paracompact if and only if it is  $S_1$ -paracompact modulo  $\{\emptyset\}$ . Since  $\tau \subset SO(X, \tau)$ ,  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact implies  $\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact. Theorem 11 shows that the converse holds only if the space X is E.D and semiregular, the proof of which follows from Lemma 1. In this section, we characterize  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces and investigate the relation between  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces and  $\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an E.D semiregular space. If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

*Proof.* By lemma 1, the theorem follows.

**Theorem 12.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space. If  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal on X with  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J}$ , then  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{J})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{J}$ -paracompact.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal space and  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{I}$ . If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S_1$ -almost paracompact, then  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a semiopen cover of X. By hypothesis, there exists an X-locally finite X-open family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $X = cl(\bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\})$ . Now  $X = cl(\bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\})$  implies  $X - cl(\bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}) = \emptyset$  which implies  $int(X - \bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}) = \emptyset$  which in turn implies that  $int(cl(X - \bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\})) = \emptyset$  and so  $X - \bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{I}$ ,  $X - \bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ - paracompact.

**Theorem 14.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal space. If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact and  $\mathcal{I}$  is codense, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S_1$ -almost paracompact.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a semiopen cover of X. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and X-locally finite X-open family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $X - \bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}$  is codense,  $int(X - \bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}) = \emptyset$  which implies  $X - cl(\bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}) = \emptyset$  which in turn implies that  $X \subset cl(\bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\})$ . So  $X = cl(\bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\})$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  is S<sub>1</sub>-almost paracompact.

**Corollary 15.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal space. If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact and  $\mathcal{I}$  is completely codense, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S_1$ -almost paracompact

**Corollary 16.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal space with  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S_1$ -almost paracompact if and only if  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

**Theorem 17.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an E.D semiregular space with an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . Then  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact if and only if  $(X, \tau^{\alpha}, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

Proof. Suppose  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a  $\tau^\alpha$ -semiopen cover of X. Then  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  is a  $\tau$ -semiopen cover of X, by Lemma 3. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\tau$ -locally finite  $\tau$ -open family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$ which refines  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{V_\beta \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\tau$ -locally finite, there exists  $W \in \tau(x)$  such that  $V_\beta \cap W \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\beta = \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$ . Since  $\tau \subset \tau^\alpha$ , the family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is  $\tau^\alpha$ -locally finite which refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau^\alpha, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact. Conversely, let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a  $\tau$ -semiopen cover of X. Then  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  is a  $\tau^\alpha$ -semiopen cover of X, by Lemma 3. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\tau^\alpha$ -locally finite  $\tau^\alpha$ -open family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{V_\beta \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\tau^\alpha$ -locally finite, there exists  $W \in \tau^\alpha(x)$  such that  $V_\beta \cap W \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\beta = \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$ . Since  $W \in \tau^\alpha(x), W \subset int(cl(int(W)))$ . Then  $int(cl(int(W))) \in \tau(x)$  such that  $V_\beta \cap (int(cl(int(W)))) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\beta = \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$ . Thus, by Lemma 1, the family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is  $\tau$ -locally finite  $\tau$ -open which refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

**Theorem 18.** If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then for every cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of regular closed sets of X, there exists an open X-locally finite  $\mathcal{I}$ -cover refinement.

*Proof.* Since regular closed sets are semiopen, the theorem follows.

**Theorem 19.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a semiregular space. If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then each semiopen cover of X has X-locally finite semiclosed  $\mathcal{I}$ -cover refinement.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a semiopen cover of X. For each  $x \in X$ , pick  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x \in U_x$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is semiregular, there exists  $V_x \in SO(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in V_x \subset scl(V_x) \subset U_x$ . Then the family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_x \mid x \in X\}$  is a semiopen cover of X. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and X-locally finite X-open family  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  which refines  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $X \subset \bigcup \{W_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup I$ . Since  $\bigcup W_\alpha \subset \bigcup scl(W_\alpha), X - \bigcup \{scl(W_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} \subset X - \bigcup \{W_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}\}$ . Thus,  $X - \bigcup \{scl(W_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is X-locally finite, there exists  $P \in \tau(x)$  such that  $W_\alpha \cap P \neq \emptyset$  for  $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$ . Since  $W_\alpha \subset scl(W_\alpha)$ ,  $W_\alpha \cap P \subset scl(W_\alpha) \cap P$ . Then  $scl(W_\alpha) \cap P \neq \emptyset$  for  $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$ . Thus, the collection  $\mathcal{W}' = \{scl(W_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is X-locally finite. Let  $scl(W_\alpha) \in \mathcal{W}'$ . Then  $W_\alpha \in \mathcal{W}$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}$  refines  $\mathcal{V}$ , there exists  $V_x \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $W_\alpha \subset V_x$  so that  $scl(W_\alpha) \subset scl(V_x) \subset U_x$ . Hence  $\mathcal{W}'$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore, the family  $\mathcal{W}'$  is an X-locally finite semiclosed refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence each semiopen cover of X has X-locally finite semiclosed  $\mathcal{I}$ -cover refinement.

If  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  in the above Theorem 19, we have the Corollary 20.

**Corollary 20.** [2, Theorem 2.13] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a semiregular space. If each semiopen cover of a space X has a locally finite refinement, then each semiopen cover of X has locally finite semiclosed refinement

**Theorem 21.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal space with a codense ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . If  $(X, \tau^*)$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact and  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\tau$ -simple, then every semiopen cover of  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  has X-locally finite X-semiopen  $\mathcal{I}$ -cover refinement.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_0\}$  be a  $\tau$ -semiopen cover of X. By Lemma 9,  $SO(X, \tau) \subset SO(X, \tau^*)$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\tau^*$ -semiopen cover of X. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\tau^*$ -locally finite  $\tau^*$ -open refinement  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1, V_{\alpha} \in \tau, I_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{I}\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{V_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then there exists a  $\tau^*$ -open set V containing x such that  $V \cap (V_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha}) = \emptyset$  for  $\alpha \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\tau$ -simple, V = U - J for some  $U \in \tau$  and  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ . Thus,  $(U - J) \cap (V_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha}) = \emptyset$  for  $\alpha \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$  which implies  $(U \cap V_{\alpha}) - (J \cup I_{\alpha}) = \emptyset$  for  $\alpha \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$  which in turn implies that  $U \cap V_{\alpha} = \emptyset$  for  $\alpha \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$ , since  $\mathcal{I}$  is codense. Then  $U \cap (V_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}) = \emptyset$  for  $\alpha \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$ . Therefore, the family  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1\}$  is  $\tau$ -locally finite. Also, the family  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is X-semiopen which refines  $\mathcal{U}$ , by Lemma 2(a). Since  $\mathcal{V}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ , for every  $V_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{V}$ , there exists  $U_{\beta} \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $V_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha} \subset U_{\beta}$ . Thus,  $V_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha} = (V_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha}) \cap U_{\beta} \subset (V_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}) - I_{\alpha} \subset V_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$  so that  $X - \bigcup (V_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}) \subset X - \bigcup (V_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Therefore,  $X - \bigcup (V_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}) \in \mathcal{I}$  which completes the proof.

**Theorem 22.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal space and  $\mathcal{I}$  is weakly  $\tau$ -local. If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(X, \tau^*)$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha} \mid U_{\alpha} \in \tau, I_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{I}, \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be an  $\tau^*$ -semiopen cover of X. Then  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  is a  $\tau$ -semiopen cover of X. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\tau$ -locally finite  $\tau$ -open refinement  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$ . Now  $\{V_{\beta} \cap I_{\alpha} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is a  $\tau$ -locally finite subset of  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  is weakly  $\tau$ -local,  $\bigcup (V_{\beta} \cap I_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{I}$ , by Lemma 10. Then  $X - \bigcup (V_{\beta} - I_{\alpha}) \subset (X - \bigcup V_{\beta}) \cup (\bigcup (V_{\beta} \cap I_{\alpha})) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Therefore,  $X - \bigcup (V_{\beta} - I_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is  $\tau$ -locally finite,  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} - I_{\alpha} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is  $\tau$ -locally finite. Since  $\tau \subset \tau^*, \mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} - I_{\alpha} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is  $\tau^*$ -locally finite which refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $(X, \tau^*)$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

## 3. $S_1\mathcal{I}$ - paracompact subsets

In this section, we define the subsets and subspaces of  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces and discuss some of its properties. A subset A of an ideal space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is said to be  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X if for every X-semiopen cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of A, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and X-locally finite family  $\mathcal{V}$  of X-open sets which refines  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup \{V \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup I$ . A is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact if  $(A, \tau_A, \mathcal{I}_A)$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}_A$ -paracompact as a subspace where  $\tau_A$  is the usual subspace topology.

**Theorem 23.** Every regular open subspace of an  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

Proof. Let A be a regular open subspace of  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a  $\tau_A$ -semiopen cover of A. Since A is an open subset of X,  $U_{\alpha} \in SO(X, \tau)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta_0$ , by Lemma 2(b). Then  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \cup \{X - A\}$  is a semiopen cover of X. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and X-locally finite X-open refinement  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$  which implies  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \cap A \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I_A$  where  $I_A = I \cap A$ . Let  $x \in A$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is X-locally finite, there exists  $W \in \tau(x)$  such that  $V_{\beta} \cap W = \emptyset$  for  $\beta \neq \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$ . For  $\beta \neq \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$ ,  $V_{\beta} \cap W = \emptyset$  implies that  $(V_{\beta} \cap W) \cap A = \emptyset$  which implies  $(V_{\beta} \cap A) \cap (W \cap A) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} \cap A \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is  $\tau_A$ -locally finite. Let  $V_{\beta} \cap A \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $V_{\beta} \in \mathcal{V}_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_1$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_1$ , there exists  $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}_1$  such that  $V_{\beta} \in \Delta_1$  is  $\tau_A$ -locally finite  $\tau_A$ -open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore, A is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

**Corollary 24.** Every clopen subspace of an  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

If  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  in the above Theorem 23, we have the Corollary 25.

**Corollary 25.** [2, Theorem 3.1] Every regular open subspace of an  $S_1$ -paracompact space is  $S_1$ -paracompact.

**Theorem 26.** Let  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  be an ideal space and let  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$ . If A is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X, then A is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a cover of A by semiopen sets of A. Since  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$ ,  $A \in SO(X, \tau)$  and so by Lemma 4,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\tau$ -semiopen cover of A. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\tau$ -locally finite  $\tau$ -open refinement  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$  which implies  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \cap A \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup (I \cap A)$ . Let  $x \in A$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is  $\tau$ -locally finite, there exists  $W \in \tau(x)$  such that  $V_{\beta} \cap W = \emptyset$  for  $\beta \neq \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$ . Then  $(V_{\beta} \cap W) \cap A = \emptyset$  for  $\beta \neq \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$  which implies  $(V_{\beta} \cap A) \cap (W \cap A) = \emptyset$  for  $\beta \neq \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$ . Thus, the family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} \cap A \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is  $\tau_A$ -open  $\tau_A$ -locally finite refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore, A is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

**Theorem 27.** If A and B are  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to an ideal space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ , then  $A \cup B$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a X-semiopen cover of  $A \cup B$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  is a X-semiopen cover of A and B. By hypothesis, there exist  $I_A, I_B \in \mathcal{I}$  and X-open X-locally finite families  $\mathcal{V}_A = \{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1\}$  of A and  $\mathcal{V}_B = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  of B which refines  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1\} \cup I_A$  and  $B \subset \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  $\} \cup I_B$ . Now  $A \cup B \subset (\bigcup \{V_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1\} \cup I_A) \cup (\bigcup \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I_B)$  implies that  $A \cup B \subset \bigcup \{V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup (I_A \cup I_B)$  which implies  $A \cup B \subset \bigcup \{V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup (I_A \cup I_B)$  which implies  $A \cup B \subset \bigcup \{V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I_B$ . Since the families  $\mathcal{V}_A$  and  $\mathcal{V}_B$  are X-locally finite, the family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is X-locally finite, by Lemma 6, which refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore,  $A \cup B$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X.

**Theorem 28.** Let A and B be subsets of an ideal space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ . If A is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X and B is semiclosed in X, then  $A \cap B$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a cover of  $A \cap B$  such that  $U_{\alpha} \in SO(X, \tau)$ . Since X - B is semiopen in X,  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \cup \{X - B\}$  is a X-semiopen cover of A. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and X-locally finite X-open family  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup \{V\} \ (V_{\beta} \subset U_{\alpha} \text{ and } V \subset X - B)$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}_1$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup_{\beta} \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup V \cup I$ . Now  $A \subset \bigcup_{\beta} \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup V \cup I$  implies that  $A \cap B \subset \bigcup_{\beta} (\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup V \cup I) \cap B$  which implies  $A \cap B \subset \bigcup_{\beta} \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup V \cup I$ . Thus,  $A \cap B - \bigcup_{\beta} V_{\beta} = A \cap B - (V \cup (\bigcup_{\beta} V_{\beta}))$  and so  $A \cap B - \bigcup_{\beta} V_{\beta} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $V_{\beta} \subset V_{\beta} \cup V$ ,  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is X-locally finite, by Lemma 5. Therefore,  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is X-locally finite X-open family which refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $A \cap B$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X.

**Corollary 29.** If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact and B is semiclosed, then B is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X.

**Corollary 30.** If A and B are semiclosed sets of an  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ , then  $A \cap B$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X.

**Theorem 31.** In an ideal space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ , if A is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X, then every cover of A by semiregular sets of X has locally finite open  $\mathcal{I}$ -cover refinement.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a cover of A such that  $U_{\alpha} \in SR(X, \tau)$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is an X-semiopen cover of A. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and X-locally finite X-open family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 32.** Let A and B be subsets of an ideal space  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  such that  $A \subset B \subset X$  and  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$ . If A is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X, then A is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to B.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a cover of A such that  $U_{\alpha} \in SO(B)$ . Since  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$ , by Lemma 4,  $\mathcal{U}$  is an X-semiopen cover of A. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and X-locally finite X-open family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$ . Then  $A \cap B \subset \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \cap B \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup (I \cap B)$  implies  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \cap B \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$ . Let  $x \in B$ . Since  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is X-locally finite, there exists  $W \in \tau(x)$  such that  $W \cap V_{\beta} = \emptyset$  for  $\beta \neq \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$  which implies  $(W \cap V_{\beta}) \cap B = \emptyset$  for  $\beta \neq \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$  which implies  $(V_{\beta} \cap B) \cap (W \cap B) = \emptyset$  for  $\beta \neq \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$ . Therefore, the family  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\beta} \cap B \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is B-locally finite. Let  $V_{\beta} \cap B \in \mathcal{V}_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ , there exists  $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $V_{\beta} \subset U_{\alpha}$  and so  $V_{\beta} \cap B \subset U_{\alpha}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V}_1$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore, A is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to B.

**Corollary 33.** If A is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X, then the following hold. (a)  $A \cap B$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to B for each  $B \in SR(X, \tau)$ . (b) If  $B \in SR(X, \tau)$  and  $B \subset A$ , then B is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X.

*Proof.* (a) Let A be  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X. Since  $B \in SR(X, \tau)$ ,  $B \in SC(X, \tau)$ . By Theorem 28,  $A \cap B$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X. Since  $A \cap B \subset B$  and  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$ , by Theorem 32,  $A \cap B$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to B. (b) Since  $B \subset A$  and  $B \in SR(X, \tau)$ , by Theorem 28, B is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X.

### 4. Invariants of $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space under mappings

In this section, we discuss that if a function is open irresolute almostclosed surjection with N-closed point inverses, then it preserves  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces. If a function is presemiopen, continuous and bijective, then it inverse preserves  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces.

**Theorem 34.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be an open, irresolute, almostclosed, surjective mapping with N-closed point inverses and  $\mathcal{J} = f(\mathcal{I})$ . If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{J}$ -paracompact.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a semiopen cover of Y. Since f is irresolute,  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  is a semiopen cover of X. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and X-locally finite X-open family  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}_1$  such that  $X = \bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$ . Then  $f(X) = f(\bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I)$  which implies that  $Y = \bigcup \{f(V_{\beta}) \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup f(I) \text{ which implies } Y = \bigcup \{f(V_{\beta}) \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup J, \text{ where } J = f(I). \text{ Since } \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ is } X - \text{locally finite, } \mathcal{V} = \{f(V_{\beta}) \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \text{ is } Y - \text{locally finite, } by \text{ Lemma 7. Since } f \text{ is open, } f(V_{\beta}) \text{ is open in } Y. \text{ Let } f(V_{\beta}) \in \mathcal{V}. \text{ Then } V_{\beta} \in \mathcal{V}_1. \text{ Since } \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ refines } \mathcal{U}_1, \text{ there exists } f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{U}_1 \text{ such that } V_{\beta} \subset f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}). \text{ Thus, } f(V_{\beta}) \subset f(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha})) \text{ implies that } f(V_{\beta}) \subset U_{\alpha} \text{ for some } U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}. \text{ Hence } \mathcal{V} \text{ refines } \mathcal{U}. \text{ Therefore, } (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J}) \text{ is } S_1 \mathcal{J} - \text{paracompact.}$ 

Since compact sets are N-closed and closed maps are almostclosed, the proof of the following Corollary 35 follows from Theorem 34.

**Corollary 35.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be an open, irresolute, closed, surjective mapping with compact point inverses and  $\mathcal{J} = f(\mathcal{I})$ . If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{J}$ -paracompact.

**Corollary 36.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be an open, semicontinuous, almostclosed, surjective mapping with N-closed point inverses and  $\mathcal{J} = f(\mathcal{I})$ . If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$ is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ -paracompact.

**Corollary 37.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be an open, semicontinuous, closed, surjective mapping with compact point inverses and  $\mathcal{J} = f(\mathcal{I})$ . If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ -paracompact.

If  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$  in the above Corollary 35, we have the Corollary 38.

**Corollary 38.** [2, Theorem 3.5] Let  $f : (X,T) \to (Y,M)$  be a continuous, open and closed surjective function such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for each  $y \in Y$ . If (X,T) is  $S_1$ -paracompact, then so is (Y,M).

**Theorem 39.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be an open, strongly semicontinuous, almostclosed, surjective mapping with N-closed point inverses and  $\mathcal{J} = f(\mathcal{I})$ . If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{J}$ -paracompact.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a semiopen cover of Y. Since f is strongly semicontinuous,  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  is an open cover of X. By hypothesis, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and X-locally finite X-open family  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}_1$  such that  $X = \bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$ . Then  $f(X) = f(\bigcup\{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I)$ which implies  $Y = \bigcup\{f(V_{\beta}) \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup f(I)$  which implies  $Y = \bigcup\{f(V_{\beta}) \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup J$ , where J = f(I). Since  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is X-locally finite,  $\mathcal{V} = \{f(V_{\beta}) \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is Y-locally finite, by Lemma 7. Since f is open,  $f(V_{\beta})$  is open in Y. Let  $f(V_{\beta}) \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $V_{\beta} \in \mathcal{V}_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_1$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_1$ , there exists  $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{U}_1$  such that  $V_{\beta} \subset f^{-1}(U_{\alpha})$ . Thus,  $f(V_{\beta}) \subset f(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}))$  implies that  $f(V_{\beta}) \subset U_{\alpha}$  for some  $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V}$ refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore,  $(Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{J}$ -paracompact. **Corollary 40.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be an open, strongly semicontinuous, closed, surjective mapping with compact point inverses and  $\mathcal{J} = f(\mathcal{I})$ . If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{J}$ -paracompact.

**Theorem 41.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be a presentiopen, continuous, bijective mapping and  $\mathcal{I} = f^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$ . If A is  $S_1\mathcal{J}$ -paracompact relative to Y, then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  be a X-semiopen cover of  $f^{-1}(A)$ . Since f is presemiopen,  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{f(U_{\alpha}) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$  is a Y-semiopen cover of A. By hypothesis, there exist  $J \in \mathcal{J}$  and Y-locally finite Y-open family  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}_1$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup J$ . Now  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup J$ implies that  $f^{-1}(A) \subset \bigcup \{f^{-1}(V_{\beta}) \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup f^{-1}(J)$  which implies  $f^{-1}(A) \subset \bigcup \{f^{-1}(V_{\beta}) \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$ , where  $I = f^{-1}(J)$ . Since f is continuous, by Lemma 8,  $\mathcal{V} = \{f^{-1}(V_{\beta}) \mid \beta \in \Delta_1\}$  is X-open, X-locally finite. Let  $f^{-1}(V_{\beta}) \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then  $V_{\beta} \in \mathcal{V}_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_1$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_1$ , there exists  $f(U_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{U}_1$  such that  $V_{\beta} \subset f(U_{\alpha})$ . Then  $f^{-1}(V_{\beta}) \subset f^{-1}(f(U_{\alpha}))$  implies  $f^{-1}(V_{\beta}) \subset U_{\alpha}$  for some  $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to X.

**Corollary 42.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be a presentiopen, continuous, bijective mapping and  $\mathcal{I} = f^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$ . If  $(Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{J}$ -paracompact, then  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

**Corollary 43.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be a semiopen, continuous, bijective mapping and  $\mathcal{I} = f^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$ . If  $(Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{J}$ -paracompact, then  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

**Corollary 44.** [2, Theorem 3.8] Let  $f : (X,T) \to (Y,M)$  be a continuous, semiclosed, surjection and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for each  $y \in Y$ . If (Y,M) is  $S_1$ -paracompact space, then (X,T) is paracompact.

**Theorem 45.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be an open, irresolute, almost closed, surjective mapping with N-closed point inverses and  $\mathcal{J} = f(\mathcal{I})$  is codense. If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $S_1$ -almost paracompact.

*Proof.* By Theorem 34,  $(Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact. Since  $\mathcal{J} = f(\mathcal{I})$  is codense, by Theorem 14,  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $S_1$ -almost paracompact.

**Corollary 46.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be an open, irresolute, closed, surjective mapping with compact point inverses and  $\mathcal{J} = f(\mathcal{I})$  is codense. If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $S_1$ -almost paracompact. **Corollary 47.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be an open, irresolute, almost closed, surjective mapping with N-closed point inverses and  $\mathcal{J} = f(\mathcal{I})$  is completely codense. If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $S_1$ -almost paracompact.

**Corollary 48.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \to (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$  be an open, irresolute, closed, surjective mapping with compact point inverses and  $\mathcal{J} = f(\mathcal{I})$  is completely codense. If  $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I})$  is  $S_1\mathcal{I}$ -paracompact, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $S_1$ -almost paracompact.

#### References

[1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, R. A. Mohmoud and A. A. Nasef, *Strongly semi*continuous functions, Arab J. Phys. Math. Iraq. 11 (1990), 15 - 22.

[2] K. Al-Zoubi and A. Rawashdeh,  $S_1$ -paracompact spaces, Acta Universitatis Apulensis. 26 (2011), 105 - 112.

[3] A. V. Arkhangel'skii and V. I. Ponomarev, *Fundamentals of General Topology-Problems and Exercises*, Hindustan Pub. Corp., Delhi. 1966.

[4] N. Bourbaki, *General Topology*, Hermann, Addison Wesley Publishing Company, Massachusets. 1966.

[5] D. Carnahan, *Locally nearly-compact spaces*, Boll. U. M. I. 4, 6 (1972), 146 - 153.

[6] C. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, *Semiclosure*, Texas J. Sci. 22(1971), 99 - 112.

[7] C. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, *Semitopological properties*, Fund. Math. 74 (1972), 233 - 254.

[8] G. Di Maio and T. Noiri,  $On \ s-closed \ spaces$ , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1987), 226 - 233.

[9] C. Dorsett, Semiregular spaces, Soochow. J. Math. 8 (1982), 45 - 53.

[10] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and D. A. Rose, *Ideal resolvability*, Topology Appln. 93 (1999), 1 - 16.

[11] T. R. Hamlett and D. Janković, On almost paracompact and para-H-closed spaces, Q and A in Gen. Topology. 11 (1993), 139 - 143.

[12] T. R. Hamlett, D. Rose and D. Janković, *Paracompactness with respect to an ideal*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 20, 3 (1997), 433 - 442.

[13] D. Janković and T. R. Hamlett, New Topologies from Old via Ideals, Amer. Math. Monthly. 97, 4 (1990), 295 - 310.

[14] D. Jankovic and T. R. Hamlett, *Compatible extensions of Ideals*, Boll. U. M. I. 7, 6-B (1992), 453 - 465.

[15] K. Kuratowski, Topology I, Warszawa. 1933.

[16] N. Levine, Semiopen sets and semicontinuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly. 70 (1963), 36 - 41.

[17] O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965), 961 - 970.

[18] T. Noiri, On semi-continuous mappings, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei. Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 8, 54 (1973), 210 - 214.

[19] T. Noiri, Completely continuous images of nearly paracompact spaces, Mat. Vesnik. 1, 14, 29 (1977), 59 - 64.

[20] T. Noiri, Properties of S-closed spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 35 (1980), 431 - 436.

[21] M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal, *Almost-continuous mappings*, Yokohama Math. J. 16 (1968), 63 - 73.

[22] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, *Set Topology*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York. 1946.

[23] A. Wilansky, Topics in Functional Analysis, Springer, Berlin. 1967.

[24] M. I. Zahid, Para H-closed spaces, locally para H-closed spaces and their minimal topologies, Ph.D dissertation, Univ. of Pittsburgh. 1981.

N. Sathiyasundari, V. Renukadevi Department of Mathematics, ANJA College, Sivakasi - 626 124, Tamil Nadu, India. Email: sathyamat03@yahoo.co.in; renu\_siva2003@yahoo.com