A CERTAIN CLASS OF QUADRATURES

by Eugen Constantinescu

Abstract. Our aim is to investigate a quadrature of form:

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x)dx = c_{1}f(x_{1}) + c_{2}f(x_{2}) + c_{3}f(x_{3}) + c_{4}f(x_{4}) + c_{5}f(x_{5}) + R(f)$$
(1)

where $f:[0,1] \rightarrow IR$ is integrable, R(f) is the remainder-term and the distinct knots x_j an supposed to be symmetric distributed in [0,1]. Under the additional hypothesis that all x_j an of rational type (see(4)), we are interested to find maximum degree of exactness of such quadrature.

1 Introduction

Let \prod_{m} be the linear space of all real polynomials of degree $\leq m$ and denote $e_{j}(t) = t^{j}, j \in N$. A quadrature of form

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k f(x_k) + R(f)$$
(2)

has degrees (of exactness) *m* if R(h) = 0 for any polynomial $h \in \prod_m$. If R(h) = 0 for all $h \in \prod_m$ and moreover $R(e_{m+1}) \neq 0$ it is said that (2) has the exact degree *m*. It is known that if (2) has degree *m*, then $m \leq 2n-1$. Likewise, there exists only one formula

(2) having maximum degree 2n-1.

The aim of this paper is to study the formulas like (2) for n = 5 having some practical properties. Let us note that in this case, the optimal formula having maximum degree m = 9 is

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = \sum_{k=1}^{5} c_{k} f(x_{k}) + r(f)$$
$$x_{k} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{5 \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{10}{7}}}, 1 \le k \le 4, x_{5} = \frac{1}{2}$$

It is clear that not all knots x_k are rational numbers.

Definition 1 Formula (1) is said to be of "*practical-type*", iff i) the knots x_i are of form

$$x_1 = r_1, x_2 = r_2, x_3 = \frac{1}{2}, x_4 = 1 - r_2, x_5 = 1 - r_1$$
 (4)

where r_1, r_2 distinct rational numbers from $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$.

ii) all coefficients c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5 are rational numbers with $c_1 = c_5$ and $c_2 = c_4$. iii) (1) is of order *p*, with $p \ge 1$. Therefore, in case n = 5 a practical-type formula has the form

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = A(f(r_1) + f(1 - r_1)) + B(f(r_2) + f(1 - r_2)) + C \cdot f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + R(f)$$
(5)

A, B being rational numbers, C = 1 - 2(A + B), and when r_1, r_2 are distinct rational numbers from $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$.

Lemma 1 Let *s* be a natural number and suppose in (5) we have R(h) = 0 for all $h \in \prod_{2s}$ Then R(g) = 0 for every g from \prod_{2s+1} .

Proof. Let $H(x) = \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2s+1}$. According to symmetry $\int_{0}^{1} H(x)dx = 0$ and also R(H) = 0. Observe that $e_{2s+1}(x) \equiv x^{2s+1} = H(x) + h_1(x)$ with $h_1 \in \prod_{2s}$. Therefore $R(e_{2s+1}) = 0$ and supposing $g \in \prod_{2s+1}$ with $g(x) = a_0 x^{2s+1} + ..., n$, we have $R(g) = a_0 \cdot R(e_{2s+1}) + R(h_2), h_2 \in R_{2s}$, that is R(g) = 0.

Lemma 2 If in (5) we have R(h) = 0 for every polynomial of degree $\cdot \leq 4$, then

$$A = \frac{10r_2^2 - 10r_2 + 1}{60(1 - 2r_1)^2(r_1 - r_2)(1 - r_1 - r_2)}$$

$$B = \frac{10r_2^2 - 10r_1 + 1}{60(1 - 2r_2)^2(r_2 - r_1)(1 - r_1 - r_2)}$$

$$C = \frac{8 + 40(r_1^2 + r_2^2) - 40(r_1 - r_2) + 240r_1r_2(1 - r_1 - r_2 + r_1r_2)}{15(1 - 2r_1)^2(1 - 2r_2)^2}$$
(6)

Proof. We use standard method, namely by considering polynomials

$$l_j = \frac{\omega(x)}{(x - x_j)\omega'(x_j)}, \ j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, \ \omega(x) = \prod_{k=1}^5 (x - x_k)$$

For instance, taking into account that

$$\omega'(x) = -\frac{1}{4}(1-2r_1)^2(r_1-r_2)$$
, with $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$

are finds

$$0=R(l_1)=\int\limits_0^1 l_1(x)dx-Al_1(x_1)$$

and we conclude with

$$A = \frac{1}{\omega'(x_1)} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} t[t - (1 - 2r_1)h][t^2 - (1 - 2r_2)^2h^2]dt = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$=\frac{10r_2^2-10r_2+1}{60(1-2r_1)^2(r_1-r_2)(1-r_1-r_2)}$$

In a similar way are finds coefficients B and C. Taking into account that (5) is symmetric, we give:

Corollary 1 Quadrature formula (5) has order, $m \ge 5$, if and only if the coeffcients are given by (6).

Lemma 3 If (5) has order $m, m \ge 6$, then r_1, r_2 must be distinct rational numbers from (0,1] such that

 $560r_1^2r_2^2 + 56(r_1^2 + r_2^2) - 56(r_1 + r_2) + 560r_1r_2(1 - r_1 - r_2) + 5 = 0$ (7)

Proof. It is sufficient to impose condition $R(e_6) = 0, e_6(x) = x^6$. By considering [a,b] = [-1,1], are find $R(e_6) = \frac{1}{7} - 2Ar_1^6 - 2Br_2^6 = 0$. Using Lemma 2, see (6) we obtain condition (7).

Corollary 2 Suppose that (5) is of practical-type. If r_1, r_2 are distinct rational numbers from (0,1] such that equalities (6) and (7) are verified, then (5) has order m = 7.

Let us remark, that from above proposition implies that

$$r_1 + r_2 - 2r_1r_2 \ge \frac{2}{7}$$

Corollary 3 The maximum order of *m* of practical-type quadratus formula at 5-knots satisfied $m \le 7$.

Proof. Formulas like (7) having order m = 8 does not exist. the reason is that by assuming $m \ge 8$, then according to Lemma 1 we must have m = 9. But in this case numbers r_1 and r_2 are not rational (see (3)).

Lemma 4 Then does not exist pairs of rational numbers (r_1, r_2) which satisfy

$$560r_1^2r_2^2 + 56(r_1^2 + r_2^2) - 56(r_1 + r_2) + 560r_1r_2(1 - r_1 - r_2) + 5 = 0,$$

Proof. The case $(1 - 2r_1)(1 - 2r_2) = 0$ is impossible. Further, consider

(1 -

$$(1-2r_1)(1-2r_2) \neq 0$$

and let $1 - 2r_1 = \frac{p}{2}, 1 - 2r_2 = \frac{x}{y}, p, q, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, q > 0, y > 0$ with (p; q) = 1, (x; y) = 1.

Because

$$(-2r_2)^2 = \frac{3[5-7(1-2r_1)^2]}{7[3-5(1-2r_1)^2]}$$
, we obtain

 $7x^{2}(3q^{2}-5p^{2})=3y^{2}(5q^{2}-7p^{2}).$ It follows that $x^{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ or $p^{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore x or p is divisible by 3, $x = 0 \pmod{3}$, x = 3k with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then after dividing by 3, are finds $y^2(5q^2 - 7p^2) = 3 \cdot 7(3q^2 - 5p^2)$, with means that $5q^2 - 7p^2$ must be divisible by 3.

From (x; y) = 1 it is clear that y is not divisible by 3. Now

$$5q^2 - 7p^2 = 6(q^2 - p^2) - (q^2 + p^2) \equiv -(q^2 + p^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

implies $p^2 + q^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ which is impossible unless $p \equiv q \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, which can't happen because (p; g) = 1.

Theorem 1 The practical quadratures at five knots, having maximal degree of exactness m = 5 are those of form

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x)dx = A[f(r_{1}) + f(1 - r_{1})] + B[f(r_{2}) + f(1 - r_{2})] + Cf\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + R(f)$$
(8)

where R(f) is remainder, r_1, r_2 are distinct rational numbers from (0, 1] and

$$A = \frac{10r_2^2 - 10r_2 + 1}{60(1 - 2r_1)^2(r_2 - r_1)(1 - r_1 - r_2)}$$
$$B = \frac{10r_1^2 - 10r_1 + 1}{60(1 - 2r_2)^2(r_2 - r_1)(1 - r_1 - r_2)}$$
$$C = \frac{8 + 40(r_1^2 + r_2^2) - 40(r_1 - r_2) + 240r_1r_2(1 - r_1 - r_2 + r_1r_2)}{15(1 - 2r_1)^2(1 - 2r_2)^2}$$

Let us note that in quadrature formula from (8) we have

$$R(e_6) = \frac{560r_1^2r_2^2 + 56(r_1^2 + r_2^2) - 56(r_1 + r_2) + 560r_1r_2(1 - r_1 - r_2) + 5}{105} \cdot \frac{1}{2^6}$$

If by $[z_0, z_1, ..., z_k; f]$ is denoted the difference of a function $f: [0,1] \rightarrow IR$ at a system of distinct points $\{z_0, z_1, ..., z_k\} \subset [0,1]$, it may be shown that.

Theorem 2 Any partial quadratures at five knots, having maximal degree m = 5 may be written as

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x)dx = f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{12}\left[r_{1}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 - r_{1}; f\right] + \frac{3 - 5(1 - 2r_{1})^{2}}{240} \cdot \left[r_{1}, r_{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1 - r_{2}, 1 - r_{1}; f\right] + R(f)$$
(9)

where r_1, r_2 are distinct rational numbers from (0,1].

2 Examples

In the following of $R_j(f)$, $j \in N^*$, we shall denote the remainders terms in certain quadratures formulas.

Example 1. The closed formulas like (8) are obtained in case $r_2 = 1$, namely

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x)dx = A_0[f(0) + f(1)] + C_0 f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + B_0[f(r) + f(1-r)] + R_1(f)$$
(10)

where $r \in Q, r \in (0,1), R_1(e_6) = \frac{14(1-2r)^6 - 6}{105 \cdot 2^6}$ and

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{15(1-2r)^2}; \quad B_0 = \frac{1}{60r(1-2r)^2(1-r)}; \quad C_0 = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{15(1-2r)^2}$$

Example 2. For instance, when $(r_1, r_2) = (1; \frac{1}{2})$, (10) gives

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = \frac{7}{90} [f(0) + f(1)] + \frac{16}{25} \left[f\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) + \frac{2}{25} f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + R_2(f) \right]$$
(11)
$$R_2(e_6) = \frac{1}{21 \cdot 2^7}.$$

Example 3. In case $(r_1, r_2) = \left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{4}\right)$ are finds $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = \frac{86}{45} \left[f\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \right] - \frac{224}{45} \left[f\left(\frac{3}{8}\right) + f\left(\frac{5}{8}\right) + \frac{107}{15} f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + R_3(f) \right] (12)$ $R_3(e_6) = \frac{115}{21 \cdot 2^{12}}.$

3 The remainder term

In order to investigated the remainder we use same methods as in [1]- [6].

Theorem 3 Let
$$m = \frac{1}{2}, h = \frac{1}{2}, x_1 = r_1, x_2 = r_2, x_3 = \frac{1}{2}, x_4 = 1 - r_2, x_5 = 1 - r_1$$
.
If $\Omega(t) = \left[t^2 - (1 - 2r_1)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{4}\right] \left[t^2 - (1 - 2r_2)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{4}\right]$.
 $R(f) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^2 \Omega(t) \left[\frac{1}{2} - t, r_1, r_2, \frac{1}{2}, 1 - r_2, 1 - r_1, \frac{1}{2} + t; f\right] dt$.

Proof. Let $\omega(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{5} (x - x_j)$. Because our formula (8) is of interpolatory type, it follows that we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x)dx = \int_{0}^{1} L_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5; f)dx + R(f)$$

where $R(f) = \int_{0}^{1} \omega(x) [x, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5; f] dx$. But $\int_{0}^{1} f(1-x) dx = \int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx$ and using the symmetry of knots $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_5\}$ we have

$$L_4\left(r_1, r_2, \frac{1}{2}, 1-r_2, 1-r_1; f|1-x\right) = L_4\left(r_1, r_2, \frac{1}{2}, 1-r_2, 1-r_1; f|x\right).$$

Further, the equality $\omega(1-x) = -\omega(x)$ gives

$$R(f) = -\int_{0}^{1} \omega(x) \left[1 - x, r_1, r_2, \frac{1}{2}; 1 - r_2, 1 - r_1; f \right] dx$$

Therefore the remainder from (8) may be written as $R(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \omega(x) D(f;x) dx$ with

$$\begin{split} D(f;x) &= \left[x,r_1,r_2,\frac{1}{2};1-r_2,1-r_1;f\right] - \left[1-x;r_1,r_2,\frac{1}{2},1-r_2,1-r_1;f\right] = \\ &= 2\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[x,r_1,r_2,\frac{1}{2},1-r_2,1-r_1;f\right] \end{split}$$

In this manner

$$R(f) = \int_{0}^{1} \left(x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \omega(x) \left[x, r_1, r_2, \frac{1}{2}, 1 - r_2, 1 - r_1; f \right] dx$$

which is the same with (13).

Further for $g \in C[0,1]$ we use the uniform norm $||g|| = \max_{x \in [a,b]} |g(x)|$.

Corollary 4 Let us denote

$$\omega(x) = (x - r_1)(x - r_2)(x - 1 + r_1)(x - 1 + r_2), \\ J(r_1, r_2) = \int_0^1 \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 |\omega(x)| dx = \int_0^1 \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 |\omega(x)|$$

If R(f) is the remainder in (8), then for $f \in C^{6}[0,1]$

$$|R(f)| \leq \frac{1}{46080} J(r_1, r_2) ||f^{(6)}||.$$

References

Brass H., *Quadraturverfahren*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, G⁻ottingen, 1977.
 Ghizzetti A., Ossicini A., *Quadrature Formulae*, Birkh⁻auser Verlag Basel, Stuttgart, 1970.

[3] Krylov V.I., Approximate calculation of integrals, Macmillan, New York, 1962.

[4] Lupas A., *Teoreme de medie pentru transform¢ari liniare _si pozitive* (Romanian), Rev. de Anal. Num. _si Teor. Aprox. 3, 1974, 2, 121-140.

[5] Lupas A., *Contributions to the theory of approximation by linear operators*, Dissertation, Romanian, Cluj, 1975.

[6] Lupas A., Metode numerice (Romanian), Ed. Constant, Sibiu, 2001.

Author:

Constantinescu Eugen Department of Mathematics "Lucian Blaga" University of Sibiu Str. Dr. I. Rat, iu, nr. 5-7 550012 Sibiu, Rom^ania. E-mail address: *egnconst68@yahoo.com*