

**A NOTE ON STRONG DIFFERENTIAL SUPERORDINATIONS
USING A MULTIPLIER TRANSFORMATION AND
RUSCHEWEYH OPERATOR**

ALB LUPAŞ ALINA AND GEORGIA IRINA OROS

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we establish several strong differential superordinations regarding the new operator $IR_{\lambda,l}^m$ defined by convolution product of the extended multiplier transformation and the extended Ruscheweyh derivative, $IR_{\lambda,l}^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta) = (I(m, \lambda, l) * R^m) f(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, where $R^m f(z, \zeta)$ denote the extended Ruscheweyh derivative, $I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta)$ is the extended multiplier transformation and $\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = z + a_{n+1}(\zeta) z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\}$ is the class of normalized analytic functions.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45, 30A20, 34A40.

Keywords: strong differential superordination, convex function, best subordinant, extended differential operator, convolution product.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by U the unit disc of the complex plane $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, $\bar{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ the closed unit disc of the complex plane and $\mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U})$ the class of analytic functions in $U \times \bar{U}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = z + a_{n+1}(\zeta) z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\},$$

where $a_k(\zeta)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $k \geq 2$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U \times \bar{U}), f(z, \zeta) = a + a_n(\zeta) z^n + a_{n+1}(\zeta) z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}\},$$

for $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_k(\zeta)$ are holomorphic functions in \bar{U} for $k \geq n$.

We also extend the known differential operators to the new class of analytic functions $\mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ introduced in [15].

Definition No. 1 [7] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\lambda, l \geq 0$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$, the operator $I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta)$ is defined by the following infinite series

$$I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(j-1) + l}{l+1} \right)^m a_j(\zeta) z^j, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Remark No. 1 [7] It follows from the above definition that

$$(l+1) I(m+1, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) = [l+1-\lambda] I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta) + \lambda z (I(m, \lambda, l) f(z, \zeta))'_z,$$

$z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Definition No. 2 [4] For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator R^m is defined by $R^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} R^0 f(z, \zeta) &= f(z, \zeta), \\ R^1 f(z, \zeta) &= z f'_z(z, \zeta), \dots, \\ (m+1) R^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) &= z (R^m f(z, \zeta))'_z + m R^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark No. 2 [4] If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then $R^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} C_{m+j-1}^m a_j(\zeta) z^j$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

As a dual notion of strong differential subordination G.I. Oros has introduced and developed the notion of strong differential subordinations in [14].

Definition No. 3 [14] Let $f(z, \zeta)$, $H(z, \zeta)$ analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$. The function $f(z, \zeta)$ is said to be strongly superordinate to $H(z, \zeta)$ if there exists a function w analytic in U , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $H(z, \zeta) = f(w(z), \zeta)$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. In such a case we write $H(z, \zeta) \prec\prec f(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Remark No. 3 [14] (i) Since $f(z, \zeta)$ is analytic in $U \times \bar{U}$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and univalent in U , for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, Definition 3 is equivalent to $H(0, \zeta) = f(0, \zeta)$, for all $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, and $H(U \times \bar{U}) \subset f(U \times \bar{U})$.

(ii) If $H(z, \zeta) \equiv H(z)$ and $f(z, \zeta) \equiv f(z)$, the strong superordination becomes the usual notion of superordination.

Definition No. 4 [9] We denote by Q^* the set of functions that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} \times \bar{U} \setminus E(f, \zeta)$, where $E(f, \zeta) = \{y \in \partial U : \lim_{z \rightarrow y} f(z, \zeta) = \infty\}$, and are such that $f'_z(y, \zeta) \neq 0$ for $y \in \partial U \times \bar{U} \setminus E(f, \zeta)$. The subclass of Q^* for which $f(0, \zeta) = a$ is denoted by $Q^*(a)$.

We have need the following lemmas to study the strong differential superordinations.

Lemma No. 1 [9] *Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function with $h(0, \zeta) = a$ and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be a complex number with $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}^*[a, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$, $p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zp'_z(z, \zeta)$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$ and*

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and is the best subdominant.

Lemma No. 2 [9] *Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zq'_z(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, where $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$.*

If $p \in \mathcal{H}^[a, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$, $p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zp'_z(z, \zeta)$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$ and*

$$q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{\gamma}zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\gamma}{n}-1} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is the best subdominant.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition No. 5 [5] *Let $\lambda, l \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $IR_{\lambda, l}^m$ the operator given by the Hadamard product (the convolution product) of the extended multiplier transformation $I(m, \lambda, l)$ and the extended Ruscheweyh operator R^m , $IR_{\lambda, l}^m : \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$,*

$$IR_{\lambda, l}^m f(z, \zeta) = (I(m, \lambda, l) * R^m) f(z, \zeta).$$

Remark No. 4 [5] *If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j$, then $IR_{\lambda, l}^m f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1} \right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$.*

Remark No. 5 For $l = 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$, we obtain the extended Hadamard product DR_{λ}^n ([6], [2], [12], [13]) of the extended generalized Sălăgean operator D_{λ}^n and the extended Ruscheweyh operator R^n .

For $l = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, we obtain the extended Hadamard product SR^n ([1], [3], [10], [11]) of the extended Sălăgean operator S^n and the extended Ruscheweyh operator R^n .

Theorem No. 1 Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ with $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda, l \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, $Rec > -2$, and suppose that $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (1)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. We have

$$z^{c+1} F(z, \zeta) = (c+2) \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$$

and differentiating it, with respect to z , we obtain $(c+1)F(z, \zeta) + zF'_z(z, \zeta) = (c+2)f(z, \zeta)$ and

$$(c+1)IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta) + z(IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z = (c+2)IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Differentiating the last relation with respect to z we have

$$(IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z + \frac{1}{c+2} z (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))''_{z^2} = (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \quad (2)$$

Using (2), the strong differential superordination (1) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z + \frac{1}{c+2} z (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))''_{z^2}. \quad (3)$$

Denote

$$p(z, \zeta) = (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \quad (4)$$

Replacing (4) in (3) we obtain

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = c + 2$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Corollary No. 1 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$, where $\beta \in [0, 1)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda, l \geq 0$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, $Rec > -2$, and suppose that $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (5)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{2(c+2)(\zeta-\beta)}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1}}{t+1} dt$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 1 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z$, the strong differential superordination (5) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1 + z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = c + 2$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt = \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{\zeta + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1+t} t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$$

$$= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{2(c+2)(\zeta - \beta)}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1}}{t+1} dt \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Theorem No. 2 Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z q'_z(z, \zeta)$, where $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{Re} c > -2$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda, l \geq 0, f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, $F(z, \zeta) = I_c(f)(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t, \zeta) dt$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, and suppose that $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent in $U \times \bar{U}$, $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (6)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 1 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, the strong differential superordination (6) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{1}{c+2} z p'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 2 for $\gamma = c + 2$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m F(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{c+2}{nz^{\frac{c+2}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{c+2}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinant.

Theorem No. 3 Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $\lambda, l \geq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (7)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subdominant.

Proof. Consider $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z} =$

$$1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}. \text{ Evidently } p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta].$$

We have $p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Then (7) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subdominant.

Corollary No. 2 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $\lambda, l \geq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \tag{8}$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{2(\zeta - \beta)}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1+t} dt, z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subdominant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 3 and consid-

erineg $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}$, the strong differential superordination (8) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1 + z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \frac{\zeta + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1+t} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{2(\zeta - \beta)}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1+t} dt \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Theorem No. 4 *Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be convex in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let h be defined by $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta)$. If $\lambda, l \geq 0$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, suppose that $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$ is univalent, $\frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and satisfies the strong differential superordination*

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (9)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Let $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z} =$

$$1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}. \text{ Evidently } p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta].$$

Differentiating with respect to z , we obtain $p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, and (9) becomes

$$q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 2 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &\prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad \text{i.e.} \\ q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}{z}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \end{aligned}$$

and q is the best subordinant.

Theorem No. 5 Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $\lambda, l \geq 0$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{zIR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}\right)'_z$ is univalent and $\frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zIR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}\right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (10)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. Consider $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)} = \frac{z+\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^{m+1} C_{m+j}^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z+\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j} =$

$$\frac{1+\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^{m+1} C_{m+j}^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}{1+\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}. \text{ Evidently } p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta].$$

We have $p'_z(z, \zeta) = \frac{(IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta))'_z}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)} - p(z, \zeta) \cdot \frac{(IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta))'_z}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}$. Then $p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{zIR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}\right)'_z$.

Then (10) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Corollary No. 3 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $\lambda, l \geq 0$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $\left(\frac{zIR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}\right)'_z$ is univalent, $\frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zIR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}\right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (11)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by $q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{2(\zeta - \beta)}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1+t} dt$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 5 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}$, the strong differential subordination (11) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1+z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = 1$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} q(z, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \frac{\zeta + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1+t} dt \\ &= 2\beta - \zeta + \frac{2(\zeta - \beta)}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1+t} dt \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}. \end{aligned}$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinated.

Theorem No. 6 Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be convex in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let h be defined by $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta)$. If $\lambda, l \geq 0$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}$, suppose that $\left(\frac{zIR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}\right)'_z$ is univalent, $\frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)} \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and satisfies the strong differential subordination

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \left(\frac{zIR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)}\right)'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad (12)$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1} f(z, \zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$. The function q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Let $p(z, \zeta) = \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1} f(z, \zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)} = \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^{m+1} C_{m+j}^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^j}{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^j} =$

$$\frac{1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^{m+1} C_{m+j}^{m+1} a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}{1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}}. \text{ Evidently } p \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta].$$

Differentiating with respect to z , we obtain $p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = \left(\frac{zIR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1} f(z, \zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}\right)'_z$, $z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, and (12) becomes

$$q(z, \zeta) + zq'_z(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

Using Lemma 2 for $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \prec\prec \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1} f(z, \zeta)}{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)}, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

and q is the best subordinant.

Theorem No. 7 Let $h(z, \zeta)$ be a convex function, $h(0, \zeta) = 1$. Let $\lambda, l \geq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that

$$\frac{l+1}{[\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)]z} \cdot [(m+1)IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - (m-2)IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)] + \left(1 - \frac{l+1}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}\right) - \frac{2(l+1)(m-1)-2\lambda m}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} \int_0^z \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(t, \zeta) - t}{t^2} dt$$

is univalent and $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{l+1}{[\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)]z} [(m+1)IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - (m-2)IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)] + \left(1 - \frac{l+1}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}\right) - \frac{2(l+1)(m-1)-2\lambda m}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} \int_0^z \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(t, \zeta) - t}{t^2} dt, \tag{13}$$

$z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)nz} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\lambda(l-m-nl-n+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)n}} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. With notation

$$p(z, \zeta) = (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z = 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m j a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1} \text{ and } p(0, \zeta) = 1, \text{ we obtain for } f(z, \zeta) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j(\zeta) z^j,$$

$$p(z, \zeta) + zp'_z(z, \zeta) = 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m j a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1} + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m j(j-1) a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1} = \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{m+1}{\lambda} IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \frac{m-2}{\lambda} IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)\right) + \frac{\lambda(m-1)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)} (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z + \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{l+1} - \frac{2}{\lambda}\right) - \frac{2(l+1)(m-1)-2\lambda m}{\lambda(l+1)} \int_0^z \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(t, \zeta) - t}{t^2} dt.$$

$$\text{Therefore } p(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda(l+1)}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} zp'_z(z, \zeta) = \frac{l+1}{[\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)]z} \left[(m+1) IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - (m-2) IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)\right] + \left(1 - \frac{l+1}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}\right) - \frac{2(l+1)(m-1)-2\lambda m}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} \int_0^z \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(t, \zeta) - t}{t^2} dt.$$

Then (13) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda(l+1)}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = 1 - \frac{m-1}{l+1} - \frac{1}{\lambda}$, we have

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ i.e. } q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)nz} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\lambda(l-m-nl-n+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)n}} dt$. The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Corollary No. 4 Let $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta+(2\beta-\zeta)z}{1+z}$ be a convex function in $U \times \bar{U}$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $\lambda, l \geq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$ and suppose that $\frac{l+1}{[\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)]z} \cdot [(m+1) IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - (m-2) IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)] +$

$\left(1 - \frac{l+1}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}\right) - \frac{2(l+1)(m-1)-2\lambda m}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} \int_0^z \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(t,\zeta)-t}{t^2} dt$ is univalent,
 $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$. If

$$h(z, \zeta) \prec\prec \frac{l+1}{[\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)]z} [(m+1)IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z,\zeta) - (m-2)IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z,\zeta)] + \left(1 - \frac{l+1}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}\right) - \frac{2(l+1)(m-1)-2\lambda m}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} \int_0^z \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(t,\zeta)-t}{t^2} dt, \tag{14}$$

$z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where q is given by

$$q(z, \zeta) = 2\beta - \zeta + 2(\zeta - \beta) \frac{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)nz} \int_0^z t \frac{t^{\frac{\lambda(l-m-nl-n+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)n}}}{1+t} dt, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Proof. Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 7 and considering $p(z, \zeta) = (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z$, the strong differential superordination (14) becomes

$$h(z, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta + (2\beta - \zeta)z}{1+z} \prec\prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda(l+1)}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} zp'_z(z, \zeta), \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}.$$

By using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = \frac{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)}$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, i.e.

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)nz} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\lambda(l-m-nl-n+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)n}} dt = \frac{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)nz} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\lambda(l-m-nl-n+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)n}} \frac{\zeta + (2\beta - \zeta)t}{1+t} dt = 2\beta - \zeta + 2(\zeta - \beta) \frac{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)nz} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\lambda(l-m-nl-n+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)n}} \frac{dt}{1+t} \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z,$$

$z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

The function q is convex and it is the best subordinant.

Theorem No. 8 Let $q(z, \zeta)$ be convex in $U \times \bar{U}$ and let h be defined by $h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda(l+1)}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} z \cdot q'_z(z, \zeta)$, $\lambda, l \geq 0$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f(z, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}_{n\zeta}^*$, suppose that $\frac{l+1}{[\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)]z} [(m+1)IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z, \zeta) - (m-2)IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)] + \left(1 - \frac{l+1}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}\right) - \frac{2(l+1)(m-1)-2\lambda m}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} \int_0^z \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(t, \zeta) - t}{t^2} dt$ is univalent, $(IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z \in \mathcal{H}^*[1, n, \zeta] \cap Q^*$ and satisfies the strong differential subordination

$$h(z, \zeta) = q(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda(l+1)}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec \prec \frac{l+1}{[\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)]z} \cdot [(m+1)IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z, \zeta) - (m-2)IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)] + \left(1 - \frac{l+1}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}\right) - \frac{2(l+1)(m-1)-2\lambda m}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} \int_0^z \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(t, \zeta) - t}{t^2} dt, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

then

$$q(z, \zeta) \prec \prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U},$$

where $q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)nz} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\lambda(l-m-nl-n+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)n}} dt$. The function q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Let

$$p(z, \zeta) = (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z = 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\lambda(j-1)+l}{l+1}\right)^m C_{m+j-1}^m j a_j^2(\zeta) z^{j-1}.$$

Differentiating with respect to z , we obtain

$$p(z, \zeta) + z p'_z(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{m+1}{\lambda} IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1} f(z, \zeta) - \frac{m-2}{\lambda} IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)\right) + \frac{\lambda(m-1)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)} (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z + \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{l+1} - \frac{2}{\lambda}\right) - \frac{2(l+1)(m-1)-2\lambda m}{\lambda(l+1)} \int_0^z \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(t, \zeta) - t}{t^2} dt$$

$$\text{and } p(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda(l+1)}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} z p'_z(z, \zeta) = \frac{l+1}{[\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)]z} [(m+1)IR_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}f(z, \zeta) - (m-2)IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta)] + \left(1 - \frac{l+1}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}\right) - \frac{2(l+1)(m-1)-2\lambda m}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} \int_0^z \frac{IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(t, \zeta) - t}{t^2} dt, \quad z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}, \text{ and (15) becomes}$$

$$q(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda(l+1)}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} z q'_z(z, \zeta) \prec \prec p(z, \zeta) + \frac{\lambda(l+1)}{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)} z p'_z(z, \zeta),$$

$z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$.

Using Lemma 2 for $\gamma = 1 - \frac{m-1}{l+1} - \frac{1}{\lambda}$, we have $q(z, \zeta) \prec\prec p(z, \zeta)$, $z \in U$, $\zeta \in \bar{U}$, i.e.

$$q(z, \zeta) = \frac{\lambda(l-m+2) - (l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)nz^{\frac{\lambda(l-m+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)n}}} \int_0^z h(t, \zeta) t^{\frac{\lambda(l-m-nl-n+2)-(l+1)}{\lambda(l+1)n}} dt \prec\prec (IR_{\lambda,l}^m f(z, \zeta))'_z,$$

$z \in U, \zeta \in \bar{U}$, and q is the best subordinant.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alb Lupas, *Certain strong differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Advances in Applied Mathematical Analysis, Volume 6, Number 1 (2011), 27–34.
- [2] A. Alb Lupas, *A note on strong differential subordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh operator*, submitted 2010.
- [3] A. Alb Lupas, *A note on strong differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Libertas Mathematica, submitted 2010.
- [4] A. Alb Lupas, G.I. Oros, Gh. Oros, *On special strong differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, Vol. 14, 2012 (to appear).
- [5] A. Alb Lupas, *Certain strong differential subordinations using a multiplier transformation and Ruscheweyh operator*, International Journal of Open Problems in Complex Analysis, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2011, 1-8.
- [6] A. Alb Lupas, *Certain strong differential subordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh operator*, submitted 2010.
- [7] A. Alb Lupas, *On special strong differential subordinations using multiplier transformation*, Applied Mathematics Letter, submitted 2011.
- [8] A. Alb Lupas, *On special strong differential subordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative*, Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics, 2012 (to appear).
- [9] A. Alb Lupas, *On special strong differential superordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, submitted 2011.
- [10] A. Alb Lupas, *Certain strong differential superordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, submitted 2011.

[11] A. Alb Lupas, *A note on strong differential superordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators*, Journal of Applied Functional Analysis, 2012 (to appear).

[12] A. Alb Lupas, *Certain strong differential superordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh operator*, Journal of Applied Functional Analysis, 2012 (to appear).

[13] A. Alb Lupas, *A note on strong differential superordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh operator*, submitted GFTA 2011.

[14] G.I. Oros, *Strong differential superordination*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, Nr. 19, 2009, 101-106.

[15] G.I. Oros, *On a new strong differential subordination*, (to appear).

Alb Lupas Alina, Oros Georgia Irina
Department of Mathematics
University of Oradea
str. Universităţii nr. 1, 410087, Oradea, Romania
email: *dalb@uoradea.ro, georgia_oros_ro@yahoo.co.uk*